Minutes: March 18, 2025 Page: 1

A-24-000151

State of Nebraska obo Novalee H. v. Evan S.

ROD Submitted to Court without Oral Argument Affirmed. Welch, Judge. See memorandum web opinion.

A-24-000171

State v. Travis Belina

ROD Submitted to Court without Oral Argument Affirmed. Moore, Judge. See memorandum web opinion.

A-24-000203

Ramirez v. Magana

ROD Submitted to Court without Oral Argument Affirmed. Welch, Judge. See memorandum opinion.

A-24-000270

State v. David L Hickman Jr

ROD Submitted to Court without Oral Argument Affirmed. Moore, Judge. See memorandum web opinion.

A-24-000282

Kilroy v. Kuldip Singh and Cheema Investments LLC

Submitted to Court Without Oral Argument

Affirmed. Welch, Judge. See memorandum opinion.

A-24-000383

Williams v. Williams

ROD Submitted to Court without Oral Argument Affirmed. Arterburn, Judge. See memorandum web opinion.

A-24-000508

State v. Michael J Sands

Case Review re Rule 2-111 Submission
By order of the Court, matter submitted without oral argument pursuant to Neb. Ct. R. App. P. § 2-111(B)(1). Accordingly, matter removed

from the April Review Docket of the Nebraska Court of Appeals.

A-24-000560

In re Interest of Isabella L.

ROD Submitted to Court without Oral Argument Affirmed. Welch, Judge. See memorandum opinion.

A-24-000599

Welchert v. Abe's Trash Service

ROD Submitted to Court without Oral Argument Affirmed. Pirtle, Judge. See memorandum web opinion.

A-24-000940

State v. Stephen M Damore

Motion Appt to Expand Word Page Limit

Appellant's motion to expand word limit, filed contemporaneously with Appellant's brief, is sustained. No further briefing by Appellant will be allowed. See Neb. Ct. R. App. P. 2-103(C)(3)(a).

Minutes: March 18, 2025 Page: 2

A-25-000199

Npimnee v. Piccolo

By Order of Court re Record Prep Date Request for bill of exceptions having been contained within the Notice of Appeal, record preparation date is re-established to be April 30, 2025.

A-25-000199

Npimnee v. Piccolo

Appellant's Brief Date Re-Established Record preparation date having been re-established to be April 30, 2025, Appellant's brief date is re-established to be May 30, 2025.

Supreme Court of Nebraska Office of the Clerk

Minutes: March 18, 2025 Page: 1

S-24-000680

In re Estate of Walker

Case ordered moved to Sup. Ct. docket
By order of the Nebraska Supreme Court, case moved from Court of
Appeals docket to Supreme Court docket.

Minutes: March 18, 2025 Page:

A-24-000760

Koch v. Biden

Waiver Appellant of Oral Argument

Appellant's motion to be excused from appearing for oral argument

sustained.

A-24-000777

State v. Charles J Heckard Jr

ROD Mot. of Appellee for Summary Affirmance

Appellee's motion for summary affirmance is sustained. See Neb. Ct. R. App. P. § 2-107(b)(2). Appellant's successive motion for post conviction relief untimely filed. See Neb. Rev. Stat. § 29-3001(4) (Cum. Supp. 2024). The 2023 amendment to § 29-2221(1)(c) does not apply retroactively. See Moore v. Peterson, 218 Neb. 615, 358 N.W.2d 193 (1984) (legislative act operates only prospectively and not retrospectively unless legislative intent and purpose that it should operate retrospectively is clearly disclosed).

A-24-000853

State v. Rachel R Therien

ROD Mot. of Appellee for Summary Affirmance

Appellee's motion for summary affirmance sustained. See Neb. Ct. R. App. P. § 2-107(B)(2). Sentence imposed did not constitute an abuse of discretion. See State v. Dejaynes-Beaman, 317 Neb. 131, 8 N.W.3d 779 (2024) (absent abuse of discretion by trial court, appellate court will not disturb sentence imposed within statutory limits).

A-24-000854

State v. Jordan L Bohman

ROD Mot. of Appellee for Summary Affirmance

Appellee's motion for summary affirmance sustained. See Neb. Ct. R. App. P. § 2-107(B)(2). The district court took the appropriate factors into consideration when sentencing Appellant. The court adequately stated its reasoning for the sentence of incarceration in open court, on the record, as required by Neb. Rev. Stat. § 29-2204.02(3) (Reissue 2016). See State v. Baxter, 295 Neb. 496, 888 N.W.2d 726 (2017). The sentences imposed did not constitute an abuse of discretion. See State v. Dejaynes-Beaman, 317 Neb. 131, 8 N.W.3d 779 (2024) (absent abuse of discretion by trial court, appellate court will not disturb sentence imposed within statutory limits).

A-24-000903

State v. Edwin Caceres, Jr.

ROD Mot. of Appellee for Summary Affirmance

Appellee's motion for summary affirmance sustained. See Neb. Ct. R. App. P. § 2-107(B)(2). The district court took the appropriate factors into consideration when sentencing Appellant. The court adequately stated its reasoning for the sentence of incarceration in open court, on the record, as required by Neb. Rev. Stat. § 29-2204.02(3) (Reissue 2016). See State v. Baxter, 295 Neb. 496, 888 N.W.2d 726 (2017). Sentence imposed did not constitute an abuse of discretion. See State v. Dejaynes-Beaman, 317 Neb. 131, 8 N.W.3d 779 (2024) (absent abuse of discretion by trial court, appellate court will not disturb sentence

Minutes: March 18, 2025

imposed within statutory limits).

A-24-000941

State v. Sergio R Salazar Pena

Mot. of Appellee for Summary Affirmance
Appellee's motion for summary affirmance sustained. See Neb. Ct. R.
App. P. § 2-107(B)(2). The district court took the appropriate factors into consideration when sentencing Appellant. The court adequately stated its reasoning for the sentence of incarceration in open court, on the record, as required by Neb. Rev. Stat. § 29-2204.02(3) (Reissue 2016). See State v. Baxter, 295 Neb. 496, 888 N.W.2d 726 (2017). Sentence imposed did not constitute an abuse of discretion. See State v. Dejaynes-Beaman, 317 Neb. 131, 8 N.W.3d 779 (2024) (absent abuse of discretion by trial court, appellate court will not disturb sentence imposed within statutory limits).

A-25-000047

Welch v. Keller

Misc Submission to Court - Jurisdiction
Appeal dismissed. See Neb. Ct. R. App. P. § 2 107(A)(1). Appellant did
not file a notice of appeal following the district court's order of
January 21, 2025, denying his application to appeal in forma pauperis,
nor did he pay the fees. See Neb. Rev. Stat. § 25-2301.02(1) (Reissue
2016); Mumin v. Frakes, 298 Neb. 381, 904 N.W.2d 667 (2017) (when in
forma pauperis denied, applicant may proceed with the matter upon
payment of fees, costs, or security; or appeal the order denying in
forma pauperis).

A-25-000047

Welch v. Keller

Poverty Application and Affidavit By order of the Court, matter having been dismissed, Appellant's application to proceed in forma pauperis overruled as moot.

A-25-000123

National Account Systems of Omaha v. West

ROD Misc Submission to Court re Jurisdiction

Appeal dismissed for lack of jurisdiction. See Neb. Ct. R. App. P. §

2-107(A)(1). In her appeal from the county court to the district

court, Appellant failed to pay a docket fee or file a poverty

affidavit following the entry of the county court's judgment of

September 16, 2024. See Neb. Rev. Stat. § 25-2729(1) (Cum. Supp.

2024). Thus, the district court never acquired subject matter

jurisdiction of the appeal. When a lower court lacks subject matter

jurisdiction to adjudicate the merits of a claim, issue, or question,

an appellate court also lacks the power to determine the merits of the

claim, issue, or question presented to the lower court. In re Estate

of Weeder, 318 Neb. 393, 16 N.W.3d 137 (2025).

A-25-000123

National Account Systems of Omaha v. West

Mot Appt Leave Request BOE Out Of Time

By order of the Court, case having been dismissed for lack of jurisdiction, Appellant's motion for leave to request bill of

2

Page:

Minutes: March 18, 2025

exceptions overruled as moot.

Page:

3

ORDERS TO SHOW CAUSE & AMENDED OPINIONS

A-25-000116

In re Interest of Franklin M.
Order to Show Cause re Jurisdiction

Supreme Court of Nebraska Office of the Clerk

Minutes: March 18, 2025 Page:

S-24-000707

Kimball v. Rosedale Ranch, Inc. Case ordered moved to Sup. Ct. docket

By order of the Nebraska Supreme Court, case moved from Court of

Appeals docket to Supreme Court docket.

S-24-000839

Henderson State Company v. Garrelts

Case ordered moved to Sup. Ct. docket

By order of the Nebraska Supreme Court, case moved from Court of

Appeals docket to Supreme Court docket.