# Nebraska Ethics Advisory Opinion 94-2

# **Question Presented--**

Can a judge be used as a reference in the certification process of the National Board of Trial Advocacy?

#### Conclusion

It is the opinion of the committee that a judge may act as a reference in the process of an attorney's certification by a professional board requiring judicial input in its certification process. The evaluation should remain confidential and should not create the impression that the judge endorses a particular lawyer.

#### **Statement of Facts**

A member of the Nebraska Judiciary has inquired whether a judge may grant permission to an attorney to use his name as a reference in the process of certification by the National Board of Trial Advocacy. The attorney represents that the response will remain confidential.

#### **Applicable Code Sections** Canon 2B

# References in Addition to Nebraska Code of Judicial Conduct

Ethics Advisory Opinion No. 91-1

#### Discussion

Canon 2B of the Nebraska Code of Judicial Conduct provides:

# CANON 2

#### A Judge Shall Avoid Impropriety and the Appearance of Impropriety in all of the Judge's Activities

••••

B. A judge shall not allow family, social, political or other relationships to influence the judge's judicial conduct or judgment. A judge shall not lend the prestige of judicial office to advance the private interests of the judge or others; nor shall a judge convey or permit others to convey the impression that they are in a special position to influence the judge. A judge shall not testify voluntarily as a character witness.

The participation of the judge in this instance is appropriate and in keeping with the commentary to Canon 2B:

Although a judge should be sensitive to possible abuse of the prestige of the office, a judge may, based on the judge's personal knowledge, serve as a reference or provide a letter of recommendation. . .

The confidentiality of the response in this instance is assured, and therefore, the ethical prohibition against lending the prestige of office to advance the interest of a particular attorney is not a concern.

Rather, as in the Ethics Advisory Opinion 91-1 dealing with a judge's response to a request from Martindale-Hubbell, participation in the process by the judge could arguably promote and improve the legal system.

# Disclaimer

This opinion is advisory only and is based on the specific facts and questions submitted by the person or organization requesting the opinion pursuant to appendix A of the Nebraska Code of Judicial Conduct. Questions concerning ethical matters for judges should be directed to the Ethics Advisory Committee.

> APPROVED AND ADOPTED BY THE COMMITTEE ON MARCH 15, 1994.