

Court of Appeals of Nebraska
Office of the Clerk

Minutes: December 9, 2024

Page:

1

A-23-000454

Schnackel v. Schnackel

ROD Petition Appellant for Further Review
Appellant's petition for further review denied.

A-23-000981

Mehner v. Doe

ROD Petition of Appellant for Further Review
Appellant's petition for further review denied.

A-24-000126

Carrie M. v. Ekstein

Mot of Appellee Carrie M for Atty Fees
Appellee's motion for attorney fees is denied. Neb. Ct. R. App. P. § 2-106(G)(1) requires a citation to the law or uniform course of practice for the allowance of the attorney fees. Appellee's amended motion complied with this requirement by citing to Neb. Rev. Stat. § (2) (Reissue 2016). However, we determine that appellant's arguments on appeal were neither frivolous nor made in bad faith. See Korth v. Luther, 304 Neb. 450, 935 N.W.2d 220 (2019).

A-24-000161

State v. Kiwan C Dampeer

Case Review re Rule 2-111 Submission
By order of the Court, matter submitted without oral argument pursuant to Neb. Ct. R. App. P. § 2-111(B)(1). Accordingly, matter removed from the December Review Docket Call of the Nebraska Court of Appeals.

A-24-000219

La Spisa-Kline obo La Spisa v. Mary Lanning Memorial Hospital

Case Review re Rule 2-111 Submission
By order of the Court, matter submitted without oral argument pursuant to Neb. Ct. R. App. P. § 2-111(B)(1). Accordingly, matter removed from the December Review Docket Call of the Nebraska Court of Appeals

A-24-000219

La Spisa-Kline obo La Spisa v. Mary Lanning Memorial Hospital

Motion Appt to Continue Oral Argument
Case having been submitted without oral argument, motion of Appellant to continue oral argument overruled as moot.

A-24-000288

State v. Cordell C Stewart

Petition Appellant to Bypass COA
Appellant's petition to bypass denied.

A-24-000429

State v. Kelly A Torres

ROD Mot. of Appellee for Summary Affirmance
Appellee's motion for summary affirmance pursuant to Neb. Ct. R. App. P. § 2-107(B)(2) is sustained; judgment affirmed. Appellant's sentences are within the statutory range and there was no abuse of discretion by the trial court. See State v. Price, 306 Neb. 38, 944

Court of Appeals of Nebraska
Office of the Clerk

Minutes: December 9, 2024

Page: 2

N.W.2d 279 (2020) (sentence not abuse of discretion when nothing indicates sentencing court considered inappropriate factors or ignored mitigating factors).

A-24-000445

Cornerstone Bank v. Flat Creek Farms, Inc.

Motion of Appellee to Extend Brief Date

Pursuant to Neb. Ct. R. App. P. § 2-106(E)(2), Appellee's FINAL brief date extended to January 13, 2025.

A-24-000507

Circo Enterprises, LLC v. Harris

Motion of Appellee to Extend Brief Date

Pursuant to Neb. Ct. R. App. P. § 2-106(E)(2), Appellee's FINAL brief date extended to January 13, 2025.

A-24-000585

State v. Markeese L Williams

Motion Apppe to Consolidate 24-585, 586

Appellee's motion to consolidate 24-585 and 24-586 sustained.

A-24-000586

State v. Markeese L Williams

Motion Apppe to Consolidate 24-585, 586

Appellee's motion to consolidate 24-585 and 24-586 sustained.

A-24-000585

State v. Markeese L Williams

ROD

Mot. of Appellee for Summary Affirmance

Appellee's motion for summary affirmance pursuant to Neb. Ct. R. App. P. § 2-107(B)(2) is sustained; judgments affirmed. Appellant's sentences are within the statutory range and there was no abuse of discretion by the trial court. See State v. Price, 306 Neb. 38, 944 N.W.2d 279 (2020) (sentence not abuse of discretion when nothing indicates sentencing court considered inappropriate factors or ignored mitigating factors). Further, in each appeal, appellant's second assignment of error generally claiming ineffective assistance of trial counsel without specifically alleging deficient performance does not conform with State v. Mrza, 302 Neb. 931, 926 N.W.2d 79 (2019), and is therefore not considered. See State v. Archie, 305 Neb. 835, 943 N.W.2d 252 (2020) (declining to consider assignment of error generally alleging ineffective assistance of counsel; Mrza made clear that synthesizing specific assignment from argument section would not be done in subsequent cases).

A-24-000586

State v. Markeese L Williams

ROD

Mot. of Appellee for Summary Affirmance

Appellee's motion for summary affirmance pursuant to Neb. Ct. R. App. P. § 2-107(B)(2) is sustained; judgments affirmed. Appellant's sentences are within the statutory range and there was no abuse of discretion by the trial court. See State v. Price, 306 Neb. 38, 944 N.W.2d 279 (2020) (sentence not abuse of discretion when nothing indicates sentencing court considered inappropriate factors or ignored

Court of Appeals of Nebraska
Office of the Clerk

Minutes: December 9, 2024

Page: 3

mitigating factors). Further, in each appeal, appellant's second assignment of error generally claiming ineffective assistance of trial counsel without specifically alleging deficient performance does not conform with State v. Mrza, 302 Neb. 931, 926 N.W.2d 79 (2019), and is therefore not considered. See State v. Archie, 305 Neb. 835, 943 N.W.2d 252 (2020) (declining to consider assignment of error generally alleging ineffective assistance of counsel; Mrza made clear that synthesizing specific assignment from argument section would not be done in subsequent cases).

A-24-000600

State v. Jose Mejia-Arenibar

ROD Mot. of Appellee for Summary Affirmance
Appellee's motion for summary affirmance pursuant to Neb. Ct. R. App. P. § 2-107(B)(2) is sustained; judgment affirmed. Appellant's sentences are within the statutory range and there was no abuse of discretion by the trial court. See State v. Price, 306 Neb. 38, 944 N.W.2d 279 (2020) (sentence not abuse of discretion when nothing indicates sentencing court considered inappropriate factors or ignored mitigating factors).

A-24-000682

State v. Puol K Geek

ROD Mot. of Appellee for Summary Affirmance
Appellee's motion for summary affirmance pursuant to Neb. Ct. R. App. P. § 2-107(B)(2) is sustained; judgment affirmed. Appellant's sentences are within the statutory range and there was no abuse of discretion by the trial court. See State v. Price, 306 Neb. 38, 944 N.W.2d 279 (2020) (sentence not abuse of discretion when nothing indicates sentencing court considered inappropriate factors or ignored mitigating factors).

A-24-000717

State v. Juan Velasquez

ROD Mot. of Appellee for Summary Affirmance
Appellee's motion for summary affirmance sustained. See Neb. Ct. R. App. P. § 2-107(B)(2); State v. Morton, 310 Neb. 355, 966 N.W.2d 57 (2021) (sentence imposed within statutory limits will not be disturbed on appeal absent abuse of discretion by trial court).

A-24-000718

State v. Jeremy W Haag

Motion Appellee to Extend Brief Date
Pursuant to Neb. Ct. R. App. P. § 2-106(E)(3), Appellee's FINAL brief date extended to January 8, 2025.

A-24-000798

Hohenstein v. Hohenstein

By order of Court re: Record Prep Date
Leave to pay bill of exceptions out of time having been filed and said request having been granted, record preparation date extended to January 27, 2025.

Court of Appeals of Nebraska
Office of the Clerk

Minutes: December 9, 2024

Page:

4

A-24-000798

Hohenstein v. Hohenstein

Appellant's Brief date re-established

Record preparation date having been re-established to January 27,
2025, Appellant's brief date re-established to February 26, 2025.

A-24-000845

State v. John G Wonka

By order of Court re: Record Prep Date

Leave to request bill of exceptions out of time having been granted
and said request having been filed, record preparation date extended
to January 24, 2025.

A-24-000845

State v. John G Wonka

Appellant's Brief date extended

Record preparation date having been re-established to January 24,
2025, Appellant's brief date re-established to February 24, 2025.

A-24-000866

Jones v. Slepser

ROD Misc Submission to Court re Jurisdiction

APPEAL DISMISSED for lack of jurisdiction. See Neb. Ct. R. App. P. § 2
107(A)(1). The district court's order of October 24, 2024, resolved
the preliminary issue of piercing the corporate veil, but did not
enter a monetary judgment or address the other relief requested in
Appellee's complaint including attachment of the judgment as a lien
against Appellant's residence and restraining the sale of Appellant's
personal residence. See Neb. Rev. Stat. § 25 1315(1) (Reissue 2016)
(order that adjudicates fewer than all claims without compliance
with this section is not final judgment).

A-24-000872

In re Interest of Mattie C.

By order of the Court

By order of the Court, trial court directed to rule upon motion for
appointment of counsel previously filed in the trial court and/or
to notify the Clerk of the Supreme Court of such ruling within 14 days
of this order.

A-24-000907

State v. Stuart D Howard

By order of the Court

By order of the Court, trial court directed to rule upon motion for
appointment of counsel previously filed in the trial court and/or
to notify the Clerk of the Supreme Court of such ruling within 14 days
of this order.

Supreme Court of Nebraska
Office of the Clerk

Minutes: December 9, 2024

Page:

1

S-23-000249

Stava v. Stava

Motion Appellant for Attorney Fees

Motion of Appellant for attorney fees in the amount of \$5,000.00 is sustained.

S-23-000596

State v. Nimrod Torres Aquino

ROD Petition Appellant for Further Review

Petition of Appellant for further review granted; case to appear on the next proposed call of the Nebraska Supreme Court, to occur on March 5, 6, and 7, 2025. Further briefing pursuant to Neb. Ct. R. App. P. § 2-102(H) left to the discretion of the parties.

S-24-000673

Ballheim v. Settles

Pet Appellee to Bypass Court of Appeals

Petition of Appellee to bypass Court of Appeals is granted.