# **NEBRASKA JUDICIAL BRANCH**

# Juvenile Justice System Statistical Annual Report



**2021** January 1, 2021 to December 31, 2021

In Compliance with Neb. Rev. Stat. §24-1007

## Table of Contents

Introduction	1
Part (a) Juvenile Petitions and Adjudications	2
Part (b) Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court - Case Type	4
Part (b) Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court - Sentence	6
Part (c) Motions to Transfer Cases and Cases Transferred	8
Part (d) Juvenile Probation Activity - Youth	12
Part (d) Juvenile Probation - Risk Level and Length of Probation	14
Part (e) Juveniles Access to Counsel	16
Part (f) Juvenile Rates of Recidivism	18
District 1	23
District 2	55
District 3	85
District 4	92
District 5	99
District 6	134
District 7	165
District 8	197
District 9	230
District 10	260
District 11	293
District 12	328
Appendix I - Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007	361
Appendix II - Administrative Office of Probation	362
Appendix III - § 1-1001. Uniform definitions of recidivism for Nebraska State Probation and Nebraska Problem-Solving Courts	364

## Introduction

The Nebraska Juvenile Justice System 2021 Statistical Annual Report meets the requirements of Nebraska Revised Statute § 24-1007 (2014). This report is compiled by the Administrative Office of the Courts and Probation (AOCP). The report contains statistics on juvenile justice (status offense and juvenile delinquency) cases and on juveniles prosecuted in the adult court system. A status offense is an act that is prohibited because of the juvenile status of the person, but is not illegal if committed by an adult. Juvenile delinquency cases involve a violation of a law applicable regardless of juvenile or adult status. This report provides statistical information on juveniles in the justice system. The data presented show caseload statistics by case type, geography and demographics.

The data in this report was extracted from the Supreme Court's Judicial User System to Improve Court Efficiency (JUSTICE), a case-based data storage system comprised of clerk entries of information from relevant court documents. Tables with court data report statistics on cases for youth ages 17 and under at the time of the offense. The Part (d) Juvenile Probation Activity tables are provided from the case management system, Nebraska Probation Application for Community Safety (NPACS). These tables report activity for juveniles ages 18 and under receiving probation supervision in the year.

The report contains a statewide section and 12 judicial district sections. Each district section has district summary tables followed by county data for that judicial district. If there is no data for a county, that county is not included in the table. All geographic tables include demographic statistics by age, sex and race/ethnicity if there is data for that group. If not expressly written on trial court forms, age, sex and/or race/ethnicity may be unknown to court personnel responsible for JUSTICE data entry and will be included as "Unknown" in this report.

For the majority of the report, age demographic groupings are based on the age of the juvenile at the time of the offense. Exceptions are noted in the report. Age groups used in this report are: 10 & Under; 11-13; 14-15; 16; and 17 and are based on Nebraska Revised Statutes 43-246.01 and 43-247 regarding allowable ages in juvenile court jurisdiction.

The number of "Unknown" demographics are not included in the percentage calculation in tables where percentages are calculated for demographic groups as a percentage of the total. This allows the "valid" percent to be displayed where demographics are known. In these instances, the percent column remains blank for the "Unknown" category. The "Unknown" group will have a percent value in tables where the percentage is calculated using the total cases for the demographic group.

#### Part (a) Juvenile Petitions and Adjudications

Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (a) Juveniles prosecuted in juvenile court under subdivision (1), (2), (3)(b), or (4) of section 43-247, including the total number of filings and adjudications.

The Juvenile Petitions and Adjudications tables report the number of new juvenile filings and corresponding percent of adjudications by case type and demographic group for cases filed in 2021. The data consists of both county court and separate juvenile court cases. Only the most serious offense is counted for cases with multiple offenses, pleas or findings. Cases are counted petitions filed statewide, in the Adjudicated column if at least one offense was adjudicated. Supplemental petitions are not included in this data set. Age is reported as the age of the juvenile at the time of the offense. Cases filed in 2021 may not have reached the adjudication stage of proceedings. Juvenile cases where the age is unknown, if any, are included in the report.

Out of 3,190 juvenile *there are 2,333 (73%)* adjudications in 2021.

				Juven	ile Petit	tions and	d Adjudi	cation	by Case	Type St	atewide	5				
Demo-		Tra	affic Offe	ense	Sta	atus Offe	ense	M	isdemea	nor		Felony			Total	
graphic	Cohort	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated
	11-13	0	0	0%	108	66	61%	287	204	71%	69	57	83%	464	327	70%
Age	14-15	31	26	84%	211	144	68%	705	512	73%	173	144	83%	1,120	826	74%
Group	16	24	20	83%	135	89	66%	536	396	74%	112	98	88%	807	603	75%
	17	33	31	94%	64	43	67%	603	426	71%	99	77	78%	799	577	72%
	Female	24	19	79%	251	162	65%	667	479	72%	88	69	78%	1,030	729	71%
Sex	Male	46	41	89%	251	168	67%	1,404	1,019	73%	354	298	84%	2,055	1,526	74%
	Unknown	18	17	94%	16	12	75%	60	40	67%	11	9	82%	105	78	74%
	Am. Indian	2	2	100%	12	9	75%	57	44	77%	12	8	67%	83	63	76%
	Asian/P.I.	0	0	0%	1	0	0%	15	12	80%	8	7	88%	24	19	79%
	Black	5	5	100%	43	24	56%	232	146	63%	95	76	80%	375	251	67%
Race/ Ethnicity	Hispanic	15	15	100%	69	50	72%	246	190	77%	47	37	79%	377	292	77%
Lunnerty	White	50	41	82%	182	115	63%	890	638	72%	144	124	86%	1,266	918	73%
	Other	0	0	0%	2	1	50%	7	4	57%	4	3	75%	13	8	62%
	Unknown	16	14	88%	209	143	68%	684	504	74%	143	121	85%	1,052	782	74%
Total Cas	es	88	77	88%	518	342	66%	2,131	1,538	72%	453	376	83%	3,190	2,333	73%

## Age Group



Percent of Cases Adjudicated by Case Type and Age Group

Sex



## Race/Ethnicity



#### Part (b) Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court - Case Type

Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (b) Juveniles prosecuted in adult criminal court for felonies, misdemeanors, and traffic offenses. The information shall include juveniles sentenced to terms in adult jails and prisons and juveniles placed on adult probation.

Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court tables include criminal and traffic cases in county court and criminal cases in district court that reached the point of sentencing in 2021. Cases with multiple charges are counted once per case and reported as the most severe offense. Cases for juveniles ages 13 and below must be filed and stay in juvenile court. Exceptions are some traffic case types where the county attorney has the option to file in criminal or juvenile court and

*Of the 220 distinct cases of juveniles prosecuted in adult criminal court, 22% are traffic cases, 42% are misdemeanor cases, and 36% are felonies.* 

instances where the offense was discovered after the juvenile was an adult. Therefore, the vast majority of juvenile cases appearing in adult criminal court data are for ages 14 through 17 at the time of the offense.

			Juveniles	Prosecuted in Ad Sta	lult Criminal C atewide	Court by Case Typ	e		
Demographic	Cohort	Number of	% of	Traff	ic	Misdeme	eanor	Felor	ıy
		Cases	Total	Number of Cases	% of Cohort*	Number of Cases	% of Cohort*	Number of Cases	% of Cohort*
Age Group	14-15	24	10%	9	38%	2	8%	13	54%
	16	65	28%	20	31%	25	38%	20	31%
	17	143	62%	20	14%	73	51%	50	35%
Race/Ethnicity	Am. Indian	2	1%	0	-	1	50%	1	50%
	Asian/P.I.	2	1%	0	-	1	50%	1	50%
	Black	52	25%	5	10%	18	35%	29	56%
	Hispanic	55	27%	13	24%	18	33%	24	44%
	White	94	45%	27	29%	48	51%	19	20%
	Other	2	1%	1	50%	1	50%	0	-
	Unknown	25	-	3	-	13	-	9	-
Sex	Female	52	23%	25	48%	25	48%	2	4%
	Male	174	77%	24	14%	71	41%	79	45%
	Unknown	6	-	0	-	4	-	2	-
Total Cases		232	100%	49	21%	100	43%	83	36%

\*The percent of total cases by demographic is calculated using the valid percent (does not include cases with unknown sex or unknown race/ethnicity).

#### Age Group

Juveniles in Adult Criminal Court by Age Group

Juveniles in Adult Criminal Court by Age Group

Juveniles in Adult Criminal Court by Age Group







Sex

Juveniles in Adult Criminal Court by Sex

Juveniles in Adult Criminal Court by Sex

Juveniles in Adult Criminal Court by Sex



Misdemeanor Female 25% Male 75%



Race/Ethnicity





Juveniles in Adult Criminal Court by Race/ Ethnicity Felony Am. Indian 2% Asian/P.I. 1% Black 37%

#### Part (b) Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court - Sentence

Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (b) Juveniles prosecuted in adult criminal court for felonies, misdemeanors, and traffic offenses. The information shall include juveniles sentenced to terms in adult jails and prisons and juveniles placed on adult probation.

Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court tables include criminal and traffic cases in county court and criminal cases in district court that reached the point of sentencing in 2021. All sentencing types are included where multiple sentencing types occur within a case (ex. probation/jail). Youth who are sentenced to probation in Adult Court are supervised by Adult

*Of the 220 distinct cases prosecuted in adult criminal court, 64% were sentenced to probation, 20% to jail and 21% to prison.* 

Probation. Average age at judgment is the mean calculated for each cohort at the time of their judgment date.

			J	uveniles Pro		dult Crim Statewide		y Sentencinş	5			
Demographic	Cohort	Number of	% of		Probation			Jail			Prison	
		Cases	Total	Average Age at Judgement	Number of Cases	% of Cohort*	Average Age at Judgement	Number of Cases	% of Cohort*	Average Age at Judgement	Number of Cases	% of Cohort*
Age at Offense	14-15	24	10%	18	12	50%	18	3	12%	18	9	38%
	16	65	28%	17	46	71%	17	5	8%	17	14	22%
	17	143	62%	18	83	58%	18	35	24%	18	25	17%
Race/Ethnicity	Am. Indian	2	1%	-	0	-	19	1	50%	19	1	50%
	Asian/P.I.	2	1%	17	1	50%	17	1	50%	-	0	-
	Black	52	25%	18	21	40%	18	14	27%	18	17	33%
	Hispanic	55	27%	18	36	65%	18	8	15%	18	11	20%
	White	94	45%	17	68	72%	17	12	13%	17	14	15%
	Other	2	1%	16	2	100%	-	0	-	-	0	-
	Unknown	25	-	18	13	-	18	7	-	18	5	-
Sex	Female	52	23%	17	43	83%	17	8	15%	17	1	2%
	Male	174	77%	18	97	56%	18	31	18%	18	46	26%
	Unknown	6	-	19	1	-	19	4	-	19	1	-
Total Cases		232	100%	18	141	61%	18	43	19%	18	48	21%

\*The percent of total cases by demographic is calculated using the valid percent (does not include cases with unknown sex or unknown race/ethnicity).

### Age Group



Sex



## **Race/Ethnicity**



#### Part (c) Motions to Transfer Cases and Cases Transferred

Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (c) The number of motions to transfer and the number of cases transferred to adult court from juvenile court and from adult criminal court to juvenile court.

The data represents motions to transfer filed in 2021 and transfers between court jurisdiction which occurred in 2021. Therefore, the exact same set of cases may not be represented in both columns. There can be multiple motions to transfer within a single case which, if filed in the same calendar year, would all be included in the counts below. Some cases may have a motion to transfer, but no transfer actually occurs or it occurs in a subsequent year. Transfers occur once per case.

	Motio	ns to Tra		Cases and tatewide	Cases	Transfer	red		
	Juvenile Co	ourt to Adu	ılt Cour	t		Adult (	Court to	Juvenile Co	ourt
Demographic	Age	Motions to Transfer	% of Total	Transfers	% of Total	Motions to Transfer	% of Total	Transfers	% of Total
	11-13	0	-	0	-	2	2%	0	-
A ma Circuin	14-15	5	16%	0	-	16	17%	23	32%
Age Group	16	6	1 <b>9</b> %	0	-	26	28%	17	24%
	17	21	66%	1	100%	49	53%	32	44%
	Female	5	16%	1	1 <b>00</b> %	13	15%	27	38%
Sex	Male	27	84%	0	-	75	85%	44	62%
	Unknown	0	-	0	-	5	-	1	-
	Am. Indian	0	-	0	-	2	2%	3	5%
	Asian/P.I.	1	6%	0	-	2	2%	0	-
Deee (Ethnisity)	Black	5	28%	0	-	29	35%	5	8%
Race/Ethnicity	Hispanic	4	22%	0	-	23	28%	16	25%
	White	8	44%	1	100%	26	32%	40	62%
	Unknown	14	-	0	-	11	-	8	-
Total Cases		32	-	1	-	93	-	72	-

\*The percent of total cases by demographic is calculated using the valid percent within demographic (does not include cases with unknown sex or unknown race/ethnicity).

In juvenile court there were 32 motions to transfer to adult court filed in 2021, and 1 cases transferred to adult court. Adult court had 93 motions to transfer to juvenile court filed, and 72 transfers to juvenile court in the year.

## Age Group

17

66%



Motions to Transfer From Adult Court to Juvenile Court by Age Group



**Transfers** From Adult Court to Juvenile Court by Age Group

17

100%



#### Sex



**Transfers** From Juvenile Court to Adult Court by Sex



Motions to Transfer From Adult Court to Juvenile Court by Sex Female 15% Male 85%

**Transfers** From Adult Court to Juvenile Court by Sex



## Race/Ethnicity





Motions to Transfer From Adult Court to Juvenile Court by Race/ Ethnicity







#### Part (d) Juvenile Probation Activity - Youth

Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (d) Juveniles placed on juvenile probation, the number of juveniles on probation in out-of-home care, the number of juveniles completing probation, the number of motions to revoke probation and probation revocations, and the average length of time on probation.

The Juvenile Probation Activity - Youth data is provided from the case management system, Nebraska Probation Application for Community Safety (NPACS). The table reports the number and percent of youth with various activity during the year. Youth are counted once in each category, regardless of the number of out-of-home placements, motions to revoke, or cases they may have in the year. Age groups are calculated specific to the activity as footnoted.

					e Probatio atewide Y	on Activity outh					
Demographic	Cohort	Place Prob		Out-of Place		Motions 1	to Revoke	Probatior	Revoked		actory ease
		# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total
Age Group	11-13	195	10%	47	8%	37	4%	1	17%	54	3%
	14-15	630	32%	191	34%	249	27%	1	17%	300	19%
	16	472	24%	139	25%	228	25%	1	17%	320	20%
	17	522	26%	143	25%	263	29%	2	33%	460	29%
	18	162	8%	42	7%	131	14%	1	17%	453	29%
Sex	Female	625	32%	165	29%	285	31%	3	50%	515	32%
	Male	1,356	68%	397	71%	623	69%	3	50%	1,072	68%
Race	Am. Indian	113	6%	47	8%	56	6%	2	33%	73	5%
	Asian/P.I.	25	1%	13	2%	15	2%	0	-	14	1%
	Black	325	16%	160	28%	150	17%	0	-	240	15%
	White	1,205	61%	267	48%	551	61%	3	50%	999	63%
	Other	313	16%	75	13%	136	15%	1	17%	261	16%
Ethnicity	Hispanic	526	27%	120	21%	276	30%	0	-	414	26%
	Not Hispanic	1,455	73%	442	79%	632	70%	6	100%	1,173	74%
Total Youth		1,981	100%	562	100%	908	100%	6	100%	1,587	100%

1. Age at which the probation term began. 2. Age at the first admission to out-of-home placement. 3. Age as of the file date for motion to revoke. 4. Age as of the disposition date for motion to revoke. 5. Age as of the disposition date for discharge from probation.

#### Age Group



Youth Placed on Probation by Age

#### Sex



#### Race



Youth Placed on Probation by Race

# Ethnicity

#### Youth Placed on Probation by Ethnicity



White 61%

#### Part (d) Juvenile Probation - Risk Level and Length of Probation

Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (d) Juveniles placed on juvenile probation, the number of juveniles on probation in out-of-home care, the number of juveniles completing probation, the number of motions to revoke probation and probation revocations, and the average length of time on probation.

Youth Level of Service/Case Management Inventory (YLS/CMI) is an assessment tool used to systematically measure risk for recidivism and appropriate supervision intensity for youth on probation. The following table shows the classification results for two populations. The first is the classification for youth starting probation in 2021, the second population are youth discharged from probation in 2021. Average length of probation is only calculated for youth discharged from probation. Youth that are assessed at low or moderate low risk are matched to Juvenile Community-Based Resource (JCBR) supervision and those assessed at high or moderate high risk are matched to Juvenile Community-Based Intervention (JCBI) supervision intensity.

		Youth Ris	sk Leve	els and Ler	ngth of	Probation		
		Youth Sta Probati	-	Youth	Discha Probat	rged from ion		e Length obation
Demo- graphic	Cohort	JCBR/ Low/ Moderate Low	JCBI/ High	JCBR/ Low/ Moderate Low	JCBI/ High	No Assessment**	Total Youth	Months
	11-13	62	197	41	37	7	85	7.2
	14-15	166	509	214	178	11	403	9.3
Age Group	16	117	298	235	206	15	456	12.2
	17	121	269	378	334	28	740	13.1
	18	42	52	384	450	17	851	15.8
Sex	Female	130	474	379	361	34	774	12.5
Sex	Male	378	851	873	844	44	1,761	13.4
	Am. Indian	32	74	61	66	1	128	13.5
	Black	50	227	178	229	14	421	15.4
Race	Other	73	216	221	213	15	449	13.8
	White	348	791	782	687	45	1,514	12.3
	Asian/P.I.	5	17	10	10	3	23	13.7
Ethnicity	Hispanic	135	361	355	332	23	710	13.6
Ethnicity Not Hispanic		373	964	897	873	55	1,825	12.9
Total		508	1,325	1,252	1,205	78	2,535	13.1

The Juvenile Court's jurisdicition terminates at the age of majority. The age group for 18 year olds average length of probation includes 18 and 19 year olds.

\*\* No YLS/CMI assessment completed prior to termination of probation due to a brief probation term or successful completion of pre-dispositional services.1 Age at which probation term began.2 Age as of the disposition date for discharge from probation 3 Age as of the disposition date for discharge from probation

> *Of the 2,535 youth exiting probation in 2021, the average length of probation is 13.1 months.*



Sex



Race



Ethnicity



Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (e) juveniles with and without access to counsel in juvenile and adult court, both appointed and retained.

The Juveniles Access to Counsel table displays the percent of juveniles represented by an attorney in criminal cases from county court and district court and juvenile cases from county court and separate juvenile court. Data includes new cases filed and cases transfered from one county to another during calendar year 2021. Cases filed in 2021 may not have reached the sentencing/adjudication stage of proceedings. Cases for juveniles ages 13 and below must be filed and stay in juvenile court. Exceptions are some traffic case types where the county attorney has the option to file in criminal or juvenile court and instances where the offense was discovered after the juvenile was an adult. Therefore, the vast majority of juvenile cases appearing in adult criminal court data are for ages 14 through 17 at the time of the offense. Additionally, criminal court cases include traffic offenses. Age is reported as the age of the juvenile at the time of the offense.

Fewer than half (45%) of the juvenile cases in criminal court are represented by an attorney, while over three fourths (73%) of cases in juvenile court have representation.

	Juvenile Access to Counsel Statewide Criminal Court Cases Juvenile Court Cases													
			Criminal C	ourt Cases			Juvenile C	ourt Cases						
Demographic	Cohort	Total Criminal Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel	Total Juvenile Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel					
	11-13	15	8	7	47%	693	160	533	77%					
Age Croup	14-15	93	41	52	56%	1,728	451	1,277	74%					
Age Group	16	306	180	126	41%	1,223	344	879	72%					
	17	593	327	266	45%	1,161	339	822	71%					
	Female	219	136	83	38%	1,494	462	1,032	69%					
Sex	Male	739	396	343	46%	3,103	774	2,329	75%					
	Unknown	49	24	25	51%	208	58	150	72%					
	Am. Indian	11	10	1	<b>9</b> %	115	37	78	68%					
	Asian/P.I.	19	9	10	53%	36	3	33	92%					
	Black	174	65	109	63%	700	53	647	92%					
Race/Ethnicity	Hispanic	236	124	112	47%	575	184	391	68%					
	White	460	293	167	36%	1,715	573	1,142	67%					
	Other	4	2	2	50%	22	5	17	77%					
	Unknown	103	53	50	49%	1,642	439	1,203	73%					
Total Cases		1,007	556	451	45%	4,805	1,294	3,511	73%					

## Age Group



Sex







## Part (f) Juvenile Rates of Recidivism

#### Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (f) Rates of recidivism.

The recidivism table is based on probation youth that were successfully discharged in 2020 and whether or not the youth received a new adjudication and/or adult conviction within one year of their probation discharge date (2021). See Appendix III § 1-1001 for uniform definitions of recidivism for Nebraska State Probation and Nebraska Problem-Solving Courts. Probation youth recidivism is counted once per youth with the most serious offense reported.

Iuvenile Recidivism Rates

	Javenne Reelation Re			
Demographic	Cohort	Recidivated	Not Recidivated	Percent Recidivated
Sex	Female	77	433	15.1%
	Male	248	815	23.3%
Ethnicity	Not Hispanic	231	935	19.8%
	Hispanic	94	313	23.1%
Race	Asian/P.I.	1	13	7.1%
	Other	57	201	22.1%
	Black	65	181	26.4%
	White	186	802	18.8%
	Am. Indian	16	51	23.9%
Overall State Recidivism		325	1,248	20.7%



Race



#### Ethnicity



# **District Sections**

The report includes data from both adult and juvenile case types, from all of the Nebraska county courts, district courts and separate juvenile courts statewide. Lancaster, Douglas and Sarpy counties hear juvenile cases in a separate juvenile court. All other counties hear juvenile cases in county courts. Where data in the report is broken out into districts, it is reported by districts as shown below.

# Nebraska County Court Districts





#### Nebraska Revised Statute 43-2,111

Each county of this state having a population of seventy-five thousand or more inhabitants shall constitute a separate juvenile court judicial district. There shall be established in each such juvenile court judicial district of this state a separate juvenile court whenever the establishment thereof shall be authorized by a majority of the electors of any such county voting thereon. The court so established shall be a court of record.

#### **District 1**

## Part (a) Juvenile Petitions and Adjudications

Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (a) Juveniles prosecuted in juvenile court under subdivision (1), (2), (3)(b), or (4) of section 43-247, including the total number of filings and adjudications.

The Juvenile Petitions and Adjudications tables report the number of new juvenile cases and corresponding percent of adjudications by case type and demographic group for cases filed in 2021. The data consists of both county court and separate juvenile court cases. Only the most serious offense is counted for cases with multiple charges, pleas or findings. Cases are counted in the Admit column if at least one charge was admitted to in court. Supplemental petitions are not included in this data set. Cases filed in 2021 may not have reached the adjudication stage of proceedings. Juvenile cases where the age is unknown, if any, are included in the report.

			Juven	ile Peti	tions a	nd Adjı Distri		n by C	ase Typ	e			
Age Group		Tra	affic Offe	inse	St	atus Offe	nse	M	lisdemea	nor		Felony	
	Cohort	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated
	14-15	2	1	50%	8	5	62%	41	32	78%	6	5	83%
	16	1	0	0%	13	8	62%	20	17	85%	4	4	100%
oroup	17	4	3	75%	6	3	50%	17	15	88%	3	3	100%
	Female	5	3	60%	13	9	69%	24	20	83%	2	2	100%
Sex	Male	2	1	50%	12	6	50%	54	44	81%	11	10	91%
	Unknown	0	0	0%	2	1	50%	0	0	0%	0	0	0%
	Black	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	2	2	100%	1	1	100%
	Hispanic	3	3	100%	5	2	40%	14	12	86%	0	0	0%
Race/ Ethnicity	White	4	1	25%	8	3	38%	31	26	84%	3	3	100%
Lunnerty	Other	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%
	Unknown	0	0	0%	14	11	79%	30	23	77%	9	8	89%
District Tot	al	7	4	57%	27	16	59%	78	64	82%	13	12	92%

			Ju	uvenile (		nd Adju nty and <i>i</i>		_	е Туре,				
		Tr	affic Offe	nse	St	tatus Offe	nse	N	lisdemear	nor		Felony	
County	Age Group	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated
	14-15	0	0	0%	4	4	100%	9	4	44%	3	2	67%
Gage	16	0	0	0%	2	1	50%	6	6	100%	3	3	100%
	17	0	0	0%	3	2	67%	5	4	80%	2	2	100%
Jefferson	14-15	0	0	0%	1	0	0%	1	1	100%	1	1	100%
Jenerson	16	0	0	0%	2	2	100%	0	0	0%	0	0	0%
	14-15	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%
Johnson	16	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%
	17	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%
Nemaha	14-15	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	3	3	100%	0	0	0%
ivemana	17	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	2	2	100%	0	0	0%
Pawnee	17	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	0	0%	0	0	0%
	14-15	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	5	5	100%	1	1	100%
Richardson	16	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	2	2	100%	0	0	0%
	17	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	3	3	100%	1	1	100%
	14-15	2	1	50%	3	1	33%	22	18	82%	1	1	100%
Saline	16	1	0	0%	7	3	43%	11	8	73%	1	1	100%
	17	4	3	75%	3	1	33%	5	5	100%	0	0	0%
District Total		7	4	57%	27	16	59%	78	64	82%	13	12	92%

			Juve	nile Cas		Adjudi unty an		by Cas	e Type,				
		Tr	affic Offe	nse	St	tatus Offe	nse	Ν	/lisdemear	nor		Felony	
County	Sex	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated
	Female	0	0	0%	4	3	75%	9	5	56%	2	2	100%
Gage	Male	0	0	0%	5	4	80%	11	9	82%	6	5	83%
Jefferson	Female	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%	0	0	0%
Jerrerson	Male	0	0	0%	2	1	50%	1	1	100%	1	1	100%
	Male	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	3	3	100%	0	0	0%
Johnson	Unknown	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%	0	0	0%
Nemaha	Male	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	5	5	100%	0	0	0%
Pawnee	Male	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	0	0%	0	0	0%
Diebendeen	Female	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	3	3	100%	0	0	0%
Richardson	Male	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	7	7	100%	2	2	100%
	Female	5	3	60%	7	4	57%	12	12	100%	0	0	0%
Saline	Male	2	1	50%	5	1	20%	26	19	73%	2	2	100%
	Unknown	0	0	0%	1	0	0%	0	0	0%	0	0	0%
District Total		7	4	57%	27	16	59%	78	64	82%	13	12	92%

	Juvenile Cases and Adjudication by Case Type, County and Race/Ethnicity													
		TI	raffic Offe	nse	St	Status Offense			Misdemeanor			Felony		
County	Race	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated										
Gage	Unknown	0	0	0%	9	7	78%	20	14	70%	8	7	88%	
Jefferson	Unknown	0	0	0%	3	2	67%	1	1	100%	1	1	100%	
lohnoon	White	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	2	2	100%	0	0	0%	
Johnson	Unknown	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%	
	Black	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%	
Nemaha	White	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	2	2	100%	0	0	0%	
	Unknown	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	2	2	100%	0	0	0%	
Pawnee	White	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	0	0%	0	0	0%	
	Black	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	
Richardson	White	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	6	6	100%	1	1	100%	
	Unknown	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	4	4	100%	0	0	0%	
	Black	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%	
	Hispanic	3	3	100%	5	2	40%	14	12	86%	0	0	0%	
Saline	White	4	1	25%	8	3	38%	20	16	80%	2	2	100%	
	Other	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%	
	Unknown	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	2	1	50%	0	0	0%	
District Tota	l	7	4	57%	27	16	59%	78	64	82%	13	12	92%	

## Part (b) Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court - Case Type

*Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (b) Juveniles prosecuted in adult criminal court for felonies, misdemeanors, and traffic offenses. The information shall include juveniles sentenced to terms in adult jails and prisons and juveniles placed on adult probation.* 

Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court tables consist of criminal and traffic cases in county court and criminal cases in district court that reached the point of sentencing in 2021. Cases with multiple charges are counted once per case and reported as the most severe offense. Cases for juveniles ages 13 and below must be filed and stay in juvenile court; however, judges have the authority to allow these cases in criminal court. Therefore, only juveniles ages 14 through 17 at the time of the offense appear in adult criminal court data.

Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court by Case Type District												
		All C	Cases	Traffic		Misde	meanor	Felony				
Demographic	Cohort	Total Cases	% of Total	Total Cases	% of Total for Case Type Group	Total Cases	% of Total for Case Type Group	Total Cases	% of Total for Case Type Group			
Age Group	14-15	1	17%	1	100%	0	-	0	-			
	17	5	83%	0	-	4	100%	1	100%			
Race/Ethnicity	Black	1	17%	0	-	0	-	1	100%			
	White	5	83%	1	100%	4	100%	0	-			
Sex	Female	1	17%	1	100%	0	-	0	-			
	Male	5	83%	0	-	4	100%	1	100%			
District Total		6	100%	1	17%	4	67%	1	17%			

Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court by Case Type, County and Age Group										
County	Age Group			Misdemeanor	Felony					
	Group	#	#	#	#					
Richardson	14-15	1	1	0	0					
Richardson	17	4	0	4	0					
Saline	Saline 17		0	0	1					
District Tota	6	1	4	1						

Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court by Case Type, County and Sex										
County	Sex	All Cases	Traffic	Misdemeanor	Felony					
		#	#	#	#					
Dishardson	Female	1	1	0	0					
Richardson	Male	4	0	4	0					
Saline	Male	1	0	0	1					
District Tota	ıl	6	1	4	1					

Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court by Case Type, County and Race/Ethnicity									
County	Race	All Cases	Traffic	Misdemeanor	Felony				
		#	#	#	#				
Richardson	White	5	1	4	0				
Saline	aline Black		0	0	1				
District Total 6 1 4 1									

#### District 1

#### Part (b) Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court - Sentence

Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (b) Juveniles prosecuted in adult criminal court for felonies, misdemeanors, and traffic offenses. The information shall include juveniles sentenced to terms in adult jails and prisons and juveniles placed on adult probation.

Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court tables include criminal and traffic cases in county court and criminal cases in district court that reached the point of sentencing in 2021. All sentencing types are included where multiple sentencing types occur within a case (ex. probation/jail). Youth who are sentenced to probation in Adult Court are supervised by Adult Probation. Average age at judgment is the mean calculated for each cohort at the time of their judgment date.

Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court by Sentencing District												
		Total C	ases	Р	robation			Jail			Prison	
Demographic	Cohort	Number of Cases	% of Total	Age at Sentencing	Number of Cases	% of Cohort	Age at Sentencing	Number of Cases	% of Cohort	Age at Sentencing	Number of Cases	% of Cohort
And Consum	14 <b>-</b> 15	1	17%	15	1	100%	15	0	0%	15	0	0%
Age Group	17	5	83%	18	4	80%	18	1	20%	18	0	0%
C	Female	1	17%	15	1	100%	15	0	0%	15	0	0%
Sex	Male	5	83%	18	4	80%	18	1	20%	18	0	0%
	White	5	83%	17	5	100%	17	0	0%	17	0	0%
Race/Ethnicity	Black	1	17%	18	0	80%	18	1	20%	18	0	0%
District Total		6	100%	17	5	100%	17	1	0%	17	0	0%

Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court by Sentence, County and Age Group											
County	Age	Total Cases	Probation		Jai	l	Prison				
	Group	Number of Cases	Age at Sentencing	Number of Cases	Age at Sentencing	Number of Cases	Age at Sentencing	Number of Cases			
Richardson	14-15	1	15	1	15	0	15	0			
Richardson	17	4	18	4	18	0	18	0			
Saline	17	1	18	0	18	1	18	0			
District Total		6	17	5	17	1	17	0			

Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court by Sentence, County and Sex											
County	Sex	Total Cases	Probation		Jai	l	Prison				
County	Sex	Number of Cases	Age at Sentencing	Number of Cases	Age at Sentencing	Number of Cases	Age at Sentencing	Number of Cases			
Richardson	Female	1	15	1	15	0	15	0			
Richardson	Male	4	18	4	18	0	18	0			
Saline	Male	1	18	0	18	1	18	0			
District Total		6	17	5	17	1	17	0			

	Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court by Sentence, County and Race/Ethnicity											
Country Door	Total Cases	Proba	tion	Jai	l	Prison						
County	Race	Number of Cases	Age at Sentencing	Number of Cases	Age at Sentencing	Number of Cases	Age at Sentencing	Number of Cases				
Richardson	White	5	17	5	17	0	17	0				
Saline	Black	1	18	0	18	1	18	0				
District Tota	l	6	17	5	17	1	17	0				
#### **District 1**

#### Part (c) Motions to Transfer Cases and Cases Transferred

*Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (c) The number of motions to transfer and the number of cases transferred to adult court from juvenile court and from adult criminal court to juvenile court.* 

The data represents motions to transfer filed in 2021 and transfers between court types which occurred in 2021. Therefore, the exact same subset of cases may not be represented in both columns. There can be multiple motions to transfer within a single case which, if filed in the same calendar year, would all be included in the counts below. Some cases may have a motion to transfer, but no transfer actually occurs.

	Motions to Transfer Courts and Transfers District												
		Juveni	le Court	t to Adult C	ourt	Adu	lt Court	to Juvenile Cour	t				
Demographic	Cohort	Motion to Transfer Juv to Adult	to % of ansfer uv to		% of Total	Motion to Transfer Adult to Juv	% of Total	Transfers Adult to Juv	% of Total				
A ma Circuin	16	0	-	0	-	1	100%	0	-				
Age Group	17	1	100%	0	-	0	-	0	-				
Deee (Etherisity	White	0	-	0	-	1	100%	0	-				
Race/Ethnicity	Unknown	1	-	0	-	0	-	0	-				
Sex	Male	1	100%	0	-	1	100%	0	-				
District Total		1	-	0	-	1	-	0	-				

I	Motions to Transfer Courts and Transfers, County and Age Group											
		-	e Court to It Court	Adult Court to Juvenile Court								
County	Age Group	Motion to Transfer		Motion to Transfer	Cases Transfered							
Johnson	17	1	0	0	0							
Otoe	16	0	0	1	0							
District T	otal	1	0	1	0							

Mations to Transfer Courts and Transfers

N	Motions to Transfer Courts and Transfers, County and Sex											
		-	e Court to It Court	Adult Court to Juvenile Court								
County	Sex	Motion to Transfer	Cases Transfered	Motion to Transfer	Cases Transfered							
Johnson	Male	1	0	0	0							
Otoe	Male	0	0	1	0							
District T	otal	1	0	1	0							

	County and Race/Ethnicity											
			e Court to It Court	Adult Court to Juvenile Court								
County	Race/ Ethnicity	Motion to Transfer	Cases Transfered	Motion to Transfer	Cases Transfered							
Johnson	Unknown	1	0	0	0							
Otoe	White	0	0	1	0							
District Total		1	0	1	0							

## Motions to Transfer Courts and Transfers,

#### **District 1**

#### Part (d) Juvenile Probation Activity

Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (d) Juveniles placed on juvenile probation, the number of juveniles on probation in out-of-home care, the number of juveniles completing probation, the number of motions to revoke probation and probation revocations, and the average length of time on probation.

The Juvenile Probation Activity - Youth data is provided from the Administrative Office of Probation's case management system, Nebraska Probation Application for Community Safety (NPACS). The table reports the number and percent of youth with various activity during the year. Youth are counted once in each category, regardless of the number of out-of-home placements, motions to revoke, or cases they may have in the year. Age groups are calculated specific to the activity as footnoted.

	Juvenile Probation Activity District									
Demographic	Cohort		Placed on Probation		-of- ne ment	Satisfactory Release				
		# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total			
	11-13	23	17%	7	19%	10	8%			
	14-15	43	31%	13	35%	52	41%			
Age Group	16	25	18%	6	16%	14	11%			
	17	35	25%	11	30%	36	29%			
	18	13	9%	0	0%	14	11%			
Cav	Female	31	22%	9	24%	36	29%			
Sex	Male	108	78%	28	76%	90	71%			
	Black	8	6%	1	3%	5	4%			
	Indian	4	3%	2	5%	5	4%			
Race	Other	22	16%	6	16%	12	10%			
	Pacific Islander	1	1%	0	0%	2	2%			
	White	104	75%	28	76%	102	81%			
Ethniait.	Hispanic	28	20%	10	27%	10	8%			
Ethnicity	Not Hispanic	111	80%	27	73%	116	92%			
District Total		139	100%	37	100%	126	100%			

1. Age at which the probation term began. 2. Age at the first admission to out-of-home placement. 3. Age as of the file date for motion to revoke. 4. Age as of the disposition date for motion to revoke. 5. Age as of the disposition date for motion.

	Juv	enile P	robati Distric		ivity		
County	Age	Place Proba		Out hor Place	ne	Satisfa Rele	-
	Group	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total
	11-13	1	1%	1	3%	0	0%
Fillmore	14-15	0	0%	0	0%	2	2%
Fillmore	17	0	0%	1	3%	1	1%
	18	0	0%	0	0%	1	1%
	11-13	12	9%	4	11%	4	3%
	14-15	10	7%	4	11%	12	10%
Gage	16	8	6%	2	5%	8	6%
	17	18	13%	8	22%	12	10%
	18	6	4%	0	0%	6	5%
	14-15	4	3%	0	0%	8	6%
Jefferson	16	2	1%	0	0%	0	0%
	17	4	3%	0	0%	4	3%
	11-13	4	3%	0	0%	2	2%
Johnson	16	4	3%	2	5%	0	0%
	18	2	1%	0	0%	2	2%
	11-13	4	3%	2	5%	0	0%
	14-15	8	6%	2	5%	6	5%
Nemaha	16	0	0%	0	0%	2	2%
	17	2	1%	0	0%	2	2%
	18	0	0%	0	0%	2	2%
	14-15	3	2%	1	3%	2	2%
0.0	16	3	2%	0	0%	0	0%
Otoe	17	1	1%	0	0%	3	2%
	18	3	2%	0	0%	3	2%
	11-13	0	0%	0	0%	4	3%
Pawnee	14-15	0	0%	0	0%	8	6%
	17	2	1%	0	0%	4	3%
Dieberrie	11-13	2	1%	0	0%	0	0%
Richardson	14-15	4	3%	2	5%	6	5%

Juvenile Probation Activity District											
County	Age	Place Proba		Out hor Place	me	Satisfactory Release					
	Group	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total				
	16	2	1%	0	0%	2	2%				
Richardson	17	2	1%	0	0%	0	0%				
	18	2	1%	0	0%	0	0%				
	14-15	14	10%	4	11%	2	2%				
Saline	16	6	4%	2	5%	2	2%				
	17	6	4%	2	5%	8	6%				
Thaver	14-15	0	0%	0	0%	6	5%				
Thayer	17	0	0%	0	0%	2	2%				
District Tota	ıl	139	1 <b>00</b> %	37	100%	126	100%				

	Juv	enile Pi	robatio Distric		vity		
County	Sex	Place Proba		Out hor Place	ne	Satisfactory Release	
		# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total
Fillmore	Female	0	0%	0	0%	1	1%
Fillmore	Male	1	1%	2	5%	3	2%
6.070	Female	18	13%	8	22%	24	1 <b>9</b> %
Gage	Male	36	26%	10	27%	18	14%
Lofferreen	Female	4	3%	0	0%	4	3%
Jefferson	Male	6	4%	0	0%	8	6%
Johnson	Male	10	7%	2	5%	4	3%
Nemaha	Male	14	10%	4	11%	12	10%
0.	Female	1	1%	1	3%	1	1%
Otoe	Male	9	6%	0	0%	7	6%
D	Female	0	0%	0	0%	2	2%
Pawnee	Male	2	1%	0	0%	14	11%
Diskandaan	Female	2	1%	0	0%	2	2%
Richardson	Male	10	7%	2	5%	6	5%
Calina	Female	6	4%	0	0%	2	2%
Saline	Male	20	14%	8	22%	10	8%
Thayer	Male	0	0%	0	0%	8	6%
District Tota	l	139	100%	37	100%	126	100%

	Juvenil		ation /	Activity	1		
County	Race	Place Proba		Out hor Place	ne	Satisfa Rele	
		# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total
	Black	1	1%	1	3%	0	0%
Fillmore	White	0	0%	1	3%	3	2%
	Indian	0	0%	0	0%	1	1%
	Other	6	4%	2	5%	2	2%
	White	46	33%	16	43%	32	25%
Gage	Black	2	1%	0	0%	4	3%
	Indian	0	0%	0	0%	2	2%
	Pacific Islander	0	0%	0	0%	2	2%
Jefferson	White	10	7%	0	0%	12	10%
I. I	White	8	6%	2	5%	4	3%
Johnson	Other	2	1%	0	0%	0	0%
	White	12	9%	4	11%	8	6%
Nemaha	Other	0	0%	0	0%	4	3%
	Black	2	1%	0	0%	0	0%
	White	8	6%	1	3%	7	6%
Otoe	Black	1	1%	0	0%	1	1%
	Pacific Islander	1	1%	0	0%	0	0%
Designed	Other	0	0%	0	0%	2	2%
Pawnee	White	2	1%	0	0%	14	11%
Diskandasu	White	10	7%	2	5%	8	6%
Richardson	Black	2	1%	0	0%	0	0%
	Indian	4	3%	2	5%	2	2%
Saline	Other	14	10%	4	11%	4	3%
	White	8	6%	2	5%	6	5%
Thayer	White	0	0%	0	0%	8	6%
District Tota	l	139	100%	37	100%	126	100%

	Juvenile Probation Activity District									
County	Ethnicity		Placed on Probation		Out-of- home Placement		Satisfactory Release			
		# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total			
Fillmore	Not Hispanic	1	1%	2	5%	4	3%			
C	Hispanic	10	7%	6	16%	4	3%			
Gage	Not Hispanic	44	32%	12	32%	38	30%			
Jefferson	Not Hispanic	10	7%	0	0%	12	10%			
Labrace	Hispanic	2	1%	0	0%	2	2%			
Johnson	Not Hispanic	8	6%	2	5%	2	2%			
Nemaha	Not Hispanic	14	10%	4	11%	12	10%			
Otoe	Not Hispanic	10	7%	1	3%	8	6%			
Pawnee	Not Hispanic	2	1%	0	0%	16	13%			
<b>D</b> iele en de en	Hispanic	2	1%	0	0%	0	0%			
Richardson	Not Hispanic	10	7%	2	5%	8	6%			
Coline	Hispanic	14	10%	4	11%	4	3%			
Saline	Not Hispanic	12	9%	4	11%	8	6%			
Thayer	Not Hispanic	0	0%	0	0%	8	6%			
District Tota	l	139	100%	37	100%	126	100%			

#### **District 1**

### Part (d) Juvenile Probation - Youth Risk Level and Length of Probation

Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (d) Juveniles placed on juvenile probation, the number of juveniles on probation in out-of-home care, the number of juveniles completing probation, the number of motions to revoke probation and probation revocations, and the average length of time on probation.

Youth Level of Service/Case Management Inventory (YLS/CMI) is an assessment tool used to systematically measure risk for recidivism and appropriate supervision intensity for youth on probation. The following table shows the classification results of youth that entered into Probation in 2021, and another set of youth that discharged from Probation in 2021. Youth that are assessed at low or moderate low risk are matched to JCBR supervision and those assessed at high or moderate high risk are matched to JCBR supervision intensity

	Youth Risk Levels and Length of Probation District										
		Youth Sta Probati	-	Youth	Discharged	Average Length of Probation					
Demo- graphic	Cohort	JCBR/ Low/ Moderate Low	JCBI/ High	JCBR/ Low	JCBI/ High/ Moderate High	No Assessment**	Total Youth	Avg Length of Probation			
	11-13	12	11	6	-	-	6	9.0			
	14-15	19	24	22	8	-	30	11.3			
Age Group	16	6	19	12	10	-	22	14.4			
	17	12	23	13	15	-	28	16.6			
	18	7	6	26	25	2	53	10.8			
Cav	Female	11	20	24	20	-	44	12.9			
Sex	Male	45	63	55	38	2	95	12.4			
	Am. Indian	-	4	2	3	-	5	8.2			
	Asian/P.I.	-	1	2	-	-	2	15.0			
Race	Black	2	6	2	7	-	9	10.6			
	White	42	62	65	44	2	111	13.1			
	Other	12	10	8	4	-	12	10.0			
Ethnisity	Hispanic	14	14	6	4	-	10	9.2			
Ethnicity	Not Hispanic	42	69	73	54	2	129	12.8			
District Tota	al	56	83	79	58	2	139	12.6			

					l Length o d Age Gro	f Probation oup		
		Youth Sta Probati	-	Youth	Discharged	from Probation		ge Length robation
County	Age Group	JCBR/ Low/ Moderate Low	JCBI/ High	JCBR/ Low	JCBI/ High/ Moderate High	No Assessment**	Total Youth	Avg Length of Probation
	11-13	-	1	-	-	-	0	-
Cilling a sec	14-15	-	-	-	1	-	1	9.0
Fillmore	16	-	-	-	1	-	1	18.0
	18	-	-	1	2	-	3	8.0
	11-13	8	4	2	-	-	2	6.0
	14-15	6	4	8	-	-	8	12.2
Gage	16	-	8	-	-	-	0	-
	17	6	12	8	4	-	12	18.2
	18	4	2	8	10	2	20	12.4
	14-15	2	2	4	2	-	6	11.7
	16	2	-	-	4	-	4	18.0
Jefferson	17	-	4	-	4	-	4	24.5
	18	-	-	-	2	-	2	8.0
	11-13	2	2	2	-	-	2	9.0
Johnson	16	2	2	-	-	-	0	-
	18	2	-	-	2	-	2	12.0
	11-13	-	4	-	-	-	0	-
	14-15	2	6	4	2	-	6	10.0
Nemaha	16	-	-	4	-	-	4	7.0
	17	-	2	-	4	-	4	16.0
	18	-	-	4	2	-	6	7.0
	14-15	1	2	-	1	-	1	6.0
	16	-	3	-	1	-	1	10.0
Otoe	17	-	1	1	1	-	2	5.5
	18	1	2	1	3	-	4	4.2
	11-13	-	-	2	-	-	2	12.0
	14-15	-	-	2	-	-	2	7.0
Pawnee	16	-	-	2	2	-	4	25.5
	17	2	-	-	-	-	0	-

					l Length o d Age Gro	f Probation oup		
		Youth Sta Probati	-	Youth	Discharged 1	from Probation		ge Length robation
County	Age Group	JCBR/ Low/ Moderate Low	JCBI/ High	JCBR/ Low	JCBI/ High/ Moderate High	No Assessment**	Total Youth	Avg Length of Probation
Pawnee	18	-	-	4	-	-	4	11.0
	11-13	2	-	-	-	-	0	-
	14-15	-	4	2	-	-	2	5.0
Richardson	16	-	2	4	-	-	4	6.5
	17	-	2	-	-	-	0	-
	18	-	2	2	2	-	4	17.5
	14-15	8	6	-	2	-	2	16.0
Saline	16	2	4	-	-	-	0	-
Saune	17	4	2	4	2	-	6	12.3
	18	-	-	4	4	-	8	9.5
	14-15	-	-	2	-	-	2	20.0
Thayer	16	-	-	2	2	-	4	15.0
	18	-	-	2	-	-	2	17.0
District Tota	l	56	83	79	60	2	141	12.5

		Youth		vels and County	Length of Pr and Sex	obation		
		Youth Sta Probati	-	Youth	n Discharged fr	om Probation		e Length of obation
County	Sex	JCBR/Low/ Moderate Low	JCBI/ High	JCBR/ Low	JCBI/High/ Moderate High	No Assessment**	Total Youth	Avg Length of Probation
Fillmore	Female	-	-	-	1	-	1	9.0
Fillmore	Male	-	1	1	2	-	3	10.0
Gage	Female	6	12	14	8	-	22	13.7
Gage	Male	18	18	12	4	2	18	13.9
Jefferson	Female	2	2	-	6	-	6	21.3
Jenerson	Male	2	4	4	6	-	10	12.8
Johnson	Male	6	4	2	2	-	4	10.5
Nemaha	Female	-	-	2	-	-	2	8.0
INEIIIdiid	Male	2	12	10	8	-	18	9.9
Otoe	Female	1	-	-	1	-	1	10.0
Olde	Male	1	8	2	5	-	7	4.9
Pawnee	Female	-	-	2	-	-	2	7.0
rawnee	Male	2	-	8	2	-	10	17.0
Richardson	Female	-	2	2	-	-	2	6.0
Kicharusoff	Male	2	8	6	2	-	8	11.8
Saline	Female	2	4	4	4	-	8	9.5
Satifie	Male	12	8	4	4	-	8	13.2
Thayer	Male	-	-	6	2	-	8	16.8
District Total		56	83	79	57	2	138	12.6

	٢	outh Risk			ength of F nd Race	Probation		
		Youth Sta Probati	-	Youth	Discharged 1	from Probation		ge Length robation
County	Race	JCBR/ Low/ Moderate Low	JCBI/ High	JCBR/ Low	JCBI/ High/ Moderate High	No Assessment**	Total Youth	Avg Length of Probation
	Am. Indian	-	-	-	1	-	1	9.0
Fillmore	Black	-	1	-	-	-	0	-
	White	-	-	1	2	-	3	10.0
	Am. Indian	-	-	2	-	-	2	7.0
	Asian/P.I.	-	-	2	-	-	2	15.0
Gage	Black	2	-	2	2	-	4	17.5
	White	18	28	18	10	2	30	14.3
	Other	4	2	2	-	-	2	4.0
Jefferson	White	4	6	4	12	-	16	16.0
Johnson	White	6	2	2	2	-	4	10.5
Johnson	Other	-	2	-	-	-	0	-
	Black	-	2	-	2	-	2	6.0
Nemaha	White	2	10	10	4	-	14	9.3
	Other	-	-	2	2	-	4	13.0
	Asian/P.I.	-	1	-	-	-	0	-
Otoe	Black	-	1	-	1	-	1	3.0
	White	2	6	2	5	-	7	5.9
Pawnee	White	2	-	8	2	-	10	17.0
ravillee	Other	-	-	2	-	-	2	7.0
Richardson	Black	-	2	-	2	-	2	5.0
Richaruson	White	2	8	8	-	-	8	12.0
	Am. Indian	-	4	-	2	-	2	9.0
Saline	White	6	2	6	4	-	10	11.8
	Other	8	6	2	2	-	4	11.5
Thayer	White	-	-	6	2	-	8	16.8
District Tota	l	56	83	79	57	2	138	12.6

					ngth of Pro Ethnicity	bation		
		Youth Sta Probati	-	Youth	n Discharged fi	rom Probation		e Length of obation
County	Ethnicity	JCBR/Low/ Moderate Low	JCBI/ High	JCBR/ Low	JCBI/High/ Moderate High	No Assessment**	Total Youth	Avg Length of Probation
Fillmore	Not Hispanic	-	1	1	3	-	4	9.8
Com	Hispanic	6	4	4	-	-	4	5.5
Gage	Not Hispanic	18	26	22	14	2	38	14.6
Jefferson	Not Hispanic	4	6	4	12	-	16	16.0
lahuaan	Hispanic	-	2	-	2	-	2	12.0
Johnson	Not Hispanic	6	2	2	-	-	2	9.0
Nemaha	Not Hispanic	2	12	12	8	-	20	9.7
Otoe	Not Hispanic	2	8	2	6	-	8	5.5
Pawnee	Not Hispanic	2	-	10	2	-	12	15.3
Dishardaan	Hispanic	-	2	-	-	-	0	-
Richardson	Not Hispanic	2	8	8	2	-	10	10.6
Calina	Hispanic	8	6	2	2	-	4	11.5
Saline	Not Hispanic	6	6	6	6	-	12	11.3
Thayer	Not Hispanic	-	-	6	2	-	8	16.8
District Total		56	83	79	59	2	140	12.6

#### Part (e) Juveniles Access to Counsel

*Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (e) juveniles with and without access to counsel in juvenile and adult court, both appointed and retained.* 

The Juveniles Access to Counsel table displays the percent of juveniles represented by an attorney in criminal cases from county court and district court and juvenile cases from county court and separate juvenile court. Data includes new cases filed and cases transferred from one county to another during calendar year 2021. Cases filed in 2021 may not have reached the sentencing/adjudication stage of proceedings. Cases for juveniles ages 13 and below must be filed and stay in juvenile court.

			Juvenile	Access to District		el			
			Criminal C	ourt Cases			Juvenile C	ourt Cases	
Demographic	Cohort	Total Criminal Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel	Total Juvenile Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel
	11-13	-	-	-	-	40	20	20	50%
Are Croup	14-15	2	2	0	0%	77	31	46	60%
Age Group	16	7	6	1	14%	53	25	28	<i>53%</i>
	17	18	15	3	17%	42	26	16	38%
	Female	-	-	-	-	67	39	28	42%
Sex	Male	23	20	3	1 <i>3%</i>	141	59	82	58%
	Unknown	4	3	1	25%	4	4	0	0%
	Am. Indian	-	-	-	-	1	0	1	1 <b>00</b> %
	Black	2	2	0	0%	4	2	2	50%
Deee (Ethnicity	Hispanic	-	-	-	-	34	15	19	56%
Race/Ethnicity	White	18	15	3	17%	66	38	28	42%
	Other	-	-	-	-	1	1	0	0%
	Unknown	7	6	1	14%	106	46	60	57%
District Total		27	23	4	15%	212	102	110	52%

		Juvenile Access to Counsel by County and Age Group   Criminal Court Cases   Total Count Count Counsel # Without Counsel # With Counsel % With Counsel Total Juvenile Count Counsel # Without Counsel % With Counsel   - - - 24 11 13 54%   - - - 22 7 15 68%   2 2 0 0% 17 5 12 71%   6 5 1 17% 15 6 9 60%   1 1 0 0% 5 2 3 60%   1 1 0 0% 5 2 3 60%   1 1 0 0% 5 2 3 60%   - - - 3 3 0 0%   1 1 0 0% 5 2 0 0%   - - - 3							
			Criminal C	ourt Cases			Juvenile C	ourt Cases	
County	Age Group	Criminal Court	Without			Juvenile Court	Without		
	11-13	-	-	-	-	24	11	13	54%
Com	14-15	-	-	-	-	22	7	15	68%
Gage	16	2	2	0	0%	17	5	12	71%
	17	6	5	1	17%	15	6	9	60%
	14-15	1	1	0	0%	5	2	3	60%
Jefferson	16	-	-	-	-	5	3	2	40%
	17	4	3	1	25%	2	1	1	50%
	11-13	-	-	-	-	3	3	0	0%
labasaa	14-15	-	-	-	-	1	1	0	0%
Johnson	16	-	-	-	-	2	2	0	0%
	17	-	-	-	-	2	1	1	50%
	11-13	-	-	-	-	2	1	1	50%
Nemaha	14-15	-	-	-	-	3	2	1	33%
	17	-	-	-	-	3	2	1	33%
Otoe	16	1	0	1	100%	-	-	-	-
	16	3	3	0	0%	-	-	-	-
Pawnee	17	1	1	0	0%	1	1	0	0%
	11-13	-	-	-	-	6	4	2	33%
Dishavdaan	14-15	1	1	0	0%	9	3	6	67%
Richardson	16	1	1	0	0%	3	2	1	33%
	17	7	6	1	14%	5	3	2	40%
	11-13	-	-	-	-	4	1	3	75%
Saline	14-15	-	-	-	-	37	16	21	57%
Saline	16	-	-	-	-	26	13	13	50%
	17	-	-	-	-	14	12	2	14%
Thayer	11-13	-	-	-	-	1	0	1	100%
District Tota	ι	27	23	4	15%	212	102	110	52%

				e Access County a		sel			
			Criminal C	ourt Cases			Juvenile C	ourt Cases	
County	Sex	Total Criminal Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel	Total Juvenile Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel
	Female	-	-	-	-	32	13	19	59%
Gage	Male	6	5	1	17%	46	16	30	65%
	Unknown	2	2	0	0%	-	-	-	-
	Female	-	-	-	-	2	1	1	50%
Jefferson	Male	3	3	0	0%	8	3	5	62%
	Unknown	2	1	1	50%	2	2	0	0%
Johnson	Male	-	-	-	-	7	6	1	14%
Johnson	Unknown	-	-	-	-	1	1	0	0%
Nemaha	Male	-	-	-	-	8	5	3	38%
Otoe	Male	1	0	1	100%	-	-	-	-
Pawnee	Male	4	4	0	0%	1	1	0	0%
Richardson	Female	-	-	-	-	5	4	1	20%
Richardson	Male	9	8	1	11%	18	8	10	56%
	Female	-	-	-	-	28	21	7	25%
Saline	Male	-	-	-	-	52	20	32	62%
	Unknown	-	-	-	-	1	1	0	0%
Thayer	Male	-	-	-	-	1	0	1	100%
District Tota	l	27	23	4	15%	212	102	110	52%

			Juvenile by Count		to Coun: ace/Ethn				
			Criminal C	ourt Cases			Juvenile C	ourt Cases	
County	Race/ Ethnicity	Total Criminal Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel	Total Juvenile Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel
	Black	1	1	0	0%	1	0	1	100%
Gage	White	4	3	1	25%	-	-	-	-
	Unknown	3	3	0	0%	77	29	48	62%
Jefferson	White	3	3	0	0%	-	-	-	-
jerrerson	Unknown	2	1	1	50%	12	6	6	50%
Johnson	White	-	-	-	-	4	4	0	0%
Johnson	Unknown	-	-	-	-	4	3	1	25%
	Black	-	-	-	-	1	1	0	0%
Nemaha	White	-	-	-	-	4	3	1	25%
	Unknown	-	-	-	-	3	1	2	67%
Otoe	White	1	0	1	100%	-	-	-	-
	Black	1	1	0	0%	-	-	-	-
Pawnee	White	1	1	0	0%	1	1	0	0%
	Unknown	2	2	0	0%	-	-	-	-
	Black	-	-	-	-	1	0	1	100%
Richardson	White	9	8	1	11%	15	7	8	53%
	Unknown	-	-	-	-	7	5	2	29%
	Am. Indian	-	-	-	-	1	0	1	100%
	Black	-	-	-	-	1	1	0	0%
Calling	Hispanic	-	-	-	-	34	15	19	56%
Saline	White	-	-	-	-	41	23	18	44%
	Other	-	-	-	-	1	1	0	0%
	Unknown	-	-	-	-	3	2	1	33%
Thayer	White	-	-	-	-	1	0	1	100%
District Tota	l	27	23	4	15%	212	102	110	52%

#### **District 2**

#### Part (a) Juvenile Petitions and Adjudications

Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (a) Juveniles prosecuted in juvenile court under subdivision (1), (2), (3)(b), or (4) of section 43-247, including the total number of filings and adjudications.

The Juvenile Petitions and Adjudications tables report the number of new juvenile cases and corresponding percent of adjudications by case type and demographic group for cases filed in 2021. The data consists of both county court and separate juvenile court cases. Only the most serious offense is counted for cases with multiple charges, pleas or findings. Cases are counted in the Admit column if at least one charge was admitted to in court. Supplemental petitions are not included in this data set. Cases filed in 2021 may not have reached the adjudication stage of proceedings. Juvenile cases where the age is unknown, if any, are included in the report.

			Juven	ile Peti	tions a	nd Adjı Distri		n by C	ase Typ	e			
		Tr	affic Offe	ense	St	atus Offe	nse	Μ	lisdemea	nor		Felony	
Demo- graphic	Cohort	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated
	14-15	0	0	0%	20	7	35%	55	22	40%	12	6	50%
Age Group	16	1	0	0%	18	7	39%	58	23	40%	6	5	83%
0.000	17	1	1	100%	7	1	14%	65	20	31%	8	5	62%
	Female	0	0	0%	22	5	23%	50	17	34%	6	4	67%
Sex	Male	2	1	50%	23	10	43%	124	47	38%	20	12	60%
	Unknown	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	4	1	25%	0	0	0%
	Asian/P.I.	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	0	0%	0	0	0%
	Black	0	0	0%	4	0	0%	34	10	29%	11	5	45%
Race/	Hispanic	0	0	0%	1	0	0%	5	1	20%	1	1	100%
Ethnicity	White	0	0	0%	18	8	44%	111	42	38%	5	2	40%
	Other	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	2	0	0%	2	2	100%
	Unknown	2	1	50%	22	7	32%	25	12	48%	7	6	86%
District Tot	tal	2	1	50%	45	15	33%	178	65	37%	26	16	62%

				Juvenile		-	udicatio d Age Gr	_	ase Type	,			
		Tr	affic Offe	nse	St	atus Offe	nse	N	lisdemear	nor		Felony	
County	Age Group	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated									
	14-15	0	0	0%	1	0	0%	1	0	0%	2	2	100%
Cass	16	0	0	0%	2	2	100%	6	4	67%	0	0	0%
	17	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	3	2	67%	0	0	0%
	14-15	0	0	0%	6	2	33%	2	0	0%	1	1	100%
Otoe	16	1	0	0%	1	0	0%	7	3	43%	1	1	100%
	17	1	1	100%	1	0	0%	6	3	50%	1	0	0%
	14-15	0	0	0%	13	5	38%	52	22	42%	9	3	33%
Sarpy	16	0	0	0%	15	5	33%	45	16	36%	5	4	80%
	17	0	0	0%	6	1	17%	56	15	27%	7	5	71%
District T	otal	2	1	50%	45	15	33%	178	65	37%	26	16	62%

			Juv	venile C		nd Adju County a		-	ase Type	e,			
		Ті	raffic Offe	nse	St	tatus Offe	nse	Ν	/lisdemear	nor		Felony	
County	Sex	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated
C	Female	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	5	3	60%	0	0	0%
Cass	Male	0	0	0%	3	2	67%	5	3	60%	2	2	100%
Otaa	Female	0	0	0%	4	0	0%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%
Otoe	Male	2	1	50%	4	2	50%	14	5	36%	3	2	67%
	Female	0	0	0%	18	5	28%	44	13	30%	6	4	67%
Sarpy	Male	0	0	0%	16	6	38%	105	39	37%	15	8	53%
	Unknown	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	4	1	25%	0	0	0%
District	Total	2	1	50%	45	15	33%	178	65	37%	26	16	62%

			Juv			-	dicatior ace/Ethi	_	ise Type	.,			
		Tr	raffic Offe	nse	St	tatus Offe	nse	Ν	/lisdemear	or		Felony	
County	Race	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated									
Carr	White	0	0	0%	2	2	100%	3	2	67%	0	0	0%
Cass	Unknown	0	0	0%	1	0	0%	7	4	57%	2	2	100%
Otoe	Unknown	2	1	50%	8	2	25%	15	6	40%	3	2	67%
	Asian/P.I.	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	0	0%	0	0	0%
	Black	0	0	0%	4	0	0%	34	10	29%	11	5	45%
Commu	Hispanic	0	0	0%	1	0	0%	5	1	20%	1	1	100%
Sarpy	White	0	0	0%	16	6	38%	108	40	37%	5	2	40%
	Other	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	2	0	0%	2	2	100%
	Unknown	0	0	0%	13	5	38%	3	2	67%	2	2	100%
District	Total	2	1	50%	45	15	33%	178	65	37%	26	62%	

#### **District 2**

#### Part (b) Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court - Case Type

*Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (b) Juveniles prosecuted in adult criminal court for felonies, misdemeanors, and traffic offenses. The information shall include juveniles sentenced to terms in adult jails and prisons and juveniles placed on adult probation.* 

Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court tables consist of criminal and traffic cases in county court and criminal cases in district court that reached the point of sentencing in 2021. Cases with multiple charges are counted once per case and reported as the most severe offense. Cases for juveniles ages 13 and below must be filed and stay in juvenile court; however, judges have the authority to allow these cases in criminal court. Therefore, only juveniles ages 14 through 17 at the time of the offense appear in adult criminal court data.

Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court by Case Type District									
	All C	Cases	Fel	lony					
Demographic	Cohort	Total Cases	% of Total	Total Cases	% of Total for Case Type Group				
Age Group	16	2	100%	2	100%				
Race/Ethnicity	Black	1	50%	1	50%				
	White	1	50%	1	50%				
Sex	Male	2	100%	2	100%				
District Total		2	100%	2	100%				

Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court by Case Type, County and Age Group								
County	Age Group	All Cases	Traffic	Misdemeanor	Felony			
	Group	#	#	#	#			
Sarpy	Sarpy 16		0	0	2			
District	Total	2	0	0	2			

Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court by Case Type, County and Sex									
County	Sex	All Cases	Traffic	Misdemeanor	Felony				
		#	#	#	#				
Sarpy	Male	2	0	0	2				
District	Total	2	0	0	2				

Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court by Case Type, County and Race/Ethnicity						
County	Race	All Cases	Felony			
		#	#			
Sarov	Black	1	1			
Sarpy	White	1	1			
District	Total	2	2			

#### District 2

#### Part (b) Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court - Sentence

Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (b) Juveniles prosecuted in adult criminal court for felonies, misdemeanors, and traffic offenses. The information shall include juveniles sentenced to terms in adult jails and prisons and juveniles placed on adult probation.

Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court tables include criminal and traffic cases in county court and criminal cases in district court that reached the point of sentencing in 2021. All sentencing types are included where multiple sentencing types occur within a case (ex. probation/jail). Youth who are sentenced to probation in Adult Court are supervised by Adult Probation. Average age at judgment is the mean calculated for each cohort at the time of their judgment date.

Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court by Sentencing District												
		Total C	ases		Prob	ation		Ja	il		Prison	
Demographic	Cohort	Number of Cases	% of Total	Average Age at Judgement	Number of Cases	% of Cohort	Average Age at Judgement	Number of Cases	% of Cohort	Average Age at Judgement	Number of Cases	% of Cohort
Age Group	16	2	100%	18	1	50%	18	0	0%	18	1	50%
Sex	Male	2	100%	18	1	50%	18	0	0%	18	1	50%
Deee (Etherisite	Black	1	50%	18	1	50%	18	0	0%	18	0	50%
Race/Ethnicity	White	1	50%	18	0	50%	18	0	0%	18	1	50%
District Total		2	100%	18	1	50%	18	0	0%	18	1	50%

	Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court by Sentence, County and Age Group										
	A ==	Total Cases	Proba	tion	Jai	l	Prison				
County	County Age Group	Number of Cases	Average Age at Judgement	Number of Cases	Average Age at Judgement	Number of Cases	Average Age at Judgement	Number of Cases			
Sarpy	16	2	18	1	18	0	18	1			
District	Total	2	18	1	18	0	18	1			

	Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court by Sentence, County and Sex											
		Total Cases	Proba	tion	Jai	l	Prison					
County Sex		Number of Cases	Average Age at Judgement	Number of Cases	Average Age at Judgement	Number of Cases	Average Age at Judgement	Number of Cases				
Sarpy	Male	2	18	1	18	0	18	1				
District	District Total 2			1	18	0	18	1				

	Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court by Sentence, County and Race/Ethnicity										
		Total Cases	Proba	tion	Jai	l	Prison				
County Race		Number of Cases	Average Age at Judgement	Number of Cases	Average Age at Judgement	Number of Cases	Average Age at Judgement	Number of Cases			
Saray	Black	1	18	1	18	0	18	0			
Sarpy	White	1	18	0	18	0	18	1			
District	Total	2	18	1	18	0	18	1			

#### District 2

#### Part (c) Motions to Transfer Cases and Cases Transferred

*Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (c) The number of motions to transfer and the number of cases transferred to adult court from juvenile court and from adult criminal court to juvenile court.* 

The data represents motions to transfer filed in 2021 and transfers between court types which occurred in 2021. Therefore, the exact same subset of cases may not be represented in both columns. There can be multiple motions to transfer within a single case which, if filed in the same calendar year, would all be included in the counts below. Some cases may have a motion to transfer, but no transfer actually occurs.

Motions to Transfer Courts and Transfers District										
		Juveni	le Court	t to Adult C	ourt	Adu	lt Court	to Juvenile Cour	t	
Demographic	Cohort	Motion to Transfer Juv to Adult	% of Total	Transfers Juv to Adult	% of Total	Motion to Transfer Adult to Juv	% of Total	Transfers Adult to Juv	% of Total	
	14-15	0	-	0	-	1	12%	0	-	
Age Group	16	0	-	0	-	4	50%	1	50%	
	17	1	100%	0	-	3	38%	1	50%	
	Black	0	-	0	-	1	17%	0	-	
Race/Ethnicity	White	1	100%	0	-	5	83%	2	100%	
	Unknown	0	-	0	-	2	-	0	-	
	Female	0	-	0	-	1	14%	1	50%	
Sex	Male	1	100%	0	-	6	86%	1	50%	
	Unknown	0	-	0	-	1	-	0	-	
District Total		1	-	0	-	8	-	2	-	

County and Age Group									
		-	e Court to It Court	Adult Court to Juvenile Court					
County	Age Group	Motion to Transfer	Cases Transfered	Motion to Transfer	Cases Transfered				
Cass	17	0	0	0	1				
Otoe	16	0	0	1	0				
	14-15	0	0	1	0				
Sarpy	16	0	0	3	1				
	17	1	0	3	0				
District	Total	1	0	8	2				

## Motions to Transfer Courts and Transfers,

County and Sex										
	Sex		e Court to It Court	Adult Court to Juvenile Court						
County		Motion to Transfer	Cases Transfered	Motion to Transfer	Cases Transfered					
Cass	Female	0	0	0	1					
Otoe	Male	0	0	1	0					
	Female	0	0	1	0					
Sarpy	Male	1	0	5	1					
	Unknown	0	0	1	0					
District	Total	1	0	8	2					

# Motions to Transfer Courts and Transfers

County and Race/Ethnicity									
		-	e Court to It Court	Adult Court to Juvenile Court					
County	Race/ Ethnicity	Motion to Transfer	Cases Transfered	Motion to Transfer	Cases Transfered				
Cass	White	0	0	0	1				
Otoe	White	0	0	1	0				
	Black	0	0	1	0				
Sarpy	White	1	0	4	1				
	Unknown	0	0	2	0				
District	Total	1	0	8	2				

#### Motions to Transfer Courts and Transfers, County and Race/Ethnicity
#### Part (d) Juvenile Probation Activity

Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (d) Juveniles placed on juvenile probation, the number of juveniles on probation in out-of-home care, the number of juveniles completing probation, the number of motions to revoke probation and probation revocations, and the average length of time on probation.

The Juvenile Probation Activity - Youth data is provided from the Administrative Office of Probation's case management system, Nebraska Probation Application for Community Safety (NPACS). The table reports the number and percent of youth with various activity during the year. Youth are counted once in each category, regardless of the number of out-of-home placements, motions to revoke, or cases they may have in the year. Age groups are calculated specific to the activity as footnoted.

Juvenile Probation Activity District									
Demographic	Cohort	Placed on Probation ohort		Out- hor Placer	ne	Satisfactory Release			
		# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total		
	11-13	22	9%	12	10%	14	6%		
	14-15	83	33%	51	42%	68	27%		
Age Group	16	57	23%	12	10%	58	23%		
	17	73	29%	32	26%	91	36%		
	18	15	6%	14	12%	21	8%		
Cov	Female	71	28%	33	27%	77	31%		
Sex	Male	179	72%	88	73%	175	69%		
	Black	43	17%	12	10%	29	12%		
	Indian	4	2%	6	5%	2	1%		
Race	Other	38	15%	34	28%	40	16%		
	Pacific Islander	1	0%	0	0%	0	0%		
	White	164	66%	69	57%	181	72%		
Ethniait.	Hispanic	34	14%	26	21%	42	17%		
Ethnicity	Not Hispanic	216	86%	95	79%	210	83%		
District Total		250	100%	121	100%	252	100%		

1. Age at which the probation term began. 2. Age at the first admission to out-of-home placement. 3. Age as of the file date for motion to revoke. 4. Age as of the disposition date for motion to revoke. 5. Age as of the disposition date for motion.

	Juvenile Probation Activity District										
County	Age Group	Place Proba		Out- hor Place	ne	Satisfactory Release					
	Group	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total				
	11-13	6	2%	2	2%	0	0%				
	14-15	6	2%	4	3%	0	0%				
Cass	16	12	5%	0	0%	0	0%				
	17	14	6%	2	2%	8	3%				
	18	4	2%	0	0%	4	2%				
	14-15	3	1%	1	1%	2	1%				
Otoe	16	3	1%	0	0%	0	0%				
Olde	17	1	0%	0	0%	3	1%				
	18	3	1%	0	0%	3	1%				
	11-13	16	6%	10	8%	14	6%				
	14-15	74	30%	46	38%	66	26%				
Sarpy	16	42	17%	12	10%	58	23%				
	17	58	23%	30	25%	80	32%				
	18	8	3%	14	12%	14	6%				
District	Total	250	1 <b>00</b> %	121	1 <b>00</b> %	252	1 <b>00</b> %				

Juvenile Probation Activity District										
County	Sex	Place Proba		Out hor Place	ne	Satisfactory Release				
			% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total			
Cass	Female	12	5%	2	2%	4	2%			
Cass	Male	30	12%	6	5%	8	3%			
Otoe	Female	1	0%	1	1%	1	0%			
Olde	Male	9	4%	0	0%	7	3%			
Sarav	Female	58	23%	30	25%	72	29%			
Sarpy	Male		56%	82	68%	160	63%			
District	Total	250	100%	121	1 <b>00</b> %	252	100%			

	Juvenile Probation Activity District									
County	Race		Placed on Probation		-of- ne ment	Satisfactory Release				
		# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total			
	White	36	14%	6	5%	12	5%			
Cass	Indian	4	2%	2	2%	0	0%			
	Black	2	1%	0	0%	0	0%			
	Black	1	0%	0	0%	1	0%			
Otoe	White	8	3%	1	1%	7	3%			
	Pacific Islander	1	0%	0	0%	0	0%			
	Black	40	16%	12	10%	28	11%			
Carry	Indian		0%	4	3%	2	1%			
Sarpy	Other	38	15%	34	28%	40	16%			
	White		48%	62	51%	162	64%			
District -	Total	250	100%	121	100%	252	100%			

	Juvenile Probation Activity District										
County	Ethnicity	Placed on Probation		Out hor Place	me	Satisfactory Release					
		# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total				
Cass	Hispanic	0	0%	0	0%	2	1%				
Cass	Not Hispanic	42	17%	8	7%	10	4%				
Otoe	Not Hispanic	10	4%	1	1%	8	3%				
Correct	Hispanic		14%	26	<b>2</b> 1%	40	1 <b>6</b> %				
Sarpy	Not Hispanic		66%	86	71%	192	76%				
District	Total	250	100%	121	100%	252	100%				

### Part (d) Juvenile Probation - Youth Risk Level and Length of Probation

Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (d) Juveniles placed on juvenile probation, the number of juveniles on probation in out-of-home care, the number of juveniles completing probation, the number of motions to revoke probation and probation revocations, and the average length of time on probation.

Youth Level of Service/Case Management Inventory (YLS/CMI) is an assessment tool used to systematically measure risk for recidivism and appropriate supervision intensity for youth on probation. The following table shows the classification results of youth that entered into Probation in 2021, and another set of youth that discharged from Probation in 2021. Youth that are assessed at low or moderate low risk are matched to JCBR supervision and those assessed at high or moderate high risk are matched to JCBR supervision intensity

Youth Risk Levels and Length of Probation District										
		Youth	Starting	; Probation	Youth	Discharged	from Probation	Average Length of Probation		
Demo- graphic	Cohort	JCBR/ Low/ Moderate Low	JCBI/ High	No Assessment**	JCBR/ Low	JCBI/ High/ Moderate High	No Assessment**	Total Youth	Avg Length of Probation	
	11-13	10	12	-	6	-	-	6.0	5.0	
	14-15	23	60	-	24	9	-	33.0	7.1	
Age Group	16	18	39	-	20	21	-	41.0	9.9	
	17	28	41	4	47	37	4	88.0	9.2	
	18	7	8	-	51	69	-	120.0	15.9	
Carr	Female	15	52	4	48	35	4	87	8.6	
Sex	Male	71	108	-	100	101	-	201	13.1	
	Am. Indian	-	4	-	-	4	-	4.0	9.0	
	Asian/P.I.	-	1	-	-	-	-	0.0	-	
Race	Black	14	29	-	16	15	-	31.0	12.4	
	White	66	98	-	98	103	-	201.0	12.0	
	Other	6	28	4	34	14	4	52.0	10.7	
Ethnisit (	Hispanic	8	26	-	30	22	-	52.0	11.5	
Ethnicity	Not Hispanic	78	134	4	118	114	4	236.0	11.8	
District Tota	al	86	160	4	148	136	4	288	11.8	

	Youth Risk Levels and Length of Probation by County and Age Group										
		Youth	Starting	; Probation	Youth	Discharged 1	from Probation	Average Length of Probation			
County	Age Group	JCBR/ Low/ Moderate Low	JCBI/ High	No Assessment**	JCBR/ Low	JCBI/ High/ Moderate High	No Assessment**	Total Youth	Avg Length of Probation		
	11-13	2	4	-	-	-	-	0	-		
	14-15	2	4	-	-	-	-	0	-		
Cass	16	6	6	-	-	-	-	0	-		
	17	8	6	-	2	4	-	6	7.3		
	18	4	-	-	8	-	-	8	6.0		
	14-15	1	2	-	-	1	-	1	6.0		
Otoe	16	-	3	-	-	1	-	1	10.0		
0.06	17	-	1	-	1	1	-	2	5.5		
	18	1	2	-	1	3	-	4	4.2		
	11-13	8	8	-	6	-	-	6	5.0		
	14-15	20	54	-	24	10	-	34	8.6		
Sarpy	16	12	30	-	20	18	-	38	8.7		
	17	20	34	4	44	32	4	80	9.4		
	18	2	6	-	42	66	-	108	17.0		
District	Total	86	160	4	148	136	4	288	11.8		

	Youth Risk Levels and Length of Probation by County and Sex										
		Youth	Starting	Probation	Youth	Discharged f	-	Average Length of Probation			
County	Sex	JCBR/Low/ Moderate Low	JCBI/ High	No Assessment**	JCBR/ Low	JCBI/High/ Moderate High	No Assessment**	Total Youth	Avg Length of Probation		
Cass	Female	6	6	-	2	2	-	4	3.5		
Cass	Male	16	14	-	8	2	-	10	7.8		
Ohan	Female	1	-	-	-	1	-	1	10.0		
Otoe	Male	1	8	-	2	5	-	7	4.9		
C	Female	8	46	4	46	32	4	82	8.9		
Sarpy	Male	54	86	-	90	94	-	184	13.7		
District T	otal	86	160	4	148	136	4	288	11.8		

	Youth Risk Levels and Length of Probation by County and Race									
		Youth Starting Probation			Youth	Discharged f	from Probation	Average Length of Probation		
County	Race	JCBR/ Low/ Moderate Low	JCBI/ High	No Assessment**	JCBR/ Low	JCBI/ High/ Moderate High	No Assessment**	Total Youth	Avg Length of Probation	
	Am. Indian	-	4	-	-	-	-	0	-	
Cass	Black	2	-	-	-	-	-	0	-	
	White	20	16	-	10	4	-	14	6.6	
	Asian/P.I.	-	1	-	-	-	-	0	-	
Otoe	Black	-	1	-	-	1	-	1	3.0	
	White	2	6	-	2	5	-	7	5.9	
	Am. Indian	-	-	-	-	4	-	4	9.0	
Saray	Black	12	28	-	16	14	-	30	12.7	
Sarpy	White	44	76	-	86	94	-	180	12.7	
	Other	6	28	4	34	14	4	52	10.7	
District	District Total 86 160 4 148 136 4 288 11.8									

	Youth Risk Levels and Length of Probation by County and Ethnicity										
		Youth	Starting	, Probation	Youth	Discharged f	rom Probation	Average Length of Probation			
County	Ethnicity	JCBR/ Low/ Moderate Low	JCBI/ High	No Assessment**	JCBR/ Low	JCBI/ High/ Moderate High	No Assessment**	Total Youth	Avg Length of Probation		
C	Hispanic	-	-	-	2	-	-	2	8.0		
Cass	Not Hispanic	22	20	-	8	4	-	12	6.3		
Otoe	Not Hispanic	2	8	-	2	6	-	8	5.5		
<b>C</b>	Hispanic 8		26	-	28	22	-	50	11.6		
Sarpy	Not Hispanic	54	106	4	108	104	4	216	12.4		
District T	Fotal	86	160	4	148	136	4	288	11.8		

### Part (e) Juveniles Access to Counsel

*Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (e) juveniles with and without access to counsel in juvenile and adult court, both appointed and retained.* 

The Juveniles Access to Counsel table displays the percent of juveniles represented by an attorney in criminal cases from county court and district court and juvenile cases from county court and separate juvenile court. Data includes new cases filed and cases transferred from one county to another during calendar year 2021. Cases filed in 2021 may not have reached the sentencing/adjudication stage of proceedings. Cases for juveniles ages 13 and below must be filed and stay in juvenile court.

	Juvenile Access to Counsel District										
			Criminal C	ourt Cases			Juvenile Court Cases				
Demographic	Cohort	Total Criminal Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel	Total Juvenile Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel		
	11-13	1	0	1	1 <b>00</b> %	52	0	52	1 <b>00</b> %		
Age Group	14-15	8	2	6	75%	138	0	138	1 <b>00</b> %		
Age Group	16	45	33	12	27%	133	2	131	<b>98</b> %		
	17	73	50	23	32%	98	1	97	<b>99</b> %		
	Female	25	20	5	20%	135	0	135	1 <b>00</b> %		
Sex	Male	97	64	33	34%	281	3	278	<b>99</b> %		
	Unknown	5	1	4	80%	5	0	5	1 <b>00</b> %		
	Asian/P.I.	-	-	-	-	1	0	1	1 <b>00</b> %		
	Black	12	8	4	33%	70	0	70	1 <b>00</b> %		
Race/Ethnicity	Hispanic	24	22	2	<b>8</b> %	11	0	11	1 <b>00</b> %		
Race/Elimicity	White	83	52	31	37%	209	0	209	1 <b>00</b> %		
	Other	1	1	0	0%	4	0	4	1 <b>00</b> %		
	Unknown	7	2	5	71%	126	3	123	<b>98</b> %		
District Total		127	85	42	33%	421	3	418	99%		

	Juvenile Access to Counsel by County and Age Group									
			Criminal C	ourt Cases			Juvenile C	ourt Cases		
County	Age Group	Total Criminal Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel	Total Juvenile Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel	
	11-13	-	-	-	-	5	0	5	100%	
Cass	14-15	-	-	-	-	6	0	6	100%	
Cass	16	2	2	0	0%	17	0	17	100%	
	17	4	4	0	0%	6	0	6	100%	
	11-13	-	-	-	-	3	0	3	100%	
Otoe	14-15	-	-	-	-	16	0	16	100%	
Olde	16	13	9	4	31%	17	2	15	88%	
	17	4	4	0	0%	10	1	9	90%	
	11-13	1	0	1	100%	44	0	44	100%	
Correct	14-15	8	2	6	75%	116	0	116	100%	
Sarpy	16	30	22	8	27%	99	0	99	100%	
	17	65	42	23	35%	82	0	82	100%	
District	District Total 127 85 42 33% 421 3 418 99%									

	Juvenile Access to Counsel by County and Sex													
			Criminal C	ourt Cases		Juvenile Court Cases								
County	Sex	Total Criminal Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel	Total Juvenile Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel					
Casa	Female 3 3 0 0% 14 0 14 100%													
Cass	Cass Male		3	0	0%	20	0	20	100%					
	Female	3	3	0	0%	10	0	10	100%					
Otoe	Male	12	9	3	25%	36	3	33	92%					
	Unknown	2	1	1	50%	-	-	-	-					
	Female	19	14	5	26%	111	0	111	100%					
Sarpy	Male	82	52	30	37%	225	0	225	100%					
	Unknown	3	0	3	100%	5	0	5	100%					
District	Total	127	85	42	33%	421	3	418	99%					

	Juvenile Access to Counsel by County and Race/Ethnicity													
			Juvenile C	ourt Cases			Criminal C	ourt Cases						
County	Race/ Ethnicity	Total Juvenile Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel	Total Criminal Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel					
	Black	1	0	1	100%	-	-	-	-					
Cass	White	9	0	9	100%	6	6	0	0%					
	Unknown	24	0	24	100%	-	-	-	-					
	Hispanic	-	-	-	-	3	3	0	0%					
Otoe	White	-	-	-	-	12	9	3	25%					
	Unknown	46	3	43	93%	2	1	1	50%					
	Asian/P.I.	1	0	1	100%	-	-	-	-					
	Black	69	0	69	100%	12	8	4	33%					
Course	Hispanic	11	0	11	100%	21	19	2	10%					
Sarpy	White	200	0	200	100%	65	37	28	43%					
	Other		0	4	100%	1	1	0	0%					
	Unknown	56	0	56	100%	5	1	4	80%					
District	Total	421	3	99%	127	85	42	33%						

### Part (a) Juvenile Petitions and Adjudications

Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (a) Juveniles prosecuted in juvenile court under subdivision (1), (2), (3)(b), or (4) of section 43-247, including the total number of filings and adjudications.

The Juvenile Petitions and Adjudications tables report the number of new juvenile cases and corresponding percent of adjudications by case type and demographic group for cases filed in 2021. The data consists of both county court and separate juvenile court cases. Only the most serious offense is counted for cases with multiple charges, pleas or findings. Cases are counted in the Admit column if at least one charge was admitted to in court. Supplemental petitions are not included in this data set. Cases filed in 2021 may not have reached the adjudication stage of proceedings. Juvenile cases where the age is unknown, if any, are included in the report.

	Juvenile Petitions and Adjudication by Case Type District													
		St	atus Offe	nse	M	lisdemea	nor	Felony						
Demo- graphic	Cohort	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated				
	14-15	77	39	51%	53	43	81%	21	20	95%				
Age Group	16	31	16	52%	24	18	75%	5	5	100%				
0.000	17	0	0	0%	39	31	79%	8	6	75%				
	Female	50	25	50%	48	37	77%	2	1	50%				
Sex	Male	58	30	52%	68	55	81%	31	29	94%				
	Unknown	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	1	100%				
	Am. Indian	2	0	0%	8	7	88%	2	2	100%				
	Asian/P.I.	1	0	0%	2	2	100%	3	3	100%				
	Black	21	9	43%	32	22	69%	5	4	80%				
Race/ Ethnicity	Hispanic	24	14	58%	16	11	69%	2	2	100%				
	White	53	31	58%	52	44	85%	20	19	95%				
	Other	2	1	50%	0	0	0%	0	0	0%				
	Unknown		0	0%	6	6	100%	2	1	50%				
District Tot	tal	108	55	51%	116	92	79%	34	31	91%				

### Part (b) Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court - Case Type

*Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (b) Juveniles prosecuted in adult criminal court for felonies, misdemeanors, and traffic offenses. The information shall include juveniles sentenced to terms in adult jails and prisons and juveniles placed on adult probation.* 

Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court tables consist of criminal and traffic cases in county court and criminal cases in district court that reached the point of sentencing in 2021. Cases with multiple charges are counted once per case and reported as the most severe offense. Cases for juveniles ages 13 and below must be filed and stay in juvenile court; however, judges have the authority to allow these cases in criminal court. Therefore, only juveniles ages 14 through 17 at the time of the offense appear in adult criminal court data.

Juv	Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court by Case Type District													
		All C	Cases	Misde	meanor	Fel	ony							
Demographic	Cohort	Total Cases	% of Total	Total Cases	% of Total for Case Type Group	Total Cases	% of Total for Case Type Group							
Age Group	16	2	20%	0	-	2	25%							
	17	8	80%	2	100%	6	75%							
Race/Ethnicity	Black	2	29%	0	-	2	40%							
	Hispanic	1	14%	1	50%	0	-							
	White	4	57%	1	50%	3	60%							
	Unknown	3	-	0	-	3	-							
Sex	Female	1	10%	1	50%	0	-							
	Male	9	90%	1	50%	8	100%							
District Total		10	100%	2	20%	8	80%							

#### Part (b) Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court - Sentence

Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (b) Juveniles prosecuted in adult criminal court for felonies, misdemeanors, and traffic offenses. The information shall include juveniles sentenced to terms in adult jails and prisons and juveniles placed on adult probation.

Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court tables include criminal and traffic cases in county court and criminal cases in district court that reached the point of sentencing in 2021. All sentencing types are included where multiple sentencing types occur within a case (ex. probation/jail). Youth who are sentenced to probation in Adult Court are supervised by Adult Probation. Average age at judgment is the mean calculated for each cohort at the time of their judgment date.

	Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court by Sentencing District														
		Total C	ases	Probation				Jail			Prison				
Demographic	Cohort	Number of Cases	% of Total	Average Age at Judgement	Number of Cases	% of Cohort	Average Age at Judgement	Number of Cases	% of Cohort	Average Age at Judgement	Number of Cases	% of Cohort			
Ago Croup	16	2	20%	18	0	0%	18	0	0%	18	2	100%			
Age Group	17	8	80%	18	2	25%	18	1	12%	18	5	62%			
6	Male	9	90%	18	2	0%	18	0	0%	18	7	100%			
Sex	Female	1	10%	18	0	25%	18	1	12%	18	0	62%			
	White	4	57%	18	1	0%	18	0	0%	18	3	100%			
	Hispanic	1	14%	18	0	25%	18	1	12%	18	0	62%			
Race/Ethnicity	Black	2	29%	18	0	25%	18	0	12%	18	2	62%			
	Unknown	3	0%	17	1	25%	17	0	12%	17	2	62%			
District Total		10	100%	18	2	0%	18	1	0%	18	7	100%			

### Part (c) Motions to Transfer Cases and Cases Transferred

*Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (c) The number of motions to transfer and the number of cases transferred to adult court from juvenile court and from adult criminal court to juvenile court.* 

The data represents motions to transfer filed in 2021 and transfers between court types which occurred in 2021. Therefore, the exact same subset of cases may not be represented in both columns. There can be multiple motions to transfer within a single case which, if filed in the same calendar year, would all be included in the counts below. Some cases may have a motion to transfer, but no transfer actually occurs.

	Motions to Transfer Courts and Transfers District													
		Juveni	le Court	t to Adult C	ourt	Adu	lt Court	to Juvenile Cour	t					
Demographic	Cohort	Motion to Transfer Juv to Adult	% of Total	Transfers Juv to Adult	% of Total	Motion to Transfer Adult to Juv	% of Total	Transfers Adult to Juv	% of Total					
	11-13	0	-	0	-	1	14%	0	-					
Age Croup	14-15	1	25%	0	-	0	-	0	-					
Age Group	16	0	-	0	-	1	14%	0	-					
	17	3	75%	1	100%	5	71%	0	-					
	Black	1	25%	0	-	3	60%	0	-					
Deee (Etherisite	Hispanic	1	25%	0	-	0	-	0	-					
Race/Ethnicity	White	2	50%	1	100%	2	40%	0	-					
	Unknown	0	-	0	-	2	-	0	-					
Cov	Female	2	50%	1	100%	1	14%	0	-					
Sex	Male	2	50%	0	-	6	86%	0	-					
District Total		4	-	1	-	7	-	0	-					

### Part (d) Juvenile Probation Activity

Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (d) Juveniles placed on juvenile probation, the number of juveniles on probation in out-of-home care, the number of juveniles completing probation, the number of motions to revoke probation and probation revocations, and the average length of time on probation.

The Juvenile Probation Activity - Youth data is provided from the Administrative Office of Probation's case management system, Nebraska Probation Application for Community Safety (NPACS). The table reports the number and percent of youth with various activity during the year. Youth are counted once in each category, regardless of the number of out-of-home placements, motions to revoke, or cases they may have in the year. Age groups are calculated specific to the activity as footnoted.

	Juvenile	e Proba Distr		ctivity				
Demographic	Cohort		Placed on Probation		-of- ne ment	Satisfactory Release		
		# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	
	11-13	26	5%	14	4%	30	7%	
	14-15	176	36%	126	34%	178	40%	
Age Group	16	112	23%	76	20%	102	23%	
	17	140	29%	100	27%	108	24%	
	18	36	7%	60	16%	26	6%	
6	Female	188	38%	138	37%	166	37%	
Sex	Male	302	62%	238	63%	278	63%	
	Black	126	26%	98	26%	118	27%	
	Indian	44	9%	52	14%	28	6%	
Race	Other	22	4%	30	8%	12	3%	
	Pacific Islander	14	3%	8	2%	4	1%	
	White	284	58%	188	50%	282	64%	
Hispanic		114	23%	88	23%	94	21%	
Ethnicity Not Hispanic		376	77%	288	77%	350	79%	
District Total		490	100%	376	100%	444	100%	

1. Age at which the probation term began. 2. Age at the first admission to out-of-home placement. 3. Age as of the file date for motion to revoke. 4. Age as of the disposition date for motion to revoke. 5. Age as of the disposition date for motion.

### Part (d) Juvenile Probation - Youth Risk Level and Length of Probation

Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (d) Juveniles placed on juvenile probation, the number of juveniles on probation in out-of-home care, the number of juveniles completing probation, the number of motions to revoke probation and probation revocations, and the average length of time on probation.

Youth Level of Service/Case Management Inventory (YLS/CMI) is an assessment tool used to systematically measure risk for recidivism and appropriate supervision intensity for youth on probation. The following table shows the classification results of youth that entered into Probation in 2021, and another set of youth that discharged from Probation in 2021. Youth that are assessed at low or moderate low risk are matched to JCBR supervision and those assessed at high or moderate high risk are matched to JCBR supervision intensity

	Youth R		and Le Distric		of Probatic	on		
		Youth Sta Probati	-		Discharged Probation	Average Length of Probation		
Demo- graphic	Cohort	JCBR/ Low/ Moderate Low	JCBI/ High	JCBR/ Low	JCBI/ High/ Moderate High	Total Youth	Avg Length of Probation	
	11-13	6	20	-	-	0	-	
	14-15	72	104	64	18	82	7.9	
Age Group	16	38	74	40	42	82	11.1	
	17	60	80	86	70	156	13.9	
	18	22	14	106	148	254	20.6	
Sex	Female	70	118	100	100	200	15.3	
Sex	Male	128	174	196	178	374	15.8	
	Am. Indian	12	32	16	20	36	23.4	
	Asian/P.I.	6	8	8	-	8	8.8	
Race	Black	52	74	74	98	172	14.5	
	White	118	166	188	150	338	15.2	
	Other	10	12	10	10	20	20.6	
Ethnicity	Hispanic		66	68	58	126	16.7	
Ethnicity	Not Hispanic	150	226	228	220	448	15.3	
District Tota	al	198	292	296	278	574	15.6	

### Part (e) Juveniles Access to Counsel

*Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (e) juveniles with and without access to counsel in juvenile and adult court, both appointed and retained.* 

The Juveniles Access to Counsel table displays the percent of juveniles represented by an attorney in criminal cases from county court and district court and juvenile cases from county court and separate juvenile court. Data includes new cases filed and cases transferred from one county to another during calendar year 2021. Cases filed in 2021 may not have reached the sentencing/adjudication stage of proceedings. Cases for juveniles ages 13 and below must be filed and stay in juvenile court.

	Juvenile Access to Counsel District													
			Criminal C	ourt Cases		Juvenile Court Cases								
Demographic	Cohort	Total Criminal Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel	Total Juvenile Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel					
	11-13	2	0	2	1 <b>00</b> %	87	22	65	<i>75%</i>					
Area Craup	Age Group 14-15 8 5 3 38% 204 61 143 70%													
Age Group	16	46	32	14	30%	79	30	49	62%					
	17	87	56	31	36%	69	22	47	<b>68</b> %					
	Female	49	33	16	33%	175	57	118	67%					
Sex	Male	94	60	34	36%	263	78	185	70%					
	Unknown	-	-	-	-	1	0	1	1 <b>00</b> %					
	Am. Indian	1	0	1	1 <b>00</b> %	19	5	14	74%					
	Asian/P.I.	4	4	0	0%	8	1	7	<b>88</b> %					
	Black	36	23	13	36%	114	31	83	7 <b>3</b> %					
Race/Ethnicity	Hispanic	24	14	10	42%	70	20	50	71%					
	White	65	44	21	<i>32%</i>	207	71	136	66%					
	Other	1	0	1	100%	2	1	1	50%					
	Unknown	12	8	4	33%	19	6	13	<b>68</b> %					
District Total		143	93	50	35%	439	135	304	69%					

### Part (a) Juvenile Petitions and Adjudications

Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (a) Juveniles prosecuted in juvenile court under subdivision (1), (2), (3)(b), or (4) of section 43-247, including the total number of filings and adjudications.

The Juvenile Petitions and Adjudications tables report the number of new juvenile cases and corresponding percent of adjudications by case type and demographic group for cases filed in 2021. The data consists of both county court and separate juvenile court cases. Only the most serious offense is counted for cases with multiple charges, pleas or findings. Cases are counted in the Admit column if at least one charge was admitted to in court. Supplemental petitions are not included in this data set. Cases filed in 2021 may not have reached the adjudication stage of proceedings. Juvenile cases where the age is unknown, if any, are included in the report.

		Ju	venile Peti	tions and A Dis	djudicati strict	on by Case	Туре				
Demo-			Status Offens	se		Misdemeand	or	Felony			
graphic	Cohort	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated	
	14-15	21	19	90%	87	58	67%	45	36	80%	
Age Group	16	16	13	81%	51	37	73%	35	29	83%	
oroup	17	3	2	67%	62	40	65%	23	20	87%	
Carr	Female	18	15	83%	57	38	67%	22	18	82%	
Sex	Male	22	19	86%	143	97	68%	81	67	83%	
	Am. Indian	0	0	0%	1	0	0%	0	0	0%	
	Asian/P.I.	0	0	0%	8	7	88%	4	3	75%	
	Black	9	9	100%	110	72	65%	58	49	84%	
Race/ Ethnicity	Hispanic	14	12	86%	29	18	62%	17	12	71%	
Lannery	White	3	2	67%	51	37	73%	23	20	87%	
	Other	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%	
	Unknown	14	11	79%	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	
District Total		40	34	85%	200	135	68%	103	85	83%	

### Part (b) Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court - Case Type

*Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (b) Juveniles prosecuted in adult criminal court for felonies, misdemeanors, and traffic offenses. The information shall include juveniles sentenced to terms in adult jails and prisons and juveniles placed on adult probation.* 

Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court tables consist of criminal and traffic cases in county court and criminal cases in district court that reached the point of sentencing in 2021. Cases with multiple charges are counted once per case and reported as the most severe offense. Cases for juveniles ages 13 and below must be filed and stay in juvenile court; however, judges have the authority to allow these cases in criminal court. Therefore, only juveniles ages 14 through 17 at the time of the offense appear in adult criminal court data.

	Juven	iles Pro	by	d in Ac Case Ty District		ninal C	ourt		
		All C	Cases	Tra	affic	Misde	meanor	Fel	ony
Demographic	Cohort	Total Cases	% of Total	Total Cases	% of Total for Case Type Group	Total Cases	% of Total for Case Type Group	Total Cases	% of Total for Case Type Group
Age Group	14-15	11	8%	0	-	0	-	11	22%
	16	43	32%	11	44%	20	34%	12	24%
	17	80	60%	14	56%	38	66%	28	55%
Race/Ethnicity	Am. Indian	1	1%	0	-	0	-	1	2%
	Asian/P.I.	1	1%	0	-	0	-	1	2%
	Black	41	31%	4	16%	13	23%	24	47%
	Hispanic	37	28%	8	32%	13	23%	16	31%
	White	51	38%	12	48%	30	53%	9	18%
	Other	2	2%	1	4%	1	2%	0	-
	Unknown	1	-	0	-	1	-	0	-
Sex	Female	33	25%	12	48%	20	34%	1	2%
	Male	101	75%	13	52%	38	66%	50	98%
District Total		134	100%	25	1 <b>9</b> %	58	43%	51	38%

#### Part (b) Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court - Sentence

Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (b) Juveniles prosecuted in adult criminal court for felonies, misdemeanors, and traffic offenses. The information shall include juveniles sentenced to terms in adult jails and prisons and juveniles placed on adult probation.

Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court tables include criminal and traffic cases in county court and criminal cases in district court that reached the point of sentencing in 2021. All sentencing types are included where multiple sentencing types occur within a case (ex. probation/jail). Youth who are sentenced to probation in Adult Court are supervised by Adult Probation. Average age at judgment is the mean calculated for each cohort at the time of their judgment date.

	Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court by Sentencing District														
		Total C	ases	Probation				Jail			Prison				
Demographic	Cohort	Number of Cases	% of Total	Average Age at Judgement	Number of Cases	% of Cohort	Average Age at Judgement	Number of Cases	% of Cohort	Average Age at Judgement	Number of Cases	% of Cohort			
	14-15	11	8%	19	1	9%	19	3	27%	19	7	64%			
Age Group	16	43	32%	17	33	77%	17	3	7%	17	7	16%			
	17	80	60%	18	51	64%	18	13	16%	18	16	20%			
Sour	Male	101	75%	18	57	9%	18	15	27%	18	29	64%			
Sex	Female	33	25%	17	28	77%	17	4	ber ses % of Cohort Average Age at Judgement Number of Cases % Co   27% 19 7 6   7% 17 7 1   16% 18 16 2   27% 18 29 6   7% 17 1 1   27% 18 29 6   7% 17 1 1   27% 18 6 6   27% 17 8 6   27% 17 8 6   27% 18 6 6   27% 17 8 6   27% 18 6 6   7% 16 0 1   7% 16 0 1   7% 18 1 2	16%					
	Black	41	31%	18	17	9%	18	9	27%	18	15	64%			
	Hispanic	37	28%	17	26	9%	17	3	27%	17	8	64%			
	White	51	38%	18	39	9%	18	6	27%	18	6	64%			
Race/Ethnicity	Other	2	2%	16	2	77%	16	0	7%	16	0	16%			
	Unknown	1	0%	16	1	77%	16	0	7%	16	0	16%			
	Am. Indian	1	1%	18	0	64%	18	0	16%	18	1	20%			
	Asian/P.I.	1	1%	18	0	64%	18	1	16%	18	0	20%			
District Total		134	100%	18	85	9%	18	19	27%	18	30	64%			

### Part (c) Motions to Transfer Cases and Cases Transferred

*Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (c) The number of motions to transfer and the number of cases transferred to adult court from juvenile court and from adult criminal court to juvenile court.* 

The data represents motions to transfer filed in 2021 and transfers between court types which occurred in 2021. Therefore, the exact same subset of cases may not be represented in both columns. There can be multiple motions to transfer within a single case which, if filed in the same calendar year, would all be included in the counts below. Some cases may have a motion to transfer, but no transfer actually occurs.

Motions to Transfer Courts and Transfers District												
		Juveni	le Cour	t to Adult C	ourt	Adu	lt Court	to Juvenile Cour	t			
Demographic	Cohort	Motion to Transfer Juv to Adult	% of Total	Transfers Juv to Adult	% of Total	Motion to Transfer Adult to Juv	% of Total	Transfers Adult to Juv	% of Total			
	14-15	1	14%	0	-	10	20%	0	-			
Age Group	16	3	43%	0	-	15	29%	0	-			
	17	3	43%	0	-	26	51%	0	-			
	Am. Indian	0	-	0	-	1	2%	0	-			
	Asian/P.I.	1	14%	0	-	2	4%	0	-			
Race/Ethnicity	Black	3	43%	0	-	22	43%	0	-			
	Hispanic	2	29%	0	-	16	31%	0	-			
	White	1	14%	0	-	10	20%	0	-			
Sev	Female	0	-	0	-	7	14%	0	-			
Sex	Male	7	100%	0	-	44	86%	0	-			
District Total		7	-	0	-	51	-	0	-			

### Part (d) Juvenile Probation Activity

Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (d) Juveniles placed on juvenile probation, the number of juveniles on probation in out-of-home care, the number of juveniles completing probation, the number of motions to revoke probation and probation revocations, and the average length of time on probation.

The Juvenile Probation Activity - Youth data is provided from the Administrative Office of Probation's case management system, Nebraska Probation Application for Community Safety (NPACS). The table reports the number and percent of youth with various activity during the year. Youth are counted once in each category, regardless of the number of out-of-home placements, motions to revoke, or cases they may have in the year. Age groups are calculated specific to the activity as footnoted.

Juvenile Probation Activity District										
Demographic	Cohort	Place Proba		Out- hor Placer	ne	Satisfactory Release				
		# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total			
	11-13	30	4%	30	4%	52	6%			
	14-15	226	32%	254	37%	328	40%			
Age Group	16	180	26%	198	29%	218	27%			
	17	188	27%	154	22%	174	21%			
	18	74	11%	58	8%	40	5%			
6	Female	194	28%	172	25%	218	27%			
Sex	Male	504	72%	522	75%	594	73%			
	Black	340	49%	382	55%	356	44%			
	Indian	16	2%	18	3%	10	1%			
Race	Other	130	1 <b>9</b> %	100	14%	186	23%			
	Pacific Islander	20	3%	26	4%	6	1%			
	White	192	28%	168	24%	254	31%			
Ethnicity Hispanic		156	22%	130	19%	244	30%			
Ethnicity	542	78%	564	81%	568	70%				
District Total		698	100%	694	100%	812	100%			

1. Age at which the probation term began. 2. Age at the first admission to out-of-home placement. 3. Age as of the file date for motion to revoke. 4. Age as of the disposition date for motion to revoke. 5. Age as of the disposition date for motion.

### Part (d) Juvenile Probation - Youth Risk Level and Length of Probation

Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (d) Juveniles placed on juvenile probation, the number of juveniles on probation in out-of-home care, the number of juveniles completing probation, the number of motions to revoke probation and probation revocations, and the average length of time on probation.

Youth Level of Service/Case Management Inventory (YLS/CMI) is an assessment tool used to systematically measure risk for recidivism and appropriate supervision intensity for youth on probation. The following table shows the classification results of youth that entered into Probation in 2021, and another set of youth that discharged from Probation in 2021. Youth that are assessed at low or moderate low risk are matched to JCBR supervision and those assessed at high or moderate high risk are matched to JCBR supervision intensity

Youth Risk Levels and Length of Probation District											
		Youth	Starting	g Probation	Youth	Discharged 1	from Probation	Average Length of Probation			
Demo- graphic	Cohort	JCBR/ Low/ Moderate Low	JCBI/ High	No Assessment**	JCBR/ Low	JCBI/ High/ Moderate High	No Assessment**	Total Youth	Avg Length of Probation		
	11-13	8	22	-	2	2	-	4	4.0		
	14-15	28	198	-	42	46	2	90	10.4		
Age Group	16	24	154	2	68	102	2	172	15.1		
	17	40	144	4	74	152	-	226	16.1		
	18	14	60	-	92	228	-	320	18.0		
Sev	Female	18	174	2	72	150	-	222	15.5		
Sex	Male	96	404	4	206	380	4	590	16.1		
	Am. Indian	2	14	-	4	10	-	14	10.9		
	Asian/P.I.	2	18	-	4	4	-	8	27.5		
Race	Black	32	304	4	90	246	2	338	17.9		
	White	46	146	-	98	158	2	258	14.3		
	Other	32	96	2	82	112	-	194	14.8		
Ethnisit (	Hispanic	34	120	2	108	136	-	244	14.7		
Ethnicity	Not Hispanic	80	458	4	170	394	4	568	16.5		
District Tota	al	114	578	6	278	530	4	812	15.9		

### Part (e) Juveniles Access to Counsel

*Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (e) juveniles with and without access to counsel in juvenile and adult court, both appointed and retained.* 

The Juveniles Access to Counsel table displays the percent of juveniles represented by an attorney in criminal cases from county court and district court and juvenile cases from county court and separate juvenile court. Data includes new cases filed and cases transferred from one county to another during calendar year 2021. Cases filed in 2021 may not have reached the sentencing/adjudication stage of proceedings. Cases for juveniles ages 13 and below must be filed and stay in juvenile court.

Juvenile Access to Counsel District												
			Criminal C	ourt Cases			Juvenile C	ourt Cases				
Demographic	Cohort	Total Criminal Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel	Total Juvenile Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel			
	11-13	-	-	-	-	80	0	80	100%			
Area Craup	14-15	27	0	27	1 <b>00</b> %	346	0	346	1 <b>00</b> %			
Age Group	16	102	34	68	67%	217	2	215	<b>99</b> %			
	17	174	52	122	70%	215	1	214	100%			
	Female	67	24	43	64%	246	2	244	<b>99</b> %			
Sex	Male	236	62	174	74%	602	1	601	100%			
	Unknown	-	-	-	-	10	0	10	100%			
	Am. Indian	1	1	0	0%	6	0	6	100%			
	Asian/P.I.	10	0	10	1 <b>00</b> %	22	0	22	100%			
	Black	109	24	85	<b>78</b> %	458	1	457	1 <b>00</b> %			
Race/Ethnicity	Hispanic	76	19	57	75%	134	1	133	<b>99</b> %			
	White	103	40	63	61%	198	1	197	<b>99</b> %			
	Other	2	1	1	50%	5	0	5	100%			
	Unknown	2	1	1	50%	35	0	35	100%			
District Total		303	86	217	72%	858	3	855	100%			

#### Part (a) Juvenile Petitions and Adjudications

Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (a) Juveniles prosecuted in juvenile court under subdivision (1), (2), (3)(b), or (4) of section 43-247, including the total number of filings and adjudications.

The Juvenile Petitions and Adjudications tables report the number of new juvenile cases and corresponding percent of adjudications by case type and demographic group for cases filed in 2021. The data consists of both county court and separate juvenile court cases. Only the most serious offense is counted for cases with multiple charges, pleas or findings. Cases are counted in the Admit column if at least one charge was admitted to in court. Supplemental petitions are not included in this data set. Cases filed in 2021 may not have reached the adjudication stage of proceedings. Juvenile cases where the age is unknown, if any, are included in the report.

	Juvenile Petitions and Adjudication by Case Type District												
		Traffic Offense			Status Offense			M	isdemea	nor	Felony		
Demo- graphic	Cohort	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated
	14-15	3	2	67%	8	8	100%	45	41	<b>9</b> 1%	15	14	93%
Age Group	16	1	0	0%	6	5	83%	46	44	96%	11	11	100%
p	17	3	3	100%	8	8	100%	68	61	90%	10	10	100%
	Female	1	0	0%	7	6	86%	47	45	96%	8	7	88%
Sex	Male	6	5	83%	12	12	100%	96	87	<b>9</b> 1%	25	25	100%
	Unknown	0	0	0%	3	3	100%	16	14	88%	3	3	100%
	Am. Indian	0	0	0%	2	2	100%	0	0	0%	0	0	0%
	Black	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	3	3	100%	2	2	100%
Race/ Ethnicity	Hispanic	2	2	1 <b>00</b> %	5	5	100%	67	66	99%	10	10	100%
	White	5	3	60%	10	9	90%	70	63	90%	18	18	100%
	Unknown	0	0	0%	5	5	100%	19	14	74%	6	5	83%
District Tot	tal	7	5	71%	22	21	95%	159	146	92%	36	35	97%

Juvenile Cases and Adjudication by Case Type, County and Age Group													
		Tr	affic Offe	nse	St	atus Offe	nse	N	lisdemear	nor		Felony	
County	Age Group	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated									
	14-15	2	1	50%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%	0	0	0%
Boone	16	1	0	0%	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	0	0	0%
	17	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	1	100%
	14-15	1	1	100%	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	1	1	100%
Butler	16	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	2	2	100%	1	1	100%
	17	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	5	5	100%	0	0	0%
	14-15	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	20	20	100%	2	2	100%
Colfax	16	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	17	17	100%	0	0	0%
	17	0	0	0%	2	2	100%	23	23	100%	4	4	100%
	14-15	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%
Hamilton	16	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	4	4	100%	2	2	100%
	17	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	3	3	100%	0	0	0%
	14-15	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	2	2	100%	0	0	0%
Merrick	16	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	6	4	67%	0	0	0%
	17	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	3	3	100%	0	0	0%
	14-15	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%
Nance	16	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	2	2	100%	0	0	0%
	14-15	0	0	0%	4	4	100%	12	10	83%	6	6	100%
Platte	16	0	0	0%	3	2	67%	8	8	100%	4	4	100%
	17	3	3	100%	3	3	100%	16	15	94%	3	3	100%
	14-15	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	0	0%
Saunders	16	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	5	5	100%	0	0	0%
	17	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	6	4	67%	0	0	0%
	14-15	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	4	4	100%	2	2	100%
Seward	16	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	2	2	100%	3	3	100%
	17	0	0	0%	3	3	100%	5	4	80%	1	1	100%
	14-15	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	4	2	50%	3	3	100%
York	16	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%	1	1	100%
	17	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	7	4	57%	1	1	100%
District Tota	al	7	5	71%	22	21	95%	159	146	92%	36	35	97%

Juvenile Cases and Adjudication by Case Type, County and Sex													
		Ti	raffic Offe	nse	St	tatus Offe	nse	Ν	/lisdemear	or		Felony	
County	Sex	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated									
Boone	Female	1	0	0%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%	0	0	0%
воопе	Male	2	1	50%	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	1	100%
	Female	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	1	1	100%
Butler	Male	1	1	100%	0	0	0%	3	3	100%	1	1	100%
	Unknown	0	0	0%	1	1	1 <b>00</b> %	4	4	100%	0	0	0%
	Female	0	0	0%	2	2	100%	24	24	100%	2	2	100%
Colfax	Male	0	0	0%	2	2	100%	35	35	100%	4	4	100%
	Unknown	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%
	Female	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	2	2	100%	1	1	100%
Hamilton	Male	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	6	6	100%	1	1	100%
	Female	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%
Merrick	Male	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	5	4	80%	0	0	0%
	Unknown	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	5	4	80%	0	0	0%
Nance	Male	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	3	3	100%	0	0	0%
	Female	0	0	0%	3	2	67%	10	10	100%	2	2	100%
Platte	Male	3	3	100%	5	5	100%	20	18	90%	8	8	100%
	Unknown	0	0	0%	2	2	100%	6	5	83%	3	3	100%
	Female	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	5	4	80%	1	0	0%
Saunders	Male	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	6	5	83%	0	0	0%
<b>C 1</b>	Female	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	3	3	100%	1	1	100%
Seward	Male	0	0	0%	3	3	100%	8	7	88%	5	5	100%
Marili	Female	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	0	0%	0	0	0%
York	Male	0	0	0%	2	2	100%	10	6	60%	5	5	100%
District Tota	al	7	5	71%	22	21	95%	159	146	92%	36	35	97%

Juvenile Cases and Adjudication by Case Type, County and Race/Ethnicity													
		Traffic Offense Status Offense Misdemeanor										Felony	
County	Race	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated
Daara	White	3	1	33%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%	0	0	0%
Boone	Unknown	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	1	100%
	Hispanic	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%
Butler	White	1	1	100%	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	0	0	0%
	Unknown	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	7	7	100%	2	2	100%
	Black	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	2	2	100%	0	0	0%
Colfax	Hispanic	0	0	0%	3	3	100%	46	46	100%	3	3	100%
COLLAX	White	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	11	11	100%	3	3	100%
	Unknown	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%
	Black	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	1	100%
	Hispanic	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%
Hamilton	White	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	7	7	100%	0	0	0%
	Unknown	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%	1	1	100%
	Hispanic	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	3	3	100%	0	0	0%
Merrick	White	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	6	4	67%	0	0	0%
	Unknown	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	2	2	100%	0	0	0%
Neger	White	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%
Nance	Unknown	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	2	2	100%	0	0	0%
	Am. Indian	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%	0	0	0%
	Black	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	1	1	100%
Platte	Hispanic	2	2	100%	1	1	100%	15	14	93%	5	5	100%
	White	1	1	100%	6	5	83%	20	18	90%	7	7	100%
	Unknown	0	0	0%	2	2	100%	0	0	0%	0	0	0%
Saunders	White	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	9	8	89%	0	0	0%
Saunders	Unknown	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	2	1	50%	1	0	0%
	Am. Indian	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%	0	0	0%
Seward	Hispanic	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	1	100%
	White	0	0	0%	2	2	100%	11	10	91%	5	5	100%
York	Hispanic	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	1	1	100%	1	1	100%
TUIK	White	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	5	4	80%	3	3	100%

	Juvenile Cases and Adjudication by Case Type, County and Race/Ethnicity												
		Tr	affic Offe	nse	St	tatus Offei	nse	N	/lisdemear	or		Felony	
County	Race	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated									
York	Unknown	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	5	1	20%	1	1	100%
District To	District Total 7 5 71% 22 21 95% 159 146 92% 36 35 97%									97%			

### Part (b) Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court - Case Type

*Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (b) Juveniles prosecuted in adult criminal court for felonies, misdemeanors, and traffic offenses. The information shall include juveniles sentenced to terms in adult jails and prisons and juveniles placed on adult probation.* 

Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court tables consist of criminal and traffic cases in county court and criminal cases in district court that reached the point of sentencing in 2021. Cases with multiple charges are counted once per case and reported as the most severe offense. Cases for juveniles ages 13 and below must be filed and stay in juvenile court; however, judges have the authority to allow these cases in criminal court. Therefore, only juveniles ages 14 through 17 at the time of the offense appear in adult criminal court data.

Juv	Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court by Case Type District											
		All C	Cases	Misde	meanor	Fel	ony					
Demographic	Cohort	Total Cases	% of Total	Total Cases	% of Total for Case Type Group	Total Cases	% of Total for Case Type Group					
Age Group	14-15	1	33%	0	-	1	50%					
	17	2	67%	1	100%	1	50%					
Race/Ethnicity	Hispanic	1	50%	0	-	1	100%					
	White	1	50%	1	100%	0	-					
	Unknown	1	-	0	-	1	-					
Sex	Male	2	100%	1	100%	1	100%					
	Unknown	1	-	0	-	1	-					
District Total		3	100%	1	33%	2	67%					

Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court by Case Type, County and Age Group											
County	Age Group	All Cases	Traffic	Misdemeanor	Felony						
	Group # # # #										
Colfax	17	1	0	0	1						
Saunders	17	1	0	1	0						
York 14-15 1 0 0 1											
District To	District Total 3 0 1 2										

Juveni	Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court by Case Type, County and Sex										
County	Sex	All Cases	Traffic	Misdemeanor	Felony						
		#	#	#	#						
Colfax	Male	1	0	0	1						
Saunders	Male	1	0	1	0						
York Unknown 1 0 0											
District To	tal	3	0	1	2						
Juven	Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court by Case Type, County and Race/Ethnicity										
-------------	--	---	---	---	--	--	--	--	--	--	
County	County Race All All Cases Misdemeanor Felony										
		#	#	#							
Colfax	Hispanic	1	0	1							
Saunders	White	1	1	0							
York	York Unknown 1 0 1										
District To	tal	3	1	2							

#### **District 5**

#### Part (b) Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court - Sentence

Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (b) Juveniles prosecuted in adult criminal court for felonies, misdemeanors, and traffic offenses. The information shall include juveniles sentenced to terms in adult jails and prisons and juveniles placed on adult probation.

Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court tables include criminal and traffic cases in county court and criminal cases in district court that reached the point of sentencing in 2021. All sentencing types are included where multiple sentencing types occur within a case (ex. probation/jail). Youth who are sentenced to probation in Adult Court are supervised by Adult Probation. Average age at judgment is the mean calculated for each cohort at the time of their judgment date.

	Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court by Sentencing District											
		Total C	ases	Р	robation			Jail			Prison	
Demographic	Cohort	Number of Cases	% of Total	Average Age at Judgement	Number of Cases	% of Cohort	Average Age at Judgement	Number of Cases	% of Cohort	Average Age at Judgement	Number of Cases	% of Cohort
Age Crown	14 <b>-</b> 15	1	33%	18	0	0%	18	0	0%	18	1	100%
Age Group	17	2	67%	25	0	0%	25	2	100%	25	0	0%
C	Unknown	1	0%	18	0	0%	18	0	0%	18	1	100%
Sex	Male	2	100%	25	0	0%	25	2	100%	25	0	0%
	Unknown	1	0%	18	0	0%	18	0	0%	18	1	100%
Race/Ethnicity	Hispanic	1	50%	32	0	0%	32	1	100%	32	0	0%
	White	1	50%	18	0	0%	18	1	100%	18	0	0%
District Total		3	100%	20	0	0%	20	2	0%	20	1	100%

	Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court by Sentence, County and Age Group												
	<u>^</u>	Total Cases	Proba	tion	Jai	l	Prison						
County	Age Group	Number of Cases	Average Age at Judgement		Number of Cases	Average Age at Judgement	Number of Cases						
Colfax	17	1	32	0	32	1	32	0					
Saunders	17	1	18	0	18	1	18	0					
York	14-15	1 18 0 18 0 18 1											
District To	tal	3	20	0	20	2	20	1					

	Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court by Sentence, County and Sex											
		Total Cases	Proba	tion	Jai	l	Prison					
County	Sex	Number of Cases	Average Age at Judgement	ge at Of Cases Age at		Number of Cases	Average Age at Judgement	Number of Cases				
Colfax	Male	1	32	0	32	1	32	0				
Saunders	Male	1	18	0	18	1	18	0				
York	Unknown	nown 1 18 0 18 0 18 1										
District To	tal	3	20	0	20	2	20	1				

	Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court by Sentence, County and Race/Ethnicity											
		Total Cases	Proba	tion	Jai	l	Prison					
County	Race	Number of Cases	Age at Age at		Number of Cases	Average Age at Judgement	Number of Cases					
Colfax	Hispanic	1	32	0	32	1	32	0				
Saunders	Saunders White 1		18	0	18	1	18	0				
York Unknown 1 18 0 18 0 18 1												
District To	otal	3	20	0	20	2	20	1				

#### **District 5**

#### Part (c) Motions to Transfer Cases and Cases Transferred

*Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (c) The number of motions to transfer and the number of cases transferred to adult court from juvenile court and from adult criminal court to juvenile court.* 

The data represents motions to transfer filed in 2021 and transfers between court types which occurred in 2021. Therefore, the exact same subset of cases may not be represented in both columns. There can be multiple motions to transfer within a single case which, if filed in the same calendar year, would all be included in the counts below. Some cases may have a motion to transfer, but no transfer actually occurs.

	Motions to Transfer Courts and Transfers District												
		Juveni	le Court	t to Adult C	ourt	Adu	lt Court	to Juvenile Cour	t				
Demographic	Cohort	Motion to Transfer Juv to Adult	% of Total	Transfers Juv to Adult	% of Total	Motion to Transfer Adult to Juv	% of Total	Transfers Adult to Juv	% of Total				
Age Group	14-15	1	100%	0	-	0	-	0	-				
Race/Ethnicity	White	1	100%	0	-	0	-	0	-				
Sex	Female	1	1 100% 0 - 0 - 0 -										
District Total		1	-	0	-	0	-	0	-				

	Motions to Transfer Courts and Transfers, County and Age Group										
		-	e Court to It Court	Adult Court to Juvenile Court							
County	Age Group	Motion to Transfer	Cases Transfered	Motion to Transfer	Cases Transfered						
Seward	14-15	1	0	0	0						
District 1	Fotal	1	0	0	0						

113

	Motions to Transfer Courts and Transfers, County and Sex									
		-	e Court to It Court	Adult Court to Juvenile Court						
County	Sex	Motion to Transfer Transfered Transfer								
Seward	Female	1	0	0	0					
District	Total	1	0	0	0					

Motions to Transfer Courts and Transfers, County and Race/Ethnicity									
		-	e Court to It Court	Adult Court to Juvenile Court					
County	Race/ Ethnicity	Motion to Transfer	to Cases to Transfered						
Seward	0								
District 1	District Total 1 0 0 0								

#### **District 5**

#### Part (d) Juvenile Probation Activity

Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (d) Juveniles placed on juvenile probation, the number of juveniles on probation in out-of-home care, the number of juveniles completing probation, the number of motions to revoke probation and probation revocations, and the average length of time on probation.

The Juvenile Probation Activity - Youth data is provided from the Administrative Office of Probation's case management system, Nebraska Probation Application for Community Safety (NPACS). The table reports the number and percent of youth with various activity during the year. Youth are counted once in each category, regardless of the number of out-of-home placements, motions to revoke, or cases they may have in the year. Age groups are calculated specific to the activity as footnoted.

Juvenile Probation Activity District										
Demographic	Cohort	Placed on Probation		Out-of- home Placement		Satisfactory Release				
		# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total			
	11-13	18	6%	18	14%	20	7%			
	14-15	90	30%	32	25%	80	27%			
Age Group	16	52	17%	24	18%	70	24%			
	17	106	35%	40	31%	88	30%			
	18	34	11%	16	12%	34	12%			
	Female	94	31%	38	29%	96	33%			
Sex	Male	206	69%	92	71%	196	67%			
	Black	12	4%	6	5%	10	3%			
	Indian	4	1%	6	5%	2	1%			
Race	Other	66	22%	22	17%	70	24%			
	Pacific Islander	0	0%	4	3%	0	0%			
	White	218	73%	92	71%	210	72%			
Ethniait.	Hispanic	90	30%	40	31%	96	33%			
Ethnicity	Not Hispanic	210	70%	90	69%	196	67%			
District Total		300	100%	130	100%	292	100%			

1. Age at which the probation term began. 2. Age at the first admission to out-of-home placement. 3. Age as of the file date for motion to revoke. 4. Age as of the disposition date for motion to revoke. 5. Age as of the disposition date for motion.

	Juvenile Probation Activity District										
County	Age Group	Place Proba		Out- hor Placer	ne	Satisfactory Release					
	Group	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total				
	11-13	2	1%	2	2%	2	1%				
	14-15	2	1%	0	0%	4	1%				
Boone	16	4	1%	0	0%	8	3%				
	17	2	1%	2	2%	8	3%				
	18	6	2%	4	3%	6	2%				
	11-13	0	0%	0	0%	2	1%				
	14-15	8	3%	4	3%	4	1%				
Butler	16	6	2%	0	0%	6	2%				
	17	16	5%	2	2%	10	3%				
	18	4	1%	0	0%	6	2%				
	11-13	0	0%	0	0%	4	1%				
	14-15	16	5%	2	2%	26	<b>9</b> %				
Colfax	16	6	2%	2	2%	6	2%				
	17	28	9%	10	8%	8	3%				
	18	4	1%	0	0%	2	1%				
	11-13	2	1%	0	0%	0	0%				
	14-15	4	1%	0	0%	0	0%				
Hamilton	16	4	1%	2	2%	10	3%				
	17	6	2%	6	5%	8	3%				
	18	0	0%	0	0%	6	2%				
	11-13	0	0%	4	3%	2	1%				
	14-15	8	3%	6	5%	4	1%				
Merrick	16	4	1%	2	2%	2	1%				
	17	6	2%	4	3%	6	2%				
	18	0	0%	0	0%	4	1%				
	14-15	2	1%	0	0%	2	1%				
	16	0	0%	0	0%	4	1%				
Nance	17	4	1%	0	0%	0	0%				
	18	2	1%	2	2%	0	0%				

	Juvenile Probation Activity District									
County	Age	Place Proba		Out- hor Placer	ne	Satisfactory Release				
	Group	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total			
	11-13	2	1%	4	3%	4	1%			
	14-15	32	11%	10	8%	18	6%			
Platte	16	12	4%	6	5%	16	5%			
	17	20	7%	8	6%	18	6%			
	18	8	3%	4	3%	2	1%			
	14-15	0	0%	2	2%	0	0%			
Polk	17	0	0%	0	0%	4	1%			
	18	0	0%	0	0%	2	1%			
	11-13	2	1%	4	3%	2	1%			
	14-15	0	0%	2	2%	8	3%			
Saunders	16	0	0%	0	0%	4	1%			
	17	2	1%	2	2%	4	1%			
	18	0	0%	2	2%	0	0%			
	11-13	6	2%	2	2%	4	1%			
	14-15	6	2%	4	3%	10	3%			
Seward	16	10	3%	4	3%	12	4%			
	17	10	3%	6	5%	14	5%			
	18	10	3%	2	2%	6	2%			
	11-13	4	1%	2	2%	0	0%			
	14-15	12	4%	2	2%	4	1%			
York	16	6	2%	8	6%	2	1%			
	17	12	4%	0	0%	8	3%			
	18	0	0%	2	2%	0	0%			
District To	tal	300	100%	130	100%	292	100%			

	Juvenile Probation Activity District												
County	Sex	Place Proba		Out- hor Place	me	Satisfa Rele	-						
		# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total						
Beene	Female	8	3%	0	0%	12	4%						
Boone	Male	8	3%	8	6%	16	5%						
Dutlar	Female	12	4%	4	3%	12	4%						
Butler	Male	22	7%	2	2%	16	5%						
Colfay	Female	22	7%	6	5%	14	5%						
Colfax	Male	32	11%	8	6%	32	11%						
I I a mailte a	Female	8	3%	4	3%	4	1%						
Hamilton	Male	8	3%	4	3%	20	7%						
Marriak	Female	2	1%	2	2%	4	1%						
Merrick	Male	16	5%	14	11%	14	5%						
Nance	Male	8	3%	2	2%	6	2%						
Dista	Female	22	7%	8	6%	26	9%						
Platte	Male	52	17%	24	18%	32	11%						
Dalla	Female	0	0%	2	2%	2	1%						
Polk	Male	0	0%	0	0%	4	1%						
Course do um	Female	4	1%	4	3%	6	2%						
Saunders	Male	0	0%	6	5%	12	4%						
Sourced	Female	14	5%	8	6%	12	4%						
Seward	Male	28	9%	10	8%	34	12%						
Verla	Female	2	1%	0	0%	4	1%						
York	Male	32	11%	14	11%	10	3%						
District To	tal	300	100%	130	100%	292	100%						

	Juven	ile Prol Dis	oation strict	Activit	y		
County	Race	Place Proba		Out hor Place	me	Satisfa Rele	-
		# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total
Boone	White	16	5%	8	6%	28	10%
Butler	Other	6	2%	2	2%	6	2%
Butter	White	28	9%	4	3%	22	8%
	Other	34	11%	8	6%	36	12%
Colfax	White	20	7%	6	5%	8	3%
	Indian	0	0%	0	0%	2	1%
	Black	4	1%	2	2%	0	0%
Hamilton	Other	4	1%	0	0%	0	0%
	White	8	3%	6	5%	24	8%
Merrick	Other	2	1%	2	2%	0	0%
Werrick	White	16	5%	14	11%	18	6%
Nance	White	8	3%	2	2%	6	2%
	Black	4	1%	2	2%	0	0%
	Indian	2	1%	2	2%	0	0%
Platte	Other	16	5%	6	5%	22	8%
	White	52	17%	18	14%	36	12%
	Pacific Islander	0	0%	4	3%	0	0%
Delle	White	0	0%	2	2%	4	1%
Polk	Other	0	0%	0	0%	2	1%
	Indian	2	1%	4	3%	0	0%
Saunders	White	2	1%	4	3%	12	4%
	Black	0	0%	2	2%	6	2%
	Black	4	1%	0	0%	4	1%
Seward	Other	2	1%	2	2%	4	1%
	White	36	12%	16	12%	38	13%
York	Other	2	1%	2	2%	0	0%
TUIK	White	32	11%	12	9%	14	5%
District To	tal	300	100%	130	100%	292	100%

	Juver	nile Pro Di	batior istrict	n Activi	ty			
County	Ethnicity	Place Proba		Out- hor Place	ne	Satisfactory Release		
		# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	
Boone	Hispanic	2	1%	4	3%	4	1%	
Boone	Not Hispanic	14	5%	4	3%	24	8%	
Butler	Hispanic	6	2%	2	2%	6	2%	
Butter	Not Hispanic	28	9%	4	3%	22	8%	
Colfax	Hispanic	38	13%	10	8%	42	14%	
COLIAX	Not Hispanic	16	5%	4	3%	4	1%	
Hamilton	Hispanic	8	3%	0	0%	10	3%	
Папписоп	Not Hispanic	8	3%	8	6%	14	5%	
Merrick	Hispanic	4	1%	0	0%	2	1%	
Werner	Not Hispanic	14	5%	16	12%	16	5%	
Nance	Not Hispanic	8	3%	2	2%	6	2%	
Platte	Hispanic	24	8%	18	14%	26	9%	
Flatte	Not Hispanic	50	17%	14	11%	32	11%	
Polk	Not Hispanic	0	0%	2	2%	6	2%	
Saunders	Not Hispanic	4	1%	10	8%	18	6%	
Coverd	Hispanic	2	1%	2	2%	6	2%	
Seward	Not Hispanic	40	13%	16	12%	40	14%	
York	Hispanic	6	2%	4	3%	0	0%	
TOTK	Not Hispanic	28	9%	10	8%	14	5%	
District To	tal	300	1 <b>00</b> %	130	100%	292	100%	

#### **District 5**

#### Part (d) Juvenile Probation - Youth Risk Level and Length of Probation

Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (d) Juveniles placed on juvenile probation, the number of juveniles on probation in out-of-home care, the number of juveniles completing probation, the number of motions to revoke probation and probation revocations, and the average length of time on probation.

Youth Level of Service/Case Management Inventory (YLS/CMI) is an assessment tool used to systematically measure risk for recidivism and appropriate supervision intensity for youth on probation. The following table shows the classification results of youth that entered into Probation in 2021, and another set of youth that discharged from Probation in 2021. Youth that are assessed at low or moderate low risk are matched to JCBR supervision and those assessed at high or moderate high risk are matched to JCBR supervision intensity

	Youth Risk Levels and Length of Probation District												
		Youth Starting Probation				Discharged	from Probation	Average Length of Probation					
Demo- graphic	Cohort	JCBR/ Low/ Moderate Low	JCBI/ High	No Assessment**	JCBR/ Low	JCBI/ High/ Moderate High	No Assessment**	Total Youth	Avg Length of Probation				
	11-13	10	8	-	-	2	-	2	8.0				
	14-15	26	64	-	12	16	-	28	10.8				
Age Group	16	14	38	-	14	26	-	40	11.3				
	17	20	86	-	34	48	-	82	13.5				
	18	12	20	2	50	60	2	112	12.9				
Sav	Female	26	66	2	32	48	2	82	13.7				
Sex	Male	56	150	-	78	104	-	182	12.1				
	Am. Indian	-	4	-	-	2	-	2	24.0				
Race	Black	-	10	2	2	4	2	8	12.8				
касе	White	70	148	-	90	112	-	202	12.0				
	Other	12	54	-	18	34	-	52	14.4				
Ethnisit.	Hispanic	12	78	-	22	52	-	74	15.6				
Ethnicity	Not Hispanic	70	138	2	88	100	2	190	11.4				
District Tota	al	82	216	2	110	152	2	264	12.6				

	Youth Risk Levels and Length of Probation by County and Age Group												
		Youth	Starting	g Probation	Youth	Discharged 1	from Probation		Average Length of Probation				
County	Age Group	JCBR/ Low/ Moderate Low	JCBI/ High	No Assessment**	JCBR/ Low	JCBI/ High/ Moderate High	No Assessment**	Total Youth	Avg Length of Probation				
	11-13	-	2	-	-	2	-	2	8.0				
	14-15	2	-	-	-	-	-	0	-				
Boone	16	-	4	-	2	-	-	2	6.0				
	17	-	2	-	2	4	-	6	14.0				
	18	6	-	-	8	6	-	14	10.6				
	14-15	2	6	-	-	-	-	0	-				
Dutley	16	2	4	-	2	4	-	6	17.7				
Butler	17	8	8	-	8	2	-	10	6.6				
	18	2	2	-	6	6	-	12	7.8				
	14-15	2	14	-	-	2	-	2	14.0				
a. 16	16	-	6	-	2	2	-	4	12.5				
Colfax	17	4	24	-	-	6	-	6	34.7				
	18	-	4	-	6	10	-	16	16.0				
	11-13	2	-	-	-	-	-	0	-				
	14-15	2	2	-	-	-	-	0	-				
Hamilton	16	2	2	-	2	-	-	2	3.0				
	17	-	6	-	4	4	-	8	11.5				
	18	-	-	-	6	2	-	8	9.5				
	14-15	-	8	-	-	2	-	2	21.0				
Manufala	16	4	-	-	-	2	-	2	12.0				
Merrick	17	-	6	-	4	4	-	8	16.8				
	18	-	-	-	4	4	-	8	12.0				
	14-15	-	2	-	-	-	-	0	-				
Nance	17	2	2	-	4	-	-	4	9.0				
	18	-	2	-	-	-	-	0	-				
	11-13	-	2	-	-	-	-	0	-				
Dista	14-15	4	28	-	4	10	-	14	7.3				
Platte	16	-	12	-	-	2	-	2	5.0				
	17	2	18	-	4	14	-	18	17.0				

	Youth Risk Levels and Length of Probation by County and Age Group												
		Youth	Starting	g Probation	Youth	Discharged	from Probation	Average Length of Probation					
County	Age Group	JCBR/ Low/ Moderate Low	JCBI/ High	No Assessment**	JCBR/ Low	JCBI/ High/ Moderate High	No Assessment**	Total Youth	Avg Length of Probation				
Platte	18	-	8	-	4	20	-	24	20.5				
Polk	18	-	-	-	4	2	-	6	8.7				
	11-13	-	2	-	-	-	-	0	-				
	14-15	-	-	-	2	-	-	2	25.0				
Saunders	16	-	-	-	-	6	-	6	14.3				
	17	-	2	-	2	2	-	4	13.0				
	18	-	-	-	4	2	-	6	9.7				
	11-13	4	2	-	-	-	-	0	-				
	14-15	6	-	-	4	2	-	6	11.3				
Seward	16	4	6	-	6	6	-	12	5.3				
	17	2	8	-	4	6	-	10	8.8				
	18	4	4	2	6	6	2	14	10.4				
	11-13	4	-	-	-	-	-	0	-				
	14-15	8	4	-	2	-	-	2	6.0				
York	16	2	4	-	-	4	-	4	15.0				
	17	2	10	-	2	6	-	8	8.0				
	18	-	-	-	2	2	-	4	7.0				
District To	tal	82	216	2	110	152	2	264	12.5				

		٢	outh F	Risk Levels and by Count	_		tion			
		Youth	Starting	Probation	Youth	Discharged f	rom Probation	Average Length of Probation		
County	Sex	JCBR/Low/ Moderate Low	JCBI/ High	No Assessment**	JCBR/ Low	JCBI/High/ Moderate High	No Assessment**	Total Youth	Avg Length of Probation	
Deene	Female	8	-	-	6	4	-	10	6.6	
Boone	Male	-	8	-	6	8	-	14	13.9	
Dutley	Female	4	8	-	6	6	-	12	12.5	
Butler	Male	10	12	-	10	6	-	16	7.2	
Colfax	Female	4	18	-	-	6	-	6	25.7	
Coltax	Male	2	30	-	8	14	-	22	17.6	
l la mailte m	Female	-	8	-	-	4	-	4	12.0	
Hamilton	Male	6	2	-	12	2	-	14	9.0	
Merrick	Female	-	2	-	-	4	-	4	16.5	
Merrick	Male	4	12	-	8	8	-	16	14.4	
Nance	Male	2	6	-	4	-	-	4	9.0	
Diation of the second sec	Female	-	22	-	6	14	-	20	18.7	
Platte	Male	6	46	-	6	32	-	38	14.1	
Polk	Female	-	-	-	2	-	-	2	11.0	
POIK	Male	-	-	-	2	2	-	4	7.5	
Saunders	Female	-	4	-	2	4	-	6	13.0	
Saunders	Male	-	-	-	6	6	-	12	14.0	
Coursed	Female	8	4	2	6	6	2	14	10.6	
Seward	Male	12	16	-	14	14	-	28	7.8	
Varia	Female	2	-	-	4	-	-	4	5.0	
York	Male	14	18	-	2	10	-	12	10.0	
District Tot	al	82	216	2	110	150	2	262	12.5	

	Youth Risk Levels and Length of Probation by County and Race												
		Youth	Starting	g Probation	Youth	Discharged f	from Probation		ge Length robation				
County	Race	JCBR/ Low/ Moderate Low	JCBI/ High	No Assessment**	JCBR/ Low	JCBI/ High/ Moderate High	No Assessment**	Total Youth	Avg Length of Probation				
Boone	White	8	8	-	12	12	-	24	10.8				
Butler	White	12	16	-	12	10	-	22	9.9				
Butter	Other	2	4	-	4	2	-	6	8.0				
	Am. Indian	-	-	-	-	2	-	2	24.0				
Colfax	White	2	18	-	2	6	-	8	20.8				
	Other	4	30	-	6	12	-	18	18.2				
	Black	-	4	-	-	-	-	0	-				
Hamilton	White	4	4	-	12	6	-	18	9.7				
	Other	2	2	-	-	-	-	0	-				
Marriak	White	4	12	-	8	12	-	20	14.8				
Merrick	Other	-	2	-	-	-	-	0	-				
Nance	White	2	6	-	4	-	-	4	9.0				
	Am. Indian	-	2	-	-	-	-	0	-				
Diatta	Black	-	4	-	-	-	-	0	-				
Platte	White	4	48	-	6	28	-	34	17.1				
	Other	2	14	-	6	18	-	24	13.7				
	White	-	-	-	2	2	-	4	7.5				
Polk	Other	-	-	-	2	-	-	2	11.0				
	Am. Indian	-	2	-	-	-	-	0	-				
Saunders	Black	-	-	-	2	2	-	4	18.5				
	White	-	2	-	6	8	-	14	12.3				
	Black	-	2	2	-	2	2	4	7.0				
Seward	White	20	16	-	20	16	-	36	8.7				
	Other	-	2	-	-	2	-	2	12.0				
	White	14	18	-	6	10	-	16	8.8				
York	Other	2	-	-	-	-	-	0	-				
District To	tal	82	216	2	110	150	2	262	12.5				

		You		CLevels and L by County and			on		
		Youth	Starting	g Probation	Youth	Discharged 1	from Probation	Average Length of Probation	
County	Ethnicity	JCBR/ Low/ Moderate Low	JCBI/ High	No Assessment**	JCBR/ Low	JCBI/ High/ Moderate High	No Assessment**	Total Youth	Avg Length of Probation
D	Hispanic	-	2	-	2	-	-	2	16.0
Boone	Not Hispanic	8	6	-	10	12	-	22	10.4
Butler	Hispanic	2	4	-	4	2	-	6	8.0
Butler	Not Hispanic	12	16	-	12	10	-	22	9.9
Calfav	Hispanic	4	34	-	8	14	-	22	20.5
Colfax	Not Hispanic	2	14	-	-	6	-	6	15.3
Hamilton	Hispanic	2	6	-	2	2	-	4	14.5
Hamilton	Not Hispanic	4	4	-	10	4	-	14	8.3
Manufala	Hispanic	-	4	-	-	4	-	4	11.0
Merrick	Not Hispanic	4	10	-	8	8	-	16	15.8
Nance	Not Hispanic	2	6	-	4	-	-	4	9.0
Diatta	Hispanic	2	22	-	6	24	-	30	15.9
Platte	Not Hispanic	4	46	-	6	22	-	28	15.4
Polk	Not Hispanic	-	-	-	4	2	-	6	8.7
Saunders	Not Hispanic	-	4	-	8	10	-	18	13.7
<b>C</b>	Hispanic	-	2	-	-	4	-	4	11.0
Seward	Not Hispanic	20	18	2	20	16	2	38	8.5
) ( - ul.	Hispanic	2	4	-	-	2	-	2	0.0
York	Not Hispanic	14	14	-	6	10	-	16	10.2
District To	tal	82	216	2	110	152	2	264	12.5

#### Part (e) Juveniles Access to Counsel

*Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (e) juveniles with and without access to counsel in juvenile and adult court, both appointed and retained.* 

The Juveniles Access to Counsel table displays the percent of juveniles represented by an attorney in criminal cases from county court and district court and juvenile cases from county court and separate juvenile court. Data includes new cases filed and cases transferred from one county to another during calendar year 2021. Cases filed in 2021 may not have reached the sentencing/adjudication stage of proceedings. Cases for juveniles ages 13 and below must be filed and stay in juvenile court.

	Juvenile Access to Counsel District												
			Criminal C	ourt Cases			Juvenile C	ourt Cases					
Demographic	Cohort	Total Criminal Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel	Total Juvenile Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel				
	11-13	-	-	-	-	43	10	33	77%				
Are Croup	14-15	1	1	0	0%	90	38	52	58%				
Age Group	16	-	-	-	-	80	41	39	4 <b>9</b> %				
	17	10	8	2	20%	111	41	70	63%				
	Female	-	-	-	-	89	37	52	58%				
Sex	Male	10	9	1	10%	198	83	115	58%				
	Unknown	1	0	1	1 <b>00</b> %	37	10	27	7 <b>3</b> %				
	Am. Indian	-	-	-	-	2	1	1	50%				
	Black	-	-	-	-	6	3	3	50%				
Race/Ethnicity	Hispanic	5	5	0	0%	111	60	51	46%				
	White	3	2	1	33%	156	48	108	<b>69</b> %				
	Unknown	3	2	1	33%	49	18	31	63%				
District Total		11	9	2	18%	324	130	194	60%				

					ss to Cou nd Age G				
			Criminal C	ourt Cases			Juvenile C	ourt Cases	
County	Age Group	Total Criminal Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel	Total Juvenile Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel
	11-13	-	-	-	-	3	1	2	67%
Boone	14-15	-	-	-	-	4	2	2	50%
Boone	16	-	-	-	-	1	1	0	0%
	17	-	-	-	-	1	0	1	100%
	11-13	-	-	-	-	3	0	3	100%
Butler	14-15	-	-	-	-	3	1	2	67%
butter	16	-	-	-	-	7	2	5	71%
	17	1	1	0	0%	7	3	4	57%
	11-13	-	-	-	-	4	3	1	25%
Colfax	14-15	1	1	0	0%	25	17	8	32%
Coltax	16	-	-	-	-	22	17	5	23%
	17	4	4	0	0%	41	18	23	56%
	11-13	-	-	-	-	1	1	0	0%
Hamilton	14-15	-	-	-	-	2	2	0	0%
Hamilton	16	-	-	-	-	6	3	3	50%
	17	-	-	-	-	4	1	3	75%
	11-13	-	-	-	-	5	0	5	100%
Merrick	14-15	-	-	-	-	6	2	4	67%
WEITICK	16	-	-	-	-	9	7	2	22%
	17	-	-	-	-	4	2	2	50%
	11-13	-	-	-	-	2	0	2	100%
Nanco	14-15	-	-	-	-	1	1	0	0%
Nance	16	-	-	-	-	2	1	1	50%
	17	-	-	-	-	1	1	0	0%
	11-13	-	-	-	-	7	1	6	86%
Platta	14-15	-	-	-	-	28	8	20	71%
Platte	16	-	-	-	-	19	6	13	68%
	17	-	-	-	-	27	10	17	63%
Saunders	11-13	-	-	-	-	4	1	3	75%

	Juvenile Access to Counsel by County and Age Group											
			Criminal C	ourt Cases			Juvenile C	ourt Cases				
County	Age Group	Total Criminal Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel	Total Juvenile Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel			
	14-15	-	-	-	-	2	0	2	100%			
Saunders	16	-	-	-	-	5	2	3	60%			
	17	2	1	1	50%	6	1	5	83%			
	11-13	-	-	-	-	6	0	6	100%			
Seward	14-15	-	-	-	-	8	0	8	100%			
Seward	16	-	-	-	-	6	1	5	83%			
	17	2	1	1	50%	9	0	9	100%			
	11-13	-	-	-	-	8	3	5	62%			
York	14-15	-	-	-	-	11	5	6	55%			
TOTK	16	-	-	-	-	3	1	2	67%			
	17	1	1	0	0%	11	5	6	55%			
District To	tal	11	9	2	18%	324	130	194	60%			

Juvenile Access to Counsel by County and Sex										
			Criminal C	ourt Cases			Juvenile C	ourt Cases		
County	Sex	Total Criminal Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel	Total Juvenile Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel	
Boone	Female	-	-	-	-	2	1	1	50%	
Boone	Male	-	-	-	-	7	3	4	57%	
	Female	-	-	-	-	4	1	3	75%	
Butler	Male	1	1	0	0%	8	4	4	50%	
	Unknown	-	-	-	-	8	1	7	88%	
	Female	-	-	-	-	38	22	16	42%	
Colfax	Male	5	5	0	0%	53	32	21	40%	
	Unknown	-	-	-	-	1	1	0	0%	
Llemilten	Female	-	-	-	-	4	3	1	25%	
Hamilton	Male	-	-	-	-	9	4	5	56%	
	Female	-	-	-	-	2	1	1	50%	
Merrick	Male	-	-	-	-	9	6	3	33%	
	Unknown	-	-	-	-	13	4	9	69%	
Nance	Male	-	-	-	-	6	3	3	50%	
	Female	-	-	-	-	20	8	12	60%	
Platte	Male	-	-	-	-	46	13	33	72%	
	Unknown	-	-	-	-	15	4	11	73%	
Coundance	Female	-	-	-	-	8	0	8	100%	
Saunders	Male	2	1	1	50%	9	4	5	56%	
	Female	-	-	-	-	8	0	8	100%	
Seward	Male	1	1	0	0%	21	1	20	95%	
	Unknown	1	0	1	100%	-	-	-	-	
Varle	Female	-	-	-	-	3	1	2	67%	
York	Male	1	1	0	0%	30	13	17	57%	
District To	tal	11	9	2	18%	324	130	194	60%	

Juvenile Access to Counsel by County and Race/Ethnicity										
			Juvenile C			_	Criminal C	ourt Cases		
County	Race/ Ethnicity	Total Juvenile Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel	Total Criminal Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel	
	Black	1	0	1	100%	-	-	-	-	
Boone	White	7	4	3	43%	-	-	-	-	
	Unknown	1	0	1	100%	-	-	-	-	
	Hispanic	1	0	1	100%	1	1	0	0%	
Butler	White	2	1	1	50%	-	-	-	-	
	Unknown	17	5	12	71%	-	-	-	-	
	Black	2	2	0	0%	-	-	-	-	
Colfax	Hispanic	65	42	23	35%	4	4	0	0%	
Colfax	White	23	10	13	57%	-	-	-	-	
	Unknown	2	1	1	50%	1	1	0	0%	
	Black	1	0	1	100%	-	-	-	-	
Hamilton	Hispanic	2	2	0	0%	-	-	-	-	
Hamilton	White	8	4	4	50%	-	-	-	-	
	Unknown	2	1	1	50%	-	-	-	-	
	Hispanic	5	2	3	60%	-	-	-	-	
Merrick	White	15	6	9	60%	-	-	-	-	
	Unknown	4	3	1	25%	-	-	-	-	
Nanco	White	2	1	1	50%	-	-	-	-	
Nance	Unknown	4	2	2	50%	-	-	-	-	
	Am. Indian	1	1	0	0%	-	-	-	-	
	Black	2	1	1	50%	-	-	-	-	
Platte	Hispanic	32	12	20	62%	-	-	-	-	
	White	44	10	34	77%	-	-	-	-	
	Unknown	2	1	1	50%	-	-	-	-	
Saunders	White	13	4	9	69%	2	1	1	50%	
Saunders	Unknown	4	0	4	100%	-	-	-	-	
	Am. Indian	1	0	1	100%	-	-	-	-	
Seward	Hispanic	1	0	1	100%	-	-	-	-	
	White	27	1	26	96%	1	1	0	0%	

	Juvenile Access to Counsel by County and Race/Ethnicity										
			Juvenile C	ourt Cases		Criminal Court Cases					
County	Race/ Ethnicity	Total Juvenile Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel	Total Criminal Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel		
Seward	Unknown	-	-	-	-	1	0	1	100%		
	Hispanic	5	2	3	60%	-	-	-	-		
York	White	15	7	8	53%	-	-	-	-		
Unknown		13	5	8	62%	1	1	0	0%		
District To	otal	324	130	194	60%	11	9	2	18%		

#### **District 6**

#### Part (a) Juvenile Petitions and Adjudications

Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (a) Juveniles prosecuted in juvenile court under subdivision (1), (2), (3)(b), or (4) of section 43-247, including the total number of filings and adjudications.

The Juvenile Petitions and Adjudications tables report the number of new juvenile cases and corresponding percent of adjudications by case type and demographic group for cases filed in 2021. The data consists of both county court and separate juvenile court cases. Only the most serious offense is counted for cases with multiple charges, pleas or findings. Cases are counted in the Admit column if at least one charge was admitted to in court. Supplemental petitions are not included in this data set. Cases filed in 2021 may not have reached the adjudication stage of proceedings. Juvenile cases where the age is unknown, if any, are included in the report.

	Juvenile Petitions and Adjudication by Case Type District											
		Status Offense			М	lisdemea	nor	Felony				
Demo- graphic	Cohort	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated		
	14-15	17	14	82%	36	20	56%	9	7	78%		
Age Group	16	7	6	86%	31	20	65%	13	13	100%		
p	17	10	6	60%	48	35	73%	17	10	59%		
	Female	12	11	92%	28	18	64%	6	4	67%		
Sex	Male	20	15	75%	86	57	66%	32	26	81%		
	Unknown	2	0	0%	1	0	0%	1	0	0%		
	Am. Indian	0	0	0%	4	3	75%	2	2	100%		
	Asian/P.I.	0	0	0%	1	0	0%	1	1	100%		
	Black	1	1	100%	3	1	33%	1	1	100%		
Race/ Ethnicity	Hispanic	3	3	100%	11	8	73%	7	5	71%		
	White	9	6	67%	54	40	74%	13	10	77%		
	Other	0	0	0%	2	1	50%	1	0	0%		
	Unknown	21	16	76%	40	22	55%	14	11	79%		
District Total 34 26 76% 115 75 65% 39 30								77%				

	Juvenile Cases and Adjudication by Case Type, County and Age Group											
		St	atus Offe	nse	N	lisdemear	nor	Felony				
County	Age Group	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated		
Burt	14-15	0	0	0%	1	0	0%	0	0	0%		
burt	16	0	0	0%	1	0	0%	0	0	0%		
Cedar	17	0	0	0%	4	0	0%	0	0	0%		
	14-15	2	2	100%	5	4	80%	2	2	100%		
Dakota	16	0	0	0%	4	3	75%	2	2	100%		
	17	0	0	0%	7	5	71%	2	1	50%		
Dixon	17	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%		
	14-15	11	11	100%	18	9	50%	6	4	67%		
Dodge	16	5	5	100%	14	11	7 <b>9</b> %	11	11	100%		
	17	9	6	67%	27	22	81%	15	9	60%		
Thursday	14-15	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	1	100%		
Thurston	17	0	0	0%	1	0	0%	0	0	0%		
	14-15	4	1	25%	12	7	58%	0	0	0%		
Washington	16	2	1	50%	12	6	50%	0	0	0%		
	17	1	0	0%	8	7	88%	0	0	0%		
District Total		34	26	76%	115	75	65%	39	30	77%		

	Juvenile Cases and Adjudication by Case Type, County and Sex											
		Status Offense			Misdemeanor			Felony				
County	Sex	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated		
Burt	Female	0	0	0%	1	0	0%	0	0	0%		
Burt	Male	0	0	0%	1	0	0%	0	0	0%		
Cedar	Male	0	0	0%	4	0	0%	0	0	0%		
	Female	0	0	0%	2	2	100%	2	2	100%		
Dakota	Male	2	2	100%	13	10	77%	3	3	100%		
	Unknown	0	0	0%	1	0	0%	1	0	0%		
Dixon	Male	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%		
Deda	Female	10	10	100%	10	7	70%	4	2	50%		
Dodge	Male	15	12	80%	49	35	71%	28	22	<b>79</b> %		
Thurston	Male	0	0	0%	1	0	0%	1	1	100%		
	Female	2	1	50%	15	9	60%	0	0	0%		
Washington	Male	3	1	33%	17	11	65%	0	0	0%		
	Unknown	2	0	0%	0	0	0%	0	0	0%		
District Total		34	26	76%	115	75	65%	39	30	77%		

Juvenile Cases and Adjudication by Case Type, County and Race/Ethnicity											
		St	tatus Offei	nse	Ν	/lisdemear	or		Felony		
County	Race	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated	
Burt	White	0	0	0%	2	0	0%	0	0	0%	
	Asian/P.I.	0	0	0%	1	0	0%	0	0	0%	
Cedar	White	0	0	0%	2	0	0%	0	0	0%	
	Other	0	0	0%	1	0	0%	0	0	0%	
Am. Indian		0	0	0%	3	3	100%	2	2	100%	
	Asian/P.I.	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	
Dakota	Hispanic	1	1	100%	4	3	75%	2	2	100%	
Dakola	White	1	1	100%	7	5	71%	0	0	0%	
	Other	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	0	0%	
	Unknown	0	0	0%	2	1	50%	0	0	0%	
Dixon	White	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%	
	Black	1	1	100%	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	
	Hispanic	1	1	100%	7	5	71%	5	3	60%	
Dodge	White	6	4	67%	22	18	82%	13	10	77%	
	Other	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%	
	Unknown	17	16	94%	29	18	62%	13	10	77%	
Thurston	Am. Indian	0	0	0%	1	0	0%	0	0	0%	
Thurston	Unknown	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	
	Black	0	0	0%	3	1	33%	0	0	0%	
Machineter	Hispanic	1	1	100%	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	
Washington	White	2	1	50%	20	16	80%	0	0	0%	
	Unknown	4	0	0%	9	3	33%	0	0	0%	
District Total		34	26	76%	115	75	65%	39	30	77%	

#### **District 6**

#### Part (b) Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court - Case Type

*Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (b) Juveniles prosecuted in adult criminal court for felonies, misdemeanors, and traffic offenses. The information shall include juveniles sentenced to terms in adult jails and prisons and juveniles placed on adult probation.* 

Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court tables consist of criminal and traffic cases in county court and criminal cases in district court that reached the point of sentencing in 2021. Cases with multiple charges are counted once per case and reported as the most severe offense. Cases for juveniles ages 13 and below must be filed and stay in juvenile court; however, judges have the authority to allow these cases in criminal court. Therefore, only juveniles ages 14 through 17 at the time of the offense appear in adult criminal court data.

Juv	Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court by Case Type District										
		All C	Cases	Tra	affic	Misder	meanor				
Demographic	Cohort	Total Cases	% of Total	Total Cases	% of Total for Case Type Group	Total Cases	% of Total for Case Type Group				
Age Group	16	3	25%	0	-	3	30%				
	17	9	75%	2	100%	7	70%				
Race/Ethnicity	Am. Indian	1	9%	0	-	1	11%				
	Asian/P.I.	1	9%	0	-	1	11%				
	Black	3	27%	0	-	3	33%				
	Hispanic	1	9%	0	-	1	11%				
	White	5	45%	2	100%	3	33%				
	Unknown	1	-	0	-	1	-				
Sex	Female	3	25%	2	100%	1	10%				
	Male	9	75%	0	-	9	90%				
District Total		12	100%	2	17%	10	83%				

Juveniles	Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court by Case Type, County and Age Group										
County	Age Group	All Cases	Traffic	Misdemeanor	Felony						
	Group	#	#	#	#						
Dakota	16	2	0	2	0						
Dakota	17	6	2	4	0						
Thurston	17	1	0	1	0						
Washington	16	1	0	1	0						
Washington	17	2	0	2	0						
District Total 12 2 10 0											

Juveniles	Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court by Case Type, County and Sex										
County	Sex	All Cases	Traffic	Misdemeanor	Felony						
		#	#	#	#						
Dekete	Female	3	2	1	0						
Dakota	Male	5	0	5	0						
Thurston	Male	1	0	1	0						
Washington	Male	3	0	3	0						
District Total		12	2	10	0						

Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court by Case Type, County and Race/Ethnicity										
County	Race	All Cases Traffic		Misdemeanor						
		#	#	#						
	Am. Indian	1	0	1						
	Black	2	0	2						
Dakota	Hispanic	1	0	1						
	White	3	2	1						
	Unknown	1	0	1						
Thurston	Black	1	0	1						
Washington	Asian/P.I.	1	0	1						
Washington	2	0	2							
District Total		12	2	10						

#### **District 6**

#### Part (b) Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court - Sentence

Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (b) Juveniles prosecuted in adult criminal court for felonies, misdemeanors, and traffic offenses. The information shall include juveniles sentenced to terms in adult jails and prisons and juveniles placed on adult probation.

Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court tables include criminal and traffic cases in county court and criminal cases in district court that reached the point of sentencing in 2021. All sentencing types are included where multiple sentencing types occur within a case (ex. probation/jail). Youth who are sentenced to probation in Adult Court are supervised by Adult Probation. Average age at judgment is the mean calculated for each cohort at the time of their judgment date.

	Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court by Sentencing District												
		Total Cases		Probation			Jail				Prison		
Demographic	Cohort	Number of Cases	% of Total	Average Age at Judgement	Number of Cases	% of Cohort	Average Age at Judgement	Number of Cases	% of Cohort	Average Age at Judgement	Number of Cases	% of Cohort	
Age Croup	16	3	25%	18	2	67%	18	1	33%	18	0	0%	
Age Group	17	9	75%	18	8	89%	18	1	11%	18	0	0%	
Sex	Female	3	25%	19	2	67%	19	1	33%	19	0	0%	
Sex	Male	9	75%	18	8	67%	18	1	33%	18	0	0%	
	Am. Indian	1	9%	21	0	67%	21	1	33%	21	0	0%	
	Asian/P.I.	1	9%	16	1	67%	16	0	33%	16	0	0%	
	Hispanic	1	9%	17	1	67%	17	0	33%	17	0	0%	
Race/Ethnicity	White	5	45%	18	5	89%	18	0	11%	18	0	0%	
	Black	3	27%	18	2	89%	18	1	11%	18	0	0%	
	Unknown	1	0%	18	1	89%	18	0	11%	18	0	0%	
District Total		12	100%	18	10	67%	18	2	33%	18	0	0%	
	Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court by Sentence, County and Age Group												
------------------------	---	--------------------	--------------------------------	--------------------	--------------------------------	--------------------	--------------------------------	--------------------	--	--	--	--	
	<u>^</u>	Total Cases	Proba	tion	Jai	l	Prison						
County	Age Group	Number of Cases	Average Age at Judgement	Number of Cases	Average Age at Judgement	Number of Cases	Average Age at Judgement	Number of Cases					
Dakota	16	2	18	1	18	1	18	0					
Dakota	17	6	18	6	18	0	18	0					
Thurston	17	1	18	0	18	1	18	0					
) A / a a la ina mta n	16	1	16	1	16	0	16	0					
Washington 17 2		2	17	2	17	0	17	0					
District Total		12	18	10	18	2	18	0					

	Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court by Sentence, County and Sex											
		Total Cases	Probation		Jai	l	Prisc	n				
County	Sex	Number of Cases	Average Age at Judgement	Number of Cases	Average Age at Judgement	Number of Cases	Average Age at Judgement	Number of Cases				
Dakota	Female	3	19	2	19	1	19	0				
Dakola	Male	5	18	5	18	0	18	0				
Thurston	Male	1	18	0	18	1	18	0				
Washington	Male	3	17	3	17	0	17	0				
District Total		12	18	10	18	2	18	0				

	Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court by Sentence, County and Race/Ethnicity											
		Total Probation Cases		Jai	l	Prisc	Prison					
County	Race	Number of Cases	Average Age at Judgement	Number of Cases	Average Age at Judgement	Number of Cases	Average Age at Judgement	Number of Cases				
	Am. Indian	1	21	0	21	1	21	0				
	Black	2	18	2	18	0	18	0				
Dakota	Hispanic	1	17	1	17	0	17	0				
	White	3	18	3	18	0	18	0				
	Unknown	1	18	1	18	0	18	0				
Thurston	Black	1	18	0	18	1	18	0				
) ( a a b in stan	Asian/P.I.	1	16	1	16	0	16	0				
Washington	White	2	17	2	17	0	17	0				
District Total		12	18	10	18	2	18	0				

#### **District 6**

#### Part (c) Motions to Transfer Cases and Cases Transferred

*Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (c) The number of motions to transfer and the number of cases transferred to adult court from juvenile court and from adult criminal court to juvenile court.* 

The data represents motions to transfer filed in 2021 and transfers between court types which occurred in 2021. Therefore, the exact same subset of cases may not be represented in both columns. There can be multiple motions to transfer within a single case which, if filed in the same calendar year, would all be included in the counts below. Some cases may have a motion to transfer, but no transfer actually occurs.

	Motions to Transfer Courts and Transfers District										
		Juveni	t to Adult C	ourt	Adu	lt Court					
Demographic	Cohort	Motion to Transfer Juv to Adult	% of Total	Transfers Juv to Adult	% of Total	Motion to Transfer Adult to Juv	% of Total		% of Total		
	14-15	0	-	0	-	0	-	1	33%		
Age Group	16	0	-	0	-	1	100%	1	33%		
	17	2	100%	0	-	0	-	1	33%		
	Hispanic	1	100%	0	-	1	100%	2	67%		
Race/Ethnicity	White	0	-	0	-	0	-	1	33%		
	Unknown	1	-	0	-	0	-	0	-		
Cov	Female	0	-	0	-	0	-	1	33%		
Sex	Male	2	100%	0	-	1	100%	2	67%		
District Total		2	-	0	-	1	-	3	-		

	County and Age Group										
		-	e Court to It Court	Adult Court to Juvenile Court							
County	Age Group	Motion to Transfer	Cases Transfered	Motion to Transfer	Cases Transfered						
Dakota	16	0	0	1	1						
Dakola	17	1	0	0	0						
Dedre	14-15	0	0	0	1						
Dodge	17	1	0	0	1						
District	Total	2	0	1	3						

# Motions to Transfer Courts and Transfers,

	Motion		sfer Courts unty and Se		nsfers,		
		-	e Court to It Court	Adult Court to Juvenile Court			
County	Sex	Motion to Transfer	Cases Transfered	Motion to Transfer	Cases Transfered		
Dakota	Male	1	0	1	1		
Dodro	Female	0	0	0	1		
Dodge	Male	1	0	0	1		
District	Total	2	0	1	3		

	County and Race/Ethnicity									
		-	e Court to It Court		Adult Court to Juvenile Court					
County	Race/ Ethnicity	Motion to Transfer	Cases Transfered	Motion to Transfer	Cases Transfered					
Dakota	Hispanic	1	0	1	1					
	Hispanic	0	0	0	1					
Dodge	White	0	0	0	1					
	Unknown	1	0	0	0					
District	Fotal	2	0	1	3					

#### Motions to Transfer Courts and Transfers, County and Race/Ethnicity

#### District 6

#### Part (d) Juvenile Probation Activity

Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (d) Juveniles placed on juvenile probation, the number of juveniles on probation in out-of-home care, the number of juveniles completing probation, the number of motions to revoke probation and probation revocations, and the average length of time on probation.

The Juvenile Probation Activity - Youth data is provided from the Administrative Office of Probation's case management system, Nebraska Probation Application for Community Safety (NPACS). The table reports the number and percent of youth with various activity during the year. Youth are counted once in each category, regardless of the number of out-of-home placements, motions to revoke, or cases they may have in the year. Age groups are calculated specific to the activity as footnoted.

	Juvenile F	Probati Distric		ivity						
Demographic	Cohort		Placed on Probation Placem		ne	Proba Revo			actory ease	
		# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	
	11-13			8	6%	2	50%	36	11%	
Age Group 1	14-15	78	28%	44	33%	0	0%	88	27%	
	16	66	24%	28	<b>2</b> 1%	2	50%	90	28%	
	17	66	24%	46	35%	0	0%	78	24%	
	18	38	14%	6	5%	0	0%	30	9%	
Sex	Female	80	29%	32	24%	4	100%	92	29%	
Sex	Male	200	71%	100	76%	0	0%	230	71%	
	American Indian Or Alaskan Native	0	0%	0	0%	2	50%	0	0%	
	Black	18	6%	8	6%	0	0%	14	4%	
Dasa	Indian	12	4%	18	14%	0	0%	10	3%	
Race	Other	22	8%	16	12%	0	0%	22	7%	
	Pacific Islander	4	1%	0	0%	0	0%	6	2%	
	White	224	80%	90	68%	2	50%	270	84%	
Ethericity .	Hispanic	58	21%	26	20%	0	0%	74	23%	
Ethnicity	Not Hispanic	222	79%	106	80%	4	100%	248	77%	
District Total		280	100%	132	100%	4	100%	322	100%	

1. Age at which the probation term began. 2. Age at the first admission to out-of-home placement. 3. Age as of the file date for motion to revoke. 4. Age as of the disposition date for motion to revoke. 5. Age as of the disposition date for motion.

Juvenile Probation Activity District											
County	Age	Place Proba		Out- hor Placer	ne	Proba Revo		Satisfa Rele			
	Group	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total		
	11-13	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	4	1%		
Durat	16	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	12	4%		
Burt	17	4	1%	4	3%	0	0%	8	2%		
	18	2	1%	0	0%	0	0%	2	1%		
	11-13	6	2%	0	0%	2	50%	0	0%		
	14-15	2	1%	0	0%	0	0%	2	1%		
Cedar	16	2	1%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%		
	17	0	0%	2	2%	0	0%	2	1%		
	18	4	1%	0	0%	0	0%	4	1%		
	11-13	8	3%	2	2%	0	0%	8	2%		
	14-15	12	4%	8	6%	0	0%	14	4%		
Dakota	16	12	4%	10	8%	2	50%	20	6%		
	17	4	1%	12	9%	0	0%	8	2%		
	18	14	5%	0	0%	0	0%	4	1%		
	11-13	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	2	1%		
Dixon	16	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	2	1%		
	17	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	2	1%		
	11-13	16	6%	6	5%	0	0%	20	6%		
	14-15	48	17%	32	24%	0	0%	58	18%		
Dodge	16	38	14%	16	12%	0	0%	38	12%		
	17	46	16%	22	17%	0	0%	46	14%		
	18	16	6%	6	5%	0	0%	16	5%		
Thurston	14-15	2	1%	0	0%	0	0%	4	1%		
Thurston	17	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	2	1%		
	11-13	2	1%	0	0%	0	0%	2	1%		
	14-15	14	5%	4	3%	0	0%	10	3%		
Washington	16	14	5%	2	2%	0	0%	18	6%		
	17	12	4%	6	5%	0	0%	10	3%		
	18	2	1%	0	0%	0	0%	4	1%		

Juvenile Probation Activity District									
County Age		Place Proba		hor	Out-of- home Placement		ation ked	Satisfactory Release	
	Group	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total
District Total	District Total 280 100% 132 100% 4 100% 322 100%								100%

		Juve		robatio District		vity			
County	Sex	Placed on Probation		Out- hor Placer	ne	Proba Revo		Satisfa Rele	
		# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total		% of Total
Burt	Female	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	8	2%
Buit	Male	6	2%	4	3%	0	0%	18	6%
Cedar	Female	2	1%	0	0%	2	50%	0	0%
Cedar	Male	12	4%	2	2%	0	0%	8	2%
Dakota	Female	12	4%	10	8%	2	50%	8	2%
Dakota	Male	38	14%	22	17%	0	0%	46	14%
Dixon	Male	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	6	2%
Deda	Female	44	16%	20	15%	0	0%	58	18%
Dodge	Male	120	43%	62	47%	0	0%	120	37%
Thurston	Male	2	1%	0	0%	0	0%	6	2%
Washington	Female	22	8%	2	2%	0	0%	18	6%
Washington	Male	22	8%	10	8%	0	0%	26	8%
District Total		280	100%	132	100%	4	100%	322	100%

	Juvenile	Probat Distri		tivity					
County	Race	Place Proba		Out-of- home Placement		Probation Revoked		Satisfactory Release	
		# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total
	Indian	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	2	1%
Burt	White	4	1%	2	2%	0	0%	24	7%
	Other	2	1%	2	2%	0	0%	0	0%
Cedar	White	14	5%	2	2%	2	50%	8	2%
	American Indian Or Alaskan Native	0	0%	0	0%	2	50%	0	0%
	Black	8	3%	0	0%	0	0%	4	1%
Daluata	Indian	10	4%	18	14%	0	0%	6	2%
Dakota	Other	4	1%	4	3%	0	0%	4	1%
	Pacific Islander	4	1%	0	0%	0	0%	6	2%
	White	24	9%	10	8%	0	0%	34	11%
Dixon	White	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	6	2%
	Black	6	2%	8	6%	0	0%	8	2%
Dadas	Indian	2	1%	0	0%	0	0%	2	1%
Dodge	Other	14	5%	10	8%	0	0%	18	6%
	White	142	51%	64	48%	0	0%	150	47%
Thursday	White	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	6	2%
Thurston	Other	2	1%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Machinet -	Black	4	1%	0	0%	0	0%	2	1%
Washington	White	40	14%	12	9%	0	0%	42	13%
District Total		280	100%	132	100%	4	100%	322	100%

Juvenile Probation Activity District												
County	Ethnicity	Place Proba		Out- hor Placer	ne	Proba Revo		Satisfactory Release				
		# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total			
Burt	Not Hispanic	6	2%	4	3%	0	0%	26	8%			
Cedar	Not Hispanic	14	5%	2	2%	2	50%	8	2%			
Dakota	Hispanic	18	6%	6	5%	0	0%	14	4%			
Dakota	Not Hispanic	32	11%	26	20%	2	50%	40	12%			
Dixon	Hispanic	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	2	1%			
Dixon	Not Hispanic	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	4	1%			
Dedre	Hispanic	36	13%	20	15%	0	0%	56	17%			
Dodge	Not Hispanic	128	46%	62	47%	0	0%	122	38%			
Thurston	Hispanic	2	1%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%			
murston	Not Hispanic	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	6	2%			
Machington	Hispanic	2	1%	0	0%	0	0%	2	1%			
Washington	Not Hispanic	42	15%	12	9%	0	0%	42	13%			
District Total		280	100%	132	1 <b>00</b> %	4	100%	322	100%			

#### **District 6**

#### Part (d) Juvenile Probation - Youth Risk Level and Length of Probation

Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (d) Juveniles placed on juvenile probation, the number of juveniles on probation in out-of-home care, the number of juveniles completing probation, the number of motions to revoke probation and probation revocations, and the average length of time on probation.

Youth Level of Service/Case Management Inventory (YLS/CMI) is an assessment tool used to systematically measure risk for recidivism and appropriate supervision intensity for youth on probation. The following table shows the classification results of youth that entered into Probation in 2021, and another set of youth that discharged from Probation in 2021. Youth that are assessed at low or moderate low risk are matched to JCBR supervision and those assessed at high or moderate high risk are matched to JCBR supervision intensity

		Yout	h Risk	Levels and Le Distric		of Probatio	on		
		Youth Starting Probation Youth Discharged from Prob							ge Length robation
Demo- graphic	Cohort	JCBR/ Low/ Moderate Low	JCBI/ High	No Assessment**	JCBR/ Low	JCBI/ High/ Moderate High	No Assessment**	Total Youth	Avg Length of Probation
	11-13	2	26	4	2	2	-	4	6.0
	14-15	14	62	2	14	28	2	44	8.3
Age Group	16	14	50	2	18	28	2	48	9.0
17		12	52	2	28	46	2	76	12.4
	18	16	20	2	36	78	-	114	12.5
Sev	Female	12	64	4	32	50	2	84	10.5
Sex	Male	46	146	8	66	132	4	202	11.5
	Am. Indian	4	8	-	4	8	-	12	13.3
	Asian/P.I.	2	-	2	2	2	2	6	7.7
Race	Black	2	14	2	6	10	-	16	16.0
	White	48	170	6	82	152	4	238	10.8
	Other	2	18	2	4	10	-	14	12.6
Ethnisit (	Hispanic	12	44	2	20	46	-	66	11.0
Ethnicity	Not Hispanic	46	166	10	78	136	6	220	11.2
District Tota	al	58	210	12	98	182	6	286	11.2

Youth Risk Levels and Length of Probation by County and Age Group Youth Starting Probation Youth Discharged from Probation Average Length												
		Youth	Youth Starting Probation Youth Discharged from Probatio									
County	Age Group	JCBR/ Low/ Moderate Low	JCBI/ High	No Assessment**	JCBR/ Low	JCBI/ High/ Moderate High	No Assessment**	Total Youth	Avg Length of Probation			
	14-15	-	-	-	-	2	-	2	14.0			
Burt	17	2	2	-	4	2	-	6	5.7			
	18	-	2	-	4	6	-	10	16.2			
	11-13	-	4	2	-	-	-	0	-			
	14-15	-	2	-	2	-	-	2	6.0			
Cedar	16	-	-	2	-	-	-	0	-			
	17	-	-	-	2	-	-	2	6.0			
	18	4	-	-	2	4	-	6	26.0			
	11-13	2	4	2	-	-	-	0	-			
	14-15	4	8	-	6	2	2	10	7.6			
Dakota	16	8	4	-	6	6	2	14	11.4			
	17	2	2	-	8	14	2	24	11.0			
	18	6	6	2	10	18	-	28	14.1			
Diver	17	-	-	-	4	-	-	4	26.0			
Dixon	18	-	-	-	2	-	-	2	6.0			
	11-13	-	16	-	-	2	-	2	6.0			
	14-15	8	40	-	4	24	-	28	8.2			
Dodge	16	4	34	-	6	16	-	22	8.1			
	17	4	42	-	6	24	-	30	15.3			
	18	4	12	-	10	44	-	54	9.6			
Thursday	14-15	-	-	2	2	-	-	2	9.0			
Thurston	18	-	-	-	2	-	-	2	39.0			
	11-13	-	2	-	2	-	-	2	6.0			
	14-15	2	12	-	-	-	-	0	-			
Washington	/ashington 16 2 12		-	6	4	-	10	7.2				
	17		6	2	4	8	-	12	8.0			
	18	2	-	-	6	6	-	12	9.0			
District Total		58	210	12	98	182	6	286	11.2			

Youth Risk Levels and Length of Probation by County and Sex													
		Youth	Starting	Probation	Youth	Discharged f	rom Probation	Average Length of Probation					
County	Sex	JCBR/ Low/ Moderate Low	JCBI/ High	No Assessment**	JCBR/ Low	JCBI/ High/ Moderate High	No Assessment**	Total Youth	Avg Length of Probation				
Burt	Female 4												
Burt	Male	2	4	-	4	8	-	12	11.0				
Cedar	Female	-	-	2	-	2	-	2	63.0				
Cedar	Male	4	6	2	6	2	-	8	6.8				
Dakota	Female	4	6	2	10	6	2	18	9.7				
Dakota	Male	18	18	2	20	34	4	58	12.4				
Dixon	Male	-	-	-	6	-	-	6	19.3				
Dadas	Female	4	40	-	12	32	-	44	9.5				
Dodge	Male	16	104	-	14	78	-	92	10.7				
Thurston	Male	-	-	2	4	-	-	4	24.0				
) A / a a la ina mta a	Female	4	18	-	6	10	-	16	6.4				
Washington	Male	6	14	2	12	10	-	22	9.7				
District Total		58	210	12	98	182	6	286	11.2				

		Yout	h Risk	Levels and L by County a			on		
		Youth	Starting	g Probation	Youth	Discharged 1	from Probation		ge Length robation
County	Race	JCBR/ Low/ Moderate Low	JCBI/ High	No Assessment**	JCBR/ Low	JCBI/ High/ Moderate High	No Assessment**	Total Youth	Avg Length of Probation
	Am. Indian	-	-	-	2	-	-	2	26.0
Burt	White	2	2	-	6	8	-	14	11.9
	Other	-	2	-	-	2	-	2	3.0
Cedar	White	4	6	4	6	4	-	10	18.0
	Am. Indian	4	6	-	2	6	-	8	12.2
	Asian/P.I.	2	-	2	2	2	2	6	7.7
Dakota	Black	2	4	2	4	4	-	8	11.5
	White	12	12	-	22	26	4	52	11.3
	Other	2	2	-	-	2	-	2	34.0
Dixon	White	-	-	-	6	-	-	6	19.3
	Am. Indian	-	2	-	-	2	-	2	5.0
Deda	Black	-	6	-	2	4	-	6	22.0
Dodge	White	20	122	-	20	98	-	118	9.8
	Other	-	14	-	4	6	-	10	10.2
Thurston	White	-	-	-	4	-	-	4	24.0
murston	Other	-	-	2	-	-	-	0	-
Washington	Black	-	4	-	-	2	-	2	16.0
Washington	White	10	28	2	18	16	-	34	7.5
District Total		58	210	12	98	182	6	286	11.2

-

Youth Risk Levels and Length of Probation by County and Ethnicity												
		Youth	Starting	g Probation	Youth	Discharged 1	from Probation	Average Length of Probation				
County	Ethnicity	JCBR/ Low/ Moderate Low	JCBI/ High	No Assessment**	JCBR/ Low	JCBI/ High/ Moderate High	No Assessment**	Total Youth	Avg Length of Probation			
Burt	Not Hispanic	2	4	-	8	8	-	16	12.2			
Cedar	Not Hispanic	4	6	4	6	4	-	10	18.0			
Dakota	Hispanic	8	10	-	10	18	-	28	13.9			
Dakota	Not Hispanic	14	14	4	20	22	6	48	10.5			
Dixon	Hispanic	-	-	-	2	-	-	2	15.0			
Dixon	Not Hispanic	-	-	-	4	-	-	4	21.5			
Dedre	Hispanic	4	32	-	8	26	-	34	8.8			
Dodge	Not Hispanic	16	112	-	18	84	-	102	10.8			
Thursdan	Hispanic	-	-	2	-	-	-	0	-			
Thurston	Not Hispanic	-	-	-	4	-	-	4	24.0			
W/ashington	Hispanic	-	2	-	-	2	-	2	5.0			
Washington	Not Hispanic	10	30	2	18	18	-	36	8.5			
District Total		58	210	12	98	182	6	286	11.2			

#### Part (e) Juveniles Access to Counsel

*Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (e) juveniles with and without access to counsel in juvenile and adult court, both appointed and retained.* 

The Juveniles Access to Counsel table displays the percent of juveniles represented by an attorney in criminal cases from county court and district court and juvenile cases from county court and separate juvenile court. Data includes new cases filed and cases transferred from one county to another during calendar year 2021. Cases filed in 2021 may not have reached the sentencing/adjudication stage of proceedings. Cases for juveniles ages 13 and below must be filed and stay in juvenile court.

Juvenile Access to Counsel District												
			Criminal C	ourt Cases			Juvenile C	ourt Cases				
Demographic	Cohort	Total Criminal Court Cases	Total Juvenile Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel						
	11-13	1	1	0	0%	48	10	38	7 <b>9</b> %			
Area Craup	14-15 2 1 1 50% 77 23 54 70											
Age Group	Group 16 19 16 3 16% 60 21 39 6											
	17	31	26	5	1 <b>6</b> %	80	32	48	60%			
	Female	9	8	1	11%	68	33	35	51%			
Sex	Male	43	36	7	1 <b>6</b> %	189	49	140	74%			
	Unknown	1	0	1	1 <b>00</b> %	8	4	4	50%			
	Am. Indian	1	1	0	0%	8	2	6	75%			
	Asian/P.I.	2	2	0	0%	3	1	2	67%			
	Black	4	2	2	50%	8	3	5	62%			
Race/Ethnicity	Hispanic	24	20	4	17%	34	8	26	7 <b>6</b> %			
	White	19	18	1	5%	95	40	55	58%			
	Other	-	-	-	-	4	1	3	<b>75</b> %			
	Unknown	3	1	2	67%	113	31	82	7 <b>3</b> %			
District Total		53	44	9	17%	265	86	179	68%			

Juvenile Access to Counsel by County and Age Group												
			Criminal C	ourt Cases			Juvenile C	ourt Cases				
County	Age Group	Total Criminal Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel	Total Juvenile Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel			
	11-13	-	-	-	-	1	0	1	100%			
Burt	14-15	-	-	-	-	1	0	1	100%			
	16	1	1	0	0%	1	1	0	0%			
Cedar 11-13 4 2 2 50%												
Cedar 17 4 4 0 0%												
11-13 1 1 0 0% 10 2 8												
Dakota	14-15	1	0	1	100%	12	3	9	75%			
Dakota	16	5	3	2	40%	9	4	5	56%			
	17	8	4	4	50%	10	4	6	60%			
Dixon	17	1	1	0	0%	1	0	1	100%			
	11-13	-	-	-	-	30	3	27	90%			
Dadaa	14-15	1	1	0	0%	47	9	38	81%			
Dodge	16	12	11	1	8%	34	3	31	<b>9</b> 1%			
	17	18	17	1	6%	54	17	37	69%			
Thursday	14-15	-	-	-	-	1	1	0	0%			
Thurston	17	2	2	0	0%	1	1	0	0%			
	17		-	-	-	3	3	0	0%			
Washington		-	-	-	-	16	10	6	38%			
Washington 16		1	1	0	0%	16	13	3	1 <b>9</b> %			
	17	2	2	0	0%	10	6	4	40%			
District Total		53	44	9	17%	265	86	179	68%			

Juvenile Access to Counsel by County and Sex												
			Criminal C	ourt Cases			Juvenile C	ourt Cases				
County	Sex	Total Criminal Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel	Total Juvenile Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel			
Burt	Female	-	-	-	-	1	0	1	100%			
Buit	Male	1	1	0	0%	2	1	1	50%			
Cedar	Male	-	-	-	-	8	6	2	25%			
	Female	2	2	0	0%	14	4	10	71%			
Dakota	Male	12	6	6	50%	25	8	17	68%			
	Unknown	1	0	1	100%	2	1	1	50%			
Dixon	Male	1	1	0	0%	1	0	1	100%			
	Female	7	6	1	14%	31	13	18	58%			
Dodge	Male	24	23	1	4%	130	18	112	86%			
	Unknown	-	-	-	-	4	1	3	75%			
Thurston	Male	2	2	0	0%	2	2	0	0%			
	Female	-	-	-	-	22	16	6	27%			
Washington	Male	3	3	0	0%	21	14	7	33%			
	Unknown	-	-	-	-	2	2	0	0%			
District Total		53	44	9	17%	265	86	179	68%			

Juvenile Access to Counsel by County and Race/Ethnicity Criminal Court Cases Juvenile Court Cases												
			Criminal C	ourt Cases			Juvenile C	ourt Cases				
County	Race/ Ethnicity	Total Criminal Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel	Total Juvenile Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel			
Burt	White	1	1	0	0%	3	1	2	67%			
	Asian/P.I.	-	-	-	-	1	1	0	0%			
Cedar	White	-	-	-	-	4	3	1	25%			
Ceuai	Other	-	-	-	-	1	1	0	0%			
	Unknown	-	-	-	-	2	1	1	50%			
	Am. Indian	-	-	-	-	7	1	6	86%			
	Asian/P.I.	1	1	0	0%	2	0	2	100%			
	Black	3	1	2	67%	3	0	3	100%			
Dakota	Hispanic	7	4	3	43%	14	3	11	79%			
	White	1	1	0	0%	10	7	3	30%			
	Other	-	-	-	-	2	0	2	100%			
	Unknown	3	1	2	67%	3	2	1	33%			
Dixon	Hispanic	1	1	0	0%	-	-	-	-			
DIXOII	White	-	-	-	-	1	0	1	100%			
	Black	-	-	-	-	2	0	2	100%			
	Hispanic	16	15	1	6%	17	3	14	82%			
Dodge	White	15	14	1	7%	54	11	43	80%			
	Other	-	-	-	-	1	0	1	100%			
	Unknown	-	-	-	-	91	18	73	80%			
	Am. Indian	1	1	0	0%	1	1	0	0%			
Thurston	Black	1	1	0	0%	-	-	-	-			
	Unknown	-	-	-	-	1	1	0	0%			
	Asian/P.I.	1	1	0	0%	-	-	-	-			
	Black	-	-	-	-	3	3	0	0%			
Washington Hispanic		-	-	-	-	3	2	1	33%			
White		2	2	0	0%	23	18	5	22%			
	Unknown	-	-	-	-	16	9	7	44%			
District Total		53	44	9	17%	265	86	179	68%			

#### **District 7**

#### Part (a) Juvenile Petitions and Adjudications

Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (a) Juveniles prosecuted in juvenile court under subdivision (1), (2), (3)(b), or (4) of section 43-247, including the total number of filings and adjudications.

The Juvenile Petitions and Adjudications tables report the number of new juvenile cases and corresponding percent of adjudications by case type and demographic group for cases filed in 2021. The data consists of both county court and separate juvenile court cases. Only the most serious offense is counted for cases with multiple charges, pleas or findings. Cases are counted in the Admit column if at least one charge was admitted to in court. Supplemental petitions are not included in this data set. Cases filed in 2021 may not have reached the adjudication stage of proceedings. Juvenile cases where the age is unknown, if any, are included in the report.

	Juvenile Petitions and Adjudication by Case Type District													
Traffic Offense Status Offense Misdemeanor Felony														
Demo- graphic	Cohort	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated										
	14-15	1	0	0%	11	10	91%	54	48	89%	11	10	<b>9</b> 1%	
Age Group	16	3	3	100%	9	7	78%	45	37	82%	5	4	80%	
	17	1	1	100%	12	11	92%	45	38	84%	3	2	67%	
	Female	2	2	100%	15	14	93%	45	42	93%	5	5	100%	
Sex	Male	3	2	67%	17	14	82%	94	77	82%	13	10	77%	
	Unknown	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	5	4	80%	1	1	100%	
	Am. Indian	0	0	0%	2	2	100%	4	4	100%	0	0	0%	
	Black	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	2	2	100%	0	0	0%	
Race/ Ethnicity	Hispanic	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	6	5	83%	1	0	0%	
	White	0	0	0%	10	8	80%	34	33	97%	2	2	100%	
	Unknown	5	4	80%	20	18	90%	98	79	81%	16	14	88%	
District Tot	District Total 5 4 80% 32 28 88% 144 123 85% 19 16 8										84%			

Juvenile Cases and Adjudication by Case Type, County and Age Group													
		Tr	affic Offe	nse	St	atus Offe	nse	N	1isdemear	nor		Felony	
County	Age Group	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated									
	14-15	0	0	0%	2	1	50%	3	2	67%	1	1	100%
Antelope	16	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	2	2	100%	0	0	0%
	17	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	4	4	100%	0	0	0%
	14-15	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	12	11	92%	1	1	100%
Cuming	16	0	0	0%	2	2	100%	7	6	86%	0	0	0%
	17	0	0	0%	1	0	0%	6	6	100%	0	0	0%
	14-15	0	0	0%	2	2	100%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%
Knox	16	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%
	17	0	0	0%	2	2	100%	2	2	100%	0	0	0%
	14-15	1	0	0%	4	4	100%	22	19	86%	8	7	88%
Madison	16	3	3	100%	5	4	80%	25	22	88%	4	4	100%
	17	1	1	100%	6	6	100%	19	13	68%	3	2	67%
Diama	14-15	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	1	1	100%
Pierce	17	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	1	0	0%	0	0	0%
	14-15	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	10	9	90%	0	0	0%
Stanton	16	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	9	5	56%	0	0	0%
	17	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	10	10	100%	0	0	0%
	14-15	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	5	5	100%	0	0	0%
Wayne	16	0	0	0%	1	0	0%	1	1	100%	1	0	0%
	17	0	0	0%	2	2	100%	3	3	100%	0	0	0%
District Total     5     4     80%     32     28     88%     144										85%	19	16	84%

Juvenile Cases and Adjudication by Case Type, County and Sex														
		Tr	affic Offe	nse	St	Status Offense			Misdemeanor			Felony		
County	Sex	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated										
Antolono	Female	0	0	0%	1	0	0%	2	2	100%	0	0	0%	
Antelope	Male	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	7	6	86%	1	1	100%	
Curring	Female	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	7	7	100%	0	0	0%	
Cuming	Male	0	0	0%	3	2	67%	18	16	89%	1	1	100%	
	Female	0	0	0%	3	3	100%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%	
Knox	Male	0	0	0%	2	2	100%	3	3	100%	0	0	0%	
	Female	2	2	100%	10	10	100%	21	19	90%	4	4	100%	
Madison	Male	3	2	67%	5	4	80%	43	33	77%	10	8	80%	
	Unknown	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	2	2	100%	1	1	100%	
Diama	Female	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	
Pierce	Male	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	2	1	50%	0	0	0%	
	Female	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	12	11	92%	0	0	0%	
Stanton	Male	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	14	11	79%	0	0	0%	
	Unknown	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	3	2	67%	0	0	0%	
14/01/02	Female	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	2	2	100%	0	0	0%	
Wayne	Male	0	0	0%	4	3	75%	7	7	100%	1	0	0%	
District Tota	al	5	4	80%	32	28	88%	144	123	85%	19	16	84%	

Juvenile Cases and Adjudication by Case Type, County and Race/Ethnicity													
		Traffic Offense		Status Offense			Misdemeanor			Felony			
County	Race	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated
	Black	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%
Antelope	White	0	0	0%	1	0	0%	6	6	100%	1	1	100%
	Unknown	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	2	1	50%	0	0	0%
Bl	Black	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%
Cuming	Hispanic	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	4	4	100%	0	0	0%
Cuming	White	0	0	0%	4	3	75%	18	17	94%	1	1	100%
	Unknown	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	2	1	50%	0	0	0%
Knox	Am. Indian	0	0	0%	2	2	100%	3	3	100%	0	0	0%
KIIOX	White	0	0	0%	3	3	100%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%
Madison	Hispanic	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%
IVIdUISOII	Unknown	5	4	80%	15	14	93%	65	53	82%	15	13	87%
Pierce	White	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%
FIEICE	Unknown	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	1	0	0%	1	1	100%
	Hispanic	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	0	0%	0	0	0%
Stanton	White	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	3	3	100%	0	0	0%
	Unknown	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	25	21	84%	0	0	0%
	Am. Indian	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%
Wayna	Hispanic	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	0	0%
Wayne –	White	0	0	0%	2	2	100%	5	5	100%	0	0	0%
	Unknown	0	0	0%	2	1	50%	3	3	100%	0	0	0%
District To	tal	5	4	80%	32	28	88%	144	123	85%	19	16	84%

#### Part (b) Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court - Case Type

*Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (b) Juveniles prosecuted in adult criminal court for felonies, misdemeanors, and traffic offenses. The information shall include juveniles sentenced to terms in adult jails and prisons and juveniles placed on adult probation.* 

Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court tables consist of criminal and traffic cases in county court and criminal cases in district court that reached the point of sentencing in 2021. Cases with multiple charges are counted once per case and reported as the most severe offense. Cases for juveniles ages 13 and below must be filed and stay in juvenile court; however, judges have the authority to allow these cases in criminal court. Therefore, only juveniles ages 14 through 17 at the time of the offense appear in adult criminal court data.

Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court by Case Type District										
		All C	Cases	Misde	meanor	Fel	ony			
Demographic	Cohort	Total Cases	% of Total	Total Cases	% of Total for Case Type Group	Total Cases	% of Total for Case Type Group			
Age Group	14-15	1	10%	1	14%	0	-			
	16	2	20%	1	14%	1	33%			
	17	7	70%	5	71%	2	67%			
Race/Ethnicity	Hispanic	2	67%	1	100%	1	50%			
	White	1	33%	0	-	1	50%			
	Unknown	7	-	6	-	1	-			
Sex	Female	3	38%	2	40%	1	33%			
	Male	5	62%	3	60%	2	67%			
	Unknown	2	-	2	-	0	-			
District Total		10	100%	7	70%	3	30%			

Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court by Case Type, County and Age Group											
County	Age Group	All Cases	Traffic	Misdemeanor	Felony						
	Group	#	#	#	#						
Cuming	17	1	0	0	1						
Madiaan	16	2	0	1	1						
Madison	17	6	0	5	1						
Stanton	14-15	1	0	1	0						
District To	otal	10	0	7	3						

Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court by Case Type, County and Sex											
County	Sex	All Cases	Traffic	Misdemeanor	Felony						
		#	#	#	#						
Cuming	Female	1	0	0	1						
	Female	2	0	2	0						
Madison	Male	4	0	2	2						
	Unknown	2	0	2	0						
Stanton Male		1	0	1	0						
District To	otal	10	0	7	3						

Juver	Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court by Case Type, County and Race/Ethnicity										
County Race All Misdemeanor Felon											
		#	#	#							
Cuming	White	1	0	1							
Madiaan	Hispanic	2	1	1							
Madison	Unknown	6	5	1							
Stanton	Unknown	1	1	0							
District To	otal	10	7	3							

#### **District 7**

#### Part (b) Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court - Sentence

Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (b) Juveniles prosecuted in adult criminal court for felonies, misdemeanors, and traffic offenses. The information shall include juveniles sentenced to terms in adult jails and prisons and juveniles placed on adult probation.

Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court tables include criminal and traffic cases in county court and criminal cases in district court that reached the point of sentencing in 2021. All sentencing types are included where multiple sentencing types occur within a case (ex. probation/jail). Youth who are sentenced to probation in Adult Court are supervised by Adult Probation. Average age at judgment is the mean calculated for each cohort at the time of their judgment date.

	Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court by Sentencing District												
		Total C	ases	Probation				Jail			Prison		
Demographic	Cohort	Number of Cases	% of Total	Average Age at Judgement	Number of Cases	% of Cohort	Average Age at Judgement	Number of Cases	% of Cohort	Average Age at Judgement	Number of Cases	% of Cohort	
	14 <b>-</b> 15	1	10%	17	1	100%	17	0	0%	17	0	0%	
Age Group	16	2	20%	17	0	0%	17	1	50%	17	1	50%	
5 1	17	7	70%	18	3	43%	18	3	43%	18	1	14%	
	Male	5	62%	17	2	100%	17	1	0%	17	2	0%	
Sex	Unknown	2	0%	17	0	0%	17	2	50%	17	0	50%	
	Female	3	38%	18	2	43%	18	1	43%	18	0	14%	
	Unknown	7	0%	17	4	100%	17	2	0%	17	1	0%	
Race/Ethnicity	Hispanic	2	67%	18	0	0%	18	1	50%	18	1	50%	
	White	1	33%	18	0	43%	18	1	43%	18	0	14%	
District Total		10	100%	17	4	100%	17	4	0%	17	2	0%	

	Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court by Sentence, County and Age Group											
	<b>A</b> = -	Total Cases	Probation		Jai	l	Prison					
County	Age Group	Number of Cases	Average Age at Judgement	Age at of Cases Age at of Case		Number of Cases	Average Age at Judgement	Number of Cases				
Cuming	17	1	18	0	18	1	18	0				
Madiaan	16	2	17	0	17	1	17	1				
wadison	Madison 17		17	3	17	2	17	1				
Stanton	Stanton 14-15		17	1	17	0	17	0				
District To	District Total		17	4	17	4	17	2				

	Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court by Sentence, County and Sex										
		Total Cases	Proba	tion	Jai	l	Prisc	'n			
County	Sex	Number of Cases	Average Age at Judgement	Number of Cases	Average Age at Judgement	Number of Cases	Average Age at Judgement	Number of Cases			
Cuming	Female	1	18	0	18	1	18	0			
	Female	2	18	2	18	0	18	0			
Madison	Male	4	18	1	18	1	18	2			
Unknown		2	17	0	17	2	17	0			
Stanton Male		1	17	1	17	0	17	0			
District To	otal	10	17	4	17	4	17	2			

	Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court by Sentence, County and Race/Ethnicity										
		Total Cases	Probation		Jai	l	Prison				
County	County Race Numbe of Case		Average Age at Judgement		Average Age at Judgement		Average Age at Judgement	Number of Cases			
Cuming	White	1	18	0	18	1	18	0			
Madison	Hispanic	2	18	0	18	1	18	1			
Unknown		6	17	3	17	2	17	1			
Stanton	Unknown	1	17	1	17	0	17	0			
District To	otal	10	17	4	17	4	17	2			

#### **District 7**

#### Part (c) Motions to Transfer Cases and Cases Transferred

*Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (c) The number of motions to transfer and the number of cases transferred to adult court from juvenile court and from adult criminal court to juvenile court.* 

The data represents motions to transfer filed in 2021 and transfers between court types which occurred in 2021. Therefore, the exact same subset of cases may not be represented in both columns. There can be multiple motions to transfer within a single case which, if filed in the same calendar year, would all be included in the counts below. Some cases may have a motion to transfer, but no transfer actually occurs.

Motions to Transfer Courts and Transfers District											
		Juveni	le Court	t to Adult C	ourt	Adu	lt Court	to Juvenile Cour	t		
Demographic	Cohort	Motion to Transfer Juv to Adult	% of Total	Transfers Juv to Adult	% of Total	Motion to Transfer Adult to Juv	% of Total	Transfers Adult to Juv	% of Total		
	14-15	1	9%	0	-	2	67%	2	100%		
Age Group	16	3	27%	0	-	0	-	0	-		
	17	7	64%	0	-	1	33%	0	-		
	Black	1	100%	0	-	0	-	0	-		
Race/Ethnicity	Hispanic	0	-	0	-	1	100%	0	-		
	Unknown	10	-	0	-	2	-	2	-		
Sex	Female	1	9%	0	-	2	67%	2	100%		
	Male	10	91%	0	-	1	33%	0	-		
District Total		11	-	0	-	3	-	2	-		

	County and Age Group											
		-	e Court to It Court		Court to Juvenile Court							
County	Age Group	Motion to Transfer	Cases Transfered	Motion to Transfer	Cases Transfered							
Antelope	17	1	0	0	0							
	14-15	0	0	2	1							
Madison	16	2	0	0	0							
	17	6	0	1	0							
Pierce	14-15	0	0	0	1							
Ctantan	14-15	1	0	0	0							
Stanton	16	1	0	0	0							
District To	tal	11	0	3	2							

# Motions to Transfer Courts and Transfers,
Motions to Transfer Courts and Transfers, County and Sex											
		-	e Court to It Court	Adult Court to Juvenile Court							
County	Sex	Motion to Transfer	Cases Transfered	Motion to Transfer	Cases Transfered						
Antelope	Male	1	1 0		0						
Madison	Female	1	0	2	1						
Madison	Male	7	0	1	0						
Pierce	Female	0	0	0	1						
Stanton	Male	2 0 0 0									
District To	tal	11	0	3	2						

	County and Race/Ethnicity											
			e Court to It Court	Adult Court to Juvenile Court								
County	Race/ Ethnicity	Motion to Transfer	Cases Transfered	Motion to Transfer	Cases Transfered							
Antelope	Black	1	0	0	0							
Madison	Hispanic	0	0	1	0							
Madison	Unknown	8	0	2	1							
Pierce	Unknown	0	0	0	1							
Stanton	Unknown	2	0	0	0							
District To	tal	11	0	3	2							

# Motions to Transfer Courts and Transfers,

#### **District 7**

#### Part (d) Juvenile Probation Activity

Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (d) Juveniles placed on juvenile probation, the number of juveniles on probation in out-of-home care, the number of juveniles completing probation, the number of motions to revoke probation and probation revocations, and the average length of time on probation.

The Juvenile Probation Activity - Youth data is provided from the Administrative Office of Probation's case management system, Nebraska Probation Application for Community Safety (NPACS). The table reports the number and percent of youth with various activity during the year. Youth are counted once in each category, regardless of the number of out-of-home placements, motions to revoke, or cases they may have in the year. Age groups are calculated specific to the activity as footnoted.

	Juvenile Probation Activity District									
Demographic	Cohort	Placed on Probation		Out-of- home Placement		Satisfactory Release				
		# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total			
	11-13	36	12%	12	9%	26	11%			
	14-15	92	32%	42	31%	80	34%			
Age Group	16	66	23%	34	25%	46	19%			
	17	86	29%	42	31%	70	30%			
	18	12	4%	6	4%	14	6%			
6	Female	98	34%	46	34%	90	38%			
Sex	Male	194	66%	90	66%	146	62%			
	Black	18	6%	20	15%	16	7%			
	Indian	24	8%	20	15%	10	4%			
Race	Other	36	12%	12	9%	32	14%			
	Pacific Islander	6	2%	0	0%	8	3%			
	White	208	71%	84	62%	170	72%			
Ethnicity	Hispanic	98	34%	32	24%	64	27%			
Ethnicity	Not Hispanic	194	66%	104	76%	172	73%			
District Total		292	100%	136	100%	236	100%			

1. Age at which the probation term began. 2. Age at the first admission to out-of-home placement. 3. Age as of the file date for motion to revoke. 4. Age as of the disposition date for motion to revoke. 5. Age as of the disposition date for motion.

	Juvenile Probation Activity District										
County	Age	Place Proba		Out- hor Placer	ne	Satisfa Rele	-				
	Group	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total				
	11-13	2	1%	0	0%	8	3%				
Antolono	14-15	12	4%	2	1%	18	8%				
Antelope	16	2	1%	0	0%	2	1%				
	17	4	1%	2	1%	2	1%				
	11-13	6	2%	2	1%	2	1%				
	14-15	12	4%	8	6%	8	3%				
Cuming	16	8	3%	2	1%	6	3%				
	17	6	2%	6	4%	10	4%				
	18	4	1%	0	0%	2	1%				
	14-15	4	1%	2	1%	6	3%				
Knox	17	4	1%	4	3%	4	2%				
	18	2	1%	0	0%	0	0%				
	11-13	16	5%	8	6%	12	5%				
	14-15	52	18%	26	1 <b>9</b> %	38	16%				
Madison	16	42	14%	28	<b>2</b> 1%	24	1 <b>0</b> %				
	17	58	20%	26	1 <b>9</b> %	34	14%				
	18	6	2%	6	4%	10	4%				
	14-15	4	1%	0	0%	2	1%				
Pierce	16	2	1%	0	0%	2	1%				
	17	2	1%	0	0%	2	1%				
	11-13	8	3%	2	1%	2	1%				
	14-15	2	1%	2	1%	0	0%				
Stanton	16	8	3%	2	1%	6	3%				
	17	8	3%	0	0%	8	3%				
	18	0	0%	0	0%	2	1%				
	11-13	4	1%	0	0%	2	1%				
14/01/02	14-15	6	2%	2	1%	8	3%				
Wayne	16	4	1%	2	1%	6	3%				
	17	4	1%	4	3%	10	4%				

Juvenile Probation Activity District											
County	County Age	Place Proba		Out hor Place	ne	Satisfactory Release					
	Group	# of Youth	% of Total	# of <i>% of</i> Youth <i>Total</i>		# of Youth	% of Total				
District To	tal	292	100%	136	100%	236	100%				

	Juvenile Probation Activity District											
County	Sex	Place Proba		Out- hor Place	ne	Satisfactory Release						
		# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total					
Antelope	Female	4	1%	2	1%	12	5%					
Antelope	Male	16	5%	2	1%	18	8%					
Cuming	Female	12	4%	8	6%	6	3%					
Cuming	Male	24	8%	10	7%	22	9%					
Knox	Female	4	1%	2	1%	4	2%					
KIIOX	Male	6	2%	4	3%	6	3%					
Madison	Female	68	23%	30	22%	58	25%					
IVIAUISON	Male	106	36%	64	47%	60	25%					
Pierce	Male	8	3%	0	0%	6	3%					
Stanton	Female	10	3%	2	1%	4	2%					
Stanton	Male	16	5%	4	3%	14	6%					
14/01/00	Female	0	0%	2	1%	6	3%					
Wayne	Male	18	6%	6	4%	20	8%					
District To	tal	292	100%	136	100%	236	100%					

	Juven	ile Prol Dis	bation strict	Activit	y			
County	Race		Placed on Probation		Out-of- home Placement		Satisfactory Release	
		# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	
Antelope	White	18	6%	4	3%	28	12%	
Antelope	Black	2	1%	0	0%	2	1%	
	Black	2	1%	2	1%	2	1%	
Cuming	Other	4	1%	2	1%	2	1%	
	White	30	10%	14	10%	24	10%	
Kaav	Indian	4	1%	4	3%	4	2%	
Knox	White	6	2%	2	1%	6	3%	
	Black	12	4%	14	10%	6	3%	
	Indian	20	7%	16	12%	6	3%	
Madison	Other	30	10%	8	6%	20	8%	
	White	108	37%	56	41%	78	33%	
	Pacific Islander	4	1%	0	0%	8	3%	
Pierce	Pacific Islander	2	1%	0	0%	0	0%	
Pierce	White	6	2%	0	0%	6	3%	
	Black	0	0%	2	1%	2	1%	
Stanton	White	24	8%	4	3%	14	6%	
	Other	2	1%	0	0%	2	1%	
	Black	2	1%	2	1%	4	2%	
Wayne	Other	0	0%	2	1%	8	3%	
	White	16	5%	4	3%	14	6%	
District To	tal	292	100%	136	100%	236	100%	

	Juvenile Probation Activity District											
County	Ethnicity		Placed on Probation		-of- ne ment	Satisfactory Release						
		# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total					
Antelope	Hispanic	2	1%	0	0%	0	0%					
Antelope	Not Hispanic	18	6%	4	3%	30	13%					
Cuming	Hispanic	4	1%	2	1%	2	1%					
Curring	Not Hispanic	32	11%	16	12%	26	11%					
Knox	Not Hispanic	10	3%	6	4%	10	4%					
Madison	Hispanic	80	27%	30	22%	54	23%					
IVIAUISOIT	Not Hispanic	94	32%	64	47%	64	27%					
Pierce	Not Hispanic	8	3%	0	0%	6	3%					
Stanton	Hispanic	10	3%	0	0%	2	1%					
Stanton	Not Hispanic	16	5%	6	4%	16	7%					
Mayno	Hispanic	2	1%	0	0%	6	3%					
Wayne	Not Hispanic	16	5%	8	6%	20	8%					
District To	tal	292	1 <b>00</b> %	136	1 <b>00</b> %	236	1 <b>00</b> %					

#### **District 7**

#### Part (d) Juvenile Probation - Youth Risk Level and Length of Probation

Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (d) Juveniles placed on juvenile probation, the number of juveniles on probation in out-of-home care, the number of juveniles completing probation, the number of motions to revoke probation and probation revocations, and the average length of time on probation.

Youth Level of Service/Case Management Inventory (YLS/CMI) is an assessment tool used to systematically measure risk for recidivism and appropriate supervision intensity for youth on probation. The following table shows the classification results of youth that entered into Probation in 2021, and another set of youth that discharged from Probation in 2021. Youth that are assessed at low or moderate low risk are matched to JCBR supervision and those assessed at high or moderate high risk are matched to JCBR supervision intensity

	Youth Risk Levels and Length of Probation District											
		Youth Sta Probati	-		Discharged Probation	Average Length of Probation						
Demo- graphic	Cohort	JCBR/ Low/ Moderate Low	JCBI/ High	JCBR/ Low	JCBI/ High/ Moderate High	Total Youth	Avg Length of Probation					
	11-13	10	26	4	4	8	5.5					
	14-15	32	60	18	32	50	9.5					
Age Group	16	18	48	16	26	42	10.7					
	17	26	60	28	44	72	10.1					
	18	8	4	30	54	84	12.1					
Sex	Female	30	68	30	62	92	10.8					
Sex	Male	64	130	66	98	164	10.5					
	Am. Indian	6	18	2	14	16	10.1					
	Asian/P.I.	4	2	-	6	6	12.7					
Race	Black	-	18	2	14	16	7.9					
	White	70	138	76	106	182	10.6					
	Other	14	22	16	20	36	11.6					
Ethnisity	Hispanic	34	64	36	56	92	10.3					
Ethnicity	Not Hispanic	60	134	60	104	164	10.8					
District Tota	al	94	198	96	160	256	10.6					

	Yout	h Risk Lev by Cou			th of Prob Group	ation		
		Youth Sta Probati	-		Discharged Probation	Average Length of Probation		
County	Age Group	JCBR/ Low/ Moderate Low	JCBI/ High	JCBR/ Low	JCBI/ High/ Moderate High	Total Youth	Avg Length of Probation	
	11-13	-	2	-	2	2	10.0	
Antelope	14-15	2	10	4	8	12	11.7	
Antelope	16	2	-	2	2	4	6.0	
	17	2	2	4	2	6	11.7	
	11-13	2	4	2	-	2	4.0	
	14-15	4	8	2	4	6	5.0	
Cuming	16	6	2	4	2	6	8.7	
	17	2	4	4	6	10	9.0	
	18	4	-	6	4	10	11.4	
	14-15	2	2	-	2	2	5.0	
Knox	16	-	-	-	2	2	6.0	
KIIOX	17	-	4	2	4	6	9.7	
	18	-	2	-	-	0	-	
	11-13	4	12	-	4	4	6.0	
	14-15	20	32	12	14	26	9.9	
Madison	16	6	36	6	14	20	12.8	
	17	16	42	12	24	36	9.6	
	18	4	2	16	38	54	12.6	
	14-15	-	4	-	-	0	-	
Pierce	16	2	-	-	2	2	12.0	
FIEICE	17	-	2	-	2	2	9.0	
	18	-	-	4	2	6	7.7	
	11-13	2	6	2	-	2	6.0	
	14-15	2	-	-	2	2	8.0	
Stanton	16	2	6	2	2	4	2.5	
	17	6	2	-	4	4	12.0	
	18	-	-	4	4	8	5.2	
Mauna	11-13	2	2	-	-	0	-	
Wayne	14-15	2	4	-	-	0	-	

	Youth Risk Levels and Length of Probation by County and Age Group											
		Youth Starting Probation			Discharged Probation	Average Length of Probation						
County	Age Group	JCBR/ Low/ Moderate Low	JCBI/ High	JCBR/ Low	JCBI/ High/ Moderate High	Total Youth	Avg Length of Probation					
	16	-	4	2	2	4	18.0					
Wayne	17	-	4	6	2	8	12.0					
	18	-	-	-	6	6	23.3					
District To	otal	94	198	96	160	256	10.6					

Youth Risk Levels and Length of Probation by County and Sex										
		Youth Starting	Probation		charged from obation	-	e Length of obation			
County	Sex	JCBR/Low/ Moderate Low	JCBI/ High	JCBR/ Low	JCBI/High/ Moderate High	Total Youth	Avg Length of Probation			
Antelope	Female	-	4	-	8	8	12.0			
Antelope	Male	6	10	10	6	16	9.9			
Curring	Female	6	6	2	4	6	6.0			
Cuming	Male	12	12	16	12	28	9.2			
Knox	Female	-	4	-	4	4	14.5			
KNOX	Male	2	4	2	4	6	3.7			
Madiaaa	Female	22	46	22	38	60	11.7			
Madison	Male	28	78	24	56	80	10.5			
Pierce	Male	2	6	4	6	10	8.8			
Chamban	Female	2	8	2	4	6	3.7			
Stanton	Male	10	6	6	6	12	7.5			
	Female	-	-	4	4	8	9.8			
Wayne	Male	4	14	4	8	12	21.8			
District Total		94	198	96	160	256	10.6			

	Youth			Length and Ra	of Probat	ion	
		Youth Sta Probati	-		Discharged Probation		ge Length robation
County	Race	JCBR/ Low/ Moderate Low	JCBI/ High	JCBR/ Low	JCBI/ High/ Moderate High	Total Youth	Avg Length of Probation
Antolono	Black	-	2	-	2	2	7.0
Antelope	White	6	12	10	12	22	10.9
	Black	-	2	-	2	2	6.0
Cuming	White	18	12	18	12	30	9.0
	Other	-	4	-	2	2	6.0
Knox	Am. Indian	2	2	-	4	4	2.5
KIIOX	White	-	6	2	4	6	11.7
	Am. Indian	4	16	2	10	12	12.7
	Asian/P.I.	4	-	-	6	6	12.7
Madison	Black	-	12	-	8	8	8.2
	White	30	78	32	54	86	11.0
	Other	12	18	12	16	28	10.9
Pierce	Asian/P.I.	-	2	-	-	0	-
Pierce	White	2	4	4	6	10	8.8
	Black	-	-	2	-	2	5.0
Stanton	White	10	14	4	10	14	6.4
	Other	2	-	2	-	2	6.0
	Black	-	2	-	2	2	12.0
Wayne	White	4	12	6	8	14	16.3
	Other	-	-	2	2	4	22.0
District To	tal	94	198	96	160	256	10.6

		Youth Risk Lev by C		ength of F I Ethnicity				
		Youth Starting	Probation		charged from	Average Length of Probation		
County	Ethnicity	JCBR/Low/ Moderate Low	JCBI/ High	JCBR/ Low	JCBI/High/ Moderate High	Total Youth	Avg Length of Probation	
Antelope	Hispanic	-	2	-	-	0	-	
Antelope	Not Hispanic	6	12	10	14	24	10.6	
Cumina	Hispanic	4	-	2	-	2	8.0	
Cuming	Not Hispanic	14	18	16	16	32	8.7	
Knox	Not Hispanic	2	8	2	8	10	8.0	
Madison	Hispanic	26	54	30	46	76	10.2	
Iviadison	Not Hispanic	24	70	16	48	64	12.3	
Pierce	Not Hispanic	2	6	4	6	10	8.8	
Stanton	Hispanic	4	6	2	6	8	5.8	
Stanton	Not Hispanic	8	8	6	6	12	6.8	
14/01/00	Hispanic	-	2	2	4	6	18.7	
Wayne	Not Hispanic	4	12	6	6	12	16.3	
District Total		94	198	96	160	256	10.6	

#### Part (e) Juveniles Access to Counsel

*Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (e) juveniles with and without access to counsel in juvenile and adult court, both appointed and retained.* 

The Juveniles Access to Counsel table displays the percent of juveniles represented by an attorney in criminal cases from county court and district court and juvenile cases from county court and separate juvenile court. Data includes new cases filed and cases transferred from one county to another during calendar year 2021. Cases filed in 2021 may not have reached the sentencing/adjudication stage of proceedings. Cases for juveniles ages 13 and below must be filed and stay in juvenile court.

			Juvenile	Access to District		el					
			Criminal C	ourt Cases			Juvenile C	e Court Cases			
Demographic	Cohort	Total Criminal Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel	Total Juvenile Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel		
	11-13	-	-	-	-	26	3	23	88%		
Age Group	14-15	8	0	8	1 <b>00</b> %	105	35	70	67%		
Age Group	16	9	7	2	22%	76	25	51	67%		
	17	31	20	11	35%	70	30	40	57%		
	Female	8	2	6	75%	89	29	60	67%		
Sex	Male	32	22	10	<b>3</b> 1%	180	61	119	66%		
	Unknown	8	3	5	<i>62%</i>	8	3	5	62%		
	Am. Indian	-	-	-	-	7	1	6	86%		
	Black	-	-	-	-	3	0	3	1 <b>00</b> %		
Race/Ethnicity	Hispanic	11	8	3	27%	12	3	9	7 <b>5</b> %		
	White	14	12	2	14%	67	28	39	58%		
	Unknown	23	7	16	70%	188	61	127	<b>68</b> %		
District Total		48	27	21	44%	277	93	184	66%		

					ss to Cou nd Age G						
			Criminal C	ourt Cases			Juvenile C	ourt Cases			
County	Age Group	Total Criminal Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel	Total Juvenile Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel		
	14-15	-	-	-	-	9	0	9	100%		
Antelope	16	-	-	-	-	2	1	1	50%		
	17	1	1	0	0%	4	3	1	25%		
	11-13	-	-	-	-	5	0	5	100%		
Cuming	14-15	-	-	-	-	17	10	7	41%		
Cuming	16	1	1	0	0%	13	6	7	54%		
	17	2	1	1	50%	12	3	9	75%		
	14-15	-	-	-	-	3	1	2	67%		
Knox	16	-	-	-	-	2	0	2	100%		
	17	-	-	-	-	5	1	4	80%		
	11-13	-	-	-	-	15	3	12	80%		
Madiaan	14-15	6	0	6	100%	45	14	31	69%		
Madison	16	7	6	1	14%	42	13	29	69%		
	17	21	12	9	43%	31	11	20	65%		
	11-13	-	-	-	-	1	0	1	100%		
Pierce	14-15	1	0	1	100%	2	0	2	100%		
	17	6	5	1	17%	2	1	1	50%		
	11-13	-	-	-	-	2	0	2	100%		
Stanton	14-15	1	0	1	100%	22	9	13	59%		
Stanton	16	1	0	1	100%	14	3	11	79%		
	17	-	-	-	-	10	7	3	30%		
	11-13	-	-	-	-	3	0	3	100%		
10/01/00	14-15	-	-	-	-	7	1	6	86%		
Wayne	16	-	-	-	-	3	2	1	33%		
	17 1 1 0 0% 6 4 2 33%										
District To	tal	48	27	21	44%	277	93	184	66%		

				le Acces County		nsel			
			Criminal C	ourt Cases			Juvenile C	ourt Cases	
County	Sex	Total Criminal Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel	Total Juvenile Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel
Autolone	Female	-	-	-	-	5	1	4	80%
Antelope	Male	1	1	0	0%	10	3	7	70%
Curring	Female	1	1	0	0%	15	5	10	67%
Cuming	Male	2	1	1	50%	32	14	18	56%
Knox	Female	-	-	-	-	4	2	2	50%
KNOX	Male	-	-	-	-	6	0	6	100%
	Female	6	1	5	83%	43	13	30	70%
Madison	Male	20	14	6	30%	86	27	59	69%
	Unknown	8	3	5	62%	4	1	3	75%
Pierce	Female	1	0	1	100%	2	0	2	100%
Pierce	Male	6	5	1	17%	3	1	2	67%
	Female	-	-	-	-	17	7	10	59%
Stanton	Male	2	0	2	100%	27	10	17	63%
	Unknown	-	-	-	-	4	2	2	50%
10/01/00	Female	-	-	-	-	3	1	2	67%
Wayne	Male	1	1	0	0%	16	6	10	62%
District To	tal	48	27	21	44%	277	93	184	66%

			-		s to Coui Race/Ethi				
			Juvenile C	ourt Cases			Criminal C	ourt Cases	
County	Race/ Ethnicity	Total Juvenile Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel	Total Criminal Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel
	Black	1	0	1	100%	-	-	-	-
Antelope	White	10	4	6	60%	1	1	0	0%
	Unknown	4	0	4	100%	-	-	-	-
	Black	2	0	2	100%	-	-	-	-
Cumina	Hispanic	6	2	4	67%	-	-	-	-
Cuming	White	36	16	20	56%	3	2	1	33%
	Unknown	3	1	2	67%	-	-	-	-
Knox	Am. Indian	6	0	6	100%	-	-	-	-
KHOX	White	4	2	2	50%	-	-	-	-
	Hispanic	1	0	1	100%	8	6	2	25%
Madison	White	-	-	-	-	6	5	1	17%
	Unknown	132	41	91	69%	20	7	13	65%
	Hispanic	-	-	-	-	2	1	1	50%
Pierce	White	2	0	2	100%	4	4	0	0%
	Unknown	3	1	2	67%	1	0	1	100%
	Hispanic	4	0	4	100%	-	-	-	-
Stanton	White	4	3	1	25%	-	-	-	-
	Unknown	40	16	24	60%	2	0	2	100%
	Am. Indian	1	1	0	0%	-	-	-	-
10/01/00	Hispanic	1	1	0	0%	1	1	0	0%
Wayne	White	11	3	8	73%	-	-	-	-
	Unknown	6	2	4	67%	-	-	-	-
District To	tal	277	93	184	66%	48	27	21	44%

#### **District 8**

#### Part (a) Juvenile Petitions and Adjudications

Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (a) Juveniles prosecuted in juvenile court under subdivision (1), (2), (3)(b), or (4) of section 43-247, including the total number of filings and adjudications.

The Juvenile Petitions and Adjudications tables report the number of new juvenile cases and corresponding percent of adjudications by case type and demographic group for cases filed in 2021. The data consists of both county court and separate juvenile court cases. Only the most serious offense is counted for cases with multiple charges, pleas or findings. Cases are counted in the Admit column if at least one charge was admitted to in court. Supplemental petitions are not included in this data set. Cases filed in 2021 may not have reached the adjudication stage of proceedings. Juvenile cases where the age is unknown, if any, are included in the report.

			Juven	ile Petit	ions ar	nd Adju Distric	dicatio t	n by Ca	ase Type	2			
		Traffic Offense		St	atus Offe	nse	М	isdemea	nor		Felony		
Demo- graphic	Cohort	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated
	14-15	2	1	50%	1	0	0%	25	14	56%	1	0	0%
Age Group	16	0	0	0%	5	1	20%	22	11	50%	3	2	67%
	17	0	0	0%	2	1	50%	16	9	56%	5	2	40%
	Female	1	0	0%	1	0	0%	15	9	60%	0	0	0%
Sex	Male	0	0	0%	6	1	17%	47	24	51%	9	4	44%
	Unknown	1	1	100%	1	1	100%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%
	Am. Indian	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	11	8	73%	2	1	50%
Race/	Hispanic	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	2	0	0%	0	0	0%
Ethnicity	White	1	0	0%	3	0	0%	36	17	47%	5	2	40%
	Unknown	1	1	100%	5	2	40%	14	9	64%	2	1	50%
District Tot	tal	2	1	50%	8	2	25%	63	34	54%	9	4	44%

	Juvenile Cases and Adjudication by Case Type, County and Age Group												
		Tr	affic Offe	nse	St	atus Offe	nse	N	lisdemear	nor		Felony	
County	Age Group	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated									
Boyd	17	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	0	0%	0	0	0%
	14-15	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	2	0	0%	0	0	0%
Brown	16	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	0	0%	0	0	0%
	17	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	0	0%	0	0	0%
	14-15	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	5	4	80%	0	0	0%
Cherry	16	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	4	2	50%	2	1	50%
	17	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	3	2	67%	3	1	33%
	14-15	0	0	0%	1	0	0%	2	1	50%	1	0	0%
Custer	16	0	0	0%	2	0	0%	2	0	0%	1	1	100%
	17	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%	0	0	0%
	14-15	1	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	0	0%	0	0	0%
Garfield	16	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	2	2	100%	0	0	0%
	17	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	2	2	100%	0	0	0%
	14-15	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	2	0	0%	0	0	0%
Greeley	16	0	0	0%	1	0	0%	2	0	0%	0	0	0%
	17	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	2	1	50%	0	0	0%
	14-15	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	5	3	60%	0	0	0%
Holt	16	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	5	4	80%	0	0	0%
	17	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	3	3	100%	1	1	100%
	14-15	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	4	3	75%	0	0	0%
Howard	16	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	4	2	50%	0	0	0%
	17	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	3	1	33%	0	0	0%
Keya Paha	16	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	0	0%	0	0	0%
Rock	16	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%	0	0	0%
	14-15	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	3	2	67%	0	0	0%
Sherman	16	0	0	0%	1	0	0%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%
	17	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	0	0%	0	0	0%
Valler	14-15	1	1	100%	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%
Valley	17	0	0	0%	1	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	0	0%
District Total		2	1	50%	8	2	25%	63	34	54%	9	4	44%

			Juve	nile Ca		l Adjud unty ar	ication Id Sex	by Cas	е Туре,				
		Ti	raffic Offe	nse	St	tatus Offe	nse	Ν	lisdemear	nor		Felony	
County	Sex	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated
Boyd	Male	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	0	0%	0	0	0%
Duraum	Female	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	3	0	0%	0	0	0%
Brown	Male	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	0	0%	0	0	0%
Charman	Female	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	4	3	75%	0	0	0%
Cherry	Male	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	8	5	62%	5	2	40%
	Female	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%
Custer	Male	0	0	0%	3	0	0%	3	0	0%	2	1	50%
	Unknown	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%	0	0	0%
	Female	1	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%
Garfield	Male	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	3	2	67%	0	0	0%
	Unknown	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%
Crealay	Female	0	0	0%	1	0	0%	1	0	0%	0	0	0%
Greeley	Male	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	5	1	20%	0	0	0%
11-14	Female	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	2	2	100%	0	0	0%
Holt	Male	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	11	8	73%	1	1	100%
Howard	Male	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	11	6	55%	0	0	0%
Keya Paha	Male	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	0	0%	0	0	0%
Rock	Male	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%	0	0	0%
Chaursen	Female	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	3	2	67%	0	0	0%
Sherman	Male	0	0	0%	1	0	0%	2	1	50%	0	0	0%
) (all as	Male	0	0	0%	1	0	0%	1	1	100%	1	0	0%
Valley	Unknown	1	1	100%	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	0	0	0%
District Tota	l	2	1	50%	8	2	25%	63	34	54%	9	4	44%

			Juver			Adjudi and Rac		_	е Туре,				
		Tr	affic Offe	nse	St	tatus Offe	nse	Ν	/lisdemear	nor		Felony	
County	Race	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated
Boyd	Unknown	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	0	0%	0	0	0%
Brown	Hispanic	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	0	0%	0	0	0%
DIOWII	White	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	3	0	0%	0	0	0%
	Am. Indian	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	10	7	70%	2	1	50%
Cherry	White	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	0	0%
	Unknown	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	2	1	50%	2	1	50%
	Hispanic	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	0	0%	0	0	0%
Custer	White	0	0	0%	1	0	0%	2	0	0%	2	1	50%
	Unknown	0	0	0%	3	1	33%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%
Carfield	White	1	0	0%	0	0	0%	2	2	100%	0	0	0%
Garfield	Unknown	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	3	2	67%	0	0	0%
Greeley	White	0	0	0%	1	0	0%	6	1	17%	0	0	0%
Holt	White	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	13	10	77%	1	1	100%
Linuard	White	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	4	1	25%	0	0	0%
Howard	Unknown	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	7	5	71%	0	0	0%
Keya Paha	White	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	0	0%	0	0	0%
Rock	Unknown	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%	0	0	0%
CI.	Am. Indian	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%
Sherman	White	0	0	0%	1	0	0%	4	2	50%	0	0	0%
) (all as a	White	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	1	0	0%
Valley	Unknown	1	1	100%	1	0	0%	0	0	0%	0	0	0%
District Tot	al	2	1	50%	8	2	25%	63	34	54%	9	4	44%

#### **District 8**

#### Part (b) Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court - Case Type

*Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (b) Juveniles prosecuted in adult criminal court for felonies, misdemeanors, and traffic offenses. The information shall include juveniles sentenced to terms in adult jails and prisons and juveniles placed on adult probation.* 

Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court tables consist of criminal and traffic cases in county court and criminal cases in district court that reached the point of sentencing in 2021. Cases with multiple charges are counted once per case and reported as the most severe offense. Cases for juveniles ages 13 and below must be filed and stay in juvenile court; however, judges have the authority to allow these cases in criminal court. Therefore, only juveniles ages 14 through 17 at the time of the offense appear in adult criminal court data.

Juveniles Pi	by (	d in Ad Case Ty District		minal C	Court			
		All C	Cases	Misde	meanor			
Demographic	Cohort	Total Cases	% of Total	Total Cases	% of Total for Case Type Group			
Age Group	17	1	100%	1	100%			
Race/Ethnicity	White	1	100%	1	100%			
Sex	Male	1	100%	1 100%				
District Total		1	100%	1 100%				

Juven		by (	Case Ty	lult Criminal ( pe, e Group	Court
County	Age Group	All Cases	Traffic	Misdemeanor	Felony
	Group	#	#	#	#
Brown	17	1	0	1	0
District	Total	1	0	1	0

Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court by Case Type, County and Sex									
County	County Sex		Traffic	c Misdemeanor Fe					
		#	#	#	#				
Brown	Male	1	0	1	0				
District	District Total 1 0 1 0								

Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court by Case Type, County and Race/Ethnicity									
County	Race	All Cases	Misdemeanor						
		#	#						
Brown	White	1	1						
District Total 1 1									

#### **District 8**

#### Part (b) Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court - Sentence

Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (b) Juveniles prosecuted in adult criminal court for felonies, misdemeanors, and traffic offenses. The information shall include juveniles sentenced to terms in adult jails and prisons and juveniles placed on adult probation.

Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court tables include criminal and traffic cases in county court and criminal cases in district court that reached the point of sentencing in 2021. All sentencing types are included where multiple sentencing types occur within a case (ex. probation/jail). Youth who are sentenced to probation in Adult Court are supervised by Adult Probation. Average age at judgment is the mean calculated for each cohort at the time of their judgment date.

	Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court by Sentencing District												
Total Cases				Probation			Jail				Prison		
Demographic	Cohort	Number of Cases	% of Total	Average Age at Judgement	Number of Cases	% of Cohort	Average Age at Judgement	Number of Cases	% of Cohort	Average Age at Judgement	Number of Cases	% of Cohort	
Age Group	17	1	100%	18	1	100%	18	0	0%	18	0	0%	
Sex	Male	1	100%	18	1	100%	18	0	0%	18	0	0%	
Race/Ethnicity	White	1	100%	18	1	100%	18	0	0%	18	0	0%	
District Total	•	1	100%	18	1	100%	18	0	0%	18	0	0%	

	Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court by Sentence, County and Age Group										
	A	Total Cases	Proba	Probation		l	Prison				
County	County Group	Number of Cases	Average Age at Judgement		Average Age at Judgement	Number of Cases	Average Age at Judgement	Number of Cases			
Brown	17	1	18	1	18	0	18	0			
District	Total	1	18	1	18	0	18	0			

	Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court by Sentence, County and Sex										
		Total Cases	Proba	tion	Jai	l	Prison				
County	Sex	Number of Cases	Average Age at Judgement	Number of Cases	Average Age at Judgement	Number of Cases	Average Age at Judgement	Number of Cases			
Brown	Male	1	18	1	18	0	18	0			
District	Total	1	18	1	18	0	18	0			

	Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court by Sentence, County and Race/Ethnicity										
		Total Cases	Proba	robation		Jail		Prison			
County	Race	Race Number of Cases	Average Age at Judgement	Number of Cases	Average Age at Judgement	Number of Cases	Average Age at Judgement	Number of Cases			
Brown	White	1	18	1	18	0	18	0			
District	Total	1	18	1	18	0	18	0			

#### **District 8**

#### Part (c) Motions to Transfer Cases and Cases Transferred

*Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (c) The number of motions to transfer and the number of cases transferred to adult court from juvenile court and from adult criminal court to juvenile court.* 

The data represents motions to transfer filed in 2021 and transfers between court types which occurred in 2021. Therefore, the exact same subset of cases may not be represented in both columns. There can be multiple motions to transfer within a single case which, if filed in the same calendar year, would all be included in the counts below. Some cases may have a motion to transfer, but no transfer actually occurs.

Motions to Transfer Courts and Transfers District												
		Juveni	le Court	t to Adult C	ourt	Adu	lt Court	to Juvenile Cour	t			
Demographic	Cohort	Motion to Transfer Juv to Adult	% of Total	Transfers Juv to Adult	% of Total	Motion to Transfer Adult to Juv	% of Total	Transfers Adult to Juv	% of Total			
Are Croup	14-15	0	-	0	-	1	50%	2	40%			
Age Group	17	2	100%	0	-	1	50%	3	60%			
	Am. Indian	0	-	0	-	0	-	3	60%			
Race/Ethnicity	White	2	100%	0	-	1	100%	2	40%			
	Unknown	0	-	0	-	1	-	0	-			
Cav	Female	0	-	0	-	1	50%	2	40%			
Sex	Male	2	100%	0	-	1	50%	3	60%			
District Total		2	-	0	-	2	-	5	-			

	County and Age Group										
		-	e Court to It Court	Adult Co	ourt to Juvenile Court						
County	County Age Group		Cases Transfered	Motion to Transfer	Cases Transfered						
Charpy	14-15	0	0	1	2						
Cherry	17	0	0	0	1						
Holt	17	1	0	1	1						
Howard	Howard 17		0	0	1						
Valley	Valley 17		0	0	0						
District T	otal	2	0	2	5						

# Motions to Transfer Courts and Transfers.

	Motions to Transfer Courts and Transfers, County and Sex										
		-	e Court to It Court	Adult Court to Juvenile Court							
County	Sex	Motion to Transfer	Cases Transfered	Motion to Transfer	Cases Transfered						
Cherry	Male	0	0	1	3						
Holt	Female	0	0	1	1						
HOIL	Male	1	0	0	0						
Howard	Female	0	0	0	1						
Valley	Valley Male		0	0	0						
District T	otal	2	0	2	5						

	County and Race/Ethnicity										
		-	e Court to It Court	Adult Court to Juvenile Court							
County	County Race/ Ethnicity		Cases Transfered	Motion to Transfer	Cases Transfered						
Charme	Am. Indian	0	0	0	3						
Cherry	Unknown	0	0	1	0						
Holt	White	1	0	1	1						
Howard	White	0	0	0	1						
Valley	Valley White		0	0	0						
District T	otal	2	0	2	5						

#### Motions to Transfer Courts and Transfers, County and Race/Ethnicity

#### **District 8**

#### Part (d) Juvenile Probation Activity

Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (d) Juveniles placed on juvenile probation, the number of juveniles on probation in out-of-home care, the number of juveniles completing probation, the number of motions to revoke probation and probation revocations, and the average length of time on probation.

The Juvenile Probation Activity - Youth data is provided from the Administrative Office of Probation's case management system, Nebraska Probation Application for Community Safety (NPACS). The table reports the number and percent of youth with various activity during the year. Youth are counted once in each category, regardless of the number of out-of-home placements, motions to revoke, or cases they may have in the year. Age groups are calculated specific to the activity as footnoted.

	Juvenile Probation Activity District										
Demographic	Cohort		Placed on Probation		Out-of- home Placement		ictory ase				
		# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total				
	11-13	14	13%	4	11%	20	22%				
	14-15	24	23%	16	42%	34	38%				
Age Group	16	24	23%	6	16%	6	7%				
	17	30	28%	6	16%	26	<b>29</b> %				
	18	14	13%	6	16%	4	4%				
Sex	Female	18	17%	18	47%	24	27%				
Sex	Male	88	83%	20	53%	66	73%				
	Black	0	0%	2	5%	0	0%				
Dees	Indian	18	17%	4	11%	10	11%				
Race	Other	2	2%	4	11%	4	4%				
	White	86	81%	28	74%	76	84%				
Fthuisit.	Hispanic	4	4%	4	11%	6	7%				
Ethnicity	Not Hispanic	102	96%	34	89%	84	93%				
District Total		106	100%	38	100%	90	100%				

1. Age at which the probation term began. 2. Age at the first admission to out-of-home placement. 3. Age as of the file date for motion to revoke. 4. Age as of the disposition date for motion to revoke. 5. Age as of the disposition date for motion.

	Ju	venile	Probat Distri	tion Ac ct	tivity						
County	Age Group	Place Proba		Out- hor Place	ne	Satisfactory Release					
	Group	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total				
	14-15	0	0%	0	0%	2	2%				
Brown	17	2	2%	0	0%	8	<b>9</b> %				
	18	0	0%	2	5%	0	0%				
	11-13	8	8%	0	0%	10	11%				
	14-15	2	2%	2	5%	2	2%				
Cherry	16	2	2%	0	0%	0	0%				
	17	8	8%	0	0%	2	2%				
	18	2	2%	0	0%	0	0%				
	11-13	2	2%	2	5%	6	7%				
Custer	14-15	6	6%	10	26%	4	4%				
	16	2	2%	0	0%	0	0%				
	14-15	0	0%	0	0%	2	2%				
Garfield	16	4	4%	0	0%	0	0%				
Garfield	17	4	4%	0	0%	0	0%				
	18	0	0%	2	5%	2	2%				
Greeley	17	0	0%	2	5%	0	0%				
	11-13	2	2%	2	5%	4	4%				
	14-15	6	6%	0	0%	4	4%				
Holt	16	4	4%	2	5%	2	2%				
	17	2	2%	2	5%	2	2%				
	18	4	4%	0	0%	2	2%				
	14-15	6	6%	2	5%	6	7%				
Harris	16	6	6%	2	5%	4	4%				
Howard	17	10	9%	0	0%	12	13%				
	18	4	4%	2	5%	0	0%				
	14-15	0	0%	0	0%	14	16%				
Rock	16	2	2%	2	5%	0	0%				
	18	2	2%	0	0%	0	0%				
Sherman	14-15	2	2%	0	0%	0	0%				
	Juvenile Probation Activity District										
-------------	---	----------------	---------------	---------------------	---------------	-------------------------	---------------	--	--	--	--
County	Age	Place Proba		Out hor Place	me	Satisfactory Release					
	Group	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total				
	16	2	2%	0	0%	0	0%				
Sherman	17	4	4%	0	0%	2	2%				
	18	2	2%	0	0%	0	0%				
	11-13	2	2%	0	0%	0	0%				
Valley	14-15	2	2%	2	5%	0	0%				
Valley	16	2	2%	0	0%	0	0%				
	17	0	0%	2	5%	0	0%				
District To	otal	106	100%	38	100%	90	100%				

	Ju	venile f	Probat Distri		tivity			
County	Sex	Place Proba		Out- hor Place	ne	Satisfactory Release		
		# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	
Brown	Female	0	0%	2	5%	6	7%	
Brown	Male	2	2%	0	0%	4	4%	
Charny	Female	8	8%	2	5%	8	9%	
Cherry	Male	14	13%	0	0%	6	7%	
Custer	Female	2	2%	6	16%	2	2%	
Custer	Male	8	8%	6	16%	8	9%	
Garfield	Female	2	2%	0	0%	0	0%	
Garnetu	Male	6	6%	2	5%	4	4%	
Greeley	Female	0	0%	2	5%	0	0%	
Holt	Female	0	0%	2	5%	4	4%	
ΠΟΙΙ	Male	18	17%	4	11%	10	11%	
Howard	Female	0	0%	2	5%	4	4%	
HOWAIU	Male	26	25%	4	11%	18	20%	
Rock	Male	4	4%	2	5%	14	16%	
Sherman	Female	6	6%	0	0%	0	0%	
Sherman	Male	4	4%	0	0%	2	2%	
Valley	Female	0	0%	2	5%	0	0%	
valley	Male	6	6%	2	5%	0	0%	
District To	otal	106	100%	38	100%	90	100%	

	Ju	venile	Probat Distri		tivity			
County	Race	Place Proba		Out- hor Place	ne	Satisfactory Release		
		# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	
Brown	White	2	2%	2	5%	10	11%	
Charm	Indian	16	15%	2	5%	8	9%	
Cherry	White	6	6%	0	0%	6	7%	
	Other	2	2%	2	5%	2	2%	
Custer	White	8	8%	8	21%	8	9%	
	Indian	0	0%	2	5%	0	0%	
Garfield	White	8	8%	2	5%	4	4%	
Greeley	Other	0	0%	2	5%	0	0%	
Holt	White	18	17%	6	16%	12	13%	
ΠΟΙΙ	Other	0	0%	0	0%	2	2%	
Howard	White	26	25%	6	16%	22	24%	
Rock	White	4	4%	2	5%	14	16%	
Chavman	Indian	2	2%	0	0%	2	2%	
Sherman	White	8	8%	0	0%	0	0%	
Valley	White	6	6%	2	5%	0	0%	
Valley	Black	0	0%	2	5%	0	0%	
District To	otal	106	100%	38	100%	90	100%	

	Juvenile Probation Activity District										
County	Ethnicity	Placed on Probation		Out hor Place	ne	Satisfactory Release					
		# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total				
Brown	Not Hispanic	2	2%	2	5%	10	11%				
Chorny	Hispanic	2	2%	0	0%	2	2%				
Cherry	Not Hispanic	20	1 <b>9</b> %	2	5%	12	1 <b>3</b> %				
Custor	Hispanic	2	2%	2	5%	2	2%				
Custer	Not Hispanic	8	8%	10	26%	8	9%				
Garfield	Not Hispanic	8	8%	2	5%	4	4%				
Greeley	Hispanic	0	0%	2	5%	0	0%				
Holt	Hispanic	0	0%	0	0%	2	2%				
Holt	Not Hispanic	18	17%	6	16%	12	13%				
Howard	Not Hispanic	26	25%	6	1 <b>6</b> %	22	24%				
Rock	Not Hispanic	4	4%	2	5%	14	1 <b>6</b> %				
Sherman	Not Hispanic	10	9%	0	0%	2	2%				
Valley	Valley Not Hispanic		6%	4	11%	0	0%				
District To	otal	106	100%	38	100%	90	100%				

#### **District 8**

#### Part (d) Juvenile Probation - Youth Risk Level and Length of Probation

Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (d) Juveniles placed on juvenile probation, the number of juveniles on probation in out-of-home care, the number of juveniles completing probation, the number of motions to revoke probation and probation revocations, and the average length of time on probation.

Youth Level of Service/Case Management Inventory (YLS/CMI) is an assessment tool used to systematically measure risk for recidivism and appropriate supervision intensity for youth on probation. The following table shows the classification results of youth that entered into Probation in 2021, and another set of youth that discharged from Probation in 2021. Youth that are assessed at low or moderate low risk are matched to JCBR supervision and those assessed at high or moderate high risk are matched to JCBR supervision intensity

	Youth Risk Levels and Length of Probation District										
		Youth	Starting	g Probation	Youth	Discharged 1	Average Length of Probation				
Demo- graphic	Cohort	JCBR/ Low/ Moderate Low	JCBI/ High	No Assessment**	JCBR/ Low	JCBI/ High/ Moderate High	No Assessment**	Total Youth	Avg Length of Probation		
	11-13	8	6	-	6	6	-	12	9.2		
	14-15	10	14	-	8	8	-	16	11.2		
Age Group	16	14	10	-	14	6	-	20	13.2		
	17	16	14	-	10	2	-	12	8.2		
	18	2	10	2	8	12	2	22	17.7		
Carr	Female	4	14	-	6	14	-	20	13.1		
Sex	Male	46	40	2	40	20	2	62	12.6		
	Am. Indian	8	8	2	6	6	-	12	6.2		
Race	Black	-	-	-	-	2	-	2	16.0		
касе	White	42	44	-	38	24	2	64	13.4		
	Other	-	2	-	2	2	-	4	20.0		
Ethnisity	Hispanic	2	2	-	2	4	-	6	15.3		
Ethnicity	Not Hispanic	48	52	2	44	30	2	76	12.5		
District Tota	al	50	54	2	46	34	2	82	12.7		

	Youth Risk Levels and Length of Probation by County and Age Group										
		Youth	Starting	g Probation	Youth	Discharged 1	from Probation		ge Length robation		
County	Age Group	JCBR/ Low/ Moderate Low	JCBI/ High	No Assessment**	JCBR/ Low	JCBI/ High/ Moderate High	No Assessment**	Total Youth	Avg Length of Probation		
	14-15	-	-	-	2	-	-	2	6.0		
Brown	17	2	-	-	-	-	-	0	-		
	18	-	-	-	2	2	-	4	10.0		
	11-13	6	2	-	4	4	-	8	5.5		
	14-15	-	2	-	2	4	-	6	7.3		
Cherry	16	2	-	-	-	-	-	0	-		
	17	4	4	-	-	-	-	0	-		
	18	-	-	2	2	-	-	2	4.0		
	11-13	2	-	-	2	2	-	4	16.5		
	14-15	-	6	-	2	2	-	4	9.0		
Custer	16	-	2	-	2	-	-	2	8.0		
	17	-	-	-	2	-	-	2	8.0		
	16	4	-	-	2	-	-	2	11.0		
Garfield	17	4	-	-	-	-	-	0	-		
	18	-	-	-	2	-	-	2	8.0		
	11-13	-	2	-	-	-	-	0	-		
	14-15	6	-	-	2	-	-	2	28.0		
Holt	16	-	4	-	6	-	-	6	15.7		
	17	-	2	-	-	-	-	0	-		
	18	2	2	-	2	4	-	6	20.3		
	14-15	4	2	-	-	-	-	0	-		
	16	6	-	-	4	2	-	6	13.0		
Howard	17	4	6	-	6	2	-	8	8.8		
	18	-	4	-	-	2	2	4	13.5		
_	16	-	2	-	2	4	-	6	12.7		
Rock	18	-	2	-	-	-	-	0	-		
	14-15	-	2	-	-	-	-	0	-		
Sherman	16	-	2	-	-	-	-	0	-		
	17	2	2	-	2	-	-	2	6.0		

	Youth Risk Levels and Length of Probation by County and Age Group										
		Youth	Starting	g Probation	Youth	Discharged f	from Probation	Average Length of Probation			
County	Age Group	JCBR/ Low/ Moderate Low	JCBI/ High	No Assessment**	JCBR/ JCBI/ No Low High/ Assessment** Moderate High			Total Youth	Avg Length of Probation		
Sherman	18	-	2	-	-	-	-	0	-		
	11-13	-	2	-	-	-	-	0	-		
Velley	14-15	-	2	-	-	2	-	2	16.0		
Valley	16	2	-	-	-	-	-	0	-		
	18	-			-	2	-	2	28.0		
District To	rict Total 50 54					32	2	82	11.8		

	Youth Risk Levels and Length of Probation by County and Sex											
		Youth	Starting	Probation	Youth	Discharged f	Average Length of Probation					
County	Sex	JCBR/Low/ Moderate Low	JCBI/ High	No Assessment**	JCBR/ Low	JCBI/High/ Moderate High	No Assessment**	Total Youth	Avg Length of Probation			
Brown	Female	-	-	-	-	2	-	2	14.0			
БГОУИТ	Male	2	-	-	4	-	-	4	6.0			
Charry	Female	2	6	-	2	6	-	8	5.5			
Cherry	Male	10	2	2	6	2	-	8	6.5			
Custer	Female	-	2	-	2	-	-	2	6.0			
Custer	Male	2	6	-	6	4	-	10	12.2			
Garfield	Female	2	-	-	-	-	-	0	-			
Garrielu	Male	6	-	-	4	-	-	4	9.5			
Holt	Female	-	-	-	-	2	-	2	46.0			
поп	Male	8	10	-	10	2	-	12	15.0			
Howard	Female	-	-	-	2	2	-	4	13.5			
помаги	Male	14	12	-	8	6	2	16	15.1			
Rock	Male	-	4	-	2	4	-	6	12.7			
Sherman	Female	-	6	-	-	-	-	0	-			
Sherman	Male	2	2	-	2	-	-	2	6.0			
Valley	Female	-	-	-	-	2	-	2	16.0			
Valley	Male	2	4	-	-	2	-	2	28.0			
District To	tal	50	54	2	48	34	2	84	12.7			

	Youth Risk Levels and Length of Probation by County and Race										
		Youth	Starting	; Probation	Youth	Discharged f	from Probation	Average Length of Probation			
County	Race	JCBR/ Low/ Moderate Low	JCBI/ High	No Assessment**	JCBR/ Low	JCBI/ High/ Moderate High	No Assessment**	Total Youth	Avg Length of Probation		
Brown	White	2	-	-	4	2	-	6	8.7		
Cherry	Am. Indian	6	8	2	4	6	-	10	6.2		
Cherry	White	6	-	-	4	2	-	6	5.7		
Custer	White	2	6	-	8	2	-	10	11.0		
Custer	Other	-	2	-	-	2	-	2	12.0		
Garfield	White	8	-	-	2	-	-	2	8.0		
Holt	White	8	10	-	8	4	-	12	18.0		
пош	Other	-	-	-	2	-	-	2	28.0		
Howard	White	14	12	-	10	6	2	18	11.2		
Rock	White	-	4	-	2	4	-	6	12.7		
Sherman	Am. Indian	2	-	-	2	-	-	2	6.0		
Sherman	White	-	8	-	-	-	-	0	-		
Valley	Black	-	-	-	-	2	-	2	16.0		
valley	Valley White		4	-	-	2	-	2	28.0		
District To	istrict Total 50 54 2 46 32 2 80 11.8										

	Youth Risk Levels and Length of Probation by County and Ethnicity										
		Youth	Starting	g Probation	Youth	Discharged f	Average Length of Probation				
County	Ethnicity	JCBR/ Low/ Moderate Low	JCBI/ High	No Assessment**	JCBR/ Low	JCBI/ High/ Moderate High	No Assessment**	Total Youth	Avg Length of Probation		
Brown	Not Hispanic	2	-	-	4	2	-	6	8.7		
Chorny	Hispanic	2	-	-	-	2	-	2	6.0		
Cherry	Not Hispanic	10	8	2	8	6	-	14	6.0		
Custer	Hispanic	-	2	-	-	2	-	2	12.0		
Custer	Not Hispanic	2	6	-	8	2	-	10	11.0		
Garfield	Not Hispanic	8	-	-	4	-	-	4	9.5		
Holt	Hispanic	-	-	-	2	-	-	2	28.0		
HOIL	Not Hispanic	8	10	-	8	4	-	12	18.0		
Howard	Not Hispanic	14	12	-	10	8	2	20	14.8		
Rock	Not Hispanic	-	4	-	2	4	-	6	12.7		
Sherman	Not Hispanic	2	8	-	2	-	-	2	6.0		
Valley	Not Hispanic	2	4	-	-	4	-	4	22.0		
District To	istrict Total 50 54 2 48 34 2 84 12.7										

#### Part (e) Juveniles Access to Counsel

*Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (e) juveniles with and without access to counsel in juvenile and adult court, both appointed and retained.* 

The Juveniles Access to Counsel table displays the percent of juveniles represented by an attorney in criminal cases from county court and district court and juvenile cases from county court and separate juvenile court. Data includes new cases filed and cases transferred from one county to another during calendar year 2021. Cases filed in 2021 may not have reached the sentencing/adjudication stage of proceedings. Cases for juveniles ages 13 and below must be filed and stay in juvenile court.

	Juvenile Access to Counsel District										
			Criminal C	ourt Cases			Juvenile C	ourt Cases			
Demographic	Cohort	Total Criminal Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel	Total Juvenile Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel		
	11-13	-	-	-	-	23	11	12	52%		
Are Group	14-15	6	4	2	33%	37	22	15	41%		
Age Group	16	12	9	3	25%	37	21	16	<i>43%</i>		
	17	17	10	7	41%	28	12	16	57%		
	Female	8	7	1	1 <b>2</b> %	30	15	15	50%		
Sex	Male	25	14	11	44%	88	49	39	44%		
	Unknown	2	2	0	0%	7	2	5	71%		
	Am. Indian	3	3	0	0%	19	13	6	32%		
	Hispanic	1	1	0	0%	4	4	0	0%		
Race/Ethnicity	White	26	17	9	35%	64	32	32	50%		
	Other	-	-	-	-	1	1	0	0%		
	Unknown	5	2	3	60%	37	16	21	57%		
District Total		35	23	12	34%	125	66	59	47%		

	Juvenile Access to Counsel by County and Age Group											
			Criminal C	ourt Cases			Juvenile C	ourt Cases				
County	Age Group	Total Criminal Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel	Total Juvenile Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel			
Boyd	17	-	-	-	-	1	0	1	100%			
	14-15	-	-	-	-	2	2	0	0%			
Brown	16	-	-	-	-	3	1	2	67%			
	17	-	-	-	-	1	1	0	0%			
	11-13	-	-	-	-	6	2	4	67%			
Champ	14-15	4	2	2	50%	8	7	1	12%			
Cherry	16	-	-	-	-	6	4	2	33%			
	17	2	1	1	50%	8	5	3	38%			
	11-13	-	-	-	-	8	5	3	38%			
Custon	14-15	1	1	0	0%	4	2	2	50%			
Custer	16	3	1	2	67%	8	4	4	50%			
	17	7	4	3	43%	1	0	1	100%			
	14-15	-	-	-	-	2	1	1	50%			
Garfield	16	1	1	0	0%	2	1	1	50%			
	17	1	1	0	0%	2	1	1	50%			
	14-15	-	-	-	-	2	2	0	0%			
Greeley	16	-	-	-	-	3	3	0	0%			
	17	1	1	0	0%	2	1	1	50%			
	11-13	-	-	-	-	1	0	1	100%			
Holt	14-15	1	1	0	0%	5	3	2	40%			
HOIT	16	4	4	0	0%	5	4	1	20%			
	17	1	0	1	100%	4	1	3	75%			
	11-13	-	-	-	-	6	3	3	50%			
Howard	14-15	-	-	-	-	6	3	3	50%			
Howard	16	2	2	0	0%	5	2	3	60%			
	17	4	2	2	50%	5	2	3	60%			
Keya Paha	16	-	-	-	-	1	0	1	100%			
Loup	16	1	0	1	100%	-	-	-	-			
Rock	16	-	-	-	-	1	0	1	100%			

	Juvenile Access to Counsel by County and Age Group											
			Criminal C	ourt Cases		Juvenile Court Cases						
County	Age Group	Total Criminal Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel	Total Juvenile Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel			
Rock	17	-	-	-	-	1	0	1	100%			
	14-15	-	-	-	-	4	1	3	75%			
Sherman	16	-	-	-	-	2	1	1	50%			
	14-15	0%	1	0	1	100%						
	11-13	-	-	-	-	2	1	1	50%			
Valley	14-15	-	-	-	-	4	1	3	75%			
Valley	16	1	1	0	0%	1	1	0	0%			
	17	-	-	-	-	2	1	1	50%			
District Tot	al	35	23	12	34%	125	66	59	47%			

	Juvenile Access to Counsel by County and Sex											
			Criminal C	ourt Cases			Juvenile C	ourt Cases				
County	Sex	Total Criminal Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel	Total Juvenile Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel			
Boyd	Male	-	-	-	-	1	0	1	100%			
	Female	-	-	-	-	4	3	1	25%			
Brown	Male	-	-	-	-	2	1	1	50%			
	Female	-	-	-	-	9	4	5	56%			
Cherry	Male	6	3	3	50%	19	14	5	26%			
	Female	2	2	0	0%	7	2	5	71%			
Custer	Male	9	4	5	56%	13	9	4	31%			
	Unknown	-	-	-	-	1	0	1	100%			
	Female	-	-	-	-	2	2	0	0%			
Garfield	Male	1	1	0	0%	3	1	2	67%			
	Unknown	1	1	0	0%	1	0	1	100%			
	Female	-	-	-	-	2	2	0	0%			
Greeley	Male	-	-	-	-	5	4	1	20%			
	Unknown	1	1	0	0%	-	-	-	-			
List	Female	4	3	1	25%	2	1	1	50%			
Holt	Male	2	2	0	0%	13	7	6	46%			
	Female	2	2	0	0%	-	-	-	-			
Howard	Male	4	2	2	50%	21	9	12	57%			
	Unknown	-	-	-	-	1	1	0	0%			
Keya Paha	Male	-	-	-	-	1	0	1	100%			
Loup	Male	1	0	1	100%	-	-	-	-			
Deals	Male	-	-	-	-	1	0	1	100%			
Rock	Unknown	-	-	-	-	1	0	1	100%			
Charmen	Female	-	-	-	-	3	1	2	67%			
Sherman	Male	1	1	0	0%	4	1	3	75%			
	Female	-	-	-	-	1	0	1	100%			
Valley	Male	1	1	0	0%	5	3	2	40%			
	Unknown	-	-	-	-	3	1	2	67%			
District Tot	al	35	23	12	34%	125	66	59	47%			

	Juvenile Access to Counsel by County and Race/Ethnicity											
			Juvenile C	ourt Cases			Criminal C	ourt Cases				
County	Race/ Ethnicity	Total Juvenile Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel	Total Criminal Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel			
Boyd	Unknown	1	0	1	100%	-	-	-	-			
Braum	Hispanic	1	1	0	0%	-	-	-	-			
Brown	White	5	3	2	40%	-	-	-	-			
	Am. Indian	18	12	6	33%	3	3	0	0%			
Charm	White	4	3	1	25%	1	0	1	100%			
Cherry	Other	1	1	0	0%	-	-	-	-			
	Unknown	5	2	3	60%	2	0	2	100%			
	Hispanic	3	3	0	0%	-	-	-	-			
Custer	White	13	6	7	54%	11	6	5	45%			
Custer	Unknown	5	2	3	60%	-	-	-	-			
0 5 1 1	White	3	2	1	33%	1	1	0	0%			
Garfield	Unknown	3	1	2	67%	1	1	0	0%			
Constant	White	7	6	1	14%	-	-	-	-			
Greeley	Unknown	-	-	-	-	1	1	0	0%			
	Hispanic	-	-	-	-	1	1	0	0%			
Holt	White	15	8	7	47%	5	4	1	20%			
	White	6	1	5	83%	5	4	1	20%			
Howard	Unknown	16	9	7	44%	1	0	1	100%			
Keya Paha	White	1	0	1	100%	-	-	-	-			
Loup	White	-	-	-	-	1	0	1	100%			
Rock	Unknown	2	0	2	100%	-	-	-	-			
Ch	Am. Indian	1	1	0	0%	-	-	-	-			
Sherman	White	6	1	5	83%	1	1	0	0%			
	White	4	2	2	50%	1	1	0	0%			
Valley	Unknown	5	2	3	60%	-	-	-	-			
District Tot	al	125	66	59	47%	35	23	12	34%			

#### **District 9**

#### Part (a) Juvenile Petitions and Adjudications

Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (a) Juveniles prosecuted in juvenile court under subdivision (1), (2), (3)(b), or (4) of section 43-247, including the total number of filings and adjudications.

The Juvenile Petitions and Adjudications tables report the number of new juvenile cases and corresponding percent of adjudications by case type and demographic group for cases filed in 2021. The data consists of both county court and separate juvenile court cases. Only the most serious offense is counted for cases with multiple charges, pleas or findings. Cases are counted in the Admit column if at least one charge was admitted to in court. Supplemental petitions are not included in this data set. Cases filed in 2021 may not have reached the adjudication stage of proceedings. Juvenile cases where the age is unknown, if any, are included in the report.

	Juvenile Petitions and Adjudication by Case Type District											
		St	atus Offe	nse	М	lisdemea	nor		Felony			
Demo- graphic	Cohort	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated		
	14-15	4	4	100%	89	64	72%	22	19	86%		
Age Group	16	4	4	100%	50	38	76%	16	13	81%		
	17	1	1	100%	61	44	72%	11	10	<b>9</b> 1%		
	Female	5	5	100%	58	42	72%	11	9	82%		
Sex	Male	4	4	100%	130	98	75%	34	30	88%		
	Unknown	0	0	0%	12	6	50%	4	3	75%		
	Am. Indian	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	0	0%		
	Black	1	1	100%	0	0	0%	0	0	0%		
Race/ Ethnicity	Hispanic	1	1	100%	0	0	0%	0	0	0%		
	White	0	0	0%	8	6	75%	0	0	0%		
	Unknown	7	7	100%	192	140	73%	48	42	88%		
District Tot	tal	9	9	100%	200	146	73%	49	42	86%		

	Juvenile Cases and Adjudication by Case Type, County and Age Group												
		St	atus Offe	nse	N	lisdemear	nor		Felony				
County	Age Group	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated			
	14-15	1	1	100%	22	12	55%	3	3	100%			
Buffalo	16	2	2	100%	9	8	89%	4	1	25%			
	17	1	1	100%	13	11	85%	2	2	100%			
	14-15	3	3	100%	67	52	78%	19	16	84%			
Hall	16	2	2	100%	41	30	73%	12	12	100%			
	17	0	0	0%	48	33	69%	9	8	89%			
District T	otal	9	9	100%	200	146	73%	49	42	86%			

	Juvenile Cases and Adjudication by Case Type, County and Sex											
		St	tatus Offei	nse	Ν	lisdemear	or		Felony			
County	Sex	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated		
Duffele	Female	3	3	100%	16	11	69%	4	3	75%		
Buffalo	Male	1	1	100%	28	20	71%	5	3	60%		
	Female	2	2	100%	42	31	74%	7	6	86%		
Hall	Male	3	3	100%	102	78	76%	29	27	93%		
	Unknown	0	0	0%	12	6	50%	4	3	75%		
District T	District Total		9	100%	200	146	73%	49	42	86%		

	Juvenile Cases and Adjudication by Case Type, County and Race/Ethnicity												
		Status Offense			Ν	lisdemear	or		Felony				
County	Race	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated			
	Am. Indian	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	0	0%			
	Black	1	1	100%	0	0	0%	0	0	0%			
Buffalo	Hispanic	1	1	100%	0	0	0%	0	0	0%			
	White	0	0	0%	8	6	75%	0	0	0%			
	Unknown	2	2	100%	36	25	69%	8	6	75%			
Hall	Unknown	5	5	100%	156	115	74%	40	36	90%			
District 1	Fotal	9	9	100%	200	146	73%	49	42	86%			

#### **District 9**

#### Part (b) Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court - Case Type

*Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (b) Juveniles prosecuted in adult criminal court for felonies, misdemeanors, and traffic offenses. The information shall include juveniles sentenced to terms in adult jails and prisons and juveniles placed on adult probation.* 

Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court tables consist of criminal and traffic cases in county court and criminal cases in district court that reached the point of sentencing in 2021. Cases with multiple charges are counted once per case and reported as the most severe offense. Cases for juveniles ages 13 and below must be filed and stay in juvenile court; however, judges have the authority to allow these cases in criminal court. Therefore, only juveniles ages 14 through 17 at the time of the offense appear in adult criminal court data.

	Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court by Case Type District												
		All C	Cases	Tra	affic	Misde	meanor	Fel	ony				
Demographic	Cohort	Total Cases	% of Total	Total Cases	% of Total for Case Type Group	Total Cases	% of Total for Case Type Group	Total Cases	% of Total for Case Type Group				
Age Group	14-15	1	14%	0	-	0	-	1	25%				
	16	1	14%	0	-	0	-	1	25%				
	17	5	71%	1	100%	2	100%	2	50%				
Race/Ethnicity	Hispanic	3	43%	0	-	0	-	3	75%				
	White	4	57%	1	100%	2	100%	1	25%				
Sex	Male	7	100%	1	100%	2	100%	4	100%				
District Total		7	100%	1	14%	2	29%	4	57%				

Juven	Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court by Case Type, County and Age Group											
County	Age Group	All Cases	Traffic	Misdemeanor	Felony							
	Group	#	#		#							
Buffalo	17	3	1	2	0							
	14-15	1	0	0	1							
Hall	16	1	0	0	1							
	17	2	0	0	2							
District	Total	7	1	2	4							

Juveni	Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court by Case Type, County and Sex											
County	Sex	All Cases	Traffic	Misdemeanor	Felony							
		#	#	#	#							
Buffalo	Male	3	1	2	0							
Hall	Male	4	0	0	4							
District	Total	7	1	2	4							

Juveni	Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court by Case Type, County and Race/Ethnicity											
County	Race	All Cases	Traffic	Misdemeanor	Felony							
		#	#	#	#							
Buffalo	White	3	1	2	0							
Lall	Hispanic	3	0	0	3							
Hall	White	1	0	0	1							
District -	Total	7	1	2	4							

#### **District 9**

#### Part (b) Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court - Sentence

Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (b) Juveniles prosecuted in adult criminal court for felonies, misdemeanors, and traffic offenses. The information shall include juveniles sentenced to terms in adult jails and prisons and juveniles placed on adult probation.

Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court tables include criminal and traffic cases in county court and criminal cases in district court that reached the point of sentencing in 2021. All sentencing types are included where multiple sentencing types occur within a case (ex. probation/jail). Youth who are sentenced to probation in Adult Court are supervised by Adult Probation. Average age at judgment is the mean calculated for each cohort at the time of their judgment date.

	Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court by Sentencing District												
		Total C	ases	Probation			Jail			Prison			
Demographic	Cohort	Number of Cases	% of Total	Average Age at Judgement	Number of Cases	% of Cohort	Average Age at Judgement	Number of Cases	% of Cohort	Average Age at Judgement	Number of Cases	% of Cohort	
	14 <b>-</b> 15	1	14%	17	0	0%	17	0	0%	17	1	100%	
Age Group	16	1	14%	18	0	0%	18	0	0%	18	1	100%	
	17	5	71%	17	4	80%	17	0	0%	17	1	20%	
Sex	Male	7	100%	17	4	0%	17	0	0%	17	3	100%	
Dece (Ethericity	Hispanic	3	43%	17	1	0%	17	0	0%	17	2	100%	
Race/Ethnicity	White	4	57%	17	3	0%	17	0	0%	17	1	100%	
District Total		7	100%	17	4	0%	17	0	0%	17	3	100%	

	Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court by Sentence, County and Age Group											
	<b>A</b>	Total Cases	Probation Jail			l	Prison					
County Group		Number of Cases	Average Age at Judgement	Number of Cases	Average Age at Judgement		Average Age at Judgement	Number of Cases				
Buffalo	17	3	17	3	17	0	17	0				
	14-15	1	17	0	17	0	17	1				
Hall	16	1	18	0	18	0	18	1				
	17	2	18	1	18	0	18	1				
District	Total	7	17	4	17	0	17	3				

	Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court by Sentence, County and Sex											
		Total Cases	Proba	tion	Jai	l	Prison					
County	unty Sex Nun of C		Average Age at Judgement	Number of Cases	Average Age at Judgement	Number of Cases	Average Age at Judgement	Number of Cases				
Buffalo	Male	3	17	3	17	0	17	0				
Hall	Male	4	17	1	17	0	17	3				
District	Fotal	7	17	4	17	0	17	3				

	Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court by Sentence, County and Race/Ethnicity											
		Total Cases	Probation		Jai	l	Prison					
County	Race	Number of Cases	Average Age at Judgement	Number of Cases	Average Age at Judgement	Number of Cases	Average Age at Judgement	Number of Cases				
Buffalo	White	3	17	3	17	0	17	0				
Hall	Hispanic	3	17	1	17	0	17	2				
Hau	White	1	18	0	18	0	18	1				
District	Total	7	17	4	17	0	17	3				

#### **District 9**

#### Part (c) Motions to Transfer Cases and Cases Transferred

*Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (c) The number of motions to transfer and the number of cases transferred to adult court from juvenile court and from adult criminal court to juvenile court.* 

The data represents motions to transfer filed in 2021 and transfers between court types which occurred in 2021. Therefore, the exact same subset of cases may not be represented in both columns. There can be multiple motions to transfer within a single case which, if filed in the same calendar year, would all be included in the counts below. Some cases may have a motion to transfer, but no transfer actually occurs.

	Motions to Transfer Courts and Transfers District												
		Juveni	le Cour	t to Adult C	ourt	Adu	lt Court	to Juvenile Cour	t				
Demographic	Cohort	Motion to Transfer Juv to Adult	% of Total	Transfers Juv to Adult	% of Total	Motion to Transfer Adult to Juv	% of Total	Transfers Adult to Juv	% of Total				
	14-15	1	50%	0	-	0	-	0	-				
Age Group	16	0	-	0	-	1	12%	0	-				
	17	1	50%	0	-	7	88%	1	100%				
	Am. Indian	0	-	0	-	1	1 <b>2</b> %	0	-				
	Black	0	-	0	-	3	38%	0	-				
Race/Ethnicity	Hispanic	0	-	0	-	3	38%	1	100%				
	White	0	-	0	-	1	12%	0	-				
	Unknown	2	-	0	-	0	-	0	-				
Sex	Male	2	100%	0	-	8	100%	1	100%				
District Total		2	-	0	-	8	-	1	-				

County and Age Group										
		-	e Court to It Court	Adult Court to Juvenile Court						
County	Age Group	Motion to Transfer	Cases Transfered	Motion to Transfer	Cases Transfered					
Buffalo	17	1	0	3	1					
	14-15	1	0	0	0					
Hall	16	0	0	1	0					
	17		0	4	0					
District	Fotal	2	0	8	1					

# Motions to Transfer Courts and Transfers.

ľ	Motions to Transfer Courts and Transfers, County and Sex										
			e Court to It Court	Adult Co	ourt to Juvenile Court						
County	Sex	Motion to Transfer	Cases Transfered	Motion to Transfer	Cases Transfered						
Buffalo	Male	1	0	3	1						
Hall	Male	1	0	5 0							
District	Total	2	0	8	1						

	County and Race/Ethnicity										
		-	e Court to It Court	Adult Court to Juvenile Court							
County	Race/ Ethnicity	Motion to Transfer	Cases Transfered	Motion to Transfer	Cases Transfered						
	Am. Indian	0	0	1	0						
Buffalo	Black	0	0	2	0						
Dunato	Hispanic	0	0	0	1						
	Unknown	1	0	0	0						
	Black	0	0	1	0						
Hall	Hispanic	0	0	3	0						
nail	White	0	0	1	0						
	Unknown	1	0	0	0						
District	Total	2	0	8	1						

# Motions to Transfer Courts and Transfers,

#### District 9

#### Part (d) Juvenile Probation Activity

Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (d) Juveniles placed on juvenile probation, the number of juveniles on probation in out-of-home care, the number of juveniles completing probation, the number of motions to revoke probation and probation revocations, and the average length of time on probation.

The Juvenile Probation Activity - Youth data is provided from the Administrative Office of Probation's case management system, Nebraska Probation Application for Community Safety (NPACS). The table reports the number and percent of youth with various activity during the year. Youth are counted once in each category, regardless of the number of out-of-home placements, motions to revoke, or cases they may have in the year. Age groups are calculated specific to the activity as footnoted.

Juvenile Probation Activity District										
Demographic	Cohort	Place Proba		Out- hor Place	ne	Satisfactory Release				
		# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total			
	11-13	28	9%	26	13%	28	7%			
	14-15	104	33%	72	35%	170	42%			
Age Group	16	86	27%	40	20%	102	25%			
	17	70	22%	48	24%	86	21%			
	18	28	9%	18	9%	20	5%			
	Female	106	34%	64	31%	136	33%			
Sex	Male	210	66%	140	69%	270	67%			
	Black	18	6%	24	12%	20	5%			
Dees	Indian	6	2%	4	2%	16	4%			
Race	Other	90	28%	64	31%	128	32%			
	White	202	64%	112	55%	242	60%			
Ethericity	Hispanic	136	43%	80	39%	164	40%			
Ethnicity	Not Hispanic	180	57%	124	61%	242	60%			
District Total		316	100%	204	100%	406	100%			

1. Age at which the probation term began. 2. Age at the first admission to out-of-home placement. 3. Age as of the file date for motion to revoke. 4. Age as of the disposition date for motion to revoke. 5. Age as of the disposition date for motion.

	Juvenile Probation Activity District										
County	Age	Placed on Probation		Out-of- home Placement		Satisfactory Release					
	Group	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total				
	11-13	8	3%	10	5%	14	3%				
	14-15	30	9%	16	8%	48	12%				
Buffalo	16	28	9%	14	7%	48	12%				
	17	18	6%	24	12%	38	9%				
	18	10	3%	8	4%	8	2%				
	11-13	20	6%	16	8%	14	3%				
	14-15	74	23%	56	27%	122	30%				
Hall	16	58	18%	26	13%	54	13%				
	17	52	16%	24	12%	48	12%				
	18	18	6%	10	5%	12	3%				
District -	Total	316	100%	204	100%	406	100%				

	Juvenile Probation Activity District											
County	Sex	Placed on Probation		Out-of- home Placement		Satisfactory Release						
		# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total					
Buffalo	Female	42	13%	28	14%	62	15%					
DUITALO	Male	52	16%	44	22%	94	23%					
Hall	Female		20%	36	18%	74	18%					
Παιι	Male		50%	96	47%	176	43%					
District	Total	316	100%	204	100%	406	100%					

	Juvenile Probation Activity District											
County	Race	Placed on Probation		Out hor Place	me	Satisfactory Release						
		# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total					
	Black	2	1%	8	4%	10	2%					
Buffalo	Indian	4	1%	2	1%	6	1%					
Dunato	Other	10	3%	6	3%	16	4%					
	White	78	25%	56	27%	124	31%					
	Black	16	5%	16	8%	10	2%					
Hall	Indian	2	1%	2	1%	10	2%					
nau	Other	80	25%	58	28%	112	28%					
	White	124	39%	56	27%	118	29%					
District	Total	316	1 <b>00</b> %	204	1 <b>00</b> %	406	100%					

	Juvenile Probation Activity District											
County	Ethnicity	Placed on Probation		Out-of- home Placement		Satisfactory Release						
		# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total					
Buffalo	Hispanic	12	4%	8	4%	22	5%					
DUITALO	Not Hispanic	82	26%	64	31%	134	33%					
Hall	Hispanic	124	39%	72	35%	142	35%					
nall	Not Hispanic		31%	60	29%	108	27%					
District	Total	316	100%	204	100%	406	100%					
#### **District 9**

#### Part (d) Juvenile Probation - Youth Risk Level and Length of Probation

Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (d) Juveniles placed on juvenile probation, the number of juveniles on probation in out-of-home care, the number of juveniles completing probation, the number of motions to revoke probation and probation revocations, and the average length of time on probation.

Youth Level of Service/Case Management Inventory (YLS/CMI) is an assessment tool used to systematically measure risk for recidivism and appropriate supervision intensity for youth on probation. The following table shows the classification results of youth that entered into Probation in 2021, and another set of youth that discharged from Probation in 2021. Youth that are assessed at low or moderate low risk are matched to JCBR supervision and those assessed at high or moderate high risk are matched to JCBR supervision intensity

	Y	outh Risk	Levels	s and Length of Probation District							
		Youth Sta Probati	-	Youth	Discharged	from Probation	Average Length of Probation				
Demo- graphic	Cohort	JCBR/ Low/ Moderate Low	JCBI/ High	JCBR/ Low	JCBI/ High/ Moderate High	No Assessment**	Total Youth	Avg Length of Probation			
	11-13	6	22	2	4	-	6	10.0			
	14-15	14	90	14	34	-	48	10.7			
Age Group	16	20	66	28	42	-	70	13.3			
	17	10	60	30	46	-	76	14.2			
	18	6	22	28	94	2	124	21.6			
Con	Female	8	98	34	74	-	108	12.9			
Sex	Male	48	162	68	146	2	216	17.9			
	Am. Indian	2	4	-	8	-	8	30.0			
Dava	Black	4	14	-	18	-	18	26.7			
Race	White	40	162	74	120	-	194	15.1			
	Other	10	80	28	74	2	104	15.5			
Ethnisity	Hispanic		118	30	100	2	132	16.7			
Ethnicity	Not Hispanic	38	142	72	120	-	192	15.9			
District Tota	al	56	260	102	220	2	324	16.2			

	Youth Risk Levels and Length of Probation by County and Age Group													
		Youth Sta Probati	-	Youth	Discharged 1	from Probation		ge Length robation						
County	Age Group	JCBR/ Low/ Moderate Low	JCBI/ High	JCBR/ Low	JCBI/ High/ Moderate High	No Assessment**	Total Youth	Avg Length of Probation						
	11-13	0	-											
	14-15	2	28	8	8	-	16	12.2						
Buffalo	16	4	24	14	6	-	20	14.5						
	17	6	12	16	20	-	36	12.6						
	18	2	8	20	30 2		52	18.4						
	11-13	2	18	2	4	-	6	10.0						
	14-15	12	62	6	26	-	32	9.9						
Hall	16	16	42	14	36	-	50	12.8						
	17	4	48	14	26	-	40	15.8						
	18	4	14	8	56	-	64	22.2						
District	Total	56	260	102	212	2	316	15.7						

	Youth Risk Levels and Length of Probation by County and Sex												
		Youth Sta Probati	-	Youth	n Discharged fr	om Probation	-	e Length of obation					
County	Sex	JCBR/Low/ Moderate Low	JCBI/ High	JCBR/ Low	JCBI/High/ Moderate High	No Assessment**	Total Youth	Avg Length of Probation					
Buffalo	Female	4	38	30	22 -		52	12.3					
Биттаю	Male	14	38	28	44	2	74	18.0					
	Female	4	60	4	52	-	56	13.3					
Hall	Male	34	124	40 94 -			134	17.1					
District Total 56 260 102 212 2 316													

	Youth Risk Levels and Length of Probation by County and Race													
		Youth Sta Probati	-	Youth	Discharged 1	from Probation	Average Length of Probation							
County	Race	JCBR/ Low/ Moderate Low	JCBI/ High	JCBR/ Low	JCBI/ High/ Moderate High	No Assessment**	Total Youth	Avg Length of Probation						
	Am. Indian	2	2	-	2 -		2	53.0						
Buffalo	Black	2	-	-	6	-	6	23.3						
DUITALO	White	14	64	44	56	-	100	15.3						
	Other	-	10	14	2	2	18	10.7						
	Am. Indian	-	2	-	4	-	4	27.5						
Hall	Black	2	14	-	10	-	10	26.4						
nail	White	26	98	30	60	-	90	13.9						
	Other	10	70	14	72	-	86	16.5						
District	Total	56	260	102	212	2	316	15.9						

	Youth Risk Levels and Length of Probation by County and Ethnicity												
		Youth Sta Probati	-	Youth	n Discharged fr	om Probation	-	e Length of obation					
County	Ethnicity	JCBR/Low/ Moderate Low	JCBI/ High	JCBR/ Low	JCBI/High/ Moderate High	No Assessment**	Total Youth	Avg Length of Probation					
Buffalo	Hispanic	-	12	14	8	2	24	16.4					
Burrato	Not Hispanic	18	64	44	58	-	102	15.3					
	Hispanic	18	106	16	90	-	106	16.2					
Hall	Not Hispanic	20	78	28	56	-	84	15.8					
District To	tal	56	260	102	212	2	316	15.8					

#### Part (e) Juveniles Access to Counsel

*Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (e) juveniles with and without access to counsel in juvenile and adult court, both appointed and retained.* 

The Juveniles Access to Counsel table displays the percent of juveniles represented by an attorney in criminal cases from county court and district court and juvenile cases from county court and separate juvenile court. Data includes new cases filed and cases transferred from one county to another during calendar year 2021. Cases filed in 2021 may not have reached the sentencing/adjudication stage of proceedings. Cases for juveniles ages 13 and below must be filed and stay in juvenile court.

			Juvenile	Access to District		el			
			Criminal C	ourt Cases			Juvenile C	ourt Cases	
Demographic	Cohort	Total Criminal Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel	Total Juvenile Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel
	11-13	-	-	-	-	90	7	83	<b>9</b> 2%
Are Croup	14-15	3	217	36	181	83%			
Age Group	16	26	16	10	38%	147	19	128	<b>87</b> %
	17	59	33	26	44%	144	27	117	<b>8</b> 1%
	Female	16	13	3	1 <b>9</b> %	168	29	139	83%
Sex	Male	71	38	33	46%	354	50	304	86%
	Unknown	1	1	0	0%	76	10	66	87%
	Am. Indian	-	-	-	-	3	0	3	100%
	Asian/P.I.	3	3	0	0%	-	-	-	-
Race/Ethnicity	Black	8	3	5	<i>62%</i>	3	0	3	1 <b>00</b> %
Race/Elinnicity	Hispanic	34	16	18	53%	4	0	4	1 <b>00</b> %
	White	41	29	12	<b>29</b> %	16	2	14	<b>88</b> %
	Unknown	2	1	1	50%	572	87	485	85%
District Total		88	52	36	41%	598	89	509	85%

	Juvenile Access to Counsel by County and Age Group													
			Criminal C	ourt Cases		Juvenile Court Cases								
County	Age Group	Total Criminal Court Cases	Criminal Court Court		% With Counsel	Total Juvenile Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel					
	11-13	-			-	22	1	21	95%					
Buffalo	14-15	-	-	-	-	38	7	31	82%					
Биттацо	16	8	7	1	12%	29	1	28	97%					
	17	28	20	8	29%	28	3	25	89%					
	11-13	-	-	-	-	68	6	62	91%					
Lall	14-15	3	3	0	0%	179	29	150	84%					
Hall	16	18	9	9	50%	118	18	100	85%					
	17	31	13	18	58%	116	24	92	79%					
District	District Total 88 52 36 41% 598 89 509 85													

	Juvenile Access to Counsel by County and Sex												
	Criminal Court Cases Juvenile Court Cases												
County	Sex	Total Criminal Court Cases Counsel		# With Counsel	% With Counsel	Total Juvenile Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel				
Buffalo	Female	6	6	0	0%	50	7	43	86%				
Биттаю	Male	30	21	9	30%	67	5	62	93%				
	Female	10	7	3	30%	118	22	96	81%				
Hall	Male	41	17	24	59%	287	45	242	84%				
	Unknown	1	1	0	0%	76	10	66	87%				
District Total 88 52 36 41% 598 89 509 89													

	Juvenile Access to Counsel by County and Race/Ethnicity												
			Juvenile C	ourt Cases		Criminal Court Cases							
County	Race/ Ethnicity	Total Juvenile Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel	Total Criminal Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel				
	Am. Indian 3 0 3 100%												
	Asian/P.I.	-	-	-	-	3	3	0	0%				
Buffalo	Black	3	0	3	100%	2	1	1	50%				
Buttalo	Hispanic	4	0	4	100%	3	2	1	33%				
	White	15	2	13	87%	28	21	7	25%				
	Unknown	92	10	82	89%	-	-	-	-				
	Black	-	-	-	-	6	2	4	67%				
1.1.1.1	Hispanic	-	-	-	-	31	14	17	55%				
Hall	White	1	0	1	100%	13	8	5	38%				
	Unknown	480	77	403	84%	2	1	1	50%				
District	District Total 598 89 509 85% 88 52 36 41%												

#### District 10

#### Part (a) Juvenile Petitions and Adjudications

Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (a) Juveniles prosecuted in juvenile court under subdivision (1), (2), (3)(b), or (4) of section 43-247, including the total number of filings and adjudications.

The Juvenile Petitions and Adjudications tables report the number of new juvenile cases and corresponding percent of adjudications by case type and demographic group for cases filed in 2021. The data consists of both county court and separate juvenile court cases. Only the most serious offense is counted for cases with multiple charges, pleas or findings. Cases are counted in the Admit column if at least one charge was admitted to in court. Supplemental petitions are not included in this data set. Cases filed in 2021 may not have reached the adjudication stage of proceedings. Juvenile cases where the age is unknown, if any, are included in the report.

			Juven	ile Peti	tions a	nd Adjı Distri	udicatio ct	on by C	ase Typ	e			
		Traffic Offense			Status Offense			Misdemeanor			Felony		
Demo- graphic	Cohort	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated
	14-15	20	19	95%	10	9	90%	60	49	82%	7	7	100%
Age Group	16	16	15	94%	8	5	62%	53	43	81%	4	4	100%
0.00.p	17	22	21	95%	3	0	0%	49	38	78%	1	1	100%
	Female	12	11	92%	12	8	67%	43	33	77%	0	0	0%
Sex	Male	29	28	97%	6	3	50%	117	95	81%	12	12	100%
	Unknown	17	16	94%	3	3	100%	2	2	100%	0	0	0%
	Black	5	5	100%	0	0	0%	8	8	100%	2	2	100%
Race/	Hispanic	9	9	100%	1	1	100%	12	11	92%	0	0	0%
Ethnicity	Ethnicity White 37 3		34	92%	8	6	75%	103	81	79%	7	7	100%
	Unknown 7 7 1009					7	58%	39	30	77%	3	3	100%
District Tot	tal	58	55	95%	21	14	67%	162	130	80%	12	12	100%

				Juvenile		and Adjı ınty and			se Type,	,			
		Tr	affic Offe	nse	St	atus Offe	nse	N	lisdemear	nor		Felony	
County	Age Group	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated
	14-15	13	13	100%	2	2	100%	29	26	90%	2	2	100%
Adams	16	10	9	90%	3	3	100%	28	25	89%	1	1	100%
	17	16	15	94%	0	0	0%	32	28	88%	1	1	100%
	14-15	2	2	100%	1	1	100%	5	4	80%	2	2	100%
Clay	16	3	3	100%	2	1	50%	5	4	80%	2	2	100%
	17	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	0	0%	0	0	0%
	14-15	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%
Fillmore	16	1	1	100%	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	1	1	100%
	17	1	1	100%	0	0	0%	2	2	100%	0	0	0%
	14-15	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	7	3	43%	2	2	100%
Harlan	16	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	5	1	20%	0	0	0%
	17	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	2	1	50%	0	0	0%
	14-15	3	3	100%	2	2	100%	4	3	75%	1	1	100%
Kearney	16	1	1	100%	0	0	0%	3	3	100%	0	0	0%
	17	3	3	100%	1	0	0%	5	4	80%	0	0	0%
	14-15	1	0	0%	0	0	0%	3	2	67%	0	0	0%
Nuckolls	16	1	1	100%	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%
	17	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%
	14-15	1	1	100%	3	2	67%	11	10	91%	0	0	0%
Phelps	16	0	0	0%	1	0	0%	8	6	75%	0	0	0%
	17	1	1	100%	1	0	0%	4	1	25%	0	0	0%
	14-15	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%	0	0	0%
Webster	16	0	0	0%	2	1	50%	2	2	100%	0	0	0%
	17	1	1	100%	1	0	0%	2	1	50%	0	0	0%
District Tot	al	58	55	95%	21	14	67%	162	130	80%	12	12	100%

			Juve	enile Ca		d Adjuo ounty a		by Ca	se Туре	,			
		TI	affic Offe	nse	St	tatus Offe	nse	N	/lisdemear	nor		Felony	
County	Sex	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated
	Female	8	8	100%	4	4	100%	29	24	83%	0	0	0%
Adams	Male	17	16	94%	1	1	100%	58	53	91%	4	4	100%
	Unknown	14	13	93%	0	0	0%	2	2	100%	0	0	0%
	Female	1	1	100%	2	1	50%	2	2	100%	0	0	0%
Clay	Male	4	4	100%	0	0	0%	9	6	67%	4	4	100%
	Unknown	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%	0	0	0%
Fillmore	Female	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%	0	0	0%
Fillmore	Male	2	2	100%	0	0	0%	4	4	100%	1	1	100%
Harlan	Female	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	4	2	50%	0	0	0%
Harlan	Male	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	10	3	30%	2	2	100%
	Female	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	3	2	67%	0	0	0%
Kearney	Male	4	4	100%	2	1	50%	9	8	89%	1	1	100%
	Unknown	3	3	100%	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	0	0	0%
Nuckolls	Female	2	1	50%	0	0	0%	1	0	0%	0	0	0%
NUCKOUS	Male	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	4	4	100%	0	0	0%
Phelps	Female	0	0	0%	2	1	50%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%
Phetps	Male	2	2	100%	3	1	33%	22	16	73%	0	0	0%
	Female	1	1	100%	2	0	0%	3	2	67%	0	0	0%
Webster	Male	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%
	Unknown 0 0 0%					2	100%	0	0	0%	0	0	0%
District Tot	tal	58	55	95%	21	14	67%	162	130	80%	12	12	100%

			Juve				lication ce/Ethr		se Type	,			
		Ti	raffic Offe	nse	St	Status Offense		Misdemeanor			Felony		
County	Race	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated
	Black	4	4	100%	0	0	0%	8	8	100%	2	2	100%
Adams	Hispanic	5	5	100%	0	0	0%	9	8	89%	0	0	0%
Adams	White	25	23	92%	2	2	100%	54	48	89%	2	2	100%
	Unknown	5	5	100%	3	3	100%	18	15	83%	0	0	0%
	Hispanic	1	1	100%	1	1	100%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%
Clay	White	4	4	100%	0	0	0%	6	4	67%	2	2	100%
	Unknown	0	0	0%	2	1	50%	4	3	75%	2	2	100%
Fillmore	White	1	1	100%	0	0	0%	2	2	100%	0	0	0%
Fillinore	Unknown	1	1	100%	1	1	100%	2	2	100%	1	1	100%
Harlan	White	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	13	5	38%	2	2	100%
Harlan	Unknown	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	0	0%	0	0	0%
	Black	1	1	100%	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	0	0	0%
Kannan	Hispanic	3	3	100%	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%
Kearney	White	3	3	100%	1	1	100%	6	6	100%	1	1	100%
	Unknown	0	0	0%	2	1	50%	5	3	60%	0	0	0%
Nuskelle	White	1	0	0%	0	0	0%	2	2	100%	0	0	0%
Nuckolls	Unknown	1	1	100%	0	0	0%	3	2	67%	0	0	0%
Dhalma	White	2	2	100%	4	2	50%	20	14	70%	0	0	0%
Phelps	Unknown	0	0	0%	1	0	0%	3	3	100%	0	0	0%
	Hispanic	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%
Webster	White	1	1	100%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%	0	0	0%
	Unknown	0	0	0%	3	1	33%	3	2	67%	0	0	0%
District To	otal	58	55	95%	21	14	67%	162	130	80%	12	12	100%

#### District 10

#### Part (b) Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court - Case Type

*Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (b) Juveniles prosecuted in adult criminal court for felonies, misdemeanors, and traffic offenses. The information shall include juveniles sentenced to terms in adult jails and prisons and juveniles placed on adult probation.* 

Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court tables consist of criminal and traffic cases in county court and criminal cases in district court that reached the point of sentencing in 2021. Cases with multiple charges are counted once per case and reported as the most severe offense. Cases for juveniles ages 13 and below must be filed and stay in juvenile court; however, judges have the authority to allow these cases in criminal court. Therefore, only juveniles ages 14 through 17 at the time of the offense appear in adult criminal court data.

Juv	Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court by Case Type District									
		All C	Cases	Misder	meanor	Fel	ony			
Demographic	Cohort	Total Cases	% of Total	Total Cases	% of Total for Case Type Group	Total Cases	% of Total for Case Type Group			
Age Group	16	1	50%	1	100%	0	-			
	17	1	50%	0	-	1	100%			
Race/Ethnicity	White	1	100%	0	-	1	100%			
	Unknown	1	-	1	-	0	-			
Sex	Male	1	100%	0	-	1	100%			
	Unknown	1	-	1	-	0	-			
District Total		2	100%	1	50%	1	50%			

Juvenile	Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court by Case Type, County and Age Group											
County	County Age Group Group All Cases Traffic Misdemeanor Felony											
	Group	#	#	#	#							
Clay	17	1	0	0	1							
Kearney	Kearney 16 1 0 1 0											
District T	District Total 2 0 1 1											

Juveni	Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court by Case Type, County and Sex									
County	County Sex All Cases Traffic Misdemeanor Felony									
		#	#	#	#					
Clay	Male	1	0	0	1					
Kearney	Unknown	1	0	1	0					
District T	otal	2	0	1	1					

Juver	Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court by Case Type, County and Race/Ethnicity										
County	County Race All Cases Misdemeanor Felony										
		#	#	#							
Clay	White	1	0	1							
Kearney	Kearney Unknown 1 1 0										
District T	District Total 2 1 1										

#### District 10

#### Part (b) Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court - Sentence

Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (b) Juveniles prosecuted in adult criminal court for felonies, misdemeanors, and traffic offenses. The information shall include juveniles sentenced to terms in adult jails and prisons and juveniles placed on adult probation.

Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court tables include criminal and traffic cases in county court and criminal cases in district court that reached the point of sentencing in 2021. All sentencing types are included where multiple sentencing types occur within a case (ex. probation/jail). Youth who are sentenced to probation in Adult Court are supervised by Adult Probation. Average age at judgment is the mean calculated for each cohort at the time of their judgment date.

	Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court by Sentencing District											
		Total C	ases		Proba	ation		Ja	il		Prison	
Demographic	Cohort	Number of Cases	% of Total	Average Age at Judgement	Number of Cases	% of Cohort	Average Age at Judgement	Number of Cases	% of Cohort	Average Age at Judgement	Number of Cases	% of Cohort
A ma Canava	16	1	50%	24	1	100%	24	0	0%	24	0	0%
Age Group	17	1	50%	18	1	100%	18	0	0%	18	0	0%
C	Unknown	1	0%	24	1	100%	24	0	0%	24	0	0%
Sex	Male	1	100%	18	1	100%	18	0	0%	18	0	0%
De se (Etherisite	Unknown	1	0%	24	1	100%	24	0	0%	24	0	0%
Race/Ethnicity	White	1	100%	18	1	100%	18	0	0%	18	0	0%
District Total	2	100%	20	2	100%	20	0	0%	20	0	0%	

	Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court by Sentence, County and Age Group									
	<b>A</b> = -	Total Cases	Proba	Probation Jail						
County	Age Group	Number of Cases	Average Age at Judgement	Age at Age at Age at Age at Age at				Number of Cases		
Clay	17	1	18	1	18	0	18	0		
Kearney	Kearney 16		24	1	24	0	24	0		
District T	otal	2	20	2	20	0	20	0		

	Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court by Sentence, County and Sex										
		Total Cases	Proba	tion	Jai	ι	Prison				
County	Sex	Age at Age at		Number of Cases	Average Age at Judgement	Number of Cases					
Clay	Male	1	18	1	18	0	18	0			
Kearney	Kearney Unknown		24	1	24	0	24	0			
District T	otal	2	20	2	20	0	20	0			

	Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court by Sentence, County and Race/Ethnicity										
		Total Cases	Proba	tion	Jai	ι	Prison				
County	Race	Age at Age at		Number of Cases	Average Age at Judgement	Number of Cases					
Clay	White	1	18	1	18	0	18	0			
Kearney	Unknown	1	24	1	24	0	24	0			
District T	otal	2	20	2	20	0	20	0			

#### District 10

#### Part (c) Motions to Transfer Cases and Cases Transferred

*Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (c) The number of motions to transfer and the number of cases transferred to adult court from juvenile court and from adult criminal court to juvenile court.* 

The data represents motions to transfer filed in 2021 and transfers between court types which occurred in 2021. Therefore, the exact same subset of cases may not be represented in both columns. There can be multiple motions to transfer within a single case which, if filed in the same calendar year, would all be included in the counts below. Some cases may have a motion to transfer, but no transfer actually occurs.

	Motions to Transfer Courts and Transfers District									
		Juveni	le Court	t to Adult C	ourt	Adu	lt Court	to Juvenile Cour	t	
Demographic	Cohort	Motion to Transfer Juv to Adult	% of Total	Transfers Juv to Adult	% of Total	Motion to Transfer Adult to Juv	% of Total	Transfers Adult to Juv	% of Total	
	14-15	0	-	0	-	0	-	13	31%	
Age Group	16	0	-	0	-	0	-	12	29%	
	17	1	100%	0	-	0	-	17	40%	
	Black	0	-	0	-	0	-	4	11%	
Deee (Etherisite	Hispanic	0	-	0	-	0	-	6	17%	
Race/Ethnicity	White	1	100%	0	-	0	-	26	72%	
	Unknown	0	-	0	-	0	-	6	-	
C	Female	1	100%	0	-	0	-	14	33%	
Sex	Male	0	-	0	-	0	-	28	67%	
District Total		1	-	0	-	0	-	42	-	

	County and Age Group										
		-	e Court to It Court	Adult Court to Juvenile Court							
County	Age Group	Motion to Transfer	Cases Transfered	Motion to Transfer	Cases Transfered						
	14-15	0	0	0	12						
Adams	16	0	0	0	9						
	17	1	0	0	13						
Fillmore	16	0	0	0	2						
	14-15	0	0	0	1						
Kearney 16		0	0	0	1						
	17		0	0	4						
District T	otal	1	0	0	42						

# Motions to Transfer Courts and Transfers,

	Motions to Transfer Courts and Transfers, County and Sex									
		-	e Court to It Court	Adult Court to Juvenile Court						
County Sex		Motion to Transfer	Cases Transfered	Motion to Transfer	Cases Transfered					
Adams	Female	1	0	0	13					
Adams	Male	0	0	0	21					
Fillmore	Male	0	0	0	2					
Koarpov	Female		0	0	1					
Kearney	Male		0	0	5					
District T	otal	1	0	0	42					

	С	ounty an	d Race/Eth	nicity		
		-	e Court to It Court	Adult Court to Juvenile Court		
County	Race/ Ethnicity	Motion to Transfer	Cases Transfered	Motion to Transfer	Cases Transfered	
Black		0	0	0	4	
Adams	Hispanic	0	0	0	3	
Auams	White	1	0	0	21	
	Unknown	0	0	0	6	
Fillmore	White	0	0	0	2	
Kaanaa	Hispanic	0	0	0	3	
Kearney	White	0	0	0	3	
District T	otal	1	0	0	42	

#### Motions to Transfer Courts and Transfers, County and Race/Ethnicity

#### Part (d) Juvenile Probation Activity

Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (d) Juveniles placed on juvenile probation, the number of juveniles on probation in out-of-home care, the number of juveniles completing probation, the number of motions to revoke probation and probation revocations, and the average length of time on probation.

The Juvenile Probation Activity - Youth data is provided from the Administrative Office of Probation's case management system, Nebraska Probation Application for Community Safety (NPACS). The table reports the number and percent of youth with various activity during the year. Youth are counted once in each category, regardless of the number of out-of-home placements, motions to revoke, or cases they may have in the year. Age groups are calculated specific to the activity as footnoted.

	Juvenile Probation Activity District											
Demographic	Cohort		Placed on Probation		Out-of- home Placement		actory ase					
		# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total					
	11-13	23	13%	9	12%	28	16%					
	14-15	56	33%	30	41%	74	41%					
Age Group	16	42	25%	12	16%	44	24%					
	17	38	22%	17	23%	29	16%					
	18	12	7%	6	8%	5	3%					
Carr	Female	50	29%	26	35%	53	29%					
Sex	Male	121	71%	48	65%	127	71%					
	Black	9	5%	9	12%	16	9%					
	Indian	0	0%	2	3%	3	2%					
Race	Other	20	12%	14	19%	10	6%					
	Pacific Islander	0	0%	0	0%	2	1%					
	White	142	83%	49	66%	149	83%					
Ethniait.	Hispanic	28	16%	14	19%	24	13%					
Ethnicity	Not Hispanic	143	84%	60	81%	156	87%					
District Total		171	100%	74	100%	180	100%					

1. Age at which the probation term began. 2. Age at the first admission to out-of-home placement. 3. Age as of the file date for motion to revoke. 4. Age as of the disposition date for motion to revoke. 5. Age as of the disposition date for motion.

	Juvenile Probation Activity District											
County	Age	Placed on Probation		Out- hor Placer	ne		Satisfactory Release					
	Group	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total					
	11-13	18	11%	4	5%	18	10%					
	14-15	44	26%	22	30%	50	28%					
Adams	16	26	15%	6	8%	36	20%					
	17	26	15%	14	1 <b>9</b> %	26	14%					
	18	6	4%	6	8%	2	1%					
	11-13	2	1%	0	0%	0	0%					
Clay	14-15	4	2%	0	0%	8	4%					
Clay	16	6	4%	6	8%	0	0%					
	17	4	2%	0	0%	0	0%					
	11-13	1	1%	1	1%	0	0%					
Fillmore	14-15	0	0%	0	0%	2	1%					
Fittinore	17	0	0%	1	1%	1	1%					
	18	0	0%	0	0%	1	1%					
Franklin	16	0	0%	0	0%	2	1%					
TIANKUI	18	2	1%	0	0%	0	0%					
	11-13	0	0%	0	0%	2	1%					
Harlan	14-15	2	1%	0	0%	0	0%					
Haitaii	16	2	1%	0	0%	0	0%					
	17	2	1%	0	0%	2	1%					
	11-13	0	0%	0	0%	2	1%					
	14-15	4	2%	2	3%	4	2%					
Kearney	16	2	1%	0	0%	0	0%					
	17	2	1%	0	0%	0	0%					
	18	2	1%	0	0%	0	0%					
Nuckolls	14-15	0	0%	0	0%	2	1%					
	16	0	0%	0	0%	2	1%					
	11-13	2	1%	4	5%	4	2%					
Phelps	14-15	2	1%	4	5%	6	3%					
	16	4	2%	0	0%	4	2%					

	Juvenile Probation Activity District											
County	Age	Placed on Probation		Out-of- home Placement		Satisfactory Release						
	Group	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total					
Pholps	17	4	2%	2	3%	0	0%					
Phelps	18	2	1%	0	0%	2	1%					
	11-13	0	0%	0	0%	2	1%					
Webster	14-15	0	0%	2	3%	2	1%					
	16	2	1%	0	0%	0	0%					
District To	otal	171	100%	74	100%	180	100%					

	Juvenile Probation Activity District											
County	Sex	Place Proba		Out <sup>.</sup> hor Place	ne	Satisfa Rele	-					
		# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total					
Adams	Female	40	23%	24	32%	38	21%					
Adams	Male	80	47%	28	38%	94	52%					
Clay	Female	4	2%	0	0%	4	2%					
Clay	Male	12	7%	6	8%	4	2%					
Fillmore	Female	0	0%	0	0%	1	1%					
Fillmore	Male	1	1%	2	3%	3	2%					
Freedolin	Female	0	0%	0	0%	2	1%					
Franklin	Male	2	1%	0	0%	0	0%					
Harlan	Male	6	4%	0	0%	4	2%					
Kanana	Female	2	1%	0	0%	0	0%					
Kearney	Male	8	5%	2	3%	6	3%					
Nuckolls	Male	0	0%	0	0%	4	2%					
Dhalma	Female	4	2%	2	3%	8	4%					
Phelps	Male	10	6%	8	11%	8	4%					
Webster	Male	2	1%	2	3%	6 4 2%						
District To	otal	171	100%	74	100%	180	100%					

	Juven	ile Prol Dis	bation strict	Activi	y		
County	Race		Placed on Probation		Out-of- home Placement		actory ease
		# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total
	Black	6	4%	6	8%	16	9%
	Other	18	11%	14	1 <b>9</b> %	10	6%
Adams	Pacific Islander	0	0%	0	0%	2	1%
	White	96	56%	30	41%	104	58%
	Indian	0	0%	2	3%	0	0%
Clay	White	14	8%	6	8%	8	4%
Clay	Other	2	1%	0	0%	0	0%
	Indian	0	0%	0	0%	1	1%
Fillmore	White	0	0%	1	1%	3	2%
	Black	1	1%	1	1%	0	0%
Franklin	White	2	1%	0	0%	2	1%
Harlan	White	6	4%	0	0%	4	2%
	Indian	0	0%	0	0%	2	1%
Kearney	White	8	5%	0	0%	4	2%
	Black	2	1%	2	3%	0	0%
Nuckolls	White	0	0%	0	0%	4	2%
Phelps	White	14	8%	10	14%	16	9%
Webster	White	2	1%	2	3%	4	2%
District To	otal	171	100%	74	100%	180	100%

	Juvenile Probation Activity District											
County	Ethnicity	Placed on Probation		Out-of- home Placement		Satisfactory Release						
		# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total					
Adams	Hispanic	22	13%	14	1 <b>9</b> %	18	10%					
Adams	Not Hispanic	98	57%	38	51%	114	63%					
Clay	Hispanic	4	2%	0	0%	0	0%					
Clay	Not Hispanic	12	7%	6	8%	8	4%					
Fillmore	Not Hispanic	1	1%	2	3%	4	2%					
Franklin	Not Hispanic	2	1%	0	0%	2	1%					
Harlan	Not Hispanic	6	4%	0	0%	4	2%					
Kearney	Not Hispanic	10	6%	2	3%	6	3%					
Nuckolls	Not Hispanic	0	0%	0	0%	4	2%					
Phelps	Hispanic	0	0%	0	0%	4	2%					
Phetps	Not Hispanic	14	8%	10	14%	12	7%					
Webster	Hispanic	2	1%	0	0%	2	1%					
webster	Not Hispanic	0	0%	2	3%	2	1%					
District To	otal	171	100%	74	1 <b>00</b> %	180	100%					

#### District 10

#### Part (d) Juvenile Probation - Youth Risk Level and Length of Probation

Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (d) Juveniles placed on juvenile probation, the number of juveniles on probation in out-of-home care, the number of juveniles completing probation, the number of motions to revoke probation and probation revocations, and the average length of time on probation.

Youth Level of Service/Case Management Inventory (YLS/CMI) is an assessment tool used to systematically measure risk for recidivism and appropriate supervision intensity for youth on probation. The following table shows the classification results of youth that entered into Probation in 2021, and another set of youth that discharged from Probation in 2021. Youth that are assessed at low or moderate low risk are matched to JCBR supervision and those assessed at high or moderate high risk are matched to JCBR supervision intensity

	Youth Risk Levels and Length of Probation District											
		Youth Sta Probati	-		Discharged Probation	Average Length of Probation						
Demo- graphic	Cohort	JCBR/ Low/ Moderate Low	JCBI/ High	JCBR/ Low	JCBI/ High/ Moderate High	Total Youth	Avg Length of Probation					
	11-13	4	19	2	6	8	10.8					
	14-15	14	42	14	21	35	13.5					
Age Group	16	16	26	8	13	21	10.7					
	17	6	32	12	30	42	15.0					
	18	4	8	5	30	35	17.5					
Con	Female	10	40	8	31	39	15.5					
Sex	Male	34	87	33	69	102	13.9					
	Am. Indian	-	-	-	3	3	6.3					
	Asian/P.I.	-	-	-	2	2	11.0					
Race	Black	-	9	2	8	10	18.4					
	White	40	102	39	77	116	13.1					
	Other	4	16	-	10	10	27.4					
Ethericit.	Hispanic	8	20	2	14	16	15.9					
Ethnicity	Not Hispanic	36	107	39	86	125	14.1					
District Tota	al	44	127	41	100	141	14.3					

	Youth Risk Levels and Length of Probation											
by County and Age Group												
		Youth Sta Probati			Discharged Probation		ge Length robation					
County	Age Group	JCBR/ Low/ Moderate Low	JCBI/ High	JCBR/ Low	JCBI/ High/ Moderate High	Total Youth	Avg Length of Probation					
	11-13	2	16	2	4	6	10.7					
	14-15	10	34	8	8	16	12.8					
Adams	16	12	14	6	10	16	9.8					
	17	4	22	8	22	30	14.9					
	18	2	4	4	20	24	18.0					
	11-13	2	-	-	-	0	-					
	14-15	2	2	2	-	2	12.0					
Clay	16	2	4	2	-	2	11.0					
	17	-	4	-	2	2	22.0					
	18	-	-	-	2	2	29.0					
	11-13	-	1	-	-	0	-					
	14-15	-	-	-	1	1	9.0					
Fillmore	16	-	-	-	1	1	18.0					
	18	-	-	1	2	3	8.0					
Franklin	18	-	2	-	2	2	26.0					
	14-15	2	-	2	-	2	24.0					
Harlan	16	-	2	-	-	0	-					
	17	-	2	-	2	2	1.0					
	14-15	-	4	2	2	4	14.0					
	16	-	2	-	2	2	14.0					
Kearney	17	-	2	-	-	0	-					
	18	2	-	-	-	0	-					
Nicola II	17	-	-	2	2	4	14.0					
Nuckolls	18	-	-	-	2	2	15.0					
	11-13	-	2	-	2	2	11.0					
	14-15	-	2	-	8	8	13.0					
Phelps	16	2	2	-	-	0	-					
	17	2	2	2	-	2	13.0					
	18	-	2	-	2	2	8.0					

	Youth Risk Levels and Length of Probation by County and Age Group											
		Youth Starting Probation			Discharged Probation	Average Length of Probation						
County	Age Group	JCBR/ Low/ Moderate Low	JCBI/ High	JCBR/ Low	JCBI/ High/ Moderate High	Total Youth	Avg Length of Probation					
	14-15	-	-	-	2	2	13.0					
Webster	16	-	2	-	-	0	-					
	17 2 2 27.											
District To	otal	44	127	41	100	141	14.3					

Youth Risk Levels and Length of Probation by County and Sex										
		Youth Starting	Probation		charged from	Average Length of Probation				
County	Sex	JCBR/Low/ Moderate Low	JCBI/ High	JCBR/ Low	JCBI/High/ Moderate High	Total Youth	Avg Length of Probation			
Adams	Female	6	34	2	22	24	16.2			
Adams	Male	24	56	26	42	68	13.4			
Clay	Female	4	-	4	-	4	11.5			
Clay	Male	2	10	-	4	4	25.5			
Fillmore	Female	-	-	-	1	1	9.0			
Fillmore	Male	-	1	1	2	3	10.0			
Franklin	Female	-	-	-	2	2	26.0			
Frankun	Male	-	2	-	-	0	-			
Harlan	Male	2	4	2	2	4	12.5			
Kaanaa	Female	-	2	-	-	0	-			
Kearney	Male	2	6	2	4	6	14.0			
Nuclealla	Female	-	-	-	2	2	15.0			
Nuckolls	Male	-	-	2	2	4	14.0			
Dhalma	Female	-	4	2	4	6	13.0			
Phelps	Male	4	6	-	8	8	11.2			
Webster	Male	-	2	-	4	4	20.0			
District Total		44	127	41	99	140	14.4			

	Youth Risk Levels and Length of Probation by County and Race											
		Youth Sta Probati	-		Discharged Probation	Average Length of Probation						
County	Race	JCBR/ Low/ Moderate Low	JCBI/ High	JCBR/ Low	JCBI/ High/ Moderate High	Total Youth	Avg Length of Probation					
	Asian/P.I.	-	-	-	2	2	11.0					
Adams	Black	-	6	2	8	10	18.4					
Auditis	White	26	70	26	46	72	12.2					
	Other	4	14	-	8	8	27.0					
Clay	White	6	8	4	2	6	15.0					
Clay	Other	-	2	-	2	2	29.0					
	Am. Indian	-	-	-	1	1	9.0					
Fillmore	Black	-	1	-	-	0	-					
	White	-	-	1	2	3	10.0					
Franklin	White	-	2	-	2	2	26.0					
Harlan	White	2	4	2	2	4	12.5					
	Am. Indian	-	-	-	2	2	5.0					
Kearney	Black	-	2	-	-	0	-					
	White	2	6	2	2	4	18.5					
Nuckolls	White	-	-	2	4	6	14.3					
Phelps	White	4	10	2	12	14	12.0					
Webster	White	-	2	-	4	4	20.0					
District To	otal	44	127	41	99	140	14.4					
Youth Risk Levels and Length of Probation by County and Ethnicity												
--	--------------	------------------------------	---------------	--------------	--------------------------------	----------------	----------------------------	--	--	--	--	--
		Youth Starting	Probation		scharged from obation	-	e Length of obation					
County	Ethnicity	JCBR/Low/ Moderate Low	JCBI/ High	JCBR/ Low	JCBI/High/ Moderate High	Total Youth	Avg Length of Probation					
Adams	Hispanic	6	16	-	10	10	11.6					
Adams	Not Hispanic	24	74	28	54	82	14.5					
Clav	Hispanic	2	2	-	2	2	29.0					
Clay	Not Hispanic	4	8	4	2	6	15.0					
Fillmore	Not Hispanic	-	1	1	4	5	10.2					
Franklin	Not Hispanic	-	2	-	2	2	26.0					
Harlan	Not Hispanic	2	4	2	2	4	12.5					
Kearney	Not Hispanic	2	8	2	4	6	14.0					
Nuckolls	Not Hispanic	-	-	2	4	6	14.3					
Dhalma	Hispanic	-	-	2	-	2	13.0					
Phelps	Not Hispanic	4	10	-	12	12	11.8					
Webster	Hispanic	-	2	-	2	2	27.0					
Not Hispanic 2 2												
District Total		44	127	41	100	141	14.3					

#### Part (e) Juveniles Access to Counsel

*Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (e) juveniles with and without access to counsel in juvenile and adult court, both appointed and retained.* 

The Juveniles Access to Counsel table displays the percent of juveniles represented by an attorney in criminal cases from county court and district court and juvenile cases from county court and separate juvenile court. Data includes new cases filed and cases transferred from one county to another during calendar year 2021. Cases filed in 2021 may not have reached the sentencing/adjudication stage of proceedings. Cases for juveniles ages 13 and below must be filed and stay in juvenile court.

			Juvenile	Access t District		el			
			Criminal C	ourt Cases			Juvenile C	ourt Cases	
Demographic	Cohort	Total Criminal Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel	Total Juvenile Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel
	11-13	3	0	3	1 <b>00</b> %	63	27	36	57%
Age Croup	14-15	6	6	0	0%	120	58	62	52%
Age Group	16	11	7	4	36%	103	52	51	50%
	17	7	6	1	14%	87	52	35	40%
	Female	6	6	0	0%	98	57	41	42%
Sex	Male	19	13	6	32%	246	116	130	53%
	Unknown	2	0	2	1 <i>00%</i>	29	16	13	45%
	Black	1	1	0	0%	19	6	13	<b>68</b> %
Dece (Ethnicity	Hispanic	5	5	0	0%	34	22	12	35%
Race/Ethnicity	White	14	10	4	<b>29</b> %	222	114	108	4 <b>9</b> %
	Unknown	7	3	4	57%	98	47	51	52%
District Total		27	19	8	30%	373	189	184	49%

Juvenile Access to Counsel by County and Age Group Criminal Court Cases Juvenile Court Cases												
			Criminal C	ourt Cases	rt Cases Juvenile Court Cases							
County	Age Group	Total Criminal Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel	Total Juvenile Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel			
	11-13	-	-	-	-	48	18	30	62%			
Adams	14-15	4	4	0	0%	60	27	33	55%			
Adams	16	8	6	2	25%	51	21	30	59%			
	17	2	2	0	0%	55	30	25	45%			
	11-13	-	-	-	-	2	1	1	50%			
Clay	14-15	-	-	-	-	12	3	9	75%			
Clay	16	-	-	-	-	16	9	7	44%			
	17	-	-	-	-	3	1	2	67%			
	11-13	-	-	-	-	2	0	2	1 <b>00</b> %			
Fillmore	14-15	-	-	-	-	3	3	0	0%			
Fittinore	16	-	-	-	-	3	3	0	0%			
	17	1	1	0	0%	3	3	0	0%			
Franklin	14-15	-	-	-	-	3	0	3	100%			
	14-15	-	-	-	-	10	7	3	30%			
Harlan	16	-	-	-	-	8	4	4	50%			
	17	-	-	-	-	3	1	2	67%			
	11-13	1	0	1	100%	5	4	1	20%			
Koorpov	14-15	1	1	0	0%	10	5	5	50%			
Kearney	16	2	0	2	100%	6	3	3	50%			
	17	4	3	1	25%	10	5	5	50%			
	11-13	2	0	2	100%	3	2	1	33%			
Nuckelle	14-15	-	-	-	-	6	1	5	83%			
Nuckolls	16	-	-	-	-	2	1	1	50%			
	17	-	-	-	-	2	1	1	50%			
	11-13	-	-	-	-	2	2	0	0%			
Pholes	14-15	1	1	0	0%	15	11	4	27%			
Phelps	16	1	1	0	0%	12	6	6	50%			
	17	-	-	-	-	7	7	0	0%			
Webster	11-13	-	-	-	-	1	0	1	100%			

	Juvenile Access to Counsel by County and Age Group													
			Criminal C	ourt Cases			Juvenile C	ourt Cases						
County	Age Group	Total Criminal Court Cases	# With Counsel	% With Counsel										
	14-15	-	-	-	-	1	1	0	0%					
Webster	16	-	-	-	-	5	5	0	0%					
	17 4 4 0 0%													
District To	otal	27	19	8	30%	373	189	184	49%					

Juvenile Access to Counsel by County and Sex Criminal Court Cases Juvenile Court Cases												
			Criminal C	ourt Cases			Juvenile C	ourt Cases				
County	Sex	Total Criminal Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel	Total Juvenile Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel			
	Female	4	4	0	0%	59	29	30	51%			
Adams	Male	10	8	2	20%	135	55	80	59%			
	Unknown	-	-	-	-	20	12	8	40%			
	Female	-	-	-	-	8	5	3	38%			
Clay	Male	-	-	-	-	21	8	13	62%			
	Unknown	-	-	-	-	4	1	3	75%			
<b>-</b> 'll	Female	1	1	0	0%	1	1	0	0%			
Fillmore	Male	-	-	-	-	10	8	2	20%			
Franklin	Male	-	-	-	-	3	0	3	100%			
Usulau	Female	-	-	-	-	4	4	0	0%			
Harlan	Male	-	-	-	-	17	8	9	53%			
	Female	1	1	0	0%	8	5	3	38%			
Kearney	Male	5	3	2	40%	20	11	9	45%			
	Unknown	2	0	2	100%	3	1	2	67%			
Nuclealla	Female	-	-	-	-	5	3	2	40%			
Nuckolls	Male	2	0	2	100%	8	2	6	75%			
Dhalas	Female	-	-	-	-	7	4	3	43%			
Phelps	Male	2	2	0	0%	29	22	7	24%			
	Female	-	-	-	-	6	6	0	0%			
Webster	Male	-	-	-	-	3	2	1	33%			
	Unknown	-	-	-	-	2	2	0	0%			
District To	otal	27	19	8	30%	373	189	184	49%			

Juvenile Access to Counsel by County and Race/Ethnicity Criminal Court Cases Juvenile Court Cases													
			Criminal C	ourt Cases			Juvenile C	ourt Cases					
County	Race/ Ethnicity	Total Criminal Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel	Total Juvenile Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel				
	Black	1	1	0	0%	17	5	12	71%				
Adams	Hispanic	2	2	0	0%	24	13	11	46%				
Adams	White	9	7	2	22%	132	59	73	55%				
	Unknown	2	2	0	0%	41	19	22	54%				
	Hispanic	-	-	-	-	4	3	1	25%				
Clay	White	-	-	-	-	16	8	8	50%				
	Unknown	-	-	-	-	13	3	10	77%				
<b>F</b> :U	White	1	1	0	0%	3	3	0	0%				
Fillmore	Unknown	-	-	-	-	8	6	2	25%				
Frenklin	White	-	-	-	-	1	0	1	100%				
Franklin	Unknown	-	-	-	-	2	0	2	100%				
Llaulan	White	-	-	-	-	20	12	8	40%				
Harlan	Unknown	-	-	-	-	1	0	1	100%				
	Black	-	-	-	-	1	1	0	0%				
Kaamaari	Hispanic	3	3	0	0%	5	5	0	0%				
Kearney	White	3	1	2	67%	14	3	11	7 <b>9</b> %				
	Unknown	2	0	2	100%	11	8	3	27%				
Nuskalla	White	-	-	-	-	3	2	1	33%				
Nuckolls	Unknown	2	0	2	100%	10	3	7	70%				
	Black	-	-	-	-	1	0	1	100%				
Phelps	White	1	1	0	0%	31	25	6	1 <b>9</b> %				
	Unknown	1	1	0	0%	4	1	3	75%				
	Hispanic	-	-	-	-	1	1	0	0%				
Webster	White	-	-	-	-	2	2	0	0%				
	Unknown	-	-	-	-	8	7	1	12%				
District To	otal	27	19	8	30%	373	189	184	49%				

#### District 11

#### Part (a) Juvenile Petitions and Adjudications

Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (a) Juveniles prosecuted in juvenile court under subdivision (1), (2), (3)(b), or (4) of section 43-247, including the total number of filings and adjudications.

The Juvenile Petitions and Adjudications tables report the number of new juvenile cases and corresponding percent of adjudications by case type and demographic group for cases filed in 2021. The data consists of both county court and separate juvenile court cases. Only the most serious offense is counted for cases with multiple charges, pleas or findings. Cases are counted in the Admit column if at least one charge was admitted to in court. Supplemental petitions are not included in this data set. Cases filed in 2021 may not have reached the adjudication stage of proceedings. Juvenile cases where the age is unknown, if any, are included in the report.

			Juven	ile Peti	tions a	nd Adjı Distri		n by C	ase Typ	e			
		Tra	affic Offe	ense	St	atus Offe	nse	Μ	lisdemea	nor		Felony	
Demo- graphic	Cohort	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated
	14-15	0	0	0%	10	8	80%	84	63	75%	14	13	93%
Age Group	16	1	1	100%	6	6	100%	63	49	78%	3	3	100%
	17	0	0	0%	6	6	100%	53	30	57%	7	6	86%
	Female	0	0	0%	13	11	85%	77	48	62%	4	2	50%
Sex	Male	1	1	100%	9	9	100%	120	93	78%	20	20	100%
	Unknown	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	3	1	33%	0	0	0%
	Asian/P.I.	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	2	2	100%	0	0	0%
	Black	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	10	10	100%	1	1	100%
Race/	Hispanic	0	0	0%	3	2	67%	30	21	70%	4	3	75%
Ethnicity	White	0	0	0%	17	16	94%	147	101	69%	18	17	94%
	Other	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%
	Unknown	1	1	100%	1	1	100%	10	7	70%	1	1	100%
District Tot	al	1	1	100%	22	20	91%	200	142	71%	24	22	92%

	Juvenile Cases and Adjudication by Case Type, County and Age Group												
		Tr	raffic Offe	nse	St	tatus Offe	nse	N	lisdemear	nor		Felony	
County	Age Group	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated									
	14-15	0	0	0%	3	2	67%	18	15	83%	3	3	100%
Dawson	16	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	21	16	76%	1	1	100%
	17	0	0	0%	3	3	100%	9	5	56%	3	2	67%
Frontier	14-15	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	1	1	100%	1	1	100%
Furnas	14-15	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	3	3	100%	0	0	0%
Corpor	14-15	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	3	2	67%	0	0	0%
Gosper	17	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%
Hayes	16	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	0	0%	0	0	0%
	14-15	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	6	2	33%	0	0	0%
Hitchcock	16	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	2	1	50%	0	0	0%
	17	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	7	2	29%	0	0	0%
	14-15	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	6	3	50%	1	1	100%
Keith	16	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	6	5	83%	2	2	100%
	17	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	4	2	50%	3	3	100%
	14-15	0	0	0%	3	2	67%	31	24	77%	9	8	89%
Lincoln	16	0	0	0%	3	3	100%	18	16	89%	0	0	0%
	17	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	14	8	57%	1	1	100%
Logan	16	1	1	100%	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	0	0	0%
Mcpherson	17	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	0	0%	0	0	0%
Perkins	14-15	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	0	0%	0	0	0%
Perkins	17	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	3	3	100%	0	0	0%
	14-15	0	0	0%	2	2	100%	15	13	87%	0	0	0%
Red Willow	16	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	15	11	73%	0	0	0%
	17	0	0	0%	3	3	100%	14	9	64%	0	0	0%
District Total		1	1	100%	22	20	91%	200	142	71%	24	22	92%

			Juve	nile Cas		Adjudi unty an		by Case	е Туре,				
		Tr	affic Offe	nse	St	tatus Offe	nse	N	/lisdemear	nor		Felony	
County	Sex	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated
Dawcan	Female	0	0	0%	5	4	80%	20	19	95%	2	1	50%
Dawson	Male	0	0	0%	2	2	100%	28	17	61%	5	5	100%
Frontier	Female	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%
FIOILIEI	Male	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	1	100%
Furnas	Male	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	3	3	100%	0	0	0%
Gosper	Male	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	4	3	75%	0	0	0%
Hayes	Male	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	0	0%	0	0	0%
Litcheseld	Female	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	12	2	17%	0	0	0%
Hitchcock	Male	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	3	3	100%	0	0	0%
	Female	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	6	2	33%	0	0	0%
Keith	Male	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	9	8	89%	6	6	100%
	Unknown	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	0	0%	0	0	0%
Lincoln	Female	0	0	0%	2	1	50%	20	14	70%	2	1	50%
Lincoln	Male	0	0	0%	4	4	100%	43	34	79%	8	8	100%
Logan	Male	1	1	100%	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	0	0	0%
Mcpherson	Female	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	0	0%	0	0	0%
Perkins	Male	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	4	3	75%	0	0	0%
	Female	0	0	0%	4	4	100%	17	10	59%	0	0	0%
Red Willow	Male	0	0	0%	2	2	100%	25	22	88%	0	0	0%
	Unknown	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	2	1	50%	0	0	0%
District Total		1	1	100%	22	20	91%	200	142	71%	24	22	92%

Juvenile Cases and Adjudication by Case Type, County and Race/Ethnicity Traffic Offense Status Offense Misdemeanor Felony													
		Tr	affic Offe	nse	St	tatus Offe	nse	Ν	/lisdemear	nor		Felony	
County	Race	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated									
	Asian/P.I.	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%
	Black	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	5	5	100%	0	0	0%
Dawson	Hispanic	0	0	0%	2	2	100%	20	13	65%	2	1	50%
Dawson	White	0	0	0%	4	3	75%	20	15	75%	5	5	100%
	Other	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%
	Unknown	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%
Frantian	Hispanic	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	1	100%
Frontier	White	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%
Furmas	White	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%
Furnas	Unknown	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	2	2	100%	0	0	0%
Gosper	White	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	4	3	75%	0	0	0%
Hayes	White	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	0	0%	0	0	0%
Hitchcock	White	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	15	5	33%	0	0	0%
	Hispanic	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	0	0%	1	1	100%
Keith	White	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	11	7	64%	4	4	100%
	Unknown	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	4	3	75%	1	1	100%
	Asian/P.I.	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%
	Black	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	3	3	100%	1	1	100%
Lincoln	Hispanic	0	0	0%	1	0	0%	9	8	89%	0	0	0%
	White	0	0	0%	5	5	100%	49	35	71%	9	8	89%
	Unknown	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%
Logan	Unknown	1	1	100%	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	0	0	0%
Mcpherson	Unknown	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	0	0%	0	0	0%
Dorking	Black	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%
Perkins	White	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	3	2	67%	0	0	0%
	Black	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%
Red Willow	White	0	0	0%	6	6	100%	42	32	76%	0	0	0%
	Unknown	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	0	0%	0	0	0%
District Tota	ι	1	1	100%	22	20	91%	200	142	71%	24	22	92%

#### District 11

#### Part (b) Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court - Case Type

*Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (b) Juveniles prosecuted in adult criminal court for felonies, misdemeanors, and traffic offenses. The information shall include juveniles sentenced to terms in adult jails and prisons and juveniles placed on adult probation.* 

Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court tables consist of criminal and traffic cases in county court and criminal cases in district court that reached the point of sentencing in 2021. Cases with multiple charges are counted once per case and reported as the most severe offense. Cases for juveniles ages 13 and below must be filed and stay in juvenile court; however, judges have the authority to allow these cases in criminal court. Therefore, only juveniles ages 14 through 17 at the time of the offense appear in adult criminal court data.

	Juven	iles Pro	by	ed in Ac Case Ty District		ninal C	ourt		
		All C	Cases	Tra	affic	Misde	meanor	Fel	ony
Demographic	Cohort	Total Cases	% of Total	Total Cases	% of Total for Case Type Group	Total Cases	% of Total for Case Type Group	Total Cases	% of Total for Case Type Group
Age Group	14-15	9	35%	8	40%	1	50%	0	-
	16	11	42%	9	45%	0	-	2	50%
	17	6	23%	3	15%	1	50%	2	50%
Race/Ethnicity	Black	1	5%	1	6%	0	-	0	-
	Hispanic	7	32%	5	29%	1	50%	1	33%
	White	14	64%	11	65%	1	50%	2	67%
	Unknown	4	-	3	-	0	-	1	-
Sex	Female	10	38%	10	50%	0	-	0	-
	Male	16	62%	10	50%	2	100%	4	100%
District Total		26	100%	20	77%	2	8%	4	15%

Juvenile		Ca	ise Type	lt Criminal Co e, e Group	ourt by								
County	Age	All Cases	Traffic	Misdemeanor	Felony								
Group # # # #													
	14-15	8	7	1	0								
Dawson	16	9	9	0	0								
	17	5	3	0	2								
Gosper	14-15	1	1	0	0								
Lincoln	16	2	0	0	2								
Lincoln	Lincoln 17 1 0 1 0												
District T	otal	26	20	2	4								

Juvenil	Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court by Case Type, County and Sex									
County	Sex									
		#	#	#	#					
Dawson	Female	10	10	0	0					
Dawson	Male	12	9	1	2					
Gosper	Male	1	1	0	0					
Lincoln Male 3 0 1 2										
District T	otal	26	20	2	4					

Juveni	Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court by Case Type, County and Race/Ethnicity									
County	Race	All Cases	Traffic	Misdemeanor Felony						
		#	#	#	#					
	Black	1	1	0	0					
Dawson	Hispanic	7	5	1	1					
Davison	White	10	10	0	0					
	Unknown	4	3	0	1					
Gosper	White	1	1	0	0					
Lincoln	Lincoln White 3 0 1 2									
District T	otal	26	20	2	4					

#### District 11

#### Part (b) Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court - Sentence

Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (b) Juveniles prosecuted in adult criminal court for felonies, misdemeanors, and traffic offenses. The information shall include juveniles sentenced to terms in adult jails and prisons and juveniles placed on adult probation.

Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court tables include criminal and traffic cases in county court and criminal cases in district court that reached the point of sentencing in 2021. All sentencing types are included where multiple sentencing types occur within a case (ex. probation/jail). Youth who are sentenced to probation in Adult Court are supervised by Adult Probation. Average age at judgment is the mean calculated for each cohort at the time of their judgment date.

	Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court by Sentencing District											
		Total C	ases	Р	robation			Ja	il		Pris	on
Demographic	Cohort	Number of Cases	% of Total	Average Age at Judgement	Number of Cases	% of Cohort	Average Age at Judgement	Number of Cases	% of Cohort	Average Age at Judgement	Number of Cases	% of Cohort
	14 <b>-</b> 15	9	35%	15	9	100%	15	0	0%	15	0	0%
Age Group	16	11	42%	17	9	82%	17	0	0%	17	2	18%
	17	6	23%	18	4	67%	18	1	17%	18	1	17%
Cov	Female	10	38%	16	10	100%	16	0	0%	16	0	0%
Sex	Male	16	62%	17	12	100%	17	1	0%	17	3	0%
	Black	1	5%	15	1	100%	15	0	0%	15	0	0%
Deee (Etherisite	Hispanic	7	32%	16	7	100%	16	0	0%	16	0	0%
Race/Ethnicity Unl	Unknown	4	0%	17	3	100%	17	0	0%	17	1	0%
	White	14	64%	16	11	100%	16	1	0%	16	2	0%
District Total		26	100%	16	22	100%	16	1	0%	16	3	0%

	Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court by Sentence, County and Age Group										
	A	Total Cases	Proba	tion	Jai	l	Prisc	on			
County Group		Number of Cases	Average Age at Judgement	Number of Cases	Average Age at Judgement	Number of Cases	Average Age at Judgement	Number of Cases			
	14-15	8	15	8	15	0	15	0			
Dawson	16	9	16	9	16	0	16	0			
	17	5	18	4	18	0	18	1			
Gosper	14-15	1	15	1	15	0	15	0			
Lincoln	16	2	18	0	18	0	18	2			
Lincoln	17	1	18	0	18	1	18	0			
District T	otal	26	16	22	16	1	16	3			

	Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court by Sentence, County and Sex									
		Total Cases	Probation		Jai	ι	Prison			
County	County Sex		Average Age at Judgement	Number of Cases	Average Age at Judgement	Number of Cases	Average Age at Judgement	Number of Cases		
Devree	Female	10	16	10	16	0	16	0		
Dawson	Male	12	16	11	16	0	16	1		
Gosper	Male	1	15	1	15	0	15	0		
Lincoln	Male	3	18	18	1	18	2			
District T	otal	26	16	22	16	1	16	3		

	Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court by Sentence, County and Race/Ethnicity										
		Total Cases	Proba	Probation			Prisc	'n			
County	Race	Number of Cases	Average Age at Judgement	Number of Cases	Average Age at Judgement	Number of Cases	Average Age at Judgement	Number of Cases			
	Black	1	15	1	15	0	15	0			
Daviaan	Hispanic	7	16	7	16	0	16	0			
Dawson	White	10	16	10	16	0	16	0			
	Unknown	4	17	3	17	0	17	1			
Gosper	White	1	15 1		15	0	15	0			
Lincoln	White	3	18	0	18	1	18	2			
District T	otal	26	16	22	16	1	16	3			

#### District 11

#### Part (c) Motions to Transfer Cases and Cases Transferred

*Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (c) The number of motions to transfer and the number of cases transferred to adult court from juvenile court and from adult criminal court to juvenile court.* 

The data represents motions to transfer filed in 2021 and transfers between court types which occurred in 2021. Therefore, the exact same subset of cases may not be represented in both columns. There can be multiple motions to transfer within a single case which, if filed in the same calendar year, would all be included in the counts below. Some cases may have a motion to transfer, but no transfer actually occurs.

	Motions to Transfer Courts and Transfers District										
		Juveni	le Court	t to Adult C	ourt	Adu	lt Court	to Juvenile Cour	t		
Demographic Cohort		Motion to Transfer Juv to Adult	% of Total	Transfers Juv to Adult	% of Total	Motion to Transfer Adult to Juv	% of Total	Transfers Adult to Juv	% of Total		
	11-13	0	-	0	-	1	12%	0	-		
	14-15	0	-	0	-	2	25%	1	50%		
Age Group	16	0	-	0	-	2	25%	0	-		
	17	0	-	0	-	3	38%	1	50%		
	Black	0	-	0	-	0	-	1	50%		
Dago /Ethnicity	Hispanic	0	-	0	-	2	33%	0	-		
Race/Ethnicity	White	0	-	0	-	4	67%	1	50%		
	Unknown	0	-	0	-	2	-	0	-		
	Female	0	-	0	-	1	17%	1	100%		
Sex	Male	0	-	0	-	5	83%	0	-		
	Unknown	0	-	0	-	2	-	1	-		
District Total		0	-	0	-	8	-	2	-		

ľ	County and Age Group										
		-	e Court to It Court	Adult Court to Juvenile Court							
County	County Age Group		Cases Transfered	Motion to Transfer	Cases Transfered						
Dawson	14-15	0	0	1	1						
Davvson	17	0	0	1	0						
Furnas	17	0	0	1	0						
	14-15	0	0	1	0						
Keith	16	0	0	2	0						
	17	0	0	1	1						
Logan	11-13	0 0		1	0						
District T	otal	0	0	8	2						

# Motions to Transfer Courts and Transfers.

	Motions to Transfer Courts and Transfers, County and Sex									
		-	e Court to It Court	Adult Co	ourt to Juvenile Court					
County	County Sex		Motion to Transfer		Cases Transfered					
Dawson	Male	0	0	2	0					
Davison	Unknown	0	0	0	1					
Furnas	Male	0	0	1	0					
	Female	0	0	1	1					
Keith	Male	0	0	1	0					
	Unknown	0	0	2	0					
Logan	Male	0	0	1	0					
District T	otal	0	0	8	2					

	County and Race/Ethnicity									
		-	e Court to It Court		ourt to Juvenile Court					
County	Race/ Ethnicity	Motion to Transfer	Cases Transfered	Motion to Transfer	Cases Transfered					
	Black	0	0	0	1					
Dawson	Hispanic	0	0	1	0					
	White	0	0	1	0					
Furnas	White	0	0	1	0					
	Hispanic	0	0	1	0					
Keith	White	0	0	1	1					
	Unknown	0	0	2	0					
Logan	White	0	0	1	0					
District T	otal	0	0	8	2					

#### Motions to Transfer Courts and Transfers, County and Race/Ethnicity

#### Part (d) Juvenile Probation Activity

Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (d) Juveniles placed on juvenile probation, the number of juveniles on probation in out-of-home care, the number of juveniles completing probation, the number of motions to revoke probation and probation revocations, and the average length of time on probation.

The Juvenile Probation Activity - Youth data is provided from the Administrative Office of Probation's case management system, Nebraska Probation Application for Community Safety (NPACS). The table reports the number and percent of youth with various activity during the year. Youth are counted once in each category, regardless of the number of out-of-home placements, motions to revoke, or cases they may have in the year. Age groups are calculated specific to the activity as footnoted.

Juvenile Probation Activity District									
Demographic	Cohort		Placed on Probation		Out-of- home Placement		ictory ase		
		# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total		
	11-13	82	19%	20	13%	70	14%		
	14-15	152	35%	56	35%	168	33%		
Age Group	16	100	23%	40	25%	120	24%		
	17	90	21%	36	23%	132	26%		
	18	8	2%	6	4%	18	4%		
	Female	166	38%	64	41%	144	28%		
Sex	Male	266	62%	94	59%	364	72%		
	Black	22	5%	8	5%	18	4%		
	Indian	14	3%	6	4%	2	0%		
Race	Other	50	12%	24	15%	114	22%		
	Pacific Islander	4	1%	0	0%	4	1%		
	White	342	79%	120	76%	370	73%		
Ethniait.	Hispanic	112	26%	38	24%	164	32%		
Ethnicity	Not Hispanic	320	74%	120	76%	344	68%		
District Total		432	100%	158	100%	508	100%		

1. Age at which the probation term began. 2. Age at the first admission to out-of-home placement. 3. Age as of the file date for motion to revoke. 4. Age as of the disposition date for motion to revoke. 5. Age as of the disposition date for motion.

	Ju	venile F	Probat Distri		ivity			
County	Age	Place Proba		Out hor Place	me	Satisfactory Release		
	Group	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	
	14-15	4	1%	0	0%	10	2%	
Chase	16	0	0%	0	0%	2	0%	
	17	0	0%	0	0%	2	0%	
	11-13	6	1%	2	1%	16	3%	
	14-15	40	9%	8	5%	78	15%	
Dawson	16	22	5%	2	1%	26	5%	
	17	28	6%	8	5%	44	9%	
	18	2	0%	0	0%	10	2%	
Durada	11-13	2	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Dundy	17	0	0%	0	0%	2	0%	
	11-13	4	1%	0	0%	8	2%	
Frontier	14-15	2	0%	4	3%	0	0%	
	16	0	0%	0	0%	2	0%	
	14-15	6	1%	0	0%	4	1%	
Furnas	16	0	0%	0	0%	8	2%	
	17	0	0%	2	1%	2	0%	
	14-15	2	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Gosper	17	2	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Hayes	16	0	0%	0	0%	2	0%	
	14-15	2	0%	2	1%	6	1%	
	16	0	0%	0	0%	2	0%	
Hitchcock	17	0	0%	0	0%	2	0%	
	18	2	0%	0	0%	2	0%	
	11-13	4	1%	0	0%	2	0%	
	14-15	12	3%	6	4%	12	2%	
Keith	16	14	3%	2	1%	22	4%	
	17	6	1%	6	4%	16	3%	
	18	0	0%	2	1%	2	0%	
Lincoln	11-13	36	8%	10	6%	26	5%	

Juvenile Probation Activity District												
County	Age Group	Place Proba		Out- hor Placer	ne	Satisfactory Release						
	Group	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total					
	14-15	64	15%	26	16%	44	9%					
Lincoln	16	40	9%	30	1 <b>9</b> %	30	6%					
Lincour	17	28	6%	12	8%	20	4%					
	18	2	0%	0	0%	0	0%					
Logan	16	2	0%	0	0%	0	0%					
	11-13	0	0%	4	3%	2	0%					
Perkins	14-15	0	0%	0	0%	2	0%					
Perkins	16	0	0%	0	0%	6	1%					
	17	6	1%	0	0%	8	2%					
	11-13	30	7%	4	3%	16	3%					
	14-15	20	5%	10	6%	12	2%					
Red Willow	16	22	5%	6	4%	20	4%					
	17	20	5%	8	5%	36	7%					
	18	2	0%	4	3%	4	1%					
District Tot	al	432	100%	158	100%	508	100%					

	Juv	enile Pı I	robatio Distric		vity		
County	Sex	Place Proba		Out- hor Place	ne	Satisfa Rele	-
		# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total
Chase	Female	2	0%	0	0%	4	1%
Chase	Male	2	0%	0	0%	10	2%
Davidan	Female	42	10%	14	9%	50	10%
Dawson	Male	56	13%	6	4%	124	24%
Dundy	Male	2	0%	0	0%	2	0%
Frontier	Female	4	1%	2	1%	6	1%
Frontier	Male	2	0%	2	1%	4	1%
F	Female	2	0%	2	1%	6	1%
Furnas	Male	4	1%	0	0%	8	2%
Course	Female	2	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Gosper	Male	2	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Hayes	Male	0	0%	0	0%	2	0%
Hitchcock	Female	2	0%	2	1%	4	1%
HILCHCOCK	Male	2	0%	0	0%	8	2%
Keith	Female	10	2%	4	3%	10	2%
Keith	Male	26	6%	12	8%	44	9%
Lincoln	Female	68	16%	22	14%	30	6%
LINCOLN	Male	102	24%	56	35%	90	18%
Logan	Male	2	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Devloire	Female	0	0%	4	3%	6	1%
Perkins	Male	6	1%	0	0%	12	2%
Ded Miller	Female	34	8%	14	9%	28	6%
Kea WILLOW	Red Willow Male		14%	18	11%	60	12%
District Tota	ι	432	100%	158	100%	508	100%

Juvenile Probation Activity District									
County	Race		Placed on Probation		-of- ne ment	Satisfactory Release			
		# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total		
Chase	Other	2	0%	0	0%	4	1%		
Chase	White	2	0%	0	0%	10	2%		
	Black	12	3%	2	1%	6	1%		
	Other	12	3%	4	3%	74	15%		
Dawson	White	72	17%	12	8%	92	18%		
	Pacific Islander	2	0%	0	0%	2	0%		
	Indian	0	0%	2	1%	0	0%		
Dundy	White	2	0%	0	0%	2	0%		
Frontier	White	6	1%	2	1%	10	2%		
FIOILIEI	Other	0	0%	2	1%	0	0%		
Furnas	White	6	1%	2	1%	14	3%		
Gosper	White	4	1%	0	0%	0	0%		
Hayes	White	0	0%	0	0%	2	0%		
Hitchcock	White	4	1%	0	0%	10	2%		
HICHCOCK	Other	0	0%	2	1%	2	0%		
	White	30	7%	16	10%	50	10%		
Keith	Indian	4	1%	0	0%	0	0%		
	Other	2	0%	0	0%	4	1%		
	White	122	28%	56	35%	86	17%		
	Indian	8	2%	4	3%	2	0%		
Lincoln	Black	8	2%	4	3%	8	2%		
	Other	30	7%	14	9%	22	4%		
	Pacific Islander	2	0%	0	0%	2	0%		
Logan	White	2	0%	0	0%	0	0%		
Porking	White	4	1%	4	3%	18	4%		
Perkins	Indian	2	0%	0	0%	0	0%		
	Black	2	0%	2	1%	4	1%		
Red Willow	Other	4	1%	2	1%	8	2%		
	White	88	20%	28	18%	76	15%		

Juvenile Probation Activity District										
County	Race	Place Proba		Out hor Place	ne	Satisfactory Release				
		# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total			
District Tota	l	432	100%	158	100%	508	100%			

	Juven	ile Prol Dis	bation strict	Activit	у		
County	Ethnicity	Placed on Probation		Out- hor Place	ne	Satisfactory Release	
		# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total
Chase	Hispanic	2	0%	0	0%	4	1%
Chase	Not Hispanic	2	0%	0	0%	10	2%
Dawcon	Hispanic	50	12%	8	5%	106	21%
Dawson	Not Hispanic	48	11%	12	8%	68	13%
Dundy	Not Hispanic	2	0%	0	0%	2	0%
Frontier	Hispanic	2	0%	2	1%	0	0%
Frontier	Not Hispanic	4	1%	2	1%	10	2%
Furnas	Not Hispanic	6	1%	2	1%	14	3%
Gosper	Not Hispanic	4	1%	0	0%	0	0%
Hayes	Not Hispanic	0	0%	0	0%	2	0%
	Hispanic	0	0%	2	1%	4	1%
Hitchcock	Not Hispanic	4	1%	0	0%	8	2%
	Hispanic	2	0%	4	3%	22	4%
Keith	Not Hispanic	34	8%	12	8%	32	6%
Linesla	Hispanic	46	11%	18	11%	20	4%
Lincoln	Not Hispanic	124	29%	60	38%	100	20%
Logan	Not Hispanic	2	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Deuleine	Hispanic	2	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Perkins	Not Hispanic	4	1%	4	3%	18	4%
Destate	Hispanic	8	2%	4	3%	8	2%
Ked WILLOW	Red Willow Not Hispanic		20%	28	18%	80	16%
District Tota	l	432	100%	158	100%	508	100%

#### District 11

#### Part (d) Juvenile Probation - Youth Risk Level and Length of Probation

Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (d) Juveniles placed on juvenile probation, the number of juveniles on probation in out-of-home care, the number of juveniles completing probation, the number of motions to revoke probation and probation revocations, and the average length of time on probation.

Youth Level of Service/Case Management Inventory (YLS/CMI) is an assessment tool used to systematically measure risk for recidivism and appropriate supervision intensity for youth on probation. The following table shows the classification results of youth that entered into Probation in 2021, and another set of youth that discharged from Probation in 2021. Youth that are assessed at low or moderate low risk are matched to JCBR supervision and those assessed at high or moderate high risk are matched to JCBR supervision intensity

Youth Risk Levels and Length of Probation District											
		Youth	Starting	; Probation	Youth	Discharged 1	rom Probation	Average Length of Probation			
Demo- graphic	Cohort	JCBR/ Low/ Moderate Low	JCBI/ High	No Assessment**	JCBR/ Low	JCBI/ High/ Moderate High	No Assessment**	Total Youth	Avg Length of Probation		
	11-13	22	58	2	6	14	6	26	7.7		
	14-15	36	104	12	42	46	10	98	9.7		
Age Group	16	28	66	6	14	30	6	50	12.3		
	17	38	40	12	68	50	14	132	9.4		
	18	-	4	4	34	56	12	102	14.4		
Cov.	Female	38	110	18	52	64	26	142	9.1		
Sex	Male	86	162	18	112	132	22	266	11.9		
	Am. Indian	6	8	-	2	4	-	6	11.3		
	Asian/P.I.	-	2	2	-	2	2	4	4.0		
Race	Black	8	10	4	4	10	2	16	13.1		
	White	100	220	22	132	150	42	324	10.3		
	Other	10	32	8	26	30	2	58	14.7		
Ethnisit (	Hispanic	30	68	14	38	46	18	102	12.9		
Ethnicity Not Hispanic		94	204	22	126	150	30	306	10.3		
District Tota	al	124	272	36	164	196	48	408	11.0		

	Youth Risk Levels and Length of Probation by County and Age Group											
		Youth	Starting	g Probation	Youth	Discharged	from Probation		ge Length robation			
County	Age Group	JCBR/ Low/ Moderate Low	JCBI/ High	No Assessment**	JCBR/ Low	JCBI/ High/ Moderate High	No Assessment**	Total Youth	Avg Length of Probation			
Chase	14-15	2	2	-	4	4	-	8	6.5			
Chase	18	-	-	-	4	2	-	6	29.3			
	11-13	4	2	-	-	-	2	2	3.0			
	14-15	16	16	8	18	4	6	28	9.5			
Dawson	16	8	8	6	2	4	6	12	11.8			
	17	8	10	10	20	4	12	36	8.8			
	18	-	-	2	12	14	8	34	15.9			
	11-13	-	2	-	-	-	-	0	-			
Dundy	18	-	-	-	-	-	2	2	6.0			
	11-13	-	2	2	-	2	2	4	8.5			
Frontier	14-15	-	2	-	-	4	-	4	8.0			
	17	-	-	-	2	-	-	2	4.0			
	14-15	4	2	-	-	-	-	0	-			
_	16	-	-	-	2	2	-	4	10.5			
Furnas	17	-	-	-	4	2	-	6	11.3			
	18	-	-	-	-	2	-	2	11.0			
	14-15	-	-	2	-	-	-	0	-			
Gosper	17	2	-	-	-	2	-	2	11.0			
Hayes	17	-	-	-	2	-	-	2	9.0			
	14-15	-	2	-	2	2	-	4	10.5			
Hitchcock	18	-	-	2	4	2	2	8	18.0			
	11-13	2	2	-	-	-	2	2	3.0			
	14-15	2	8	2	6	4	2	12	7.2			
Keith	16	4	10	-	2	-	-	2	15.0			
	17	-	4	2	6	6	-	12	13.0			
	18	-	-	-	4	10	-	14	10.6			
	11-13	4	32	-	2	10	-	12	6.7			
Lincoln	14-15	10	54	-	6	20	2	28	11.0			
	16	6	34	-	4	18	-	22	14.5			

Youth Risk Levels and Length of Probation by County and Age Group											
		Youth	Starting	g Probation	Youth	Discharged 1	from Probation	Average Length of Probation			
County Age Grou		JCBR/ Low/ Moderate Low	JCBI/ High	No Assessment**	JCBR/ Low	JCBI/ High/ Moderate High	No Assessment**	Total Youth	Avg Length of Probation		
Lincoln	17	14	14	-	16	24	-	40	10.8		
Lincoth	18	-	2	-	4	12	-	16	13.8		
Logan	16	-	2	-	-	-	-	0	-		
	11-13	-	-	-	2	-	-	2	17.0		
Daukina	16	-	-	-	-	2	-	2	5.0		
Perkins	17	2	4	-	-	-	2	2	6.0		
	18	-	-	-	2	2	-	4	13.0		
	11-13	12	18	-	2	2	-	4	10.0		
	14-15	2	18	-	6	8	-	14	11.4		
Red Willow	16	10	12	-	2	2	-	4	7.5		
	17	12	8	-	16	16	-	32	8.1		
	18	-	2	-	6	10	-	16	7.9		
District Tota	District Total 124 272 36 162 196 4										

Youth Risk Levels and Length of Probation by County and Sex											
		Youth	Starting	Probation	Youth	Discharged f	rom Probation	Average Length of Probation			
County	Sex	JCBR/ Low/ Moderate Low	JCBI/ High	No Assessment**	JCBR/ Low	JCBI/ High/ Moderate High	No Assessment**	Total Youth	Avg Length of Probation		
Chasse	Female	-	2	-	-	4	-	4	19.5		
Chase	Male	2	-	-	8	2	-	10	15.0		
Davida	Female	8	22	12	18	10	18	46	9.5		
Dawson	Male	28	14	14	34	16	16	66	12.7		
Dundy	Male	-	2	-	-	-	2	2	6.0		
Eroption	Female	-	2	2	-	4	2	6	8.3		
Frontier	Male	-	2	-	2	2	-	4	6.0		
Furnes	Female	2	-	-	4	2	-	6	10.7		
Furnas	Male	2	2	-	2	4	-	6	11.3		
Comer	Female	-	-	2	-	-	-	0	-		
Gosper	Male	2	-	-	-	2	-	2	11.0		
Hayes	Male	-	-	-	2	-	-	2	9.0		
Litebeeeld	Female	-	-	2	-	2	2	4	7.0		
Hitchcock	Male	-	2	-	6	2	-	8	19.8		
Keith	Female	2	8	-	2	6	2	10	3.0		
Keith	Male	6	16	4	16	12	2	30	12.9		
Lincoln	Female	16	52	-	10	22	-	32	11.1		
Lincoln	Male	18	84	-	22	62	2	86	11.6		
Logan	Male	-	2	-	-	-	-	0	-		
Porking	Female	-	-	-	2	2	2	6	9.3		
Perkins	Male	2	4	-	2	4	-	6	10.3		
Red Willow	Female	10	24	-	16	12	-	28	7.0		
	Male	26	34	-	16	26	-	42	10.0		
District Total		124	272	36	162	196	48	406	11.0		

Youth Risk Levels and Length of Probation by County and Race											
		Youth	Starting	g Probation	Youth	Discharged f	from Probation		ge Length robation		
County	Race	JCBR/ Low/ Moderate Low	Low/ High Assessment** loderate		JCBR/ Low	JCBI/ High/ Moderate High	No Assessment**	Total Youth	Avg Length of Probation		
Chase	White	-	2	-	6	4	-	10	19.6		
Chase	Other	2	-	-	2	2	-	4	8.0		
	Am. Indian	-	-	-	2	-	-	2	5.0		
	Asian/P.I.	-	-	2	-	-	2	2	3.0		
Dawson	Black	2	6	4	4	-	2	6	8.7		
	White	32	26	14	36	16	28	80	9.2		
	Other	2	4	6	10	12	2	24	20.2		
Dundy	White	-	2	-	-	-	2	2	6.0		
Frontier	White	-	4	2	2	4	2	8	7.2		
Furnas	White	4	2	-	6	6	-	12	11.0		
Gosper	White	2	-	2	-	2	-	2	11.0		
Hayes	White	-	-	-	2	-	-	2	9.0		
	White	-	2	2	6	-	2	8	17.5		
Hitchcock	Other	-	-	-	-	2	-	2	12.0		
	Am. Indian	2	2	-	-	-	-	0	-		
Keith	White	6	22	2	16	18	4	38	9.8		
	Other	-	-	2	2	2	-	4	13.5		
	Am. Indian	4	4	-	-	4	-	4	14.5		
	Asian/P.I.	-	2	-	-	2	-	2	5.0		
Lincoln	Black	4	4	-	-	8	-	8	18.5		
	White	22	100	-	24	60	2	86	11.1		
	Other	4	26	-	10	10	-	20	10.2		
Logan	White	-	2	-	-	-	-	0	-		
	Am. Indian	-	2	-	-	-	-	0	-		
Perkins	White	2	2	-	4	4	2	10	10.8		
	Black	2	-	-	-	2	-	2	5.0		
Red Willow	White	32	56	-	30	36	-	66	8.7		
	Other	2	2	-	2	2	-	4	13.5		
District Tota	l	124	272	36	164	196	48	408	11.0		

Youth Risk Levels and Length of Probation by County and Ethnicity												
		Youth	Starting	g Probation	Youth	Discharged 1	Average Length of Probation					
County	Ethnicity	JCBR/ Low/ Moderate Low	JCBI/ High	No Assessment**	JCBR/ Low	JCBI/ High/ Moderate High	No Assessment**	Total Youth	Avg Length of Probation			
Chase	Hispanic	2	-	-	2	2	-	4	8.0			
Chase	Not Hispanic	-	2	-	6	4	-	10	19.6			
Dawson	Hispanic	16	22	12	20	20	18	58	12.9			
Dawson	Not Hispanic	20	14	14	32	8	16	56	9.6			
Dundy	Not Hispanic	-	2	-	-	-	2	2	6.0			
Frontier	Hispanic	-	2	-	-	-	-	0	-			
Frontier	Not Hispanic	-	2	2	2	4	2	8	7.2			
Furnas	Not Hispanic	4	2	-	6	6	-	12	11.0			
Gosper	Not Hispanic	2	-	2	-	2	-	2	11.0			
Hayes	Not Hispanic	-	-	-	2	-	-	2	9.0			
Litabaaak	Hispanic	-	-	-	2	2	-	4	10.5			
Hitchcock	Not Hispanic	-	2	2	4	2	2	8	18.0			
	Hispanic	-	-	2	4	10	-	14	15.9			
Keith	Not Hispanic	8	24	2	12	8	4	24	6.8			
Lincoln	Hispanic	8	38	-	8	10	-	18	11.9			
LINCOIN	Not Hispanic	26	98	-	26	74	2	102	11.4			
Logan	Not Hispanic	-	2	-	-	-	-	0	-			
Derkins	Hispanic	-	2	-	-	-	-	0	-			
Perkins	Not Hispanic	2	2	-	6	6	2	14	10.7			
Ded Miller	Hispanic	4	4	-	2	2	-	4	13.5			
Red Willow	Not Hispanic	32	54	-	30	36	-	66	8.5			
District Total 124 272 36 164							48	408	11.0			

#### Part (e) Juveniles Access to Counsel

*Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (e) juveniles with and without access to counsel in juvenile and adult court, both appointed and retained.* 

The Juveniles Access to Counsel table displays the percent of juveniles represented by an attorney in criminal cases from county court and district court and juvenile cases from county court and separate juvenile court. Data includes new cases filed and cases transferred from one county to another during calendar year 2021. Cases filed in 2021 may not have reached the sentencing/adjudication stage of proceedings. Cases for juveniles ages 13 and below must be filed and stay in juvenile court.

Juvenile Access to Counsel District											
			Criminal C	ourt Cases		Juvenile Court Cases					
Demographic	Cohort	Total Criminal Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel	Total Juvenile Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel		
	11-13	4	3	1	25%	77	29	48	62%		
Age Group	14-15	15	10	5	33%	142	77	65	46%		
Age Group	16	16	11	5	<b>3</b> 1%	105	59	46	44%		
	17	24	12	12	50%	84	44	40	<b>48</b> %		
	Female	13	7	6	46%	162	97	65	40%		
Sex	Male	35	22	13	37%	241	110	131	54%		
	Unknown	11	7	4	36%	5	2	3	60%		
	Am. Indian	-	-	-	-	1	0	1	100%		
	Asian/P.I.	-	-	-	-	2	1	1	50%		
	Black	2	2	0	0%	14	6	8	57%		
Race/Ethnicity	Hispanic	13	4	9	<b>69</b> %	68	34	34	50%		
	White	31	22	9	<b>29</b> %	283	145	138	<b>49</b> %		
	Other	-	-	-	-	3	1	2	67%		
	Unknown	13	8	5	38%	37	22	15	41%		
District Total		59	36	23	39%	408	209	199	49%		
Juvenile Access to Counsel by County and Age Group											
---	--------------	-------------------------------------	-------------------------	-------------------	-------------------	-------------------------------------	-------------------------	-------------------	-------------------	--	--
			Criminal C	ourt Cases			Juvenile C	ourt Cases			
County	Age Group	Total Criminal Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel	Total Juvenile Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel		
	11-13	-	-	-	-	8	2	6	75%		
Davage	14-15	2	1	1	50%	35	20	15	43%		
Dawson	16	1	0	1	100%	31	18	13	42%		
	17	5	2	3	60%	18	12	6	33%		
	11-13	1	0	1	100%	2	0	2	1 <b>00</b> %		
Dundy	16	1	1	0	0%	-	-	-	-		
	17	1	1	0	0%	1	1	0	0%		
Franking	11-13	-	-	-	-	4	1	3	75%		
Frontier	14-15	-	-	-	-	3	1	2	67%		
	14-15	2	2	0	0%	3	2	1	33%		
Furnas	16	2	1	1	50%	1	0	1	100%		
	17	1	0	1	100%	-	-	-	-		
	14-15	-	-	-	-	3	1	2	67%		
Gosper	16	-	-	-	-	1	0	1	100%		
	17	-	-	-	-	1	0	1	100%		
Hayes	16	-	-	-	-	1	1	0	0%		
	14-15	-	-	-	-	6	5	1	17%		
Hitchcock	16	-	-	-	-	3	1	2	67%		
	17	-	-	-	-	7	5	2	29%		
	11-13	-	-	-	-	7	2	5	71%		
Keith	14-15	5	1	4	80%	22	12	10	45%		
Keitii	16	4	1	3	75%	25	15	10	40%		
	17	10	2	8	80%	18	7	11	61%		
	11-13	3	3	0	0%	42	23	19	45%		
Lincoln	14-15	2	2	0	0%	49	31	18	37%		
Lincoln	16	5	5	0	0%	23	15	8	35%		
	17	7	7	0	0%	17	9	8	47%		
Lener	11-13	-	-	-	-	1	0	1	100%		
Logan	16	-	-	-	-	1	0	1	100%		

	Juvenile Access to Counsel by County and Age Group											
			Criminal C	ourt Cases			Juvenile C	ourt Cases				
County	Age Group	Total Criminal Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel	Total Juvenile Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel			
Mcpherson	17	-	-	-	-	1	1	0	0%			
	14-15	-	-	-	-	3	3	0	0%			
Perkins	16	-	-	-	-	1	1	0	0%			
	17	-	-	-	-	4	2	2	50%			
	11-13	-	-	-	-	13	1	12	92%			
Declarit	14-15	4	4	0	0%	18	2	16	89%			
Red Willow	16	3	3	0	0%	18	8	10	56%			
	17	-	-	-	-	17	7	10	59%			
District Tota	ι	59	36	23	39%	408	209	199	49%			

	Juvenile Access to Counsel by County and Sex											
			Criminal C	ourt Cases			Juvenile C	ourt Cases				
County	Sex	Total Criminal Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel	Total Juvenile Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel			
Dawson	Female	-	-	-	-	35	20	15	43%			
Dawson	Male	8	3	5	62%	57	32	25	44%			
Dundy	Male	-	-	-	-	2	0	2	100%			
Dundy	Unknown	3	2	1	33%	1	1	0	0%			
Frontier	Female	-	-	-	-	4	2	2	50%			
Frontier	Male	-	-	-	-	3	0	3	100%			
Furnas	Male	3	2	1	33%	3	2	1	33%			
Furnas	Unknown	2	1	1	50%	1	0	1	100%			
Gosper	Male	-	-	-	-	5	1	4	80%			
Hayes	Male	-	-	-	-	1	1	0	0%			
Litcheseld	Female	-	-	-	-	12	10	2	17%			
Hitchcock	Male	-	-	-	-	4	1	3	75%			
	Female	6	0	6	100%	34	21	13	38%			
Keith	Male	7	0	7	100%	37	15	22	59%			
	Unknown	6	4	2	33%	1	0	1	100%			
t in a she	Female	7	7	0	0%	50	34	16	32%			
Lincoln	Male	10	10	0	0%	81	44	37	46%			
Logan	Male	-	-	-	-	2	0	2	100%			
Mcpherson	Female	-	-	-	-	1	1	0	0%			
Dealling	Female	-	-	-	-	2	2	0	0%			
Perkins	Male	-	-	-	-	6	4	2	33%			
	Female	-	-	-	-	24	7	17	71%			
Red Willow	Male	7	7	0	0%	40	10	30	75%			
	Unknown	-	-	-	-	2	1	1	50%			
District Tota	ι	59	36	23	39%	408	209	199	49%			

Juvenile Access to Counsel by County and Race/Ethnicity											
			Juvenile C	ourt Cases			Criminal C	ourt Cases			
County	Race/ Ethnicity	Total Juvenile Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel	Total Criminal Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel		
	Asian/P.I.	1	0	1	100%	-	-	-	-		
	Black	8	4	4	50%	-	-	-	-		
Dawaan	Hispanic	38	19	19	50%	3	1	2	67%		
Dawson	White	40	28	12	30%	5	2	3	60%		
	Other	2	1	1	50%	-	-	-	-		
	Unknown	3	0	3	100%	-	-	-	-		
Durada	White	3	1	2	67%	-	-	-	-		
Dundy	Unknown	-	-	-	-	3	2	1	33%		
	Hispanic	1	0	1	100%	-	-	-	-		
Frontier	White	5	1	4	80%	-	-	-	-		
	Unknown	1	1	0	0%	-	-	-	-		
-	White	1	0	1	100%	3	2	1	33%		
Furnas	Unknown	3	2	1	33%	2	1	1	50%		
Gosper	White	5	1	4	80%	-	-	-	-		
Hayes	White	1	1	0	0%	-	-	-	-		
Hitchcock	White	16	11	5	31%	-	-	-	-		
	Am. Indian	1	0	1	100%	-	-	-	-		
	Hispanic	11	3	8	73%	7	0	7	100%		
Keith	White	39	18	21	54%	5	0	5	100%		
	Other	1	0	1	100%	-	-	-	-		
	Unknown	20	15	5	25%	7	4	3	43%		
	Asian/P.I.	1	1	0	0%	-	-	-	-		
	Black	4	2	2	50%	2	2	0	0%		
Lincoln	Hispanic	18	12	6	33%	3	3	0	0%		
	White	102	61	41	40%	12	12	0	0%		
	Unknown	6	2	4	67%	-	-	-	-		
	White	1	0	1	100%	-	-	-	-		
Logan	Unknown	1	0	1	100%	-	-	-	-		
Mcpherson	Unknown	1	1	0	0%	-	-	-	-		

	Juvenile Access to Counsel by County and Race/Ethnicity											
			Juvenile C	ourt Cases			Criminal C	ourt Cases				
County	Race/ Ethnicity	Total Juvenile Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel	Total Criminal Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel			
Daultina	Black	1	0	1	100%	-	-	-	-			
Perkins	White	7	6	1	14%	-	-	-	-			
	Black	1	0	1	100%	-	-	-	-			
Red Willow	White	/hite 63 17 46 73% 6 6 0						0%				
	Unknown 2 1 1 50% 1 1 0 0%								0%			
District Tota	ι	408	209	199	49%	59	36	23	39%			

#### District 12

#### Part (a) Juvenile Petitions and Adjudications

Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (a) Juveniles prosecuted in juvenile court under subdivision (1), (2), (3)(b), or (4) of section 43-247, including the total number of filings and adjudications.

The Juvenile Petitions and Adjudications tables report the number of new juvenile cases and corresponding percent of adjudications by case type and demographic group for cases filed in 2021. The data consists of both county court and separate juvenile court cases. Only the most serious offense is counted for cases with multiple charges, pleas or findings. Cases are counted in the Admit column if at least one charge was admitted to in court. Supplemental petitions are not included in this data set. Cases filed in 2021 may not have reached the adjudication stage of proceedings. Juvenile cases where the age is unknown, if any, are included in the report.

			Juven	ile Petit	ions ar	nd Adju Distric		n by Ca	ase Type	9			
		Traffic Offense			St	atus Offe	nse	Misdemeanor			Felony		
Demo- graphic	Cohort	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated
	14-15	3	3	100%	23	20	87%	76	58	76%	10	7	70%
Age Group	16	1	1	1 <b>00</b> %	12	11	92%	73	59	81%	7	5	71%
	17	2	2	100%	6	4	67%	80	65	81%	3	2	67%
	Female	3	3	100%	20	15	75%	77	64	83%	3	2	67%
Sex	Male	3	3	100%	18	17	94%	146	115	79%	16	11	69%
	Unknown	0	0	0%	3	3	100%	6	3	50%	1	1	100%
	Am. Indian	2	2	100%	3	2	67%	17	14	82%	2	1	50%
	Black	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%
Race/ Ethnicity	Hispanic	1	1	100%	1	1	100%	31	23	74%	2	2	100%
	White	3	3	100%	3	1	33%	67	54	81%	2	2	100%
	Unknown	0	0	0%	34	31	91%	113	90	80%	14	9	64%
District Tot	tal	6	6	100%	41	35	85%	229	182	79%	20	14	70%

			Ju	uvenile (		-	dication Age Gro	_	е Туре,				
		Tr	raffic Offe	nse	St	atus Offe	nse	Misdemeanor			Felony		
County	Age Group	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated
	14-15	0	0	0%	1	0	0%	4	4	100%	0	0	0%
Box Butte	16	0	0	0%	3	2	67%	7	5	71%	1	1	100%
	17	0	0	0%	1	0	0%	8	7	88%	0	0	0%
	14-15	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	2	1	50%	0	0	0%
Cheyenne	16	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	4	3	75%	0	0	0%
	17	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	12	10	83%	0	0	0%
	14-15	3	3	100%	2	2	100%	10	5	50%	1	1	100%
Dawes	16	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	7	6	86%	1	0	0%
	17	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	7	5	71%	1	1	100%
Grant	16	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%
Kimball	14-15	0	0	0%	1	0	0%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%
	14-15	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	5	4	80%	1	1	100%
Morrill	16	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	5	4	80%	0	0	0%
	17	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	4	4	100%	0	0	0%
	14-15	0	0	0%	19	18	95%	50	40	80%	8	5	62%
Scotts Bluff	16	1	1	100%	9	9	100%	46	37	80%	5	4	80%
	17	1	1	100%	5	4	80%	45	35	78%	2	1	50%
	14-15	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	4	3	75%	0	0	0%
Sheridan	16	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	3	3	100%	0	0	0%
	17	1	1	100%	0	0	0%	4	4	100%	0	0	0%
District Total		6	6	100%	41	35	85%	229	182	79%	20	14	70%

			Juver	nile Cas		Adjudi unty an		by Case	е Туре,				
		Tı	affic Offe	nse	Status Offense			Ν	/lisdemear	or		Felony	
County	Sex	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated
	Female	0	0	0%	2	0	0%	7	6	86%	0	0	0%
Box Butte	Male	0	0	0%	3	2	67%	12	10	83%	0	0	0%
	Unknown	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	1	100%
Chavanna	Female	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	5	5	100%	0	0	0%
Cheyenne	Male	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	13	9	69%	0	0	0%
David	Female	2	2	100%	2	2	100%	8	4	50%	2	1	50%
Dawes	Male	1	1	100%	0	0	0%	16	12	75%	1	1	100%
Grant	Male	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%
Kimball	Female	0	0	0%	1	0	0%	0	0	0%	0	0	0%
KIMDall	Male	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%
Morrill	Female	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	2	2	100%	0	0	0%
Morriu	Male	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	12	10	83%	1	1	100%
	Female	1	1	100%	15	13	87%	52	44	85%	1	1	100%
Scotts Bluff	Male	1	1	100%	15	15	100%	83	65	78%	14	9	64%
	Unknown	0	0	0%	3	3	100%	6	3	50%	0	0	0%
Charidan	Female	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	3	3	100%	0	0	0%
Sheridan	Male	1	1	100%	0	0	0%	8	7	88%	0	0	0%
District Total		6	6	100%	41	35	85%	229	182	79%	20	14	70%

			Juver			Adjudio nd Race			Туре,				
		Tı	raffic Offe	nse	S	tatus Offe	nse	Ν	/lisdemear	nor		Felony	
County	Race	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated
	Am. Indian	0	0	0%	1	0	0%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%
Box Butte	Hispanic	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%
DOX DULLE	White	0	0	0%	2	1	50%	10	8	80%	1	1	100%
	Unknown	0	0	0%	2	1	50%	7	6	86%	0	0	0%
	Hispanic	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%
Cheyenne	White	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	14	11	79%	0	0	0%
	Unknown	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	3	2	67%	0	0	0%
	Am. Indian	1	1	100%	2	2	100%	5	3	60%	2	1	50%
Davias	Hispanic	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%
Dawes	White	2	2	100%	0	0	0%	12	8	67%	0	0	0%
	Unknown	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	6	4	67%	1	1	100%
Grant	White	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%
Kimball	White	0	0	0%	1	0	0%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%
	Hispanic	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	3	2	67%	0	0	0%
Morrill	White	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	10	9	90%	1	1	100%
	Unknown	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%
	Am. Indian	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	2	2	100%	0	0	0%
	Black	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%
Scotts Bluff	Hispanic	1	1	100%	1	1	100%	25	18	72%	2	2	100%
	White	1	1	100%	0	0	0%	17	14	82%	0	0	0%
	Unknown	0	0	0%	32	30	94%	96	77	80%	13	8	62%
Shoridan	Am. Indian	1	1	100%	0	0	0%	9	8	89%	0	0	0%
Sheridan	White	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	2	2	100%	0	0	0%
District Total	l	6	6	100%	41	35	85%	229	182	79%	20	14	70%

#### District 12

### Part (b) Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court - Case Type

*Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (b) Juveniles prosecuted in adult criminal court for felonies, misdemeanors, and traffic offenses. The information shall include juveniles sentenced to terms in adult jails and prisons and juveniles placed on adult probation.* 

Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court tables consist of criminal and traffic cases in county court and criminal cases in district court that reached the point of sentencing in 2021. Cases with multiple charges are counted once per case and reported as the most severe offense. Cases for juveniles ages 13 and below must be filed and stay in juvenile court; however, judges have the authority to allow these cases in criminal court. Therefore, only juveniles ages 14 through 17 at the time of the offense appear in adult criminal court data.

Juv	Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court by Case Type District											
		All C	Cases	Misde	meanor	Fel	ony					
Demographic	Cohort	Total Cases	% of Total	Total Cases	% of Total for Case Type Group	Total Cases	% of Total for Case Type Group					
Age Group	17	7	100%	4	100%	3	100%					
Race/Ethnicity	Hispanic	1	33%	0	-	1	100%					
	White	2	67%	2	100%	0	-					
	Unknown	4	-	2	-	2	-					
Sex	Male	5	100%	3	100%	2	100%					
	Unknown	2	-	1	-	1	-					
District Total		7	100%	4	57%	3	43%					

Juveniles	Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court by Case Type, County and Age Group											
County	Age Group	All Cases	Traffic	Misdemeanor	Felony							
	Group	#	#	#	#							
Box Butte	17	1	0	1	0							
Scotts Bluff	Scotts Bluff 17 6 0 3 3											
District Total 7 0 4 3												

Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court by Case Type, County and Sex											
County	Sex	All Cases	Traffic	Misdemeanor	Felony						
		#	#	#	#						
Box Butte	Male	1	0	1	0						
Seatta Bluff	Male	4	0	2	2						
Scotts Bluff Unknown 2 0 1 1											
District Tota	l	7	0	4	3						

Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court by Case Type, County and Race/Ethnicity										
County	Race	Misdemeanor	Felony							
		#	#	#						
Box Butte	Unknown	1	1	0						
	Hispanic	1	0	1						
Scotts Bluff	White	2	2	0						
Unknown 3 1 2										
District Tota	l	7	4	3						

#### District 12

#### Part (b) Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court - Sentence

Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (b) Juveniles prosecuted in adult criminal court for felonies, misdemeanors, and traffic offenses. The information shall include juveniles sentenced to terms in adult jails and prisons and juveniles placed on adult probation.

Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court tables include criminal and traffic cases in county court and criminal cases in district court that reached the point of sentencing in 2021. All sentencing types are included where multiple sentencing types occur within a case (ex. probation/jail). Youth who are sentenced to probation in Adult Court are supervised by Adult Probation. Average age at judgment is the mean calculated for each cohort at the time of their judgment date.

	Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court by Sentencing District											
		Total C	ases	Р	robation			Jail			Prison	
Demographic	Cohort	Number of Cases	% of Total	Average Age at Judgement	Number of Cases	% of Cohort	Average Age at Judgement	Number of Cases	% of Cohort	Average Age at Judgement	Number of Cases	% of Cohort
Age Group	17	7	100%	18	4	57%	18	3	43%	18	0	0%
6 m	Male	5	100%	18	4	57%	18	1	43%	18	0	0%
Sex	Unknown	2	0%	18	0	57%	18	2	43%	18	0	0%
	Hispanic	1	33%	20	0	57%	20	1	43%	20	0	0%
Race/Ethnicity	Unknown	4	0%	19	2	57%	19	2	43%	19	0	0%
White 2 67% 17 2 57% 17 0 43% 17 0							0	0%				
District Total		7	100%	18	4	57%	18	3	43%	18	0	0%

	Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court by Sentence, County and Age Group												
	0.75	Total Probation Jail Prison Cases											
County	Age Group	Number of Cases	Average Age at Judgement	Number of Cases	Average Age at Judgement	Number of Cases	Average Age at Judgement	Number of Cases					
Box Butte	17	1	18	1	18	0	18	0					
Scotts Bluff	17	6	18	3	3	18	0						
District Tota	ι	7	18	4	18	3	18	0					

	Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court by Sentence, County and Sex												
		Total Cases	Proba	tion	Jai	l	Prison						
County	Sex	Number of Cases	Average Age at Judgement		Average Age at Judgement	Number of Cases	Average Age at Judgement	Number of Cases					
Box Butte	Male	1	18	1	18	0	18	0					
Scotts Bluff	Male	4	18	3	18	1	18	0					
SCOLLS BIUTT	Unknown 2 18 0 18 2 18 0							0					
District Tota	l	7	18	4	18	3	18	0					

	Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court by Sentence, County and Race/Ethnicity												
		Total Cases	Probation		Jai	l	Prison						
County	Race	Number of Cases	Average Age at Judgement	Number of Cases	Average Age at Judgement	Number of Cases	Average Age at Judgement	Number of Cases					
Box Butte	Unknown	1	18	1	18	0	18	0					
	Hispanic	1	20	0	20	1	20	0					
Scotts Bluff	White	2	17	2	17	0	17	0					
	Unknown 3 19 1 19 2 19 0							0					
District Tota	l	7	18	4	18	3	18	0					

#### District 12

#### Part (c) Motions to Transfer Cases and Cases Transferred

*Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (c) The number of motions to transfer and the number of cases transferred to adult court from juvenile court and from adult criminal court to juvenile court.* 

The data represents motions to transfer filed in 2021 and transfers between court types which occurred in 2021. Therefore, the exact same subset of cases may not be represented in both columns. There can be multiple motions to transfer within a single case which, if filed in the same calendar year, would all be included in the counts below. Some cases may have a motion to transfer, but no transfer actually occurs.

	Motions to Transfer Courts and Transfers District												
		Juveni	le Court	t to Adult C	ourt	Adu	lt Court	to Juvenile Cour	t				
Demographic	Cohort	Motion to Transfer Juv to Adult	% of Total	Transfers Juv to Adult	% of Total	Motion to Transfer Adult to Juv	% of Total	Transfers Adult to Juv	% of Total				
	14-15	0	-	0	-	0	-	4	40%				
Age Group	16	0	-	0	-	1	25%	3	30%				
	17	0	-	0	-	3	75%	3	30%				
	Hispanic	0	-	0	-	0	-	7	70%				
Race/Ethnicity	White	0	-	0	-	2	100%	3	30%				
	Unknown	0	-	0	-	2	-	0	-				
	Female	0	-	0	-	0	-	5	50%				
Sex	Male	0	-	0	-	2	100%	5	50%				
	Unknown	0	-	0	-	2	-	0	-				
District Total		0	-	0	-	4	-	10	-				

County and Age Group										
		-	e Court to It Court	Adult Court to Juvenile Court						
County	Age Group	Motion to Transfer	Cases Transfered	Motion to Transfer	Cases Transfered					
Cheyenne	17	0	0	1	0					
	14-15	0	0	0	4					
Scotts Bluff	16	0	0	1	3					
	17	0	0	2	3					
District Tota	l	0	0	4 10						

# Motions to Transfer Courts and Transfers,

1	Motions to Transfer Courts and Transfers, County and Sex										
		-	e Court to It Court	Adult Court to Juvenile Court							
County	Sex	Motion to Transfer	Cases Transfered								
Cheyenne	Unknown	0	0	1	0						
	Female	0	0	0	5						
Scotts Bluff	Scotts Bluff Male		0	2	5						
	Unknown	0	0	1	0						
District Tota	ļ	0	0	4	10						

	Motions to Transfer Courts and Transfers, County and Race/Ethnicity										
		-	e Court to It Court	Adult Court to Juvenile Court							
County	Race/ Ethnicity			Cases Transfered							
Cheyenne	Unknown	0	0	1	0						
	Hispanic	0	0	0	7						
Scotts Bluff	White	0	0	2	3						
	Unknown	0	0	1	0						
District Tota	l	0	0	4	10						

### Part (d) Juvenile Probation Activity

Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (d) Juveniles placed on juvenile probation, the number of juveniles on probation in out-of-home care, the number of juveniles completing probation, the number of motions to revoke probation and probation revocations, and the average length of time on probation.

The Juvenile Probation Activity - Youth data is provided from the Administrative Office of Probation's case management system, Nebraska Probation Application for Community Safety (NPACS). The table reports the number and percent of youth with various activity during the year. Youth are counted once in each category, regardless of the number of out-of-home placements, motions to revoke, or cases they may have in the year. Age groups are calculated specific to the activity as footnoted.

	Juvenile Probation Activity District										
Demographic	Cohort	Place Proba		Out- hor Place	ne	Satisfactory Release					
		# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total				
	11-13	44	14%	12	12%	56	13%				
	14-15	84	28%	30	29%	150	34%				
Age Group	16	80	26%	20	1 <b>9</b> %	102	23%				
	17	78	26%	28	27%	114	26%				
	18	18	6%	14	13%	18	4%				
Sex	Female	108	36%	38	37%	132	30%				
Sex	Male	196	64%	66	63%	308	70%				
	Black	8	3%	6	6%	16	4%				
Dees	Indian	56	18%	24	23%	110	25%				
Race	Other	104	34%	22	21%	118	27%				
	White	136	45%	52	50%	196	45%				
Ethnicity	Hispanic	142	47%	46	44%	168	38%				
Ethnicity	Not Hispanic	162	53%	58	56%	272	62%				
District Total		304	100%	104	100%	440	100%				

1. Age at which the probation term began. 2. Age at the first admission to out-of-home placement. 3. Age as of the file date for motion to revoke. 4. Age as of the disposition date for motion to revoke. 5. Age as of the disposition date for motion.

	Juvenile Probation Activity District												
County	Age	Place Proba		Out hor Place	ne	Satisfactory Release							
	Group	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total						
	11-13	4	1%	2	2%	4	1%						
Box Butte	14-15	4	1%	6	6%	2	0%						
	16	2	1%	0	0%	0	0%						
	17	4	1%	2	2%	2	0%						
	14-15	0	0%	0	0%	8	2%						
Chavanna	16	8	3%	4	4%	4	1%						
Cheyenne	17	8	3%	2	2%	6	1%						
	18	4	1%	0	0%	2	0%						
	11-13	0	0%	0	0%	4	1%						
	14-15	6	2%	0	0%	34	8%						
Dawes	16	4	1%	6	6%	4	1%						
	17	2	1%	0	0%	4	1%						
	18	2	1%	2	2%	0	0%						
	16	0	0%	0	0%	2	0%						
Deuel	17	0	0%	2	2%	0	0%						
Garden	11-13	6	2%	0	0%	4	1%						
	14-15	4	1%	0	0%	4	1%						
Kimball	17	0	0%	0	0%	4	1%						
	18	0	0%	2	2%	2	0%						
	11-13	2	1%	0	0%	2	0%						
	14-15	2	1%	0	0%	0	0%						
Morrill	16	2	1%	0	0%	8	2%						
	17	2	1%	0	0%	2	0%						
	18	0	0%	0	0%	2	0%						
	11-13	32	11%	10	10%	42	10%						
	14-15	68	22%	24	23%	98	22%						
Scotts Bluff	16	62	20%	10	10%	70	16%						
Dian	17	62	20%	22	21%	96	22%						
	18	12	4%	10	10%	12	3%						

Juvenile Probation Activity District										
County	unty Age Group	Place Proba		Out- hor Placer	ne	Satisfactory Release				
		# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total			
Sheridan	14-15	0	0%	0	0%	4	1%			
Sheridan	16	2	1%	0	0%	14	3%			
District Tot	District Total 304 100% 104 100% 440 100%									

	Juv		robatio Distric	on Acti t	vity		
County	Sex	Place Proba		Out- hor Place	ne	Satisfactory Release	
		# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total
Box Butte	Female	4	1%	2	2%	0	0%
BOX Butte	Male	10	3%	8	8%	8	2%
Chavanna	Female	8	3%	2	2%	8	2%
Cheyenne	Male	12	4%	4	4%	12	3%
Davias	Female	6	2%	4	4%	12	3%
Dawes	Male	8	3%	4	4%	34	8%
Deuel	Female	0	0%	2	2%	2	0%
Garden	Male	6	2%	0	0%	4	1%
Kimball	Female	2	1%	2	2%	2	0%
Kimball	Male	2	1%	0	0%	8	2%
Morrill	Male	8	3%	0	0%	14	3%
Cootto Diviff	Female	88	29%	26	25%	108	25%
Scotts Bluff	Male	148	49%	50	48%	210	48%
Sheridan	Male	2	1%	0	0%	18	4%
District Tota	l	304	1 <b>00</b> %	104	1 <b>00</b> %	440	100%

	Juv	enile P	robati Distric		ivity		
County	Race	Place Proba		Out- hor Placer	ne	Satisfa Rele	-
		# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total
	Indian	6	2%	4	4%	4	1%
Box Butte	White	8	3%	4	4%	4	1%
	Black	0	0%	2	2%	0	0%
Cheyenne	White	20	7%	6	6%	20	5%
	Indian	8	3%	8	8%	34	8%
Dawes	Other	2	1%	0	0%	2	0%
	White	4	1%	0	0%	10	2%
Deuel	White	0	0%	2	2%	2	0%
Garden	White	6	2%	0	0%	4	1%
Kimball	Other	0	0%	0	0%	2	0%
KIMDall	White	4	1%	2	2%	8	2%
Morrill	Other	4	1%	0	0%	8	2%
Morriu	White	4	1%	0	0%	6	1%
	Black	8	3%	4	4%	16	4%
Scotts Bluff	Indian	40	13%	12	12%	54	12%
SCOLLS BIUTT	Other	98	32%	22	21%	106	24%
	White	90	30%	38	37%	142	32%
Sheridan	Indian	2	1%	0	0%	18	4%
District Tota	l	304	100%	104	100%	440	100%

	Juven	ile Prol Dis	oation strict	Activit	у		
County	Ethnicity	Place Proba		Out hor Place	ne	Satisfa Rele	-
		# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total
Box Butte	Hispanic	2	1%	4	4%	0	0%
BOX BULLE	Not Hispanic	12	4%	6	6%	8	2%
Chavanna	Hispanic	2	1%	0	0%	2	0%
Cheyenne	Not Hispanic	18	6%	6	6%	18	4%
Dawas	Hispanic	2	1%	0	0%	2	0%
Dawes	Not Hispanic	12	4%	8	8%	44	10%
Deuel	Hispanic	0	0%	2	2%	2	0%
Garden	Hispanic	2	1%	0	0%	0	0%
Garden	Not Hispanic	4	1%	0	0%	4	1%
Kimball	Hispanic	0	0%	0	0%	2	0%
Kimpau	Not Hispanic	4	1%	2	2%	8	2%
Morrill	Hispanic	4	1%	0	0%	8	2%
worritt	Not Hispanic	4	1%	0	0%	6	1%
	Hispanic	128	42%	40	38%	152	35%
Scotts Bluff	Not Hispanic	108	36%	36	35%	166	38%
Chanidan	Hispanic	2	1%	0	0%	0	0%
Sheridan	Not Hispanic	0	0%	0	0%	18	4%
District Tota	l	304	100%	104	100%	440	100%

#### District 12

#### Part (d) Juvenile Probation - Youth Risk Level and Length of Probation

Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (d) Juveniles placed on juvenile probation, the number of juveniles on probation in out-of-home care, the number of juveniles completing probation, the number of motions to revoke probation and probation revocations, and the average length of time on probation.

Youth Level of Service/Case Management Inventory (YLS/CMI) is an assessment tool used to systematically measure risk for recidivism and appropriate supervision intensity for youth on probation. The following table shows the classification results of youth that entered into Probation in 2021, and another set of youth that discharged from Probation in 2021. Youth that are assessed at low or moderate low risk are matched to JCBR supervision and those assessed at high or moderate high risk are matched to JCBR supervision intensity

	Youth R		and Le Distric		of Probatic	on		
		Youth Sta Probati	-		Discharged Probation	Average Length of Probation		
Demo- graphic	Cohort	JCBR/ Low/ Moderate Low	JCBI/ High	JCBR/ Low	JCBI/ High/ Moderate High	Total Youth	Avg Length of Probation	
	11-13	14	30	14	14	28	4.6	
	14-15	30	54	36	44	80	7.0	
Age Group	16	26	54	24	42	66	8.4	
	17	28	50	52	42	94	10.0	
	18	8	10	38	30	68	8.4	
<b>6</b>	Female	38	70	50	62	112	9.0	
Sex	Male	68	128	114	110	224	7.8	
	Am. Indian	20	36	24	38	62	10.5	
Dees	Black	4	4	6	6	12	6.3	
Race	White	38	98	76	84	160	7.6	
	Other	44	60	58	44	102	7.9	
Ethnisit	Hispanic	52	90	70	72	142	8.1	
Ethnicity	Not Hispanic	54	108	94	100	194	8.3	
District Tota	al	106	198	164	172	336	8.2	

	Youth	Risk Leve by Cour			h of Proba Group	ition	
		Youth Sta Probati	rting	Youth	Discharged Probation		ge Length robation
County	Age Group	JCBR/ Low/ Moderate Low	JCBI/ High	JCBR/ Low	JCBI/ High/ Moderate High	Total Youth	Avg Length of Probation
	11-13	-	4	-	2	2	2.0
Box Butte	14-15	2	2	2	2	4	4.0
BOX BULLE	16	-	2	-	-	0	-
	17	-	4	-	2	2	5.0
	14-15	-	-	-	2	2	2.0
Chavanna	16	-	8	2	6	8	8.0
Cheyenne	17	4	4	6	-	6	8.0
	18	-	4	-	4	4	13.0
	14-15	-	6	2	2	4	6.0
Damas	16	4	-	4	4	8	8.2
Dawes	17	2	-	4	2	6	23.0
	18	-	2	-	2	2	31.0
Deuel	17	-	-	-	2	2	10.0
Garden	11-13	2	4	2	2	4	5.5
Kingh all	14-15	-	4	2	2	4	3.0
Kimball	18	-	-	4	2	6	10.7
	11-13	-	2	-	2	2	6.0
	14-15	2	-	-	-	0	-
Morrill	16	-	2	-	2	2	6.0
	17	-	2	-	2	2	4.0
	18	-	-	2	-	2	6.0
	11-13	12	20	12	8	20	4.6
	14-15	26	42	30	36	66	7.6
Scotts Bluff	16	22	40	16	32	48	8.7
	17	22	40	44	26	70	7.7
	18	8	4	30	24	54	7.7
	16	-	2	2	-	2	3.0
Sheridan	17	-	-	-	4	4	33.0
District Tota	ι	106	198	164	172	336	8.2

		Youth Risk L	evels and by County		Probation			
		Youth Starting	Probation		scharged from obation		e Length of obation	
County	Sex	JCBR/Low/ Moderate Low	JCBI/ High	JCBR/ Low	JCBI/High/ Moderate High	Total Youth	Avg Length of Probation	
Box Butte	Female	-	4	-	-	0	-	
DOX DULLE	Male	2	8	2	6	8	3.8	
Chavanna	Female	2	6	6	2	8	5.8	
Cheyenne	Male	2	10	2	10	12	10.2	
Dawes	Female	2	4	4	4	8	13.2	
Dawes	Male	4	4	6	6	12	15.3	
Deuel	Female	-	-	-	2	2	10.0	
Garden	Male	2	4	2	2	4	5.5	
Kimball	Female	-	2	-	2	2	8.0	
KIMDall	Male	-	2	6	2	8	7.5	
Morrill	Male	2	6	-	6	6	5.3	
6 H BL (1	Female	34	54	40	50	90	9.0	
Scotts Bluff	Male	56	92	94	74	168	6.9	
Sheridan	Male	-	2	2	4	6	23.0	
District Total	•	106	198	164	170	334	8.2	

	Youth R			ength nd Rac	of Probati e	on	
		Youth Sta Probati			Discharged Probation		ge Length robation
County	Race	JCBR/ Low/ Moderate Low	JCBI/ High	JCBR/ Low	JCBI/ High/ Moderate High	Total Youth	Avg Length of Probation
Box Butte	Am. Indian	-	6	-	4	4	3.5
BOX BULLE	White	2	6	2	2	4	4.0
Cheyenne	White	4	16	8	12	20	8.4
	Am. Indian	2	6	4	8	12	19.7
Dawes	White	2	2	4	2	6	8.0
	Other	2	-	2	-	2	3.0
Deuel	White	-	-	-	2	2	10.0
Garden	White	2	4	2	2	4	5.5
Kimball	White	-	4	4	4	8	4.2
Kimpall	Other	-	-	2	-	2	21.0
Morrill	White	2	2	-	4	4	5.0
worriu	Other	-	4	2	2	4	9.5
	Am. Indian	18	22	18	22	40	6.6
Scotts Bluff	Black	4	4	6	6	12	6.3
SCOULS BIUIT	White	26	64	56	56	112	8.0
	Other	42	56	52	42	94	7.6
Sheridan	Am. Indian	-	2	2	4	6	23.0
District Tota	l	106	198	164	172	336	8.2

	Yo	outh Risk Leve by Co		ength of P Ethnicity	robation			
		Youth Starting	Probation		scharged from obation	Average Length of Probation		
County	Ethnicity	JCBR/Low/ Moderate Low	JCBI/ High	JCBR/ Low	JCBI/High/ Moderate High	Total Youth	Avg Length of Probation	
Box Butte	Hispanic	-	2	-	-	0	-	
BOX BULLE	Not Hispanic	2	10	2	6	8	3.8	
Chavanna	Hispanic	-	2	2	-	2	5.0	
Cheyenne	Not Hispanic	4	14	6	12	18	8.8	
Dawes	Hispanic	2	-	2	-	2	3.0	
Dawes	Not Hispanic	4	8	8	10	18	15.8	
Deuel	Hispanic	-	-	-	2	2	10.0	
Garden	Hispanic	-	2	-	-	0	-	
Garden	Not Hispanic	2	2	2	2	4	5.5	
Kimball	Hispanic	-	-	2	-	2	21.0	
Kimball	Not Hispanic	-	4	4	4	8	4.2	
Maurill	Hispanic	-	4	2	2	4	9.5	
Morrill	Not Hispanic	2	2	2	4	6	5.3	
Scotts Bluff	Hispanic	50	78	62	68	130	7.9	
Scotts Bluff	Not Hispanic	40	68	68	58	126	7.2	
Sheridan	Hispanic	-	2	-	-	0	-	
Sheridan	Not Hispanic	-	-	2	4	6	23.0	
District Total		106	198	164	172	336	8.2	

#### Part (e) Juveniles Access to Counsel

*Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (e) juveniles with and without access to counsel in juvenile and adult court, both appointed and retained.* 

The Juveniles Access to Counsel table displays the percent of juveniles represented by an attorney in criminal cases from county court and district court and juvenile cases from county court and separate juvenile court. Data includes new cases filed and cases transferred from one county to another during calendar year 2021. Cases filed in 2021 may not have reached the sentencing/adjudication stage of proceedings. Cases for juveniles ages 13 and below must be filed and stay in juvenile court.

			Juvenile	Access to District		el			
			Criminal C	ourt Cases			Juvenile C	ourt Cases	
Demographic	Cohort	Total Criminal Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel	Total Juvenile Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel
	11-13	4	4	0	0%	62	19	43	<b>69</b> %
Age Group	14-15	7	7	0	0%	171	68	103	60%
Age Group	16	13	9	4	<b>3</b> 1%	131	45	86	66%
	17	57	35	22	<b>39</b> %	127	46	81	64%
	Female	17	16	1	6%	163	65	98	60%
Sex	Male	50	32	18	36%	310	106	204	66%
	Unknown	14	7	7	50%	18	7	11	<b>6</b> 1%
	Am. Indian	5	5	0	0%	49	15	34	<b>69</b> %
	Black	-	-	-	-	1	1	0	0%
Deee (Ethnicity)	Hispanic	19	10	9	47%	57	17	40	70%
Race/Ethnicity	White	38	28	10	26%	120	43	77	64%
	Other	-	-	-	-	2	0	2	1 <b>00</b> %
	Unknown	Cases 19 13 4 4 0 0% 62 19 15 7 7 0 0% 171 68 13 9 4 31% 131 45 45   13 9 4 31% 131 45 31% 131 45   57 35 22 39% 127 46   nale 17 16 1 6% 163 65   le 50 32 18 36% 310 106   known 14 7 7 50% 18 7   . Indian 5 5 0 0% 49 15   ck - - - 1 1 1   panic 19 10 9 47% 57 17	102	160	61%				
District Total		81	55	26	32%	491	178	313	64%

				ile Acces ounty an					
			Criminal C	ourt Cases			Juvenile C	ourt Cases	
County	Age Group	Total Criminal Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel	Total Juvenile Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel
Banner	17	2	2	0	0%	-	-	-	-
	11-13	1	1	0	0%	7	3	4	57%
Rey Rutte	14-15	-	-	-	-	18	4	14	78%
Box Butte	16	2	2	0	0%	21	5	16	76%
	17	2	2	0	0%	14	6	8	57%
	11-13	-	-	-	-	1	0	1	100%
Chavanna	14-15	-	-	-	-	2	0	2	100%
Cheyenne	16	-	-	-	-	5	0	5	100%
	17	7	3	4	57%	12	0	12	100%
	11-13	1	1	0	0%	5	2	3	60%
	14-15	-	-	-	-	21	9	12	57%
Dawes	16	-	-	-	-	8	6	2	25%
	17	2	2	0	0%	13	7	6	46%
Deuel	16	1	1	0	0%	1	0	1	100%
	11-13	-	-	-	-	3	0	3	100%
Garden	14-15	1	1	0	0%	-	-	-	-
	17	1	1	0	0%	-	-	-	-
Grant	16	-	-	-	-	1	1	0	0%
	14-15	-	-	-	-	2	0	2	100%
Kimball	17	4	4	0	0%	-	-	-	-
	11-13	1	1	0	0%	3	1	2	67%
N da mill	14-15	-	-	-	-	8	1	7	88%
Morrill	16	-	-	-	-	6	2	4	67%
	17	1	1	0	0%	6	3	3	50%
	11-13	-	-	-	-	40	11	29	72%
Cootto DL C	14-15	6	6	0	0%	113	50	63	56%
Scotts Bluff	16	9	5	4	44%	86	28	58	67%
	17	37	19	18	49%	76	27	49	64%
Sheridan	11-13	1	1	0	0%	3	2	1	33%

	Juvenile Access to Counsel by County and Age Group										
	Criminal Court Cases Juvenile Court Cases										
County	Age Group	Total Criminal Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel	Total Juvenile Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel		
	14-15	-	-	-	-	7	4	3	43%		
Sheridan	16	1	1	0	0%	3	3	0	0%		
	17 1 1 0 0% 6 3 3 50%										
District Tota	ι	81	55	26	32%	491	178	313	64%		

				e Access County a		sel			
			Criminal C	ourt Cases			Juvenile C	ourt Cases	
County	Sex	Total Criminal Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel	Total Juvenile Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel
Banner	Male	2	2	0	0%	-	-	-	-
	Female	2	2	0	0%	23	5	18	78%
Box Butte	Male	3	3	0	0%	36	13	23	64%
	Unknown	-	-	-	-	1	0	1	100%
	Female	2	1	1	50%	6	0	6	100%
Cheyenne	Male	-	-	-	-	14	0	14	100%
	Unknown	5	2	3	60%	-	-	-	-
<b>D</b>	Female	-	-	-	-	21	10	11	52%
Dawes	Male	3	3	0	0%	26	14	12	46%
Deuel	Male	1	1	0	0%	1	0	1	100%
Canalan	Male	1	1	0	0%	3	0	3	100%
Garden	Unknown	1	1	0	0%	-	-	-	-
Grant	Male	-	-	-	-	1	1	0	0%
Kinahall	Female	2	2	0	0%	1	0	1	100%
Kimball	Male	2	2	0	0%	1	0	1	100%
N da melli	Female	-	-	-	-	3	1	2	67%
Morrill	Male	2	2	0	0%	20	6	14	70%
	Female	9	9	0	0%	102	44	58	57%
Scotts Bluff	Male	36	18	18	50%	196	65	131	67%
	Unknown	7	3	4	57%	17	7	10	59%
	Female	2	2	0	0%	7	5	2	29%
Sheridan	Male	-	-	-	-	12	7	5	42%
	Unknown	1	1	0	0%	-	-	-	-
District Tota	l	81	55	26	32%	491	178	313	64%

Juvenile Access to Counsel by County and Race/Ethnicity										
		Criminal Court Cases				Juvenile Court Cases				
County	Race/ Ethnicity	Total Criminal Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel	Total Juvenile Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel	
Banner	White	1	1	0	0%	-	-	-	-	
	Unknown	1	1	0	0%	-	-	-	-	
Box Butte	Am. Indian	1	1	0	0%	12	1	11	92%	
	Hispanic	-	-	-	-	3	1	2	67%	
	White	3	3	0	0%	26	9	17	65%	
	Unknown	1	1	0	0%	19	7	12	63%	
	Hispanic	-	-	-	-	1	0	1	100%	
Cheyenne	White	2	1	1	50%	16	0	16	100%	
	Unknown	5	2	3	60%	3	0	3	100%	
	Am. Indian	1	1	0	0%	18	2	16	89%	
Dawes	Hispanic	-	-	-	-	1	1	0	0%	
	White	1	1	0	0%	17	13	4	24%	
	Unknown	1	1	0	0%	11	8	3	27%	
Deuel	White	1	1	0	0%	1	0	1	100%	
Cardon	White	-	-	-	-	2	0	2	100%	
Garden	Unknown	2	2	0	0%	1	0	1	100%	
Grant	White	-	-	-	-	1	1	0	0%	
Kimball	Hispanic	1	1	0	0%	-	-	-	-	
	White	3	3	0	0%	2	0	2	100%	
	Hispanic	-	-	-	-	5	0	5	100%	
Morrill	White	2	2	0	0%	17	7	10	59%	
	Unknown	-	-	-	-	1	0	1	100%	
Scotts Bluff	Am. Indian	2	2	0	0%	6	2	4	67%	
	Black	-	-	-	-	1	1	0	0%	
	Hispanic	18	9	9	50%	47	15	32	68%	
	White	25	16	9	36%	34	12	22	65%	
	Other	-	-	-	-	1	0	1	100%	
	Unknown	7	3	4	57%	226	86	140	62%	
Sheridan	Am. Indian	1	1	0	0%	13	10	3	23%	

Juvenile Access to Counsel by County and Race/Ethnicity										
		Criminal Court Cases				Juvenile Court Cases				
County	Race/ Ethnicity	Total Criminal Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel	Total Juvenile Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel	
Sheridan	White	-	-	-	-	4	1	3	75%	
	Other	-	-	-	-	1	0	1	1 <b>00</b> %	
	Unknown	2	2	0	0%	1	1	0	0%	
District Total		81	55	26	32%	491	178	313	64%	

#### Appendix I Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007

Revised Statutes » Chapter 24 » 24-1007

24-1007. State Court Administrator; compile judicial workload statistics; how; juveniles in Nebraska's justice system; annual report; contents.

(1) The State Court Administrator shall compile uniform and accurate statistics which will assist in the evaluation of judicial workloads. The judicial workload statistics shall be based on caseload numbers weighted by category of case. The judicial workload statistics shall be compiled annually for each district, county, and separate juvenile court judicial district in the state.

(2) The State Court Administrator shall develop and provide an annual report to the Legislature and the Governor on juveniles in Nebraska's justice system. The report to the Legislature shall be provided electronically. For purposes of this section, juvenile has the same meaning as in section 43-245. The report shall include, but not be limited to, geographic and demographic information on the following:

(a) Juveniles prosecuted in juvenile court under subdivision (1), (2), (3)(b), or (4) of section 43-247, including the total number of filings and adjudications;

(b) Juveniles prosecuted in adult criminal court for felonies, misdemeanors, and traffic offenses. The information shall include juveniles sentenced to terms in adult jails and prisons and juveniles placed on adult probation;

(c) The number of motions to transfer and the number of cases transferred to adult court from juvenile court and from adult criminal court to juvenile court;

(d) Juveniles placed on juvenile probation, the number of juveniles on probation in out-of-home care, the number of juveniles completing probation, the number of motions to revoke probation and probation revocations, and the average length of time on probation;

(e) Juveniles with and without access to counsel in juvenile and adult court, both appointed and retained; and

(f) Rates of recidivism.

Source: Laws 1995, LB 189, § 5; Laws 2014, LB464, § 3.

#### Appendix II Probation Definitions

The Probation information was collected from the Nebraska Probation Application for community Safety (NPACS) case management system. Traditional probationers and Problem Solving Court probationers are included in this analysis. The following are explanations of the data.

1. Youth Level of Service/ Case Management Inventory (YLS/CMI) score: Youth Placed on Probation – Initial Classification based on YLS/CMI Level provides an analysis of the number of youth whose first YLS/CMI score would place them in the Juvenile Community-Based Resource (JCBR) classification, or the Juvenile Community-Based Intervention (JCBI) classification. JCBR are commonly referred to as the low risk youth and their cases are managed accordingly, while the JCBI youth have scores of moderate high, high or very high. JCBI youth have more supervision requirements to address their high risk. Some youth do not have an YLS/CMI assessment completed before their probation is terminated. This can be due to a brief probation term, or successful completion of pre-adjudicated services.

2. Placed on Probation: the count of all youth that were formally placed on Probation between January 1 and December 31 of the previous year.

3. Placed Out of Home: this information is a count of all youth who were placed in an out of home placement (OHP) at some point during the calendar year. This information represents the number of youth that were out of home, not the number of placements. For example, one youth may be out of home in detention, move to a shelter and eventually transition home. The youth would be counted once even though they had two different OHP. If a youth is placed in OHP on their 19th birthday prior to being released from Probation, the youth is included in the placed at 18 years old age bracket and the appropriate gender, race and ethnicity group.

4. Motion to Revoke: the count of all youth that received a motion to revoke on any of their active cases in the report year. A youth may have multiple court cases being processed concurrently, but the youth would only be counted once in this report.

5. Revocations Only: the number of youth that had probation revoked on one or more cases. Individual youth are counted and not the number of cases that were revoked. When a motion to revoke is filed, the court has several options that they can pursue including: revoking the probation case and terminating the probation term, extending the probation term, sending the youth to YRTC and continuing probation after that placement, or dismissing the motion to revoke. For this category, only those youth whose cases were revoked and terminated in the reporting period are included. Further details regarding revocations can be found in the Juvenile Probation's Detailed Analysis.

6. Successful Discharge: the number of youth who were successfully discharged from Probation, during the year, on one or more court cases. Successful completion includes completion of pre-adjudication, pre-disposition, traditional probation, early release and YRTC probation. Length of Probation is provided in months. Some youth may be listed as discharged from probation without an official start date. These are youth that may have completed pre-adjudication or pre-dispositional services. These youth are not officially disposed to probation but there is a court case completion/discharge date entered because the court case is closed. Some youth will also appear to be discharged at 19 or later due to the time lapse between court proceeding and case closure. Youth can also be discharged past 19 years old if Nebraska Probation is providing interstate probation supervision.

7. All Discharges YLS: this information contains a listing of youth that were discharged during the report year. Age is presented at time of probation start (which may be prior to the report year), and age at time of discharge. Length of probation is displayed in months. Some youth may be listed as discharged from probation without an official start date. These are youth that may have completed pre-adjudication or pre-dispositional services. These

youth are not officially disposed to probation but there is a court case completion/discharge date entered because the court case is closed. A final YLS/CMI score and classification is also provided for any analysis on the number of youth that were discharged at each level. It is not uncommon for there to be more youth at a JCBR level discharged as opposed to JCBI. This is due to the process of stepping high risk youth down to a lower supervision level before discharging them from probation.

Age calculations

1. Youth placed on probation: age at which their probation term began during that calendar year.

2. Out of home placement: age at the first admission to out of home placement during that calendar year.

3. Motions to Revoke: age at which the motion to revoke was filed/created during that calendar year.

4. Probation Revoked: age on the date which the disposition for the motion to revoke was applied during that calendar year.

5. Satisfactory Release: age on the date which the disposition for discharge from probation occurred during that calendar year.

6. Initial Risk Level: age at which their probation term began during that calendar year. Youth are assessed just prior to or after they begin their probation term. For consistency, the age when they began their probation term was calculated.

7. Final Risk Level: age on the date which the disposition for discharge from probation occurred during that calendar year. Youth may be assessed within six months of their release. For consistency, the age when they were discharged from probation was calculated and reported in regards to their final risk assessment level.

8. Length of Probation: age on the date which the disposition for discharge from probation occurred during that calendar year.

#### Appendix III § 1-1001. Uniform definitions of recidivism for Nebraska State Probation and Nebraska Problem-Solving Courts

For the purpose of accurately assessing post-program recidivism across justice programs, Nebraska State Probation and Nebraska Problem-Solving Courts shall utilize the following uniform definitions of recidivism for all adults and juveniles within their respective programs.

(A) Adults:

As applied to adults, recidivism shall mean a final conviction of a Class I or II misdemeanor, a Class IV felony or above, or a Class W misdemeanor based on a violation of state law or an ordinance of any city or village enacted in conformance with state law, within 3 years of being successfully released.

#### (B) Juveniles:

(1) As applied to juveniles, recidivism shall mean that within 1 year of being successfully released from a probation or problem-solving court program the juvenile has:

(a) an adjudication pursuant to Neb. Rev. Stat. § 43-247(1) or (2).

(b) for a juvenile 14 years or older, a final conviction for a Class W misdemeanor based on a violation of state traffic laws or ordinances of any city or village enacted in conformance with state law; or

(c) a prosecution and final conviction as an adult for any crimes set forth in subsection (A) above.

(2) For juveniles that age out of the juvenile system within 1 year of program exit and who did not recidivate post-program as juveniles, the adult definition of post-program recidivism, including any drug-related or alcohol-related conviction, shall apply.

§ 1-1001 adopted September 25, 2013.