•	Case No
Petitioner, Additional Petitioner/Minor Child(ren), Additional Petitioner/Minor Child(ren), vs.	ORDER DISMISSING EX PARTE HARASSMENT PROTECTION ORDER (After Hearing, Ex Parte Issued)
Respondent.	
in court (with counsel,	uant to Neb. Rev. Stat. § 28-311.09(4), as/were) (was/were not) present). The).
The petitioner(s) did not appear and no erelief should be denied. IT IS THEREFO Harassment Protection Order, issued on	-
be affirmed and is therefore dismissed.	, shan not
OR	
The petitioner(s) appeared but did not c by a preponderance of the evidence, the Petition and Affidavit to Obtain Harass requested relief should be denied. IT IS Ex Parte Harassment Protection Order shall not be affirmed and is therefore di	e truth of the facts alleged in the ment Protection Order and the S THEREFORE ORDERED that the issued on,

The respondent has shown cause why the requested relief granted in the Ex
Parte Harassment Protection Order should be denied and dismissed. IT IS
THEREFORE ORDERED that the Ex Parte Harassment Order, issued on
, shall not be affirmed and is therefore dismissed with
prejudice.
The Court has made specific findings as set forth below.
IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that all costs of filing and service in this case are
(taxed to the petitioner(s) as the court finds, by clear and convincing
evidence, that the statements contained in the petition were false and the protection
order was sought in bad faith) (taxed to the respondent) (waived).
Dated and Entered on:
Indee
Judge