Minutes: July 14, 2025 Page: 1

A-24-000575

Mills v. Elznic

Motion of Appellee for Attorney Fees Motion for attorney fees filed by Appellee Miranda Elznic is sustained. Appellant Trae Mills is ordered to pay \$940 for Appellee's attorney fees incurred on appeal.

#### A-25-000025

In re Interest of Ryker R.

Mot. of Appellee for Summary Dismissal
Motion of Appellee for summary dismissal overruled. See, Neb. Ct. R.
App. P. § 2-107(B)(1); Neb. Ct. R. App. P. § 2-101(E) (proper filing
of appeal shall vest in appellee the right to cross-appeal against any
other party to appeal and cross-appeal need only be asserted in
appellee's brief as provided by § 2-109(D)(4)).

### A-25-000052

State v. Lorena Badillo Ferretiz

Mot. of Appellee for Summary Affirmance
Appellee's motion for summary affirmance pursuant to Neb. Ct. R. App.
P. § 2-107(B)(2) is sustained; judgment affirmed. Appellant's
sentences are within the statutory ranges and there was no abuse of
discretion by the trial court. See State v. Rivera-Meister, 318 Neb.
164, 14 N.W.3d 1 (2024) (no abuse of discretion in sentence imposed
when review of record shows sentencing court considered all relevant
sentencing factors and did not consider any inappropriate factors).

#### A-25-000060

ROD

In re Name Change of Lydia K. and Josiah K.

Submission to Court re Rule 2-107(A)
Affirmed. See Neb. Ct. R. App. P. § 2-107(A)(2)(a) and (d). Appellant
failed to file a bill of exceptions in this matter. It is incumbent
upon the appellant to present a record supporting the errors assigned.
William P. v. Jamie P., 313 Neb. 378, 984 N.W.2d 285 (2023). In the
absence of a complete bill of exceptions, an appellate court presumes
that the issues of fact in the order of the trial court were supported
by the evidence and were correctly determined. Id. Only a person
aggrieved or injured by a judgment may take an appeal from it.
Henriksen v. Gleason, 263 Neb. 840, 643 N.W.2d 652 (2002). The
district court granted appellant the remedy he requested. Therefore,
Appellant is unable to maintain this appeal.

### A-25-000083

State v. Liberty M Bridges

Mot. of Appellee for Summary Affirmance
Appellee's motion for summary affirmance pursuant to Neb. Ct. R. App.
P. § 2-107(B)(2) is sustained; judgment affirmed. Appellant's sentence is within the statutory range and there was no abuse of discretion by the trial court. See State v. Rivera-Meister, 318 Neb. 164, 14 N.W.3d 1 (2024) (no abuse of discretion in sentence imposed when review of record shows sentencing court considered all relevant sentencing factors and did not consider any inappropriate factors).

Minutes: July 14, 2025 Page: 2

A-25-000105

State v. Kevin W Malone

Motion of Appt to Recuse AG Vincent

Motion of Appellant to recuse Appellee's attorney is overruled.

A-25-000105

State v. Kevin W Malone

ROD Mot. of Appellee for Summary Affirmance
Appellee's motion for summary affirmance is sustained. See Neb. Ct. R.
App. P. § 2-107(B)(2). Appellant's third motion for postconviction
relief is time-barred. See "State v. Boppre, 315 Neb. 203, 995 N.W.2d

relief is time-barred. See "State v. Boppre, 315 Neb. 203, 995 N.W.2d 28 (2023) (evidence is not 'newly discovered' if its substance was known to defendant at time of trial; where expert merely evaluates known evidence to advance possible alternative theories, this does not amount to newly discovered evidence); State v. Lotter, 311 Neb. 878, 976 N.W.2d 721 (2022) (inquiry for purposes of § 29-3001(4)(b) concerns when important objective facts could reasonably have been discovered, not when claimant should have discovered legal

significance of those facts).

A-25-000187

State v. Rosita A Vizcarra

ROD Mot. of Appellee for Summary Affirmance

Motion of Appellee for summary affirmance sustained. See Neb. Ct. R. App. P. § 2-107(B)(2). Sentence imposed did not constitute an abuse of discretion. See State v. Jones, 318 Neb. 840, 19 N.W.3d 499 (2025) (absent abuse of discretion by trial court, appellate court will not disturb sentence imposed within statutory limits).

A-25-000192

State v. Weslie C Miller

Motion of Appellee to Extend Brief Date Motion considered; Appellee's FINAL brief date extended to 14 days after court's ruling on Appellee's motion for summary affirmance.

A-25-000258

State v. Talon M Dennis

ROD Mot. of Appellee for Summary Affirmance

Motion of Appellee for summary affirmance sustained. See Neb. Ct. R. App. P. § 2-107(B)(2); State v. Geller, 318 Neb. 441, 16 N.W.2d 365 (2025) (sentence imposed within statutory limits will not be disturbed on appeal absent abuse of discretion by trial court).

A-25-000263

Bayliss v. Clason

ROD Petition of Appellant for Further Review

Petition of Appellant for further review denied.

A-25-000277

State v. Gordon L Spencer Sr

Motion of Appellant to Extend Brief Date

Pursuant to Neb. Ct. R. App. P. § 2-106(E)(3), Appellant's brief date extended to August 13, 2025.

Minutes: July 14, 2025 Page: 3

A-25-000299

State v. John M Harmon

Mot. of Appellee for Summary Affirmance
Motion of Appellee for summary affirmance is sustained. See Neb. Ct.
R. App. P. § 2-107(B)(2). The sentence imposed was not an abuse of
discretion. See, State v. Alkazahy, 314 Neb. 406, 990 N.W.2d 740
(2023) (sentence imposed within statutory limits will not be disturbed
on appeal in absence of abuse of discretion by trial court); State v.
Vance, 240 Neb. 794, 484 N.W.2d 453 (1992) (once it is determined that
sentence prescribed by statute is constitutional and sentence imposed
is within statutory limits, issue in reviewing sentence is not whether

someone else in a different case received a lesser sentence, but whether the defendant in subject case received an appropriate one).

A-25-000466

In re Interest of Alayna N.

ROD Order to Show Cause re Summary Affirm
Affirmed. See Neb. Ct. R. App. P. § 2-107(A)(2). Appellant failed to respond to the Order to Show Cause dated June 25, 2025 within the required 15 days. See also, Neb. Ct. R. App. P. § 2-101(B)(1)(b).

ORDERS TO SHOW CAUSE & AMENDED OPINIONS

A-25-000491

Sundquist v. Classic Enterprises, LLC Order to Show Cause re: Transcript

Minutes: July 14, 2025

Page:

A-25-000193

State v. Jamar E Milton

Motion of Appellee to Extend Brief Date

Pursuant to Neb. Ct. R. App. P. § 2-106(E)(2), Appellee's brief date extended to August 13, 2025.

A-25-000410

Wraggs v. Khalikova

Motion of Appellant to Extend Brief Date

Pursuant to Neb. Ct. R. App. P. § 2-106(E)(2), Appellant's brief date

extended to August 13, 2025.

### Supreme Court of Nebraska Office of the Clerk

Minutes: July 14, 2025 Page: 1

### S-24-000664

State v. Raymond Mata, Jr

Motion of Appellee to Extend Brief Date

Second or subsequent motion to extend brief date considered. Motion of Appellee for brief extensions sustained extended to August 20, 2025.

#### S-24-000817

State v. Joshua D Tackett

Motion of Appellee to Extend Brief Date

Second or subsequent motion to extend brief date considered. Motion of Appellee for brief extension sustained and deadline extended to August 20, 2025.

### S-24-000863

State v. Brittany C Cook

Motion of Appellant to Extend Brief Date

Second or subsequent motion to extend brief date considered.

Appellant's motion for brief extension sustained and deadline extended to August 20, 2025.

#### S-25-000013

State v. Daniel S Nickels

Motion to Withdraw - Cavanaugh for Appt

Motion to withdraw sustained; Leslie E. Cavanaugh allowed to withdraw as counsel for Appellant.

### S-25-000503

Kuehn v. Pillen

Mot Admiss Pro Hac Vice Greim

Motion for admission pro hac vice by attorney Edward D. Greim sustained, subject to proper administration of the oath pursuant to Neb. Rev. Stat. § 24-1002.

### S-25-000503

Kuehn v. Pillen

Mot Admiss Pro Hac Vice Mitra

Motion for admission pro hac vice by attorney Katherine E. Mitra sustained, subject to proper administration of the oath pursuant to Neb. Rev. Stat. § 24-1002.

# Supreme Court of Nebraska Office of the Clerk

1 Minutes: July 14, 2025 Page:

S-25-000057

State v. Donald G Anthony

Motion of Appellee to Extend Brief Date

Motion considered; Appellee's FINAL brief date extended to 14 days
after court's ruling on Appellee's motion for summary affirmance.