

In Compliance with Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007

### **Table of Contents**

Introduction	. 1
Part (a) Juvenile Petitions and Adjudications	. 2
Part (b) Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court - Case Type	. 4
Part (b) Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court - Sentence	. 6
Part (c) Motions to Transfer Cases and Cases Transferred	. 8
Part (d) Juvenile Probation Activity - Youth	. 12
Part (d) Juvenile Probation - Risk Level and Length of Probation	. 14
Part (e) Juveniles Access to Counsel	. 16
Part (f) Juvenile Rates of Recidivism	. 18
District 1	23
District 2	56
District 3	86
District 4	93
District 5	100
District 6	135
District 7	166
District 8	198
District 9	230
District 10	260
District 11	292
District 12	329
Appendix I - Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007	362
Appendix II - Administrative Office of Probation	363
Appendix III - § 1-1001. Uniform definitions of recidivism for Nebraska State Probation and Nebraska Problem-Solving Courts	365

#### Introduction

The Nebraska Juvenile Justice System 2020 Statistical Annual Report meets the requirements of Nebraska Revised Statute § 24-1007 (2014). This report is compiled by the Research and Data Division of the Administrative Office of the Courts and Probation (AOCP). The report contains statistics on juvenile justice (status offense and juvenile delinquency) cases and on juveniles prosecuted in the adult court system. A status offense is an act that is prohibited because of the juvenile status of the person, but is not illegal if committed by an adult. Juvenile delinquency cases involve a violation of a law applicable regardless of juvenile or adult status. This report provides statistical information on juveniles in the justice system. The data presented show caseload statistics by case type, geography and demographics.

The data in this report was extracted from the Supreme Court's Judicial User System to Improve Court Efficiency (JUSTICE), a case-based data storage system comprised of clerk entries of information from relevant court documents. Tables with court data report statistics on cases for youth ages 17 and under at the time of the offense. The Part (d) Juvenile Probation Activity tables are provided from the case management system, Nebraska Probation Application for Community Safety (NPACS). These tables report activity for juveniles ages 18 and under receiving probation supervision in the year.

The report contains a statewide section and 12 judicial district sections. Each district section has district summary tables followed by county data for that judicial district. If there is no data for a county, that county is not included in the table. All geographic tables include demographic statistics by age, sex and race/ethnicity if there is data for that group. If not expressly written on trial court forms, age, sex and/or race/ethnicity may be unknown to court personnel responsible for JUSTICE data entry and will be included as "Unknown" in this report.

For the majority of the report, age demographic groupings are based on the age of the juvenile at the time of the offense. Exceptions are noted in the report. Age groups used in this report are: 11-13; 14-15; 16; and 17 and are based on Nebraska Revised Statutes 43-246.01 and 43-247 regarding allowable ages in juvenile court jurisdiction.

The number of "Unknown" demographics are not included in the percentage calculation in tables where percentages are calculated for demographic groups as a percentage of the total. This allows the "valid" percent to be displayed where demographics are known. In these instances, the percent column remains blank for the "Unknown" category. The "Unknown" group will have a percent value in tables where the percentage is calculated using the total cases for the demographic group.

### Part (a) Juvenile Petitions and Adjudications


Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (a) Juveniles prosecuted in juvenile court under subdivision (1), (2), (3)(b), or (4) of section 43-247, including the total number of filings and adjudications.


The Juvenile Petitions and Adjudications tables report the number of new juvenile filings and corresponding percent of adjudications by case type and demographic group for cases filed in 2020. The data consists of both county court and separate juvenile court cases. Only the most serious offense is counted for cases with multiple offenses, pleas or findings. Cases are counted petitions filed statewide, in the Adjudicated column if at least one offense was adjudicated. Supplemental petitions are not included in this data set. Age is reported as the age of the juvenile at the time of the offense. Cases filed in 2020 may not have reached the adjudication stage of proceedings. Juvenile cases where the age is unknown, if any, are included in the report.

Out of 2,993 juvenile there are 2,127 (71%) adjudications in 2020.

				Juven	ile Peti	tions an	d Adjud	ication	by Case	Type Sta	atewide	:				
		Tra	affic Offe	nse	St	atus Offe	nse	М	lisdemea	nor		Felony			Total	
Demo- graphic	Cohort	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated
	11-13	3	2	67%	89	52	58%	241	175	73%	64	46	72%	397	275	69%
Age	14-15	33	29	88%	153	95	62%	653	483	74%	162	131	81%	1,001	738	74%
Group	16	45	42	93%	111	56	50%	567	411	72%	110	89	81%	833	598	72%
	17	33	31	94%	66	40	61%	553	370	67%	110	75	68%	762	516	68%
	Female	27	22	81%	185	114	62%	631	439	70%	83	66	80%	926	641	69%
Sex	Male	65	60	92%	203	117	58%	1,355	980	72%	352	265	75%	1,975	1,422	72%
	Unknown	22	22	100%	31	12	39%	28	20	71%	11	10	91%	92	64	70%
	Am. Indian	0	0	0%	11	6	55%	60	38	63%	11	8	73%	82	52	63%
	Asian/P.I.	1	1	100%	4	2	50%	5	5	100%	3	2	67%	13	10	77%
	Black	11	9	82%	33	20	61%	242	139	57%	101	71	70%	387	239	62%
Race/ Ethnicity	Hispanic	20	19	95%	56	30	54%	263	213	81%	67	57	85%	406	319	79%
Lamienty	White	74	70	95%	165	99	60%	918	681	74%	145	117	81%	1,302	967	74%
	Other	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	7	4	57%	1	1	100%	9	6	67%
	Unknown	8	5	62%	149	85	57%	519	359	69%	118	85	72%	794	534	67%
Total Case	es	114	104	91%	419	243	58%	2,014	1,439	71%	446	341	76%	2,993	2,127	71%

### **Age Group**






### Sex

#### Percent of Cases Adjudicated by Case Type and Sex



### Race/Ethnicity

#### Percent of Cases Adjudicated by Case Type and Race/Ethnicity



#### Part (b) Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court - Case Type

Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (b) Juveniles prosecuted in adult criminal court for felonies, misdemeanors, and traffic offenses. The information shall include juveniles sentenced to terms in adult jails and prisons and juveniles placed on adult probation.

Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court tables include criminal and traffic cases in county court and criminal cases in district court that reached the point of sentencing in 2020. Cases with multiple charges are counted once per case and reported as the most severe offense. Cases for juveniles ages 13 and below must be filed and stay in juvenile court. Exceptions are some traffic case types where the county attorney has the option to file in criminal or juvenile court and

Of the 190 distinct cases of juveniles prosecuted in adult criminal court, 23% are traffic cases, 44% are misdemeanor cases, and 33% are felonies.

instances where the offense was discovered after the juvenile was an adult. Therefore, the vast majority of juvenile cases appearing in adult criminal court data are for ages 14 through 17 at the time of the offense.

			Juveniles		dult Criminal C tatewide	Court by Case Typ	e		
Demographic	Cohort	Number of	% of	Traff	ic	Misdeme	eanor	Felor	ny
		Cases	Total	Number of Cases	% of Cohort*	Number of Cases	% of Cohort*	Number of Cases	% of Cohort*
Age Group	14-15	26	13%	7	27%	9	35%	10	38%
	16	58	29%	16	28%	24	41%	18	31%
	17	114	58%	20	18%	54	47%	40	35%
Race/Ethnicity	Asian/P.I.	1	1%	0		1	100%	0	
	Black	54	32%	7	13%	19	35%	28	52%
	Hispanic	51	30%	8	16%	25	49%	18	35%
	White	62	37%	18	29%	37	60%	7	11%
	Other	1	1%	1	100%	0		0	
	Unknown	29		9		5		15	
Sex	Female	43	22%	17	40%	22	51%	4	9%
	Male	150	78%	25	17%	64	43%	61	41%
	Unknown	5		1		1		3	
Total Cases		198	100%	43	22%	87	44%	68	34%


<sup>\*</sup>The percent of total cases by demographic is calculated using the valid percent (does not include cases with unknown sex or unknown race/ethnicity).


### **Age Group**


Juveniles in Adult Criminal Court by Age Group

Juveniles in Adult Criminal Court by Age Group

Juveniles in Adult Criminal Court by Age Group









Sex


Juveniles in Adult Criminal Court by Sex

Juveniles in Adult Criminal Court by Sex

Juveniles in Adult Criminal Court by Sex









#### Race/Ethnicity


Juveniles in Adult Criminal Court by Race/ Ethnicity



Juveniles in Adult Criminal Court by Race/ Ethnicity



Juveniles in Adult Criminal Court by Race/ Ethnicity



### Part (b) Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court - Sentence

Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (b) Juveniles prosecuted in adult criminal court for felonies, misdemeanors, and traffic offenses. The information shall include juveniles sentenced to terms in adult jails and prisons and juveniles placed on adult probation.

Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court tables include criminal and traffic cases in county court and criminal cases in district court that reached the point of sentencing in 2020. All sentencing types are included where multiple sentencing types occur within a case (ex. probation/jail). Youth who are sentenced to probation in Adult Court are supervised by Adult Probation. Age is calculated from the age at offense, not the age at sentencing.


Of the 190 distinct cases prosecuted in adult criminal court, 72% were sentenced to probation, 13% to jail and 18% to prison.

			Juveniles		ult Criminal C atewide	ourt by Sentencir	ng		
Demographic	Cohort	Number of	% of	Probat	ion	Jail		Priso	n
		Cases	Total	Number of Cases	% of Cohort*	Number of Cases	% of Cohort*	Number of Cases	% of Cohort*
Age Group	14-15	26	13%	18	69%	2	8%	6	23%
	16	58	29%	44	76%	3	5%	11	19%
	17	114	58%	74	65%	19	17%	21	18%
Race/Ethnicity	Asian/P.I.	1	1%	1	100%	0		0	
	Black	54	32%	29	54%	10	19%	15	28%
	Hispanic	51	30%	31	61%	6	12%	14	27%
	White	62	37%	55	89%	3	5%	4	6%
	Other	1	1%	1	100%	0		0	
	Unknown	29		19		5		5	
Sex	Female	43	22%	37	86%	5	12%	1	2%
	Male	150	78%	96	64%	18	12%	36	24%
	Unknown	5		3		1		1	
Total Cases		198	100%	136	69%	24	12%	38	19%

<sup>\*</sup>The percent of total cases by demographic is calculated using the valid percent (does not include cases with unknown sex or unknown race/ethnicity).


### **Age Group**

#### Sentencing of Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court



### Sex

#### Sentencing of Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court



### Race/Ethnicity

### Sentencing of Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court



### Part (c) Motions to Transfer Cases and Cases Transferred

Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (c) The number of motions to transfer and the number of cases transferred to adult court from juvenile court and from adult criminal court to juvenile court.

The data represents motions to transfer filed in 2020 and transfers between court jurisdiction which occurred in 2020. Therefore, the exact same set of cases may not be represented in both columns. There can be multiple motions to transfer within a single case which, if filed in the same calendar year, would all be included in the counts below. Some cases may have a motion to transfer, but no transfer actually occurs or it occurs in a subsequent year. Transfers occur once per case.

	Motio	ns to Tra		Cases and tatewide	Cases	Transfer	red			
Juvenile Court to Adult Court  Motions  Motions  Motions  Motions  Motions  Motions										
Demographic	Age	Motions to Transfer	% of Total	Transfers	% of Total	Motions to Transfer	% of Total	Transfers	% of Total	
	11-13	0		0		1	1%	1	1%	
A C	14-15	7	13%	0		19	25%	19	21%	
Age Group	16	7	13%	0		22	29%	37	40%	
	17	41	75%	12	100%	33	44%	35	38%	
	Female	12	22%	3	25%	4	5%	20	22%	
Sex	Male	42	78%	9	75%	69	95%	71	78%	
	Unknown	1		0		2		1		
	Am. Indian	1	2%	0		1	1%	0		
	Asian/P.I.	0		0		1	1%	1	1%	
	Black	15	37%	2	25%	28	41%	6	7%	
Race/Ethnicity	Hispanic	10	24%	0		18	26%	10	12%	
	White	14	34%	6	75%	21	30%	67	80%	
	Other	1	2%	0		0		0		
	Unknown	14		4		6		8		
Total Cases		55		12		75		92		


<sup>\*</sup>The percent of total cases by demographic is calculated using the valid percent within demographic (does not include cases with unknown sex or unknown race/ethnicity).

In juvenile court there were 55 motions to transfer to adult court filed in 2020, and 12 cases transferred to adult court. Adult court had 75 motions to transfer to juvenile court filed, and 92 transfers to juvenile court in the year.

### **Age Group**


**Motions to Transfer** 

From Juvenile Court to Adult Court by Age Group




**Transfers** 

From Juvenile Court to Adult Court by Age Group




**Motions to Transfer** 

From Adult Court to Juvenile Court by Age Group



**Transfers** 


From Adult Court to Juvenile Court by Age Group



### Sex


#### **Motions to Transfer**

From Juvenile Court to Adult Court by Sex




**Transfers** 

From Juvenile Court to Adult Court by Sex




#### **Motions to Transfer**

From Adult Court to Juvenile Court by Sex



#### Transfers


From Adult Court to Juvenile Court by Sex



### Race/Ethnicity


**Motions to Transfer** 

From Juvenile Court to Adult Court by Race/ Ethnicity




Transfer

From Juvenile Court to Adult Court by Race/ Ethnicity




**Motions to Transfer** 

From Adult Court to Juvenile Court by Race/ Ethnicity



#### **Transfers**

From Adult Court to Juvenile Court by Race/ Ethnicity



### Part (d) Juvenile Probation Activity - Youth

Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (d) Juveniles placed on juvenile probation, the number of juveniles on probation in out-of-home care, the number of juveniles completing probation, the number of motions to revoke probation and probation revocations, and the average length of time on probation.


The Juvenile Probation Activity - Youth data is provided from the case management system, Nebraska Probation Application for Community Safety (NPACS). The table reports the number and percent of youth with various activity during the year. Youth are counted once in each category, regardless of the number of out-of-home placements, motions to revoke, or cases they may have in the year. Age groups are calculated specific to the activity as footnoted.

					le Probatio tatewide Y	_	,				
Demographic	Cohort		ed on ation		f-home ement	Motions	to Revoke		oation oked		actory ease
		# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total
Age Group	11-13	164	8%	43	7%	24	4%	0		58	3%
	14-15	651	31%	216	35%	147	27%	5	45%	355	21%
	16	548	26%	151	24%	131	24%	1	9%	334	19%
	17	583	28%	176	28%	185	34%	4	36%	476	28%
	18	144	7%	35	6%	56	10%	1	9%	490	29%
Sex	Female	627	30%	183	29%	160	29%	1	9%	593	35%
	Male	1,463	70%	438	71%	383	71%	10	91%	1,120	65%
Race	Am. Indian	101	5%	42	7%	31	6%	1	9%	79	5%
	Asian/P.I.	28	1%	9	1%	5	1%	0		19	1%
	Black	348	17%	174	28%	72	13%	0		233	14%
	White	1,259	60%	317	51%	348	64%	9	82%	1,086	63%
	Other	354	17%	79	13%	87	16%	1	9%	296	17%
Ethnicity	Hispanic	570	27%	131	21%	161	30%	1	9%	459	27%
	Not Hispanic	1,520	73%	490	79%	382	70%	10	91%	1,254	73%
Total Youth		2,090	100%	621	100%	543	100%	11	100%	1,713	100%

<sup>1.</sup> Age at which the probation term began. 2. Age at the first admission to out-of-home placement. 3. Age as of the file date for motion to revoke. 4. Age as of the disposition date for motion to revoke. 5. Age as of the disposition date for motion.


### **Age Group**

### Youth Placed on Probation by Age




### Sex

#### Youth Placed on Probation by Sex




### Race

### Youth Placed on Probation by Race



### **Ethnicity**

#### Youth Placed on Probation by Ethnicity



### Part (d) Juvenile Probation - Risk Level and Length of Probation

Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (d) Juveniles placed on juvenile probation, the number of juveniles on probation in out-of-home care, the number of juveniles completing probation, the number of motions to revoke probation and probation revocations, and the average length of time on probation.


Youth Level of Service/Case Management Inventory (YLS/CMI) is an assessment tool used to systematically measure risk for recidivism and appropriate supervision intensity for youth on probation. The following table shows the classification results for two populations. The first is the classification for youth starting probation in 2020, the second population are youth discharged from probation in 2020. Average length of probation is only calculated for youth discharged from probation. Youth that are assessed at low or moderate low risk are matched to Juvenile Community-Based Resource (JCBR) supervision and those assessed at high or moderate high risk are matched to Juvenile Community-Based Intervention (JCBI) supervision intensity.


		Yo	uth Ri	sk Levels and	Length of	<sup>:</sup> Proba	ition		
		Youth	Starting	g Probation	Youth	Discha Probat	rged from ion	_	e Length obation
Demo- graphic	Cohort	JCBR/ Low/ Moderate Low	JCBI/ High	No Assessment**	JCBR/ Low/ Moderate Low	JCBI/ High	No Assessment**	Total Youth	Months
	11-13	124	119	1	60	31	4	95	7.4
	14-15	408	358	4	305	189	20	514	9.8
Age Group	16	275	227	1	310	189	9	508	11.4
	17	258	144	0	469	352	21	842	13.4
	18	45	29	0	536	411	18	965	16.0
Sex	Female	353	251	1	531	351	30	912	12.7
Sex	Male	757	626	5	1,149	821	42	2,012	13.4
	Am. Indian	58	46	0	83	58	5	146	13.4
	Asian/P.I.	15	15	0	16	13	1	30	10.5
Race	Black	153	190	1	255	231	16	502	15.0
	Other	156	170	5	294	193	7	494	12.5
	White	728	456	0	1,032	677	43	1,752	12.9
Ethnicity	Hispanic	275	271	5	456	296	16	768	12.8
Ethnicity	Not Hispanic	835	606	1	1,224	876	56	2,156	13.3
Total		1,110	877	6	1,680	1,172	72	2,924	13.1

The Juvenile Court's jurisdicition terminates at the age of majority. The age group for 18 year olds average length of probation includes 18 and 19 year olds.

Of the 2924 youth exiting probation in 2020, the average length of probation is 13.1 months.

<sup>\*\*</sup> No YLS/CMI assessment completed prior to termination of probation due to a brief probation term or successful completion of pre-dispositional services. 1 Age at which probation term began. 2 Age as of the disposition date for discharge from probation 3 Age as of the disposition date for discharge from probation





### Part (e) Juveniles Access to Counsel

Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (e) juveniles with and without access to counsel in juvenile and adult court, both appointed and retained.


The Juveniles Access to Counsel table displays the percent of juveniles represented by an attorney in criminal cases from county court and district court and juvenile cases from county court and separate juvenile court. Data includes new cases filed and cases transfered from one county to another during calendar year 2020. Cases filed in 2020 may not have reached the sentencing/adjudication stage of proceedings. Cases for juveniles ages 13 and below must be filed and stay in juvenile court. Exceptions are some traffic case types where the county attorney has the option to file in criminal or juvenile court and instances where the offense was discovered after the juvenile was an adult. Therefore, the vast majority of juvenile cases appearing in adult criminal court data are for ages 14 through 17 at the time of the offense. Additionally, criminal court cases include traffic offenses. Age is reported as the age of the juvenile at the time of the offense.

Fewer than half (40%) of the juvenile cases in criminal court are represented by an attorney, while over three fourths (73%) of cases in juvenile court have representation.

			Juvenile	Access to Statewid		el			
			Criminal C	ourt Cases			Juvenile C	ourt Cases	
Demographic	Cohort	Total Criminal Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel	Total Juvenile Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel
	11-13	15	9	6	40%	596	141	455	76%
A === C =====	14-15	119	57	62	52%	1,552	368	1,184	76%
Age Group	16	342	227	115	34%	1,189	341	848	71%
	17	667	392	275	41%	1,087	362	725	67%
	Female	263	192	71	27%	1,334	402	932	70%
Sex	Male	827	462	365	44%	2,961	758	2,203	74%
	Unknown	53	31	22	42%	129	52	77	60%
	Am. Indian	18	9	9	50%	117	30	87	74%
	Asian/P.I.	9	7	2	22%	27	3	24	89%
	Black	197	82	115	58%	698	46	652	93%
Race/Ethnicity	Hispanic	220	128	92	42%	584	173	411	70%
	White	558	387	171	31%	1,777	543	1,234	69%
	Other	3	3	0	0%	15	2	13	87%
	Unknown	138	69	69	50%	1,206	415	791	66%
Total Cases		1,143	685	458	40%	4,424	1,212	3,212	73%


### **Age Group**

#### Percent of Juveniles With Counsel by Age Group




### Sex

#### Percent of Juveniles With Counsel by Sex



### Race/Ethnicity

#### Percent of Juveniles With Counsel by Race/Ethnicity




### Part (f) Juvenile Rates of Recidivism

Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (f) Rates of recidivism.


The recidivism table is based on probation youth that were successfully discharged in 2019 and whether or not the youth received a new adjudication and/or adult conviction within one year of their probation discharge date (2020). See Appendix III § 1-1001 for uniform definitions of recidivism for Nebraska State Probation and Nebraska Problem-Solving Courts. Probation youth recidivism is counted once per youth with the most serious offense reported.

	Juvenile Recidivism F	Rates		
Demographic	Cohort	Recidivated	Not Recidivated	Percent Recidivated
Ethnicity	Hispanic	87	301	22.4%
	Not Hispanic	222	959	18.8%
Race	Am. Indian	16	55	22.5%
	Asian/P.I.	2	12	14.3%
	Black	51	170	23.1%
	Other	53	185	22.3%
	White	187	838	18.2%
Sex	Female	65	457	12.5%
	Male	244	803	23.3%
Overall State Recidivism		309	1,260	19.7%


#### Sex



#### Race




### **Ethnicity**




# **District Sections**

The report includes data from both adult and juvenile case types, from all of the Nebraska county courts, district courts and separate juvenile courts statewide. Lancaster, Douglas and Sarpy counties hear juvenile cases in a separate juvenile court. All other counties hear juvenile cases in county courts. Where data in the report is broken out into districts, it is reported by districts as shown below.

# **Nebraska County Court Districts**



### Separate Juvenile Courts



### Nebraska Revised Statute 43-2,111

Each county of this state having a population of seventy-five thousand or more inhabitants shall constitute a separate juvenile court judicial district. There shall be established in each such juvenile court judicial district of this state a separate juvenile court whenever the establishment thereof shall be authorized by a majority of the electors of any such county voting thereon. The court so established shall be a court of record.

#### District 1

### Part (a) Juvenile Petitions and Adjudications

Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (a) Juveniles prosecuted in juvenile court under subdivision (1), (2), (3)(b), or (4) of section 43-247, including the total number of filings and adjudications.

The Juvenile Petitions and Adjudications tables report the number of new juvenile cases and corresponding percent of adjudications by case type and demographic group for cases filed in 2020. The data consists of both county court and separate juvenile court cases. Only the most serious offense is counted for cases with multiple charges, pleas or findings. Cases are counted in the Admit column if at least one charge was admitted to in court. Supplemental petitions are not included in this data set. Cases filed in 2020 may not have reached the adjudication stage of proceedings. Juvenile cases where the age is unknown, if any, are included in the report.

			Juven	ile Peti	tions a	nd Adju Distri		n by C	ase Typ	e			
		Tr	affic Offe	nse	St	atus Offe	nse	М	isdemea	nor		Felony	
Demo- graphic	Cohort	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated
	14-15	1	1	100%	8	8	100%	24	22	92%	2	2	100%
Age Group	16	1	0	0%	11	9	82%	17	11	65%	0	0	0%
J. J. J. J.	17	0	0	0%	4	2	50%	37	25	68%	7	4	57%
	Female	2	1	50%	7	5	71%	27	19	70%	1	1	100%
Sex	Male	0	0	0%	14	13	93%	49	37	76%	6	4	67%
	Unknown	0	0	0%	2	1	50%	2	2	100%	2	1	50%
	Black	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	3	1	33%
	Hispanic	1	0	0%	1	1	100%	15	12	80%	0	0	0%
Race/ Ethnicity	White	1	1	100%	1	1	100%	25	22	88%	3	3	100%
	Other	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%
	Unknown	0	0	0%	21	17	81%	37	23	62%	3	2	67%
District Tot	tal	2	1	50%	23	19	83%	78	58	74%	9	6	67%

			J	uvenile (		nd Adju		_	e Type,				
		Tr	affic Offe	nse	St	atus Offe	nse	M	1isdemear	nor		Felony	
County	Age Group	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated									
	14-15	0	0	0%	4	4	100%	6	5	83%	0	0	0%
Gage	16	0	0	0%	5	4	80%	8	4	50%	0	0	0%
	17	0	0	0%	3	1	33%	19	14	74%	3	2	67%
	14-15	0	0	0%	4	4	100%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%
Jefferson	16	0	0	0%	3	3	100%	0	0	0%	0	0	0%
	17	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	0	0%	0	0	0%
Laburan	14-15	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%
Johnson	17	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%	0	0	0%
	14-15	1	1	100%	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	0	0	0%
Nemaha	16	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%	0	0	0%
	17	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%
	14-15	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	4	4	100%	0	0	0%
Pawnee	16	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%	0	0	0%
	17	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	2	2	100%	1	1	100%
	14-15	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	3	3	100%	1	1	100%
Richardson	16	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	2	1	50%	0	0	0%
	17	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	3	0	0%	0	0	0%
	14-15	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	7	6	86%	1	1	100%
Saline	16	1	0	0%	0	0	0%	7	6	86%	0	0	0%
	17	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	11	8	73%	2	0	0%
	14-15	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	2	2	100%	0	0	0%
Thayer	16	0	0	0%	1	0	0%	0	0	0%	0	0	0%
	17	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	1	100%
District Total		2	1	50%	23	19	83%	78	58	74%	9	6	67%

			Juve	nile Cas		Adjudi unty an		by Case	e Type,				
		Tı	raffic Offe	nse	St	tatus Offe	nse	N	⁄lisdemear	nor		Felony	
County	Sex	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated
	Female	0	0	0%	5	3	60%	16	11	69%	0	0	0%
Gage	Male	0	0	0%	7	6	86%	17	12	71%	2	2	100%
	Unknown	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	0	0%
	Female	0	0	0%	2	2	100%	0	0	0%	0	0	0%
Jefferson	Male	0	0	0%	4	4	100%	2	1	50%	0	0	0%
	Unknown	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%	0	0	0%
Johnson	Male	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%
	Female	1	1	100%	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	0	0	0%
Nemaha	Male	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%	0	0	0%
	Unknown	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%
_	Female	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%
Pawnee	Male	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	5	5	100%	1	1	100%
D: 1 1	Female	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	0	0%	1	1	100%
Richardson	Male	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	7	4	57%	0	0	0%
6.11	Female	1	0	0%	0	0	0%	9	7	78%	0	0	0%
Saline	Male	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	16	13	81%	3	1	33%
	Male	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%
Thayer	Unknown	0	0	0%	1	0	0%	1	1	100%	1	1	100%
District Total		2	1	50%	23	19	83%	78	58	74%	9	6	67%

#### Juvenile Cases and Adjudication by Case Type, County and Race/Ethnicity Traffic Offense Status Offense Misdemeanor Felony % % % % County Race Adjudi-Adjudi-Adjudi-Adjudi-Filings Filings Filings Filings Adjudi-Adjudi-Adjudi-Adjudicated cated cated cated cated cated cated cated Black 0 0 0 0 0 1 100% 0% 0% 0 0% 1 White 0 0 0% 0 0 0% 2 2 100% 0 0 0% Gage 0 0 0 0 0% Other 0% 0 0% 1 1 100% 0 Unknown 0 0 0% 9 75% 30 20 67% 2 50% 12 1 7 7 Unknown 0 2 0 0% Jefferson 0 0% 100% 1 50% 0 Hispanic 0 0 0% 1 1 100% 0 0 0% 0 0 0% Johnson White 0 0 0% 0 0 0% 100% 0 0 0% 1 1 White 0% 1 1 100% 0 0 0% 1 1 100% 0 0 Nemaha Unknown 0 0 0% 1 1 100% 0 0 0% 0 0 0% 0 0 1 Pawnee White 0% 1 100% 6 6 100% 1 1 100% White 0 0 0% 0 0 0% 6 3 50% 1 1 100% Richardson Unknown 2 0 0% 0 0 0% 0 0 0% 1 50% 0 Black 0 0 0% 0 0 0% 0 0 0% 2 0 0% Hispanic 0 0% 0 0 0% 12 80% 0 0 0% 1 15 Saline White 0 0 0% 0 0 0% 8 8 100% 1 1 100% Unknown 0 0 0% 0 0 0% 2 0 0% 0 0 0% White 0% 0 0 0% 0 0 0% 1 1 100% 0 0 Thayer Unknown 0 0 0% 0 0% 1 100% 1 100% 1 1 1 **District Total** 2 1 50% 23 19 83% 78 58 74% 9 6 67%

#### District 1

### Part (b) Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court - Case Type

Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (b) Juveniles prosecuted in adult criminal court for felonies, misdemeanors, and traffic offenses. The information shall include juveniles sentenced to terms in adult jails and prisons and juveniles placed on adult probation.

Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court tables consist of criminal and traffic cases in county court and criminal cases in district court that reached the point of sentencing in 2020. Cases with multiple charges are counted once per case and reported as the most severe offense. Cases for juveniles ages 13 and below must be filed and stay in juvenile court; however, judges have the authority to allow these cases in criminal court. Therefore, only juveniles ages 14 through 17 at the time of the offense appear in adult criminal court data.

Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court by Case Type District								
		All Cases		Misdemeanor		Felony		
Demographic	Cohort	Total Cases	% of Total	Total Cases	% of Total for Case Type Group	Total Cases	% of Total for Case Type Group	
Age Group	14-15	1	14%	0		1	33%	
	16	1	14%	1	25%	0		
	17	5	71%	3	75%	2	67%	
Race/Ethnicity	Black	1	25%	0		1	100%	
	White	3	75%	3	100%	0		
	Unknown	3		1		2		
Sex	Male	6	100%	4	100%	2	100%	
	Unknown	1		0		1		
District Total		7	100%	4	57%	3	43%	

Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court by Case Type, County and Age Group							
County	Age Group	All Cases	Traffic	Misdemeanor	Felony		
	Сібир	#	#	#	#		
Johnson	14-15	1	0	0	1		
Richardson	16		0	1	0		
Richardson	17	3	0	2	1		
Saline	17	2 0 1 1					
District Total 7 0 4 3							

Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court by Case Type, County and Sex							
County	Sex	All Cases	Traffic	Misdemeanor	Felony		
	# # #	#	#				
Johnson	Unknown	1	0	0	1		
Richardson	Male	4	0	3	1		
Saline	Male	2	0	1	1		
District Tota	l	7	0	4	3		

### Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court by Case Type, County and Race/Ethnicity

County	Race	All Cases	Misdemeanor	Felony
		#	#	#
Johnson	Unknown	1	0	1
Richardson	White	3	3	0
Richardson	Unknown	1	0	1
Calina	Black	1	0	1
Saline	Unknown	1	1	0
District Total		7	4	3

#### District 1

### Part (b) Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court - Sentence

Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (b) Juveniles prosecuted in adult criminal court for felonies, misdemeanors, and traffic offenses. The information shall include juveniles sentenced to terms in adult jails and prisons and juveniles placed on adult probation.

Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court tables include criminal and traffic cases in county court and criminal cases in district court that reached the point of sentencing in 2020. All sentencing types are included where multiple sentencing types occur within a case (ex. probation/jail). Youth who are sentenced to probation in Adult Court are supervised by Adult Probation. Age is calculated from the age at offense, not the age at sentencing.

Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court by Sentencing District									
		Total Cases Probation		Jail		Prison			
Demographic	Cohort	Number of Cases	% of Total	Number of Cases	% of Cohort	Number of Cases	% of Cohort	Number of Cases	% of Cohort
	14-15	1	14%	1	100%	0	0%	0	0%
Age Group	16	1	14%	1	100%	0	0%	0	0%
	17	5	71%	4	80%	1	20%	0	0%
C	Unknown	1	0%	1	100%	0	0%	0	0%
Sex	Male	6	100%	5	100%	1	0%	0	0%
	Unknown	3	0%	2	100%	1	0%	0	0%
Race/Ethnicity	White	3	75%	3	100%	0	0%	0	0%
	Black	1	25%	1	80%	0	20%	0	0%
District Total		7	100%	6	100%	1	0%	0	0%

Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court by Sentence, County and Age Group							
Country	Age	Total Cases	Probation	Jail	Prison		
County	Group	Number of Cases	Number of Cases	Number of Cases	Number of Cases		
Johnson	14-15	1	1	0	0		
Dishardson	16	1	1	0	0		
Richardson	17	3	3	0	0		
Saline	17	2	1	1	0		
District Tota	l	7	6	1	0		

32

Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court by Sentence, County and Sex							
Country	Say	Total Cases	Probation	Jail	Prison		
County	Sex	Number of Cases	Number of Cases	Number of Cases	Number of Cases		
Johnson	Unknown	1	1	0	0		
Richardson	Male	4	4	0	0		
Saline	Male	2	1	1	0		
District Tota	ι	7	6	1	0		

#### Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court by Sentence, County and Race/Ethnicity Total Prison Jail Cases Probation County Race Number Number Number Number of Cases of Cases of Cases of Cases Unknown 0 0 Johnson 1 1 White 3 3 0 0 Richardson Unknown 1 1 0 0 Black 1 1 0 0 Saline Unknown 1 0 1 0 7 6 0 **District Total** 1

#### Part (c) Motions to Transfer Cases and Cases Transferred

Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (c) The number of motions to transfer and the number of cases transferred to adult court from juvenile court and from adult criminal court to juvenile court.

The data represents motions to transfer filed in 2020 and transfers between court types which occurred in 2020. Therefore, the exact same subset of cases may not be represented in both columns. There can be multiple motions to transfer within a single case which, if filed in the same calendar year, would all be included in the counts below. Some cases may have a motion to transfer, but no transfer actually occurs.

Motions to Transfer Courts and Transfers District											
		Juveni	le Cour	Court to Adult Court			Adult Court to Juvenile Court				
Demographic	Cohort	Motion to Transfer Juv to Adult	% of Total	Transfers Juv to Adult	% of Total	Motion to Transfer Adult to Juv	% of Total	Transfers Adult to Juv	% of Total		
Age Group	17	5	2	100%	1	100%	0				
	Black	2	40%	2	100%	0		0			
Dana /Fthaniaita	Hispanic	2	40%	0		0		0			
Race/Ethnicity	White	1	20%	0		0		0			
	Unknown	0		0		1		0			
Carr	Male	5	100%	2	100%	0		0			
Sex	Unknown 0 0					1		0			
District Total		5		2		1		0			

١	Motions to Transfer Courts and Transfers, County and Age Group										
		_	e Court to It Court	Adult Court to Juvenile Court							
County	Age Group	Motion to Transfer	Cases Transfered	Motion to Transfer	Cases Transfered						
Jefferson	17	0	0	1	0						
Pawnee	17	1	0	0	0						
Saline	17	4	2	0	0						
District To	tal	5	2	1	0						

Motions to Transfer Courts and Transfers, County and Sex									
		_	e Court to It Court	Adult Court to Juvenile Court					
County	Sex	Motion to Transfer	Cases Transfered	Motion to Transfer	Cases Transfered				
Jefferson	Unknown	0	0	1	0				
Pawnee	Male	1	0	0	0				
Saline	Male	4	0						
District To	otal	5	2	1	0				

Motions to Transfer Courts and Transfers, County and Race/Ethnicity									
		•	e Court to It Court	Adult Court to Juvenile Court					
County	Race/ Ethnicity	Motion to Transfer	Cases Transfered	Motion to Transfer	Cases Transfered				
Jefferson	Unknown	0	0	1	0				
Pawnee	White	1	0	0	0				
Calina	Black	2	2	0	0				
Saline	Hispanic	2	0	0	0				
District To	otal	5	2	1	0				

#### Part (d) Juvenile Probation Activity

Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (d) Juveniles placed on juvenile probation, the number of juveniles on probation in out-of-home care, the number of juveniles completing probation, the number of motions to revoke probation and probation revocations, and the average length of time on probation.

The Juvenile Probation Activity - Youth data is provided from the Administrative Office of Probation's case management system, Nebraska Probation Application for Community Safety (NPACS). The table reports the number and percent of youth with various activity during the year. Youth are counted once in each category, regardless of the number of out-of-home placements, motions to revoke, or cases they may have in the year. Age groups are calculated specific to the activity as footnoted.

	Juvenile Probation Activity District										
Domographic	Cohort	Place Proba		Out-of-home Placement		Motions to Revoke		Satisfactory Release			
Demographic	Colloit	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total		
	11-13	12	9%	2	5%	2	11%	14	12%		
	14-15	52	41%	20	48%	7	39%	39	33%		
Age Group	16	20	16%	6	14%	4	22%	28	24%		
	17	25	20%	14	33%	3	17%	21	18%		
	18	19	15%	0	0%	2	11%	17	14%		
C	Female	37	29%	14	33%	10	56%	54	45%		
Sex	Male	91	71%	28	67%	8	44%	65	55%		
	Black	4	3%	4	10%	2	11%	2	2%		
	Indian	7	5%	0	0%	0	0%	5	4%		
Race	Other	12	9%	2	5%	0	0%	21	18%		
	Pacific Islander	3	2%	0	0%	0	0%	2	2%		
	White	102	80%	36	86%	16	89%	89	75%		
[the idity	Hispanic	11	9%	2	5%	0	0%	23	19%		
Ethnicity	Not Hispanic	117	91%	40	95%	18	100%	96	81%		
District Total		128	100%	42	100%	18	100%	119	100%		

<sup>1.</sup> Age at which the probation term began. 2. Age at the first admission to out-of-home placement. 3. Age as of the file date for motion to revoke. 4. Age as of the disposition date for motion to revoke. 5. Age as of the disposition date for motion.

	Juvenile Probation Activity District											
Combi	Age	Place Proba		Out-of- Place		Motio Rev		Satisfa Rele	-			
County	Group	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total			
	14-15	2	2%	1	2%	0	0%	1	1%			
Fillmore	16	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	1%			
	17	2	2%	1	2%	0	0%	1	1%			
	11-13	6	5%	2	5%	2	11%	0	0%			
	14-15	14	11%	8	19%	4	22%	18	15%			
Gage	16	8	6%	4	10%	2	11%	4	3%			
	17	10	8%	4	10%	2	11%	0	0%			
	18	6	5%	0	0%	2	11%	6	5%			
	14-15	8	6%	2	5%	0	0%	6	5%			
Jefferson	16	2	2%	2	5%	0	0%	4	3%			
	17	2	2%	0	0%	0	0%	6	5%			
	11-13	2	2%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%			
lahmaan	14-15	0	0%	2	5%	0	0%	0	0%			
Johnson	17	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	2	2%			
	18	2	2%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%			
	11-13	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	2	2%			
	14-15	4	3%	2	5%	0	0%	2	2%			
Nemaha	16	2	2%	0	0%	0	0%	2	2%			
	17	2	2%	0	0%	0	0%	2	2%			
	18	2	2%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%			
	14-15	4	3%	1	2%	1	6%	6	5%			
Otas	16	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	3	3%			
Otoe	17	3	2%	1	2%	1	6%	2	2%			
	18	1	1%	0	0%	0	0%	1	1%			
	11-13	2	2%	0	0%	0	0%	4	3%			
Dawnes	14-15	10	8%	0	0%	0	0%	4	3%			
Pawnee	17	2	2%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%			
	18	2	2%	0	0%	0	0%	2	2%			
Diehaudeeu	11-13	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	2	2%			
Richardson	14-15	6	5%	2	5%	0	0%	2	2%			

Juvenile Probation Activity District											
Combo	Age	Place Proba		Out-of-home Placement		Motio Reve		Satisfactory Release			
County	Group	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total		
Richardson	16	4	3%	0	0%	2	11%	2	2%		
	11-13	2	2%	0	0%	0	0%	6	5%		
	14-15	2	2%	2	5%	2	11%	0	0%		
Saline	16	4	3%	0	0%	0	0%	10	8%		
	17	4	3%	6	14%	0	0%	8	7%		
	18	4	3%	0	0%	0	0%	6	5%		
	14-15	2	2%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%		
Tl	16	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	2	2%		
Thayer	17	0	0%	2	5%	0	0%	0	0%		
	18	2	2%	0	0%	0	0%	2	2%		
District Tota	ι	128	100%	42	100%	18	100%	119	100%		

	Juvenile Probation Activity District										
County	Sex	Place Proba		Out-of-home Placement		Motions to Revoke		Satisfactory Release			
County	JCX	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total		
F:!!	Female	1	1%	0	0%	0	0%	1	1%		
Fillmore	Male	3	2%	2	5%	0	0%	2	2%		
Como	Female	16	12%	10	24%	8	44%	20	17%		
Gage	Male	28	22%	8	19%	4	22%	8	7%		
l affana an	Female	4	3%	2	5%	0	0%	8	7%		
Jefferson	Male	8	6%	2	5%	0	0%	8	7%		
Johnson	Male	4	3%	2	5%	0	0%	2	2%		
Namaka	Female	2	2%	0	0%	0	0%	2	2%		
Nemaha	Male	8	6%	2	5%	0	0%	6	5%		
04	Female	2	2%	0	0%	0	0%	3	3%		
Otoe	Male	6	5%	2	5%	2	11%	9	8%		
D	Female	2	2%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%		
Pawnee	Male	14	11%	0	0%	0	0%	10	8%		
Dialagnalagn	Female	4	3%	0	0%	2	11%	6	5%		
Richardson	Male	6	5%	2	5%	0	0%	0	0%		
Calina	Female	6	5%	2	5%	0	0%	14	12%		
Saline	Male	10	8%	6	14%	2	11%	16	13%		
Thayer Male		4	3%	2	5%	0	0%	4	3%		
District Tota	l	128	100%	42	100%	18	100%	119	100%		

	Juvenile Probation Activity District										
County	Race	Place Proba		Out-of- Place		Motio Rev		Satisfactory Release			
County	Nace	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total		
Fillmore	White	3	2%	2	5%	0	0%	2	2%		
Tittillore	Indian	1	1%	0	0%	0	0%	1	1%		
	Black	2	2%	2	5%	2	11%	0	0%		
	White	34	27%	16	38%	10	56%	26	22%		
Gage	Pacific Islander	2	2%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%		
	Other	2	2%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%		
	Indian	4	3%	0	0%	0	0%	2	2%		
1.66	White	12	9%	4	10%	0	0%	14	12%		
Jefferson	Other	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	2	2%		
Johnson	White	4	3%	2	5%	0	0%	2	2%		
Namaka	White	6	5%	2	5%	0	0%	8	7%		
Nemaha	Other	4	3%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%		
	White	7	5%	2	5%	2	11%	9	8%		
Otoe	Pacific Islander	1	1%	0	0%	0	0%	2	2%		
	Other	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	1%		
	Other	2	2%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%		
Pawnee	Black	2	2%	0	0%	0	0%	2	2%		
	White	12	9%	0	0%	0	0%	8	7%		
Richardson	White	10	8%	2	5%	2	11%	6	5%		
	White	10	8%	4	10%	2	11%	12	10%		
C. U.	Other	4	3%	2	5%	0	0%	16	13%		
Saline	Black	0	0%	2	5%	0	0%	0	0%		
	Indian	2	2%	0	0%	0	0%	2	2%		
TI	White	4	3%	2	5%	0	0%	2	2%		
Thayer Other		0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	2	2%		
District Tota	District Total		100%	42	100%	18	100%	119	100%		

Juvenile Probation Activity District											
Carreti	Falls of all and	Placed on Probation			Out-of-home Placement		Motions to Revoke		actory ase		
County	Ethnicity	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total		
Fillmore	Not Hispanic	4	3%	2	5%	0	0%	3	3%		
C	Hispanic	4	3%	0	0%	0	0%	2	2%		
Gage	Not Hispanic	40	31%	18	43%	12	67%	26	22%		
Jefferson	Not Hispanic	12	9%	4	10%	0	0%	16	13%		
1.1	Hispanic	2	2%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%		
Johnson	Not Hispanic	2	2%	2	5%	0	0%	2	2%		
Nemaha	Not Hispanic	10	8%	2	5%	0	0%	8	7%		
0.1	Hispanic	1	1%	0	0%	0	0%	3	3%		
Otoe	Not Hispanic	7	5%	2	5%	2	11%	9	8%		
Pawnee	Not Hispanic	16	12%	0	0%	0	0%	10	8%		
Richardson	Not Hispanic	10	8%	2	5%	2	11%	6	5%		
Calling	Hispanic	4	3%	2	5%	0	0%	16	13%		
Saline	Not Hispanic	12	9%	6	14%	2	11%	14	12%		
Theres	Hispanic	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	2	2%		
Thayer	Not Hispanic	4	3%	2	5%	0	0%	2	2%		
District Tota	District Total		100%	42	100%	18	100%	119	100%		

#### Part (d) Juvenile Probation - Youth Risk Level and Length of Probation

Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (d) Juveniles placed on juvenile probation, the number of juveniles on probation in out-of-home care, the number of juveniles completing probation, the number of motions to revoke probation and probation revocations, and the average length of time on probation.

Youth Level of Service/Case Management Inventory (YLS/CMI) is an assessment tool used to systematically measure risk for recidivism and appropriate supervision intensity for youth on probation. The following table shows the classification results of youth that entered into Probation in 2020, and another set of youth that discharged from Probation in 2020. Youth that are assessed at low or moderate low risk are matched to JCBR supervision and those assessed at high or moderate high risk are matched to JCBI supervision intensity

	Youth Risk Levels and Length of Probation District										
		Youth Sta Probati	_		Discharged Probation	Average Length of Probation					
Demo- graphic	Cohort	JCBR/ Low/ Moderate Low	JCBI/ High	JCBR/ Low	JCBI/ High/ Moderate High	Total Youth	Avg Length of Probation				
	11-13	10	2	4		4	8.0				
	14-15	39	13	26	3	29	12.8				
Age Group	16	18	2	25	4	29	10.7				
	17	18	7	28	7	35	10.7				
	18	16	3	39	9	48	10.3				
Sav	Female	33	4	43	8	51	10.4				
Sex	Male	68	23	79	15	94	11.2				
	Am. Indian	7		5		5	8.0				
	Asian/P.I.	3		1		1	5.0				
Race	Black	2	2	4	2	6	12.0				
	White	81	21	97	15	112	11.2				
	Other	8	4	15	6	21	10.1				
Falousi olani	Hispanic	8	3	17	8	25	10.6				
Ethnicity	Not Hispanic	93	24	105	15	120	11.0				
District Tota	ıl	101	27	122	23	145	10.9				

Youth Risk Levels and Length of Probation by County and Age Group											
		Youth Sta Probati	_		Discharged Probation		ge Length robation				
County	Age Group	JCBR/ Low/ Moderate Low	JCBI/ High	JCBR/ Low	JCBI/ High/ Moderate High	Total Youth	Avg Length of Probation				
	14-15	2				0					
Fillmore	17	2		2		2	18.0				
	18			1		1	10.0				
	11-13	4	2			0					
	14-15	8	6	6	2	8	14.8				
Gage	16	8		12	2	14	12.6				
	17	10		16		16	7.1				
	18	6		12	2	14	11.1				
	14-15	4	4			0					
lefferen en	16	2		4	2	6	12.7				
Jefferson	17	2		2	2	4	15.0				
	18			6		6	13.7				
lohnson	11-13	2				0					
Johnson	18	2		2		2	10.0				
	14-15	4		4		4	14.5				
Nemaha	16	2				0					
Nemana	17		2	2		2	10.0				
	18	2		2		2	12.0				
	14-15	1	3	4	1	5	5.6				
Otoo	16			1		1	6.0				
Otoe	17		3	2	1	3	10.3				
	18		1		3	3	7.3				
	11-13	2				0					
	14-15	10		4		4	15.0				
Pawnee	16			2		2	6.0				
	17	2				0					
	18	2		2		2	9.0				
Richardson	11-13			2		2	11.0				

	Youth Risk Levels and Length of Probation by County and Age Group											
		Youth Sta Probati	_		Discharged Probation	Average Length of Probation						
County	Age Group	JCBR/ Low/ Moderate Low	JCBI/ High	JCBR/ Low	JCBI/ High/ Moderate High	Total Youth	Avg Length of Probation					
Richardson	14-15	6		4		4	7.5					
Richardson	16	2	2	2		2	4.0					
	11-13	2		2		2	5.0					
	14-15	2		2		2	25.0					
Saline	16	4		4		4	8.0					
	17	2	2	2	4	6	14.7					
	18	2	2	12	4	16	9.4					
	14-15	2		2		2	13.0					
Thayer	17			2		2	13.0					
	18	2		2		2	7.0					
District Tota	l	101	27	122	23	145	10.9					

		Youth Risk L	evels and. by County	_	Probation			
		Youth Starting	Probation		scharged from obation	Average Length of Probation		
County	Sex	JCBR/Low/ Moderate Low	JCBI/ High	JCBR/ Low	JCBI/High/ Moderate High	Total Youth	Avg Length of Probation	
F:11	Female	1		1		1	10.0	
Fillmore	Male	3		2		2	18.0	
C	Female	14	2	22		22	10.1	
Gage	Male	22	6	24	6	30	11.4	
1	Female	4		4	4	8	12.5	
Jefferson	Male	4	4	8		8	14.8	
Johnson	Male	4		2		2	10.0	
Managha	Female	2		2		2	19.0	
Nemaha	Male	6	2	6		6	10.7	
04	Female		2		2	2	7.5	
Otoe	Male	1	5	7	3	10	7.2	
	Female	2				0		
Pawnee	Male	14		8		8	11.2	
Dishandası	Female	4		6		6	9.0	
Richardson	Male	4	2	2		2	3.0	
Calina	Female	6		6	2	8	8.2	
Saline	Male	6	4	16	6	22	12.0	
Theorem	Female			2		2	13.0	
Thayer	Male	4		4		4	10.0	
District Total		101	27	122	23	145	10.9	

	Youth F			ength nd Rac	of Probati e	on	
		Youth Sta Probati	_		Discharged Probation		ge Length robation
County	Race	JCBR/ Low/ Moderate Low	JCBI/ High	JCBR/ Low	JCBI/ High/ Moderate High	Total Youth	Avg Length of Probation
F:11	Am. Indian	1		1		1	10.0
Fillmore	White	3		2		2	18.0
	Am. Indian	4		2		2	10.0
	Asian/P.I.	2				0	
Gage	Black		2	2	2	4	13.5
	White	28	6	42	4	46	10.7
	Other	2				0	
1-66	White	8	4	10	4	14	14.6
Jefferson	Other			2		2	7.0
Johnson	White	4		2		2	10.0
Nemaha	White	6		8		8	12.8
ivernana	Other	2	2			0	
	Asian/P.I.	1		1		1	5.0
Otoe	White		7	5	5	10	7.3
	Other			1		1	9.0
	Black	2		2		2	9.0
Pawnee	White	12		6		6	12.0
	Other	2				0	
Richardson	White	8	2	8		8	7.5
	Am. Indian	2		2		2	5.0
Saline	White	8	2	10	2	12	13.0
	Other	2	2	10	6	16	10.2
Thaver	White	4		4		4	10.0
Tilayei	Thayer Other			2		2	13.0
District Tota	l	101	27	122	23	145	10.9

Youth Risk Levels and Length of Probation by County and Ethnicity											
		Youth Starting	g Probation		scharged from obation	Average Length of Probation					
County	Ethnicity	JCBR/Low/ Moderate Low	JCBI/ High	JCBR/ Low	JCBI/High/ Moderate High	Total Youth	Avg Length of Probation				
Fillmore	Not Hispanic	4		3		3	15.3				
Cara	Hispanic	4		2		2	11.0				
Gage	Not Hispanic	32	8	44	6	50	10.8				
Jefferson	Not Hispanic	8	4	12	4	16	13.6				
Lalamana	Hispanic	2				0					
Johnson	Not Hispanic	2		2		2	10.0				
Nemaha	Not Hispanic	8	2	8		8	12.8				
04	Hispanic		1	1	2	3	8.3				
Otoe	Not Hispanic	1	6	6	3	9	6.9				
Pawnee	Not Hispanic	16		8		8	11.2				
Richardson	Not Hispanic	8	2	8		8	7.5				
Calina	Hispanic	2	2	12	6	18	10.7				
Saline	Not Hispanic	10	2	10	2	12	11.5				
Theorem	Hispanic			2		2	13.0				
Thayer	Not Hispanic	4		4		4	10.0				
District Total		101	27	122	122 23 145						

#### Part (e) Juveniles Access to Counsel

Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (e) juveniles with and without access to counsel in juvenile and adult court, both appointed and retained.

The Juveniles Access to Counsel table displays the percent of juveniles represented by an attorney in criminal cases from county court and district court and juvenile cases from county court and separate juvenile court. Data includes new cases filed and cases transferred from one county to another during calendar year 2020. Cases filed in 2020 may not have reached the sentencing/adjudication stage of proceedings. Cases for juveniles ages 13 and below must be filed and stay in juvenile court.

	Juvenile Access to Counsel District											
			Criminal C	ourt Cases		Juvenile Court Cases						
Demographic	Cohort	Total Criminal Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel	Total Juvenile Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel			
	11-13	1	1	0	0%	39	20	19	49%			
A === C=====	14-15	2	2	0	0%	47	28	19	40%			
Age Group	16	5	4	1	20%	35	22	13	37%			
	17	22	12	10	45%	58	22	36	62%			
	Female	3	2	1	33%	61	35	26	43%			
Sex	Male	23	14	9	39%	109	53	56	51%			
	Unknown	4	3	1	25%	9	4	5	56%			
	Black	4	0	4	100%	4	0	4	100%			
	Hispanic	2	2	0	0%	22	14	8	36%			
Race/Ethnicity	White	18	14	4	22%	51	27	24	47%			
	Other					1	1	0	0%			
	Unknown	6	3	3	50%	101	50	51	50%			
District Total		30	19	11	37%	179	92	87	49%			

				ile Acces ounty an					
			Criminal C	ourt Cases			Juvenile C	ourt Cases	
County	Age Group	Total Criminal Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel	Total Juvenile Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel
	11-13					14	5	9	64%
Cago	14-15	1	1	0	0%	13	6	7	54%
Gage	16	1	0	1	100%	15	7	8	53%
	17	5	4	1	20%	32	11	21	66%
	11-13					4	2	2	50%
Jefferson	14-15					5	5	0	0%
Jenerson	16					4	3	1	25%
	17	3	2	1	33%	1	1	0	0%
	11-13					1	0	1	100%
Johnson	14-15					2	1	1	50%
	17					1	0	1	100%
	11-13					3	3	0	0%
Nemaha	14-15					2	1	1	50%
Nemana	16					1	0	1	100%
	17	2	1	1	50%	1	0	1	100%
	11-13					2	2	0	0%
Dayyaaa	14-15					4	3	1	25%
Pawnee	16					1	1	0	0%
	17	1	1	0	0%	3	1	2	67%
	11-13					6	3	3	50%
Diahandaan	14-15					8	6	2	25%
Richardson	16	2	2	0	0%	2	2	0	0%
	17	4	3	1	25%	6	3	3	50%
	11-13	1	1	0	0%	8	5	3	38%
Calin -	14-15					11	5	6	55%
Saline	16	2	2	0	0%	11	9	2	18%
	17	7	1	6	86%	13	5	8	62%
The	11-13					1	0	1	100%
Thayer	14-15	1	1	0	0%	2	1	1	50%

	Juvenile Access to Counsel by County and Age Group												
			Criminal C	ourt Cases			Juvenile C	ourt Cases					
County	Age Group	$\sigma$											
Thouas	16					1	0	1	100%				
Thayer 17 1 1 0									0%				
District Tota	District Total 30 19 11 37% 179 92 87 49%												

				e Access County a		sel					
			Criminal C	ourt Cases		Juvenile Court Cases					
County	Sex	Total Criminal Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel	Total Juvenile Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel		
	Female	1	0	1	100%	35	15	20	57%		
Gage	Male	5	4	1	20%	38	14	24	63%		
	Unknown	1	1	0	0%	1	0	1	100%		
	Female	1	1	0	0%	3	2	1	33%		
Jefferson	Male					8	6	2	25%		
	Unknown	2	1	1	50%	3	3	0	0%		
Johnson	Male					4	1	3	75%		
	Female					2	1	1	50%		
Nemaha	Male	1	0	1	100%	4	3	1	25%		
	Unknown	1	1	0	0%	1	0	1	100%		
D	Female					2	2	0	0%		
Pawnee	Male	1	1	0	0%	8	5	3	38%		
Dielegadeen	Female					3	2	1	33%		
Richardson	Male	6	5	1	17%	19	12	7	37%		
Calina	Female					16	13	3	19%		
Saline	Male	10	4	6	60%	27	11	16	59%		
	Female	1	1	0	0%						
Thayer	Male					1	1	0	0%		
	Unknown					4	1	3	75%		
District Tota	l	30	19	11	37%	179	92	87	49%		

				e Access ty and R							
			Criminal C	ourt Cases		Juvenile Court Cases					
County	Race/ Ethnicity	Total Criminal Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel	Total Juvenile Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel		
	Black					1	0	1	100%		
6	White	6	4	2	33%	3	0	3	100%		
Gage	Other					1	1	0	0%		
	Unknown	1	1	0	0%	69	28	41	59%		
	White	1	1	0	0%						
Jefferson	Unknown	2	1	1	50%	14	11	3	21%		
	Hispanic					1	0	1	100%		
Johnson	White					1	1	0	0%		
	Unknown					2	0	# With Counsel  1 3 0 41	100%		
Namela	White	1	0	1	100%	2	1	1	50%		
Nemaha	Unknown	1	1	0	0%	5	3	2	40%		
D	White	1	1	0	0%	9	6	3	33%		
Pawnee	Unknown					1	1	0	0%		
Di ale anala an	White	6	5	1	17%	18	10	8	44%		
Richardson	Unknown					4	4	0	0%		
	Black	4	0	4	100%	3	0	3	100%		
Calina	Hispanic	2	2	0	0%	21	14	7	33%		
Saline	White	2	2	0	0%	17	8	9	53%		
	Unknown	2	0	2	100%	2	2	0	0%		
There	White	1	1	0	0%	1	1	0	0%		
Thayer	Unknown					4	1	3	75%		
District Tota	l	30	19	11	37%	179	179 92 87 49				

#### Part (a) Juvenile Petitions and Adjudications

Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (a) Juveniles prosecuted in juvenile court under subdivision (1), (2), (3)(b), or (4) of section 43-247, including the total number of filings and adjudications.

The Juvenile Petitions and Adjudications tables report the number of new juvenile cases and corresponding percent of adjudications by case type and demographic group for cases filed in 2020. The data consists of both county court and separate juvenile court cases. Only the most serious offense is counted for cases with multiple charges, pleas or findings. Cases are counted in the Admit column if at least one charge was admitted to in court. Supplemental petitions are not included in this data set. Cases filed in 2020 may not have reached the adjudication stage of proceedings. Juvenile cases where the age is unknown, if any, are included in the report.

	Juvenile Petitions and Adjudication by Case Type District											
		St	atus Offe	nse	М	isdemea	nor	Felony				
Demo- graphic	Cohort	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated		
	14-15	19	5	26%	59	26	44%	9	3	33%		
Age Group	16	18	3	17%	56	31	55%	9	5	56%		
	17	14	3	21%	61	16	26%	9	4	44%		
Cav	Female	26	6	23%	55	18	33%	4	2	50%		
Sex	Male	25	5	20%	121	55	45%	23	10	43%		
	Am. Indian	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	1	100%		
	Black	4	1	25%	21	11	52%	4	0	0%		
Race/	Hispanic	0	0	0%	5	1	20%	2	0	0%		
Ethnicity	White	21	7	33%	116	52	45%	12	9	75%		
	Other	0	0	0%	2	1	50%	0	0	0%		
	Unknown	26	3	12%	32	8	25%	8	2	25%		
District To	tal	51	11	22%	176	73	41%	27	12	44%		

	Juvenile Cases and Adjudication by Case Type, County and Age Group											
		Status Offense			N	1isdemear	nor		Felony			
County	Age Group	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated		
	14-15	2	0	0%	3	1	33%	0	0	0%		
Cass	16	2	0	0%	3	2	67%	2	0	0%		
	17	1	0	0%	4	2	50%	0	0	0%		
	14-15	6	1	17%	10	1	10%	2	0	0%		
Otoe	16	6	0	0%	4	0	0%	1	0	0%		
	17	2	0	0%	8	1	12%	1	1	100%		
	14-15	11	4	36%	46	24	52%	7	3	43%		
Sarpy	16	10	3	30%	49	29	59%	6	5	83%		
	17	11	3	27%	49	13	27%	8	3	38%		
District T	otal	51	11	22%	176	73	41%	27	12	44%		

	Juvenile Cases and Adjudication by Case Type, County and Sex												
		Status Offense			N	⁄lisdemear	or		Felony				
County	Sex	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated			
C	Female	0	0	0%	3	2	67%	0	0	0%			
Cass	Male	5	0	0%	7	3	43%	2	0	0%			
04	Female	8	1	12%	7	0	0%	0	0	0%			
Otoe	Male	6	0	0%	15	2	13%	4	1	25%			
Campu	Female	18	5	28%	45	16	36%	4	2	50%			
Sarpy	Male	14	5	36%	99	50	51%	17	9	53%			
District Total 51 11 22% 176 73 41% 27 12 44							44%						

	Juvenile Cases and Adjudication by Case Type, County and Race/Ethnicity										
		Status Offense			Misdemeanor				Felony		
County	Race	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated	
Cass	White	0	0	0%	2	0	0%	0	0	0%	
CdSS	Unknown	5	0	0%	8	5	62%	2	0	0%	
Otas	Hispanic	0	0	0%	1	0	0%	0	0	0%	
Otoe	Unknown	14	1	7%	21	2	10%	4	1	25%	
	Am. Indian	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	
	Black	4	1	25%	21	11	52%	4	0	0%	
	Hispanic	0	0	0%	4	1	25%	2	0	0%	
Sarpy	White	21	7	33%	114	52	46%	12	9	75%	
	Other	0	0	0%	2	1	50%	0	0	0%	
	Unknown	7	2	29%	3	1	33%	2	1	50%	
District <sup>-</sup>	Γotal	51	11	22%	176	73	41%	27	12	44%	

#### Part (b) Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court - Case Type

Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (b) Juveniles prosecuted in adult criminal court for felonies, misdemeanors, and traffic offenses. The information shall include juveniles sentenced to terms in adult jails and prisons and juveniles placed on adult probation.

Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court tables consist of criminal and traffic cases in county court and criminal cases in district court that reached the point of sentencing in 2020. Cases with multiple charges are counted once per case and reported as the most severe offense. Cases for juveniles ages 13 and below must be filed and stay in juvenile court; however, judges have the authority to allow these cases in criminal court. Therefore, only juveniles ages 14 through 17 at the time of the offense appear in adult criminal court data.

Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court by Case Type District									
		All C	Cases	Misde	meanor	Fel	ony		
Demographic	Cohort	Total Cases	% of Total	Total Cases	% of Total for Case Type Group	Total Cases	% of Total for Case Type Group		
Age Group	16	1	17%	1	20%	0			
	17	5	83%	4	80%	1	100%		
Race/Ethnicity	Black	1	17%	1	20%	0			
	White	5	83%	4	80%	1	100%		
Sex	Female	2	33%	2	40%	0			
	Male	4	67%	3	60%	1	100%		
District Total		6	100%	5	83%	1	17%		

Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court by Case Type, County and Age Group								
County	County Age Group All Cases Traffic Misdemeanor Felony							
	16	1	0	1	0			
Sarpy	17	5	0	4	1			
District <sup>-</sup>	Total	6	0	5	1			

Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court by Case Type, County and Sex								
County	County Sex All Cases Traffic Misdemeanor Felony # # # # #							
C	Female	2	0	2	0			
Sarpy	Male	4	0	3	1			
District <sup>-</sup>	Total	6	0	5	1			

Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court by Case Type, County and Race/Ethnicity								
County	Race	All Cases	Misdemeanor	Felony				
		#	#	#				
Carmy	Black	1	1	0				
Sarpy	White	5	4	1				
District 7	Γotal	6	5	1				

#### Part (b) Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court - Sentence

Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (b) Juveniles prosecuted in adult criminal court for felonies, misdemeanors, and traffic offenses. The information shall include juveniles sentenced to terms in adult jails and prisons and juveniles placed on adult probation.

Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court tables include criminal and traffic cases in county court and criminal cases in district court that reached the point of sentencing in 2020. All sentencing types are included where multiple sentencing types occur within a case (ex. probation/jail). Youth who are sentenced to probation in Adult Court are supervised by Adult Probation. Age is calculated from the age at offense, not the age at sentencing.

J	Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court by Sentencing District									
		Total C	ases	Probation		Jail		Pris	on	
Demographic	Cohort	Number of Cases	% of Total	Number of Cases	% of Cohort	Number of Cases	% of Cohort	Number of Cases	% of Cohort	
A C	16	1	17%	1	100%	0	0%	0	0%	
Age Group	17	5	83%	3	60%	2	40%	0	0%	
Carr	Male	4	67%	2	100%	2	0%	0	0%	
Sex	Female	2	33%	2	60%	0	40%	0	0%	
D /Ftl	White	5	83%	4	100%	1	0%	0	0%	
Race/Ethnicity	Black	1	17%	0	60%	1	40%	0	0%	
District Total		6	100%	4	100%	2	0%	0	0%	

Juveni	Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court by Sentence, County and Age Group									
County	Age	Total Cases	Probation	Jail	Prison					
County	Group	Number of Cases	Number of Cases	Number of Cases	Number of Cases					
Carny	16	1	1	0	0					
Sarpy	17	5	3	2	0					
District <sup>-</sup>	Total	6 4		2	0					

Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court by Sentence, County and Sex								
County	Sex	Total Cases	Probation	Jail	Prison			
County	Sex	Number of Cases	Number of Cases	Number of Cases	Number of Cases			
Carny	Female	2	2	0	0			
Sarpy	Male	4	2	2	0			
District <sup>-</sup>	Γotal	6	4	2	0			

Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court by Sentence, County and Race/Ethnicity								
County	Page	Total Cases	Probation	Jail	Prison			
County	Race	Number of Cases	Number of Cases	Number of Cases	Number of Cases			
Carny	Black	1	0	1	0			
Sarpy	White	5	4	1	0			
District <sup>-</sup>	Total	6 4		2	0			

#### Part (c) Motions to Transfer Cases and Cases Transferred

Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (c) The number of motions to transfer and the number of cases transferred to adult court from juvenile court and from adult criminal court to juvenile court.

The data represents motions to transfer filed in 2020 and transfers between court types which occurred in 2020. Therefore, the exact same subset of cases may not be represented in both columns. There can be multiple motions to transfer within a single case which, if filed in the same calendar year, would all be included in the counts below. Some cases may have a motion to transfer, but no transfer actually occurs.

Motions to Transfer Courts and Transfers District										
		Juvenile Court to Adult Court				Adu	Adult Court to Juvenile Court			
Demographic	Cohort	Motion to Transfer Juv to Adult	% of Total	Transfers Juv to Adult	% of Total	Motion to Transfer Adult to Juv	% of Total	Transfers Adult to Juv	% of Total	
	14-15	0		0		1	10%	1	17%	
Age Group	16	1	20%	0		5	50%	0		
	17	4	80%	1	100%	4	40%	5	83%	
	Black	3	60%	0		3	30%	4	67%	
Race/Ethnicity	Hispanic	1	20%	0		1	10%	0		
	White	1	20%	1	100%	6	60%	2	33%	
Carr	Female	0		0		1	10%	0		
Sex	Male	5	100%	1	100%	9	90%	6	100%	
District Total		5		1		10		6		

Motions to Transfer Courts and Transfers, County and Age Group									
			e Court to It Court	Adult Court to Juvenile Court					
County	Age Group	Motion to Transfer	to Cases		Cases Transfered				
Cass	17	1	1	0	1				
Otoe	17	0	0	1	0				
	14-15	0	0	1	1				
Sarpy	16	1	0	5	0				
	17	3	0	3	4				
District <sup>-</sup>	Total	5	1	10	6				

Motions to Transfer Courts and Transfers, County and Sex									
		•	e Court to t Court	Adult Court to Juvenile Court					
County	Sex	Motion to Transfer	Cases Transfered	Motion to Transfer	Cases Transfered				
Cass	Male	1	1	0	1				
Otoe	Male	0	0	1	0				
Carny	Female	0	0	1	0				
Sarpy	Male	4	0	8	5				
District 7	Total	5	1	10	6				

	Motions to Transfer Courts and Transfers, County and Race/Ethnicity										
		_	e Court to It Court	Adult Court to Juvenile Court							
County	Race/ Ethnicity	Motion to Transfer Transfered		Motion to Transfer	Cases Transfered						
Casa	Black	1	0	0	0						
Cass	White	0	1	0	1						
Otoe	Hispanic	0	0	1	0						
	Black	2	0	3	4						
Sarpy	Hispanic	1	0	0	0						
	White		0	6	1						
District 7	Γotal	5	1	10	6						

### Part (d) Juvenile Probation Activity

Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (d) Juveniles placed on juvenile probation, the number of juveniles on probation in out-of-home care, the number of juveniles completing probation, the number of motions to revoke probation and probation revocations, and the average length of time on probation.

The Juvenile Probation Activity - Youth data is provided from the Administrative Office of Probation's case management system, Nebraska Probation Application for Community Safety (NPACS). The table reports the number and percent of youth with various activity during the year. Youth are counted once in each category, regardless of the number of out-of-home placements, motions to revoke, or cases they may have in the year. Age groups are calculated specific to the activity as footnoted.

	Juvenile Probation Activity District										
Damagraphic	Cabart	Place Proba		Out-of-home Placement		Motio Revo		Satisfactory Release			
Demographic	Cohort	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total		
	11-13	20	6%	10	6%	2	7%	14	4%		
	14-15	76	23%	51	30%	3	10%	110	34%		
Age Group	16	82	25%	38	22%	8	27%	71	22%		
	17	121	36%	61	36%	3	10%	100	30%		
	18	33	10%	10	6%	14	47%	33	10%		
	Female	102	31%	34	20%	4	13%	99	30%		
Sex	Male	230	69%	136	80%	26	87%	229	70%		
	Black	38	11%	16	9%	2	7%	28	9%		
	Indian	2	1%	10	6%	0	0%	8	2%		
Race	Other	84	25%	40	24%	6	20%	77	23%		
	Pacific Islander	1	0%	0	0%	0	0%	4	1%		
	White	207	62%	104	61%	22	73%	211	64%		
Falousi aidas	Hispanic	73	22%	32	19%	6	20%	67	20%		
Ethnicity	Not Hispanic	259	78%	138	81%	24	80%	261	80%		
District Total		332	100%	170	100%	30	100%	328	100%		

<sup>1.</sup> Age at which the probation term began. 2. Age at the first admission to out-of-home placement. 3. Age as of the file date for motion to revoke. 4. Age as of the disposition date for motion to revoke. 5. Age as of the disposition date for motion.

	Juvenile Probation Activity District											
Carretin	Age	Place Proba		Out-of-home Placement		Motio Rev		Satisfactory Release				
County	Group	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total			
	11-13	2	1%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%			
	14-15	6	2%	2	1%	0	0%	12	4%			
Cass	16	6	2%	2	1%	0	0%	6	2%			
	17	4	1%	2	1%	0	0%	2	1%			
	18	6	2%	0	0%	2	7%	6	2%			
	14-15	4	1%	1	1%	1	3%	6	2%			
04	16	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	3	1%			
Otoe	17	3	1%	1	1%	1	3%	2	1%			
	18	1	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	0%			
	11-13	18	5%	10	6%	2	7%	14	4%			
	14-15	66	20%	48	28%	2	7%	92	28%			
Sarpy	16	76	23%	36	21%	8	27%	62	19%			
	17	114	34%	58	34%	2	7%	96	29%			
	18	26	8%	10	6%	12	40%	26	8%			
District	Γotal	332	100%	170	100%	30	100%	328	100%			

	Juvenile Probation Activity District											
County	Sex	Placed on Probation		Out-of-home Placement		Motions to Revoke		Satisfactory Release				
County		# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total			
	Female	2	1%	2	1%	0	0%	2	1%			
Cass	Male	22	7%	4	2%	2	7%	24	7%			
Otoo	Female	2	1%	0	0%	0	0%	3	1%			
Otoe	Male	6	2%	2	1%	2	7%	9	3%			
Carny	Female	98	30%	32	19%	4	13%	94	29%			
Sarpy Male		202	61%	130	76%	22	73%	196	60%			
District <sup>-</sup>	Γotal	332	100%	170	100%	30	100%	328	100%			

	Juvenile Probation Activity District											
County	Page	Place Proba		Out-of-home Placement		Motio Rev		Satisfa Rele	-			
County	Race	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total			
	White	20	6%	2	1%	2	7%	24	7%			
Casa	Black	2	1%	2	1%	0	0%	0	0%			
Cass	Indian	0	0%	2	1%	0	0%	0	0%			
	Other	2	1%	0	0%	0	0%	2	1%			
	White	7	2%	2	1%	2	7%	9	3%			
Otoe	Pacific Islander	1	0%	0	0%	0	0%	2	1%			
	Other	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	0%			
	Other	82	25%	40	24%	6	20%	74	23%			
	Black	36	11%	14	8%	2	7%	28	9%			
Sarpy	White	180	54%	100	59%	18	60%	178	54%			
	Indian	2	1%	8	5%	0	0%	8	2%			
	Pacific Islander		0%	0	0%	0	0%	2	1%			
District <sup>-</sup>	Гotal	332	100%	170	100%	30	100%	328	100%			

	Juvenile Probation Activity District											
Carratur	Ethnicity	Placed on Probation		Out-of-home Placement		Motions to Revoke		Satisfa Rele	•			
County	Ethnicity	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total			
Casa	Hispanic	6	2%	0	0%	0	0%	4	1%			
Cass	Not Hispanic	18	5%	6	4%	2	7%	22	7%			
Otas	Hispanic	1	0%	0	0%	0	0%	3	1%			
Otoe	Not Hispanic	7	2%	2	1%	2	7%	9	3%			
Camari	Hispanic		20%	32	19%	6	20%	60	18%			
Sarpy	Not Hispanic		70%	130	76%	20	67%	230	70%			
District <sup>-</sup>	Total	332	100%	170	100%	30	100%	328	100%			

### Part (d) Juvenile Probation - Youth Risk Level and Length of Probation

Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (d) Juveniles placed on juvenile probation, the number of juveniles on probation in out-of-home care, the number of juveniles completing probation, the number of motions to revoke probation and probation revocations, and the average length of time on probation.

Youth Level of Service/Case Management Inventory (YLS/CMI) is an assessment tool used to systematically measure risk for recidivism and appropriate supervision intensity for youth on probation. The following table shows the classification results of youth that entered into Probation in 2020, and another set of youth that discharged from Probation in 2020. Youth that are assessed at low or moderate low risk are matched to JCBR supervision and those assessed at high or moderate high risk are matched to JCBI supervision intensity

	Y	outh Risk	Levels	and Le	ength of Pi t	robation			
		Youth Sta Probati	_	Youth	Discharged 1	rom Probation	Average Length of Probation		
Demo- graphic	Cohort	JCBR/ Low/ Moderate Low	JCBI/ High	JCBR/ Low	JCBI/ High/ Moderate High	No Assessment**	Total Youth	Avg Length of Probation	
	11-13	14	6	6			6	6.0	
	14-15	43	33	50	9	2	61	7.2	
Age Group	16	52	30	47	24		71	9.1	
	17	68	53	66	39		105	10.2	
	18	28	5	78	47		125	9.8	
Sex	Female	70	32	80	26		106	9.0	
Sex	Male	135	95	167	93	2	262	9.4	
	Am. Indian		2	4	4		8	14.5	
	Asian/P.I.	1		3			3	5.0	
Race	Black	20	18	20	10		30	8.7	
	White	134	73	163	75	2	240	9.8	
	Other	50	34	57	30		87	7.8	
Ethnicity	Hispanic	46	27	47	28		75	7.9	
Ethnicity	Not Hispanic	159	100	200	91	2	293	9.7	
District Tota	nl	205	127	247	119	2	368	9.3	

		Youth F			nd Length and Age Gi	of Probation oup			
		Youth Sta Probati	_	Youth	Discharged 1	from Probation	Average Length of Probation		
County	Age Group	JCBR/ Low/ Moderate Low	JCBI/ High	JCBR/ Low	JCBI/ High/ Moderate High	No Assessment**	Total Youth	Avg Length of Probation	
	11-13	2		2			2	2.0	
	14-15	6		8			8	6.0	
Cass	16	4	2	6			6	7.7	
	17	4		2			2	25.0	
	18	6		12	2		14	8.9	
	14-15	1	3	4	1		5	5.6	
Otoe	16			1			1	6.0	
Otoe	17		3	2	1		3	10.3	
	18		1		3		3	7.3	
	11-13	12	6	4			4	8.0	
	14-15	36	30	38	8	2	48	7.6	
Sarpy	16	48	28	40	24		64	9.2	
	17	64	50	62	38		100	9.9	
	18	22	4	66	42		108	10.0	
District 7	Γotal	205	127	247	119	2	368	9.3	

	Youth Risk Levels and Length of Probation by County and Sex										
		Youth Sta Probati	_	Yout	n Discharged fr	om Probation	_	Average Length of Probation			
County	Sex	JCBR/Low/ Moderate Low	JCBI/ High	JCBR/ Low	JCBI/High/ Moderate High	No Assessment**	Total Youth	Avg Length of Probation			
Coss	Female		2	4			4	14.0			
Cass	Male	22		26	2		28	7.7			
Otos	Female		2		2		2	7.5			
Otoe	Male	1	5	7	3		10	7.2			
Campi	Female	70	28	76	24		100	8.9			
Sarpy	Male	112	90	134	88	2	224	9.7			
District To	tal	205	127	247	119	2	368	9.3			

	Youth Risk Levels and Length of Probation by County and Race										
		Youth Sta Probati	_	Youth	Discharged 1	from Probation	Average Length of Probation				
County	Race	JCBR/ Low/ Moderate Low	JCBI/ High	JCBR/ Low	JCBI/ High/ Moderate High	No Assessment**	Total Youth	Avg Length of Probation			
	Am. Indian				2		2	12.0			
Cass	Black		2	2			2	8.0			
Cass	White	20		26			26	8.8			
	Other	2		2			2	1.0			
	Asian/P.I.	1		1			1	5.0			
Otoe	White		7	5	5		10	7.3			
	Other			1			1	9.0			
	Am. Indian		2	4	2		6	15.3			
	Asian/P.I.			2			2	5.0			
Sarpy	Black	20	16	18	10		28	8.8			
	White	114	66	132	70	2	204	10.0			
	Other	48	34	54	30		84	8.0			
District <sup>-</sup>	Гotal	205	127	247	119	2	368	9.3			

80

	Youth Risk Levels and Length of Probation by County and Ethnicity										
		Youth Starting Probation		Youth	n Discharged fi	Average Length of Probation					
County	Ethnicity	JCBR/Low/ Moderate Low	JCBI/ High	JCBR/ Low	JCBI/High/ Moderate High	No Assessment**	Total Youth	Avg Length of Probation			
C	Hispanic	6		4			4	2.5			
Cass	Not Hispanic	16	2	26	2		28	9.4			
Otto	Hispanic		1	1	2		3	8.3			
Otoe	Not Hispanic	1	6	6	3		9	6.9			
Camari	Hispanic	40	26	42	26		68	8.2			
Sarpy	Not Hispanic	142	92	168	86	2	256	9.8			
District To	tal	205	127	247	119	2	368	9.3			

### Part (e) Juveniles Access to Counsel

Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (e) juveniles with and without access to counsel in juvenile and adult court, both appointed and retained.

The Juveniles Access to Counsel table displays the percent of juveniles represented by an attorney in criminal cases from county court and district court and juvenile cases from county court and separate juvenile court. Data includes new cases filed and cases transferred from one county to another during calendar year 2020. Cases filed in 2020 may not have reached the sentencing/adjudication stage of proceedings. Cases for juveniles ages 13 and below must be filed and stay in juvenile court.

	Juvenile Access to Counsel  District											
			Criminal C	ourt Cases		Juvenile Court Cases						
Demographic	Cohort	Total Criminal Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel	Total Juvenile Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel			
	11-13	1	1	0	0%	51	2	49	96%			
Ago Croup	14-15	9	4	5	56%	133	7	126	95%			
Age Group	16	43	25	18	42%	112	5	107	96%			
	17	66	36	30	45%	123	3	120	98%			
	Female	25	14	11	44%	142	7	135	95%			
Sex	Male	92	52	40	43%	277	10	267	96%			
	Unknown	2	0	2	100%							
	Am. Indian					2	0	2	100%			
	Black	18	8	10	56%	61	1	60	98%			
Dana (Ethaniaitus	Hispanic	17	13	4	24%	8	1	7	88%			
Race/Ethnicity	White	77	41	36	47%	236	0	236	100%			
	Other	2	2	0	0%	2	0	2	100%			
	Unknown	5	2	3	60%	110	15	95	86%			
District Total		119	66	53	45%	419	17	402	96%			

				nile Acc County a					
			Criminal C	ourt Cases			Juvenile C	# With Counsel Co	
County	Age Group	Total Criminal Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel	Total Juvenile Court Cases	# Without Counsel		% With Counsel
	11-13					3	0	3	100%
Cass	14-15					7	0	7	100%
Cass	16	4	4	0	0%	13	0	13	100%
	17	6	4	2	33%	8	0	8	100%
	11-13					4	2	2	50%
Otas	14-15					19	7	12	63%
Otoe	16	2	2	0	0%	11	5	6	55%
	17	4	3	1	25%	11	2	9	82%
	11-13	1	1	0	0%	44	0	44	100%
Carny	14-15	9	4	5	56%	107	0	107	100%
Sarpy	16	37	19	18	49%	88	0	88	100%
	17	56	29	27	48%	104	1	103	99%
District <sup>-</sup>	Fotal	119	66	53	45%	419	17	402	96%

				ile Acces y County					
			Criminal C	ourt Cases			Juvenile C	ourt Cases	
County	Sex	Total Criminal Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel	Total Juvenile Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel
C	Female	1	0	1	100%	5	0	5	100%
Cass	Male	9	8	1	11%	26	0	26	100%
04	Female	3	3	0	0%	17	7	10	59%
Otoe	Male	3	2	1	33%	28	9	19	68%
	Female	21	11	10	48%	120	0	120	100%
Sarpy	Male	80	42	38	48%	223	1	222	100%
	Unknown	2	0	2	100%				
District 7	Γotal	119	66	53	45%	419	17	402	96%

#### Juvenile Access to Counsel by County and Race/Ethnicity **Criminal Court Cases** Juvenile Court Cases Total Total Race/ # County % With # With % With Criminal # With Juvenile Ethnicity Without Without Court Counsel Counsel Court Counsel Counsel Counsel Counsel Cases Cases Black 1 0 1 100% White 7 6 1 14% 5 0 5 100% Cass Other 1 1 0 0% 2 1 25 0 25 100% Unknown 1 50% Hispanic 3 2 1 33% 1 1 0 0% Otoe White 2 2 0 0% Unknown 1 0 0% 29 66% 1 44 15 2 Am. Indian 0 100% Black 8 10 56% 60 59 98% 18 1 7 7 Hispanic 14 11 3 21% 0 100% Sarpy White 231 100% 68 33 35 51% 231 0 Other 1 0 0% 2 0 2 100% 1 2 0 2 100% 0 41 100% Unknown 41 53 402 **District Total** 119 66 45% 419 17 96%

### Part (a) Juvenile Petitions and Adjudications

Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (a) Juveniles prosecuted in juvenile court under subdivision (1), (2), (3)(b), or (4) of section 43-247, including the total number of filings and adjudications.

The Juvenile Petitions and Adjudications tables report the number of new juvenile cases and corresponding percent of adjudications by case type and demographic group for cases filed in 2020. The data consists of both county court and separate juvenile court cases. Only the most serious offense is counted for cases with multiple charges, pleas or findings. Cases are counted in the Admit column if at least one charge was admitted to in court. Supplemental petitions are not included in this data set. Cases filed in 2020 may not have reached the adjudication stage of proceedings. Juvenile cases where the age is unknown, if any, are included in the report.

	Juv	/enile F	Petition		djudic trict	ation b <u>y</u>	y Case T	уре			
		Sta	atus Offe	nse	М	isdemea	nor	Felony			
Demo- graphic	Cohort	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated	
	14-15	39	20	51%	38	36	95%	16	15	94%	
Age Group	16	12	1	8%	25	22	88%	11	11	100%	
огоар	17	0	0	0%	30	24	80%	10	9	90%	
	Female	19	8	42%	42	36	86%	10	10	100%	
Sex	Male	32	13	41%	50	46	92%	27	25	93%	
	Unknown	0	0	0%	1	0	0%	0	0	0%	
	Am. Indian	2	2	100%	5	5	100%	1	1	100%	
	Asian/P.I.	2	1	50%	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	
Race/	Black	6	2	33%	24	20	83%	9	8	89%	
Ethnicity			5	36%	6	5	83%	9	9	100%	
	White	24	9	38%	51	47	92%	15	15	100%	
	Unknown		2	67%	7	5	71%	2	1	50%	
District Tot	tal	51	21	41%	93	82	88%	37	35	95%	

### Part (b) Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court - Case Type

Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (b) Juveniles prosecuted in adult criminal court for felonies, misdemeanors, and traffic offenses. The information shall include juveniles sentenced to terms in adult jails and prisons and juveniles placed on adult probation.

Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court tables consist of criminal and traffic cases in county court and criminal cases in district court that reached the point of sentencing in 2020. Cases with multiple charges are counted once per case and reported as the most severe offense. Cases for juveniles ages 13 and below must be filed and stay in juvenile court; however, judges have the authority to allow these cases in criminal court. Therefore, only juveniles ages 14 through 17 at the time of the offense appear in adult criminal court data.

	Juven	iles Pro	by	ed in Ac Case Ty District		ninal Co	ourt		
		All C	Cases	Tra	affic	Misde	meanor	Fel	ony
Demographic	Cohort	Total Cases	% of Total	Total Cases	% of Total for Case Type Group	Total Cases	% of Total for Case Type Group	Total Cases	% of Total for Case Type Group
Age Group	14-15	2	22%	0		1	100%	1	14%
	16	3	33%	0		0		3	43%
	17	4	44%	1	100%	0		3	43%
Race/Ethnicity	Black	3	43%	0		0		3	60%
	Hispanic	3	43%	1	100%	1	100%	1	20%
	White	1	14%	0		0		1	20%
	Unknown	2		0		0		2	
Sex	Female	1	11%	1	100%	0		0	
	Male	8	89%	0		1	100%	7	100%
District Total		9	100%	1	11%	1	11%	7	78%

### Part (b) Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court - Sentence

Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (b) Juveniles prosecuted in adult criminal court for felonies, misdemeanors, and traffic offenses. The information shall include juveniles sentenced to terms in adult jails and prisons and juveniles placed on adult probation.

Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court tables include criminal and traffic cases in county court and criminal cases in district court that reached the point of sentencing in 2020. All sentencing types are included where multiple sentencing types occur within a case (ex. probation/jail). Youth who are sentenced to probation in Adult Court are supervised by Adult Probation. Age is calculated from the age at offense, not the age at sentencing.

	Juveniles	Prosecut	ed in <i>i</i>	Adult Cri Distric		ourt by S	entenci	ng	
		Total C	ases	Proba	ation	Ja	il	Pris	on
Demographic	Cohort	Number of Cases	% of Total	Number of Cases	% of Cohort	Number of Cases	% of Cohort	Number of Cases	% of Cohort
	14-15	2	22%	1	50%	0	0%	1	50%
Age Group	16	3	33%	1	33%	1	33%	1	33%
	17	4	44%	2	50%	1	25%	1	25%
<b>.</b>	Male	8	89%	3	50%	2	0%	3	50%
Sex	Female	1	11%	1	50%	0	25%	0	25%
	Hispanic	3	43%	2	50%	0	0%	1	50%
Danie /Falanciaita	Unknown	2	0%	1	50%	0	0%	1	50%
Race/Ethnicity	Race/Ethnicity Black		43%	1	33%	2	33%	0	33%
	White		14%	0	50%	0	25%	1	25%
District Total		9	100%	4	50%	2	0%	3	50%

#### Part (c) Motions to Transfer Cases and Cases Transferred

Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (c) The number of motions to transfer and the number of cases transferred to adult court from juvenile court and from adult criminal court to juvenile court.

The data represents motions to transfer filed in 2020 and transfers between court types which occurred in 2020. Therefore, the exact same subset of cases may not be represented in both columns. There can be multiple motions to transfer within a single case which, if filed in the same calendar year, would all be included in the counts below. Some cases may have a motion to transfer, but no transfer actually occurs.

		Motions	to Tra	ansfer Cou District		d Transfe	ers		
		Juvenil	le Cour	t to Adult C	ourt	Adu	lt Court	to Juvenile Cour	t
Demographic	Cohort	Motion to Transfer Juv to Adult	% of Total	Transfers Juv to Adult	% of Total	Motion to Transfer Adult to Juv	% of Total	Transfers Adult to Juv	% of Total
	14-15	3	50%	0		4	80%	0	
Age Group	16	2	33%	0		1	20%	0	
	17	1	17%	0		0		0	
	Black	3	50%	0		0		0	
D /FH	Hispanic	1	17%	0		3	75%	0	
Race/Ethnicity	White	2	33%	0		1	25%	0	
	Unknown	0		0		1		0	
C	Female	2	33%	0		0		0	
Sex	Male	4	67%	0		5	100%	0	
District Total		6		0		5		0	

### Part (d) Juvenile Probation Activity

Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (d) Juveniles placed on juvenile probation, the number of juveniles on probation in out-of-home care, the number of juveniles completing probation, the number of motions to revoke probation and probation revocations, and the average length of time on probation.

The Juvenile Probation Activity - Youth data is provided from the Administrative Office of Probation's case management system, Nebraska Probation Application for Community Safety (NPACS). The table reports the number and percent of youth with various activity during the year. Youth are counted once in each category, regardless of the number of out-of-home placements, motions to revoke, or cases they may have in the year. Age groups are calculated specific to the activity as footnoted.

	Ju	/enile P	robati Distric		ivity						
Domographic	Cahaut	Place Proba		Out-of- Place		Motio Rev		Proba Revo		Rele  of # of youth  72  72  74  75  76  77  78  79  70  70  70  70  70  70  70  70  70	_
Demographic	Cohort	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total		% of Total
	11-13	30	6%	20	5%	6	6%	0	0%	72	15%
	14-15	174	33%	120	27%	16	16%	2	100%	174	36%
Age Group	16	140	26%	98	22%	24	24%	0	0%	134	27%
	17	144	27%	134	30%	44	45%	0	0%	80	16%
	18	46	9%	68	15%	8	8%	0	0%	30	6%
Cov	Female	184	34%	162	37%	40	41%	0	0%	190	39%
Sex	Male	350	66%	278	63%	58	59%	2	100%	300	61%
	American Indian Or Alaskan Native	0	0%	0	0%	10	10%	0	0%	0	0%
	Black	150	28%	108	25%	18	18%	0	0%	90	18%
Dana	Indian	44	8%	44	10%	0	0%	0	0%	26	5%
Race	Other	12	2%	42	10%	8	8%	0	0%	30	6%
	Pacific Islander	8	1%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	6	1%
	White	320	60%	246	56%	62	63%	2	100%	338	69%
[the identity	Hispanic	128	24%	114	26%	20	20%	0	0%	112	23%
Ethnicity	Not Hispanic	406	76%	326	74%	78	80%	2	100%	378	77%
District Total		534	100%	440	100%	98	100%	2	100%	490	100%

<sup>1.</sup> Age at which the probation term began. 2. Age at the first admission to out-of-home placement. 3. Age as of the file date for motion to revoke. 4. Age as of the disposition date for motion to revoke. 5. Age as of the disposition date for motion.

### Part (d) Juvenile Probation - Youth Risk Level and Length of Probation

Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (d) Juveniles placed on juvenile probation, the number of juveniles on probation in out-of-home care, the number of juveniles completing probation, the number of motions to revoke probation and probation revocations, and the average length of time on probation.

Youth Level of Service/Case Management Inventory (YLS/CMI) is an assessment tool used to systematically measure risk for recidivism and appropriate supervision intensity for youth on probation. The following table shows the classification results of youth that entered into Probation in 2020, and another set of youth that discharged from Probation in 2020. Youth that are assessed at low or moderate low risk are matched to JCBR supervision and those assessed at high or moderate high risk are matched to JCBI supervision intensity

	Youth R	isk Levels I	and Le Distric		of Probatic	on	
		Youth Sta Probati	_		Discharged Probation		ge Length robation
Demo- graphic	Cohort	JCBR/ Low/ Moderate Low	JCBI/ High	JCBR/ Low	JCBI/ High/ Moderate High	Total Youth	Avg Length of Probation
	11-13	24	6	20		20	8.1
	14-15		44	96	2	98	10.1
Age Group	Age Group 16		40	88	14	102	11.4
	17	86	58	118	34	152	14.1
	18	28	18	174	126	300	21.0
Sex	Female	148	36	198	36	234	14.0
Sex	Male	220	130	298	140	438	17.1
	Am. Indian	28	16	24	16	40	18.0
	Asian/P.I.	6	2	12	2	14	14.7
Race	Black	96	54	98	50	148	15.6
	White	230	90	336	90	426	15.5
	Other	8	4	26	18	44	21.0
Ethnicity	Hispanic	88	40	110	30	140	14.9
Ethnicity	Not Hispanic	280	126	386	146	532	16.3
District Tota	District Total		166	496	176	672	16.0

### Part (e) Juveniles Access to Counsel

Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (e) juveniles with and without access to counsel in juvenile and adult court, both appointed and retained.

The Juveniles Access to Counsel table displays the percent of juveniles represented by an attorney in criminal cases from county court and district court and juvenile cases from county court and separate juvenile court. Data includes new cases filed and cases transferred from one county to another during calendar year 2020. Cases filed in 2020 may not have reached the sentencing/adjudication stage of proceedings. Cases for juveniles ages 13 and below must be filed and stay in juvenile court.

			Juvenile	Access to District	o Counse	el					
			Criminal C	ourt Cases		Juvenile Court Cases					
Demographic	Cohort	Total Criminal Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel	Total Juvenile Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel		
	11-13	1	0	1	100%	54	24	30	56%		
Ago Croup	14-15	16	6	10	62%	132	46	86	65%		
Age Group	16	51	36	15	29%	66	31	35	53%		
	17	105	66	39	37%	54	28	26	48%		
	Female	53	37	16	30%	121	59	62	51%		
Sex	Male	120	71	49	41%	184	69	115	62%		
	Unknown					1	1	0	0%		
	Am. Indian	4	1	3	75%	13	5	8	62%		
	Asian/P.I.	3	2	1	33%	5	2	3	60%		
December 15th and a street	Black	29	21	8	28%	69	27	42	61%		
Race/Ethnicity	Hispanic	23	8	15	65%	44	13	31	70%		
	White	99	72	27	27%	150	69	# With Counsel  30 86 35 26 62 115 0 8 3 42	54%		
	Unknown	15	4	11	73%	25	13	12	48%		
District Total		173	108	65	38%	306	129	177	58%		

### Part (a) Juvenile Petitions and Adjudications

Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (a) Juveniles prosecuted in juvenile court under subdivision (1), (2), (3)(b), or (4) of section 43-247, including the total number of filings and adjudications.

The Juvenile Petitions and Adjudications tables report the number of new juvenile cases and corresponding percent of adjudications by case type and demographic group for cases filed in 2020. The data consists of both county court and separate juvenile court cases. Only the most serious offense is counted for cases with multiple charges, pleas or findings. Cases are counted in the Admit column if at least one charge was admitted to in court. Supplemental petitions are not included in this data set. Cases filed in 2020 may not have reached the adjudication stage of proceedings. Juvenile cases where the age is unknown, if any, are included in the report.

			Juveni	ile Petit	ions ar	nd Adju Distric	dicatio t	n by Ca	ase Typ	e			
		Tra	affic Offe	ense	St	atus Offe	nse	M	lisdemea	nor	Felony		
Demo- graphic	Cohort	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated
	14-15	4	2	50%	12	7	58%	115	67	58%	60	49	82%
Age Group	16	1	0	0%	12	5	42%	66	39	59%	38	29	76%
5. 5 up	17	0	0	0%	5	3	60%	58	38	66%	21	16	76%
Sex	Female	2	1	50%	10	7	70%	66	42	64%	14	11	79%
Sex	Male	3	1	33%	19	8	42%	173	102	59%	105	83	79%
	Am. Indian	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	0	0%	0	0	0%
	Asian/P.I.	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	2	2	100%	2	1	50%
	Black	4	2	50%	9	4	44%	128	68	53%	64	47	73%
Race/ Ethnicity	Hispanic	0	0	0%	9	4	44%	43	30	70%	28	25	89%
_ sc.cy	White	1	0	0%	6	4	67%	62	42	68%	24	20	83%
	Other	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	3	2	67%	1	1	100%
	Unknown	0	0	0%	5	3	60%	0	0	0%	0	0	0%
District To	tal	5	2	40%	29	15	52%	239	144	60%	119	94	79%

### Part (b) Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court - Case Type

Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (b) Juveniles prosecuted in adult criminal court for felonies, misdemeanors, and traffic offenses. The information shall include juveniles sentenced to terms in adult jails and prisons and juveniles placed on adult probation.

Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court tables consist of criminal and traffic cases in county court and criminal cases in district court that reached the point of sentencing in 2020. Cases with multiple charges are counted once per case and reported as the most severe offense. Cases for juveniles ages 13 and below must be filed and stay in juvenile court; however, judges have the authority to allow these cases in criminal court. Therefore, only juveniles ages 14 through 17 at the time of the offense appear in adult criminal court data.

	Juven	iles Pro	by	ed in Ac Case Ty District		ninal Co	ourt		
		All Cases		Traffic		Misde	meanor	Felony	
Demographic	Cohort	Total Cases	% of Total	Total Cases	% of Total for Case Type Group	Total Cases	% of Total for Case Type Group	Total Cases	% of Total for Case Type Group
Age Group	14-15	5	5%	0		0		5	16%
	16	36	35%	7	35%	18	35%	11	34%
	17	63	61%	13	65%	34	65%	16	50%
Race/Ethnicity	Asian/P.I.	1	1%	0		1	2%	0	
	Black	47	47%	7	41%	18	35%	22	69%
	Hispanic	20	20%	1	6%	10	19%	9	28%
	White	32	32%	8	47%	23	44%	1	3%
	Other	1	1%	1	6%	0		0	
	Unknown	3		3		0		0	
Sex	Female	24	23%	8	40%	14	27%	2	6%
	Male	80	77%	12	60%	38	73%	30	94%
District Total		104	100%	20	19%	52	50%	32	31%

### Part (b) Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court - Sentence

Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (b) Juveniles prosecuted in adult criminal court for felonies, misdemeanors, and traffic offenses. The information shall include juveniles sentenced to terms in adult jails and prisons and juveniles placed on adult probation.

Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court tables include criminal and traffic cases in county court and criminal cases in district court that reached the point of sentencing in 2020. All sentencing types are included where multiple sentencing types occur within a case (ex. probation/jail). Youth who are sentenced to probation in Adult Court are supervised by Adult Probation. Age is calculated from the age at offense, not the age at sentencing.

	Juveniles	Prosecut	ed in <i>i</i>	Adult Cri Distric		ourt by S	entenci	ng	
		Total C	ases	Prob	ation	Ja	il	Pris	on
Demographic	Cohort	Number of Cases	% of Total	Number of Cases	% of Cohort	Number of Cases	% of Cohort	Number of Cases	% of Cohort
	14-15	5	5%	1	20%	1	20%	3	60%
Age Group	16	36	35%	28	78%	1	3%	7	19%
	17	63	61%	45	71%	7	11%	11	17%
C	Male	80	77%	54	20%	5	20%	21	60%
Sex	Female	24	23%	20	78%	4	3%	0	19%
	Black	47	47%	27	20%	7	20%	13	60%
	Hispanic	20	20%	11	78%	1	3%	8	19%
D (51)	White	32	32%	31	78%	1	3%	0	19%
Race/Ethnicity	Other	1	1%	1	78%	0	3%	0	19%
	Asian/P.I.	1	1%	1	71%	0	11%	0	17%
	Unknown	3	0%	3	71%	0	11%	0	17%
District Total		104	100%	74	20%	9	20%	21	60%

### Part (c) Motions to Transfer Cases and Cases Transferred

Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (c) The number of motions to transfer and the number of cases transferred to adult court from juvenile court and from adult criminal court to juvenile court.

The data represents motions to transfer filed in 2020 and transfers between court types which occurred in 2020. Therefore, the exact same subset of cases may not be represented in both columns. There can be multiple motions to transfer within a single case which, if filed in the same calendar year, would all be included in the counts below. Some cases may have a motion to transfer, but no transfer actually occurs.

	Motions to Transfer Courts and Transfers District											
		Juveni	le Court	t to Adult Co	ourt	Adu	Adult Court to Juvenile Court					
Demographic	Cohort	Motion to Transfer Juv to Adult	% of Total	Transfers Juv to Adult	% of Total	Motion to Transfer Adult to Juv	% of Total	Transfers Adult to Juv	% of Total			
	14-15	1	8%	0		11	26%	0				
Age Group	16	4	31%	0		13	30%	0				
	17	8	62%	0		19	44%	0				
	Am. Indian	0		0		1	2%	0				
Danie (Edianiaia)	Black	7	54%	0		25	58%	0				
Race/Ethnicity	Hispanic	4	31%	0		10	23%	0				
	White	2	15%	0		7	16%	0				
<b></b>	Female	0		0		1	2%	0				
Sex	Male	13	100%	0		42	98%	0				
District Total		13		0		43		0				

### Part (d) Juvenile Probation Activity

Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (d) Juveniles placed on juvenile probation, the number of juveniles on probation in out-of-home care, the number of juveniles completing probation, the number of motions to revoke probation and probation revocations, and the average length of time on probation.

The Juvenile Probation Activity - Youth data is provided from the Administrative Office of Probation's case management system, Nebraska Probation Application for Community Safety (NPACS). The table reports the number and percent of youth with various activity during the year. Youth are counted once in each category, regardless of the number of out-of-home placements, motions to revoke, or cases they may have in the year. Age groups are calculated specific to the activity as footnoted.

	Juvenile Probation Activity District								
Demographic	Cohort	Place Proba		Out-of- Place		Motio Revo		Satisfactory Release	
Demographic	Conort	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total
	11-13	40	5%	40	4%	4	2%	60	6%
	14-15	282	32%	284	32%	50	24%	348	36%
Age Group	16	272	31%	248	28%	44	21%	294	30%
	17	242	27%	232	26%	68	33%	220	23%
	18	48	5%	94	10%	42	20%	54	6%
C	Female	212	24%	190	21%	42	20%	318	33%
Sex	Male	672	76%	708	79%	166	80%	658	67%
	American Indian Or Alaskan Native	0	0%	0	0%	2	1%	0	0%
	Asian Or Pacific Islander	0	0%	0	0%	2	1%	0	0%
	Black	382	43%	480	53%	102	49%	396	41%
Race	Indian	16	2%	22	2%	0	0%	14	1%
	Other	190	21%	106	12%	40	19%	188	19%
	Pacific Islander	18	2%	26	3%	0	0%	18	2%
	White	278	31%	264	29%	62	30%	360	37%
Falls or i wide a	240	27%	172	19%	46	22%	252	26%	
Ethnicity	Not Hispanic			726	81%	162	78%	724	74%
District Total		884	100%	898	100%	208	100%	976	100%

<sup>1.</sup> Age at which the probation term began. 2. Age at the first admission to out-of-home placement. 3. Age as of the file date for motion to revoke. 4. Age as of the disposition date for motion to revoke. 5. Age as of the disposition date for discharge from probation.

### Part (d) Juvenile Probation - Youth Risk Level and Length of Probation

Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (d) Juveniles placed on juvenile probation, the number of juveniles on probation in out-of-home care, the number of juveniles completing probation, the number of motions to revoke probation and probation revocations, and the average length of time on probation.

Youth Level of Service/Case Management Inventory (YLS/CMI) is an assessment tool used to systematically measure risk for recidivism and appropriate supervision intensity for youth on probation. The following table shows the classification results of youth that entered into Probation in 2020, and another set of youth that discharged from Probation in 2020. Youth that are assessed at low or moderate low risk are matched to JCBR supervision and those assessed at high or moderate high risk are matched to JCBI supervision intensity

	Youth Risk Levels and Length of Probation District										
		Youth	Starting	; Probation	Youth	Discharged 1	from Probation	Average Length of Probation			
Demo- graphic	Cohort	JCBR/ Low/ Moderate Low	JCBI/ High	No Assessment**	JCBR/ Low	JCBI/ High/ Moderate High	No Assessment**	Total Youth	Avg Length of Probation		
	11-13	8	30	2	4	4		8	10.2		
	14-15	110	170	2	78	44		122	9.6		
Age Group	16	98	172	2	110	80		190	13.3		
	17	90	146	6	182	180	2	364	14.0		
	18	18	30		176	252		428	17.4		
Cav	Female	96	114	2	190	152		342	14.0		
Sex	Male	228	434	10	360	408	2	770	15.0		
	Am. Indian	4	12		12	14		26	15.7		
	Asian/P.I.	4	14		14	2		16	10.1		
Race	Black	118	256	8	202	244	2	448	15.7		
	White	126	152		200	210		410	14.5		
	Other	72	114	4	122	90		212	13.1		
Cthoi-it.	Hispanic	98	138	4	144	138		282	15.0		
Ethnicity	Not Hispanic	226	410	8	406	422	2	830	14.6		
District Tota	al	324	548	12	550	560	2	1,112	14.7		

### Part (e) Juveniles Access to Counsel

Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (e) juveniles with and without access to counsel in juvenile and adult court, both appointed and retained.

The Juveniles Access to Counsel table displays the percent of juveniles represented by an attorney in criminal cases from county court and district court and juvenile cases from county court and separate juvenile court. Data includes new cases filed and cases transferred from one county to another during calendar year 2020. Cases filed in 2020 may not have reached the sentencing/adjudication stage of proceedings. Cases for juveniles ages 13 and below must be filed and stay in juvenile court.

	Juvenile Access to Counsel District										
			Criminal C	ourt Cases		Juvenile Court Cases					
Demographic	Cohort	Total Criminal Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel	Total Juvenile Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel		
	11-13					103	0	103	100%		
Ago Croup	14-15	30	1	29	97%	409	2	407	100%		
Age Group	16	100	51	49	49%	256	1	255	100%		
	17	191	71	120	63%	186	2	184	99%		
	Female	65	38	27	42%	234	1	233	100%		
Sex	Male	256	85	171	67%	706	4	702	99%		
	Unknown					14	0	14	100%		
	Am. Indian	4	1	3	75%	13	0	13	100%		
	Asian/P.I.	4	3	1	25%	15	0	15	100%		
	Black	127	39	88	69%	491	2	489	100%		
Race/Ethnicity	Hispanic	80	28	52	65%	172	1	171	99%		
	White	105	52	53	50%	203	1	202	100%		
	Other					10	0	10	100%		
	Unknown	1	0	1	100%	50	1	49	98%		
District Total		321	123	198	62%	954	5	949	99%		

### Part (a) Juvenile Petitions and Adjudications

Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (a) Juveniles prosecuted in juvenile court under subdivision (1), (2), (3)(b), or (4) of section 43-247, including the total number of filings and adjudications.

The Juvenile Petitions and Adjudications tables report the number of new juvenile cases and corresponding percent of adjudications by case type and demographic group for cases filed in 2020. The data consists of both county court and separate juvenile court cases. Only the most serious offense is counted for cases with multiple charges, pleas or findings. Cases are counted in the Admit column if at least one charge was admitted to in court. Supplemental petitions are not included in this data set. Cases filed in 2020 may not have reached the adjudication stage of proceedings. Juvenile cases where the age is unknown, if any, are included in the report.

	Juvenile Petitions and Adjudication by Case Type District												
		Traffic Offense			Status Offense			М	lisdemea	nor	Felony		
Demo- graphic	Cohort	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated
	14-15	5	4	80%	10	9	90%	48	42	88%	12	8	67%
Age Group	16	5	5	100%	10	9	90%	49	44	90%	10	9	90%
J. J. J. J.	17	3	3	100%	5	4	80%	49	37	76%	9	8	89%
	Female	4	3	75%	14	12	86%	33	29	88%	4	3	75%
Sex	Male	9	9	100%	10	9	90%	108	89	82%	25	20	80%
	Unknown	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	5	5	100%	2	2	100%
	Asian/P.I.	0	0	0%	1	0	0%	2	2	100%	0	0	0%
	Black	1	1	100%	1	1	100%	1	1	100%	2	2	100%
Race/ Ethnicity	Hispanic	8	8	100%	4	3	75%	50	44	88%	8	7	88%
	White	4	3	75%	17	16	94%	81	67	83%	13	10	77%
	Unknown	0	0	0%	2	2	100%	12	9	75%	8	6	75%
District To	istrict Total 13 12 92% 25 22 88% 146 123 84% 31 25 81%												

Juvenile Cases and Adjudication by Case Type, County and Age Group														
		Tr	affic Offe	nse	St	atus Offe	nse	N	1isdemear	nor	Felony			
County	Age Group	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated										
	14-15	2	1	50%	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%	
Boone	16	0	0	0%	2	2	100%	1	0	0%	1	1	100%	
	17	0	0	0%	2	2	100%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%	
	14-15	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	
Butler	16	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	3	2	67%	0	0	0%	
	17	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	2	2	100%	0	0	0%	
	14-15	2	2	100%	3	2	67%	20	20	100%	2	1	50%	
Colfax	16	3	3	100%	0	0	0%	13	13	100%	0	0	0%	
	17	2	2	100%	0	0	0%	13	10	77%	0	0	0%	
	14-15	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	4	3	75%	0	0	0%	
Hamilton	16	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	7	7	100%	1	1	100%	
	17	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	7	6	86%	1	1	100%	
	14-15	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	3	2	67%	1	1	100%	
Merrick	16	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	4	4	100%	0	0	0%	
	17	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	4	4	100%	3	3	100%	
	16	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	2	2	100%	2	2	100%	
Nance	17	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	
	14-15	1	1	100%	5	5	100%	7	6	86%	1	1	100%	
Platte	16	2	2	100%	5	4	80%	5	4	80%	2	1	50%	
	17	1	1	100%	3	2	67%	11	6	55%	1	1	100%	
	14-15	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	
Polk	16	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	2	2	100%	0	0	0%	
	17	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	4	4	100%	0	0	0%	
	14-15	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	3	2	67%	1	0	0%	
Saunders	16	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	2	2	100%	
	17	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	2	1	50%	2	2	100%	
	14-15	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	7	6	86%	2	2	100%	
Seward	16	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	6	5	83%	2	2	100%	
	17	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	2	2	100%	0	0	0%	

	Juvenile Cases and Adjudication by Case Type, County and Age Group												
Traffic Offense Status Offense Misdemeanor Felo									Felony				
County	Age Group	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated	Filings Adjudi- cated % Adjudi- cated			Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated
	14-15	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	3	2	67%	4	2	50%
York	16	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	6	5	83%	0	0	0%
	17 0 0 0% 0 0 0% 3 1 33% 1 0 0%											0%	
District Total	District Total 13 12 92% 25 22 88% 146 123 84% 31 25 81%												

			Juve	enile Ca		d Adjud ounty ar	lication nd Sex	by Cas	e Type,				
		Tı	raffic Offe	nse	Si	tatus Offe	nse	N	/lisdemear	nor		Felony	
County	Sex	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated
D	Female	1	0	0%	2	2	100%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%
Boone	Male	1	1	100%	2	2	100%	2	1	50%	1	1	100%
Dutlan	Female	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	2	2	100%	0	0	0%
Butler	Male	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	3	2	67%	1	1	100%
Calfan	Female	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	17	16	94%	0	0	0%
Colfax	Male	7	7	100%	2	1	50%	29	27	93%	2	1	50%
	Female	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%
Hamilton	Male	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	17	15	88%	2	2	100%
	Female	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	2	2	100%	0	0	0%
Merrick	Male	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	7	6	86%	2	2	100%
	Unknown	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	2	2	100%	2	2	100%
Nance	Male	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	2	2	100%	3	3	100%
	Female	3	3	100%	8	6	75%	6	4	67%	1	1	100%
Platte	Male	1	1	100%	5	5	100%	15	10	67%	3	2	67%
	Unknown	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	2	2	100%	0	0	0%
	Female	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	2	2	100%	0	0	0%
Polk	Male	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	4	4	100%	0	0	0%
	Unknown	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%	0	0	0%
<b>6</b> 1	Female	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	2	2	100%
Saunders	Male	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	5	3	60%	3	2	67%
	Female	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	2	1	50%	0	0	0%
Seward	Male	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	12	11	92%	4	4	100%
	Unknown	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%
Vaul	Female	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%	1	0	0%
York	Male	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	12	8	67%	4	2	50%
District Tota	al	13	12	92%	25	22	88%	146	123	84%	31	25	81%

			Juve	enile Ca C		d Adjud and Rad			е Туре,				
		Tr	raffic Offe			tatus Offei			/lisdemear	ıor		Felony	
County	Race	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated
D.	White	2	1	50%	4	4	100%	3	2	67%	0	0	0%
Boone	Unknown	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	1	100%
Butler	Unknown	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	5	4	80%	1	1	100%
	Hispanic	6	6	100%	2	1	50%	39	37	95%	2	1	50%
Colfax	White	1	1	100%	1	1	100%	7	6	86%	0	0	0%
	Hispanic	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	0	0%	1	1	100%
Hamilton	White	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	17	16	94%	1	1	100%
	Hispanic	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	2	2	100%	1	1	100%
Merrick	White	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	9	8	89%	3	3	100%
	Hispanic	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	1	100%
Nance	White	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%
	Unknown	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	2	2	100%
	Asian/P.I.	0	0	0%	1	0	0%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%
	Black	1	1	100%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%	0	0	0%
Platte	Hispanic	2	2	100%	2	2	100%	7	4	57%	2	2	100%
	White	1	1	100%	8	7	88%	15	11	73%	2	1	50%
	Unknown	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%	0	0	0%
	Asian/P.I.	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%
Polk	White	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	3	3	100%	0	0	0%
	Unknown	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	2	2	100%	0	0	0%
	White	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	4	3	75%	2	2	100%
Saunders	Unknown	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	0	0%	3	2	67%
	Black	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	2	2	100%
Seward	White	0	0	0%	2	2	100%	14	12	86%	2	2	100%
	Hispanic	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	1	1	100%
York	White	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	8	5	62%	3	1	33%
	Unknown	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	3	2	67%	1	0	0%
District To	tal	13	12	92%	25	22	88%	146	123	84%	31	25	81%

### Part (b) Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court - Case Type

Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (b) Juveniles prosecuted in adult criminal court for felonies, misdemeanors, and traffic offenses. The information shall include juveniles sentenced to terms in adult jails and prisons and juveniles placed on adult probation.

Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court tables consist of criminal and traffic cases in county court and criminal cases in district court that reached the point of sentencing in 2020. Cases with multiple charges are counted once per case and reported as the most severe offense. Cases for juveniles ages 13 and below must be filed and stay in juvenile court; however, judges have the authority to allow these cases in criminal court. Therefore, only juveniles ages 14 through 17 at the time of the offense appear in adult criminal court data.

Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court by Case Type District										
		All C	Cases	Fel	ony					
Demographic	Cohort	Total Cases	% of Total	Total Cases	% of Total for Case Type Group					
Age Group	16	1	100%	1	100%					
Race/Ethnicity	Unknown	1		1						
Sex	Unknown	1		1						
District Total		1	100%	1	100%					

Juvenil	Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court by Case Type, County and Age Group											
County	Age Group	All Cases	Traffic #	Misdemeanor	Felony #							
Merrick												
District Total 1 0 0 1												

Juven	Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court by Case Type, County and Sex								
County	Sex	All Cases	Traffic	Misdemeanor	Felony				
		#	#	#	#				
Merrick	Unknown	1	0	0	1				
District T	otal	1	0	0	1				

Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court by Case Type, County and Race/Ethnicity							
County	Race	All Cases	Felony				
		#	#				
Merrick	Unknown	1	1				
District T	otal	1	1				

#### District 5

#### Part (b) Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court - Sentence

Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (b) Juveniles prosecuted in adult criminal court for felonies, misdemeanors, and traffic offenses. The information shall include juveniles sentenced to terms in adult jails and prisons and juveniles placed on adult probation.

Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court tables include criminal and traffic cases in county court and criminal cases in district court that reached the point of sentencing in 2020. All sentencing types are included where multiple sentencing types occur within a case (ex. probation/jail). Youth who are sentenced to probation in Adult Court are supervised by Adult Probation. Age is calculated from the age at offense, not the age at sentencing.

	Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court by Sentencing District										
Demographic		Total Cases		Prob	Probation		Jail		on		
	Cohort	Number of Cases	% of Total	Number of Cases	% of Cohort	Number of Cases	% of Cohort	Number of Cases	% of Cohort		
Age Group	16	1	100%	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%		
Sex	Unknown	1	/0	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%		
Race/Ethnicity	Unknown	1	/0	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%		
District Total		1	100%	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%		

Juveni	Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court by Sentence, County and Age Group								
Combi	Age	Total Cases	Probation	Jail	Prison				
County	Group	Number of Cases	Number of Cases	Number of Cases	Number of Cases				
Merrick	16	1	0	0	1				
District Total		1	0	0	1				

Juvei	Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court by Sentence, County and Sex							
Carrata		Total Cases	Probation	Jail	Prison			
County	Sex	Number of Cases	Number of Cases	Number of Cases	Number of Cases			
Merrick	Unknown	1	0	0	1			
District T	otal	1 0		0	1			

Juvei	Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court by Sentence, County and Race/Ethnicity							
	Dana	Total Cases	Probation	Jail	Prison			
County	Race	Number of Cases	Number of Cases	Number of Cases	Number of Cases			
Merrick	Unknown	1	0	0	1			
District T	otal	1	0	0	1			

#### District 5

#### Part (c) Motions to Transfer Cases and Cases Transferred

Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (c) The number of motions to transfer and the number of cases transferred to adult court from juvenile court and from adult criminal court to juvenile court.

The data represents motions to transfer filed in 2020 and transfers between court types which occurred in 2020. Therefore, the exact same subset of cases may not be represented in both columns. There can be multiple motions to transfer within a single case which, if filed in the same calendar year, would all be included in the counts below. Some cases may have a motion to transfer, but no transfer actually occurs.

Motions to Transfer Courts and Transfers District										
		Juvenile Court to Adult Court				Adu	lt Court	to Juvenile Cou	t	
Demographic	Cohort	Motion to Transfer Juv to Adult	% of Total	Transfers Juv to Adult	% of Total	Motion to Transfer Adult to Juv	% of Total	Transfers Adult to Juv	% of Total	
A C	16	0		0		0		1	100%	
Age Group	17	2	100%	0		2	100%	0		
	Asian/P.I.	0		0		1	100%	0		
Da aa /Etlani situ	Hispanic	0		0		0		1	100%	
Race/Ethnicity	White	1	100%	0		0		0		
	Unknown	1		0		1		0		
	Female	0		0		0		1	100%	
Sex	Male	2	100%	0		1	100%	0		
	Unknown	0		0		1		0		
District Total		2		0		2		1		

	Motions to Transfer Courts and Transfers, County and Age Group									
		•	e Court to t Court	Adult Court to Juvenile Court						
County	Age Group	Motion to Transfer	C	Cases Transfered						
Butler	17	0	0	1	0					
Nance	17	1	0	1	0					
Platte	16	0	0	0	1					
Seward	17	1	0	0	0					
District 7	Γotal	2	0	2	1					

	Motions to Transfer Courts and Transfers, County and Sex									
			e Court to t Court	Adult Court to Juvenile Court						
County	Sex	Motion to Transfer	Cases Transfered	Motion to Transfer	Cases Transfered					
Butler	Unknown	0	0	1	0					
Nance	Male	1	0	1	0					
Platte	Female	0	0	0	1					
Seward	Male	1 0 0 0								
District 7	Γotal	2	0	2	1					

	Motions to Transfer Courts and Transfers, County and Race/Ethnicity									
		_	e Court to It Court	Adult Court to Juvenile Court						
County	Race/ Ethnicity	Motion to Transfer	Cases Transfered	Motion to Transfer	Cases Transfered					
Butler	Unknown	0	0	1	0					
N1	Asian/P.I.	0	0	1	0					
Nance	Unknown	1	0	0	0					
Platte	Hispanic	0	0	0	1					
Seward	White	1	0	0 0						
District 7	Γotal	2	0	2	1					

#### District 5

#### Part (d) Juvenile Probation Activity

Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (d) Juveniles placed on juvenile probation, the number of juveniles on probation in out-of-home care, the number of juveniles completing probation, the number of motions to revoke probation and probation revocations, and the average length of time on probation.

The Juvenile Probation Activity - Youth data is provided from the Administrative Office of Probation's case management system, Nebraska Probation Application for Community Safety (NPACS). The table reports the number and percent of youth with various activity during the year. Youth are counted once in each category, regardless of the number of out-of-home placements, motions to revoke, or cases they may have in the year. Age groups are calculated specific to the activity as footnoted.

	Juvenile Probation Activity District									
Damagraphic	Cabart	Place Proba		Out-of-home Placement		Motions to Revoke		Satisfactory Release		
Demographic	Cohort	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	
	11-13	16	6%	10	8%	0	0%	18	6%	
	14-15	76	27%	38	32%	4	20%	92	31%	
Age Group	16	66	24%	30	25%	6	30%	72	24%	
	17	96	35%	32	27%	2	10%	102	34%	
	18	24	9%	10	8%	8	40%	12	4%	
	Female	76	27%	52	43%	8	40%	100	34%	
Sex	Male	202	73%	68	57%	12	60%	196	66%	
	Black	2	1%	4	3%	0	0%	2	1%	
	Indian	2	1%	4	3%	0	0%	0	0%	
Race	Other	54	19%	22	18%	4	20%	52	18%	
	Pacific Islander	4	1%	0	0%	0	0%	2	1%	
	White	216	78%	90	75%	16	80%	240	81%	
Falousi aidas	Hispanic	80	29%	32	27%	4	20%	86	29%	
Ethnicity	Not Hispanic	198	71%	88	73%	16	80%	210	71%	
District Total		278	100%	120	100%	20	100%	296	100%	

<sup>1.</sup> Age at which the probation term began. 2. Age at the first admission to out-of-home placement. 3. Age as of the file date for motion to revoke. 4. Age as of the disposition date for motion to revoke. 5. Age as of the disposition date for motion.

	Juvenile Probation Activity District									
County	Age	Place Proba			Out-of-home Placement		ns to oke	Satisfactory Release		
County	Group	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	
	14-15	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	4	1%	
Doone	16	4	1%	2	2%	0	0%	2	1%	
Boone	17	6	2%	2	2%	2	10%	0	0%	
	18	2	1%	2	2%	0	0%	0	0%	
	11-13	2	1%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
	14-15	6	2%	10	8%	0	0%	2	1%	
Butler	16	4	1%	0	0%	0	0%	4	1%	
	17	6	2%	0	0%	0	0%	16	5%	
	18	2	1%	0	0%	4	20%	0	0%	
	14-15	14	5%	4	3%	0	0%	14	5%	
C 15	16	4	1%	8	7%	2	10%	8	3%	
Colfax	17	10	4%	2	2%	0	0%	16	5%	
	18	4	1%	2	2%	0	0%	2	1%	
	14-15	2	1%	2	2%	0	0%	10	3%	
Hamilton	16	12	4%	0	0%	0	0%	12	4%	
патписоп	17	16	6%	2	2%	0	0%	12	4%	
	18	2	1%	0	0%	0	0%	4	1%	
	11-13	2	1%	4	3%	0	0%	0	0%	
	14-15	8	3%	4	3%	0	0%	2	1%	
Merrick	16	8	3%	2	2%	0	0%	8	3%	
	17	6	2%	4	3%	0	0%	2	1%	
	18	2	1%	2	2%	2	10%	2	1%	
	16	4	1%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Nance	17	0	0%	2	2%	0	0%	0	0%	
	18	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	2	1%	
	11-13	0	0%	2	2%	0	0%	8	3%	
	14-15	16	6%	8	7%	2	10%	14	5%	
Platte	16	8	3%	6	5%	2	10%	16	5%	
	17	26	9%	10	8%	0	0%	16	5%	
	18	8	3%	0	0%	0	0%	2	1%	

		Ju	/enile	Probat Distric		tivity			
County	Age	Place Proba		Out-of- Place		Motio Rev		Satisfa Rele	-
County	Group	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total
	14-15	2	1%	2	2%	0	0%	2	1%
Polk	16	2	1%	4	3%	0	0%	4	1%
FOIK	17	10	4%	0	0%	0	0%	8	3%
	18	2	1%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
	11-13	4	1%	4	3%	0	0%	2	1%
	14-15	4	1%	0	0%	2	10%	8	3%
Saunders	16	8	3%	2	2%	0	0%	8	3%
	17	2	1%	2	2%	0	0%	10	3%
	18	2	1%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
	11-13	4	1%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
	14-15	12	4%	2	2%	0	0%	20	7%
Seward	16	8	3%	4	3%	0	0%	6	2%
	17	6	2%	4	3%	0	0%	6	2%
	18	0	0%	4	3%	2	10%	0	0%
	11-13	4	1%	0	0%	0	0%	8	3%
Vaul	14-15	12	4%	6	5%	0	0%	16	5%
York	16	4	1%	2	2%	2	10%	4	1%
	17	8	3%	4	3%	0	0%	16	5%
District To	tal	278	100%	120	100%	20	100%	296	100%

	Juvenile Probation Activity District											
County	Sex	Place Proba		Out-of Place		Motio Rev		Satisfa Rele	-			
County	JCA	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total			
Boone	Female	4	1%	2	2%	0	0%	4	1%			
воопе	Male	8	3%	4	3%	2	10%	2	1%			
Dutler	Female	10	4%	8	7%	2	10%	12	4%			
Butler	Male	10	4%	2	2%	2	10%	10	3%			
C - 15	Female	8	3%	8	7%	0	0%	10	3%			
Colfax	Male	24	9%	8	7%	2	10%	30	10%			
11	Female	6	2%	2	2%	0	0%	6	2%			
Hamilton	Male	26	9%	2	2%	0	0%	32	11%			
	Female	4	1%	6	5%	2	10%	2	1%			
Merrick	Male	22	8%	10	8%	0	0%	12	4%			
Nicora	Female	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	2	1%			
Nance	Male	4	1%	2	2%	0	0%	0	0%			
51	Female	20	7%	16	13%	2	10%	32	11%			
Platte	Male	38	14%	10	8%	2	10%	24	8%			
5 !!	Female	8	3%	4	3%	0	0%	6	2%			
Polk	Male	8	3%	2	2%	0	0%	8	3%			
6 1	Female	6	2%	2	2%	0	0%	2	1%			
Saunders	Male	14	5%	6	5%	2	10%	26	9%			
Causerd	Female	8	3%	4	3%	2	10%	16	5%			
Seward	Male	22	8%	10	8%	0	0%	16	5%			
V 1	Female	2	1%	0	0%	0	0%	8	3%			
York	Male	26	9%	12	10%	2	10%	36	12%			
District To	tal	278	100%	120	100%	20	100%	296	100%			

	Juvenile Probation Activity District										
County	Race	Place Proba		Out-of- Place		Motio Rev		Satisfa Rele	-		
County	Nace	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total		
Boone	White	12	4%	6	5%	2	10%	6	2%		
Butler	White	16	6%	8	7%	4	20%	20	7%		
butter	Other	4	1%	2	2%	0	0%	2	1%		
	Other	20	7%	10	8%	2	10%	30	10%		
Colfax	Indian	0	0%	2	2%	0	0%	0	0%		
	White	12	4%	4	3%	0	0%	10	3%		
Hamilton	White	32	12%	4	3%	0	0%	38	13%		
	White	26	9%	16	13%	2	10%	12	4%		
Merrick	Black	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	2	1%		
Nance	White	4	1%	2	2%	0	0%	2	1%		
	Other	22	8%	8	7%	2	10%	14	5%		
Platte	White	34	12%	18	15%	2	10%	42	14%		
	Pacific Islander	2	1%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%		
5.11	White	14	5%	6	5%	0	0%	14	5%		
Polk	Other	2	1%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%		
	White	16	6%	4	3%	2	10%	28	9%		
Saunders	Black	2	1%	2	2%	0	0%	0	0%		
	Indian	2	1%	2	2%	0	0%	0	0%		
	White	26	9%	12	10%	2	10%	28	9%		
Seward	Other	2	1%	2	2%	0	0%	2	1%		
	Pacific Islander	2	1%	0	0%	0	0%	2	1%		
	White	24	9%	10	8%	2	10%	40	14%		
York	Black	0	0%	2	2%	0	0%	0	0%		
	Other		1%	0	0%	0	0%	4	1%		
District To	tal	278	100%	120	100%	20	100%	296	100%		

	Juvenile Probation Activity District											
County	[the minite	Place Proba		Out-of- Place		Motio Rev		Satisfa Rele	-			
County	Ethnicity	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total			
Danna	Hispanic	2	1%	2	2%	0	0%	2	1%			
Boone	Not Hispanic	10	4%	4	3%	2	10%	4	1%			
Death	Hispanic	4	1%	2	2%	0	0%	4	1%			
Butler	Not Hispanic	16	6%	8	7%	4	20%	18	6%			
6.16	Hispanic	26	9%	10	8%	2	10%	36	12%			
Colfax	Not Hispanic	6	2%	6	5%	0	0%	4	1%			
11	Hispanic	6	2%	2	2%	0	0%	4	1%			
Hamilton	Not Hispanic	26	9%	2	2%	0	0%	34	11%			
	Hispanic	4	1%	2	2%	0	0%	0	0%			
Merrick	Not Hispanic	22	8%	14	12%	2	10%	14	5%			
Nance	Not Hispanic	4	1%	2	2%	0	0%	2	1%			
DI - ++ -	Hispanic	26	9%	10	8%	2	10%	22	7%			
Platte	Not Hispanic	32	12%	16	13%	2	10%	34	11%			
Polk	Not Hispanic	16	6%	6	5%	0	0%	14	5%			
C	Hispanic	2	1%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%			
Saunders	Not Hispanic	18	6%	8	7%	2	10%	28	9%			
C	Hispanic	4	1%	4	3%	0	0%	4	1%			
Seward	Not Hispanic	26	9%	10	8%	2	10%	28	9%			
Hispanic		6	2%	0	0%	0	0%	14	5%			
York	Not Hispanic	22	8%	12	10%	2	10%	30	10%			
District To	tal	278	100%	120	100%	20	100%	296	100%			

#### District 5

#### Part (d) Juvenile Probation - Youth Risk Level and Length of Probation

Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (d) Juveniles placed on juvenile probation, the number of juveniles on probation in out-of-home care, the number of juveniles completing probation, the number of motions to revoke probation and probation revocations, and the average length of time on probation.

Youth Level of Service/Case Management Inventory (YLS/CMI) is an assessment tool used to systematically measure risk for recidivism and appropriate supervision intensity for youth on probation. The following table shows the classification results of youth that entered into Probation in 2020, and another set of youth that discharged from Probation in 2020. Youth that are assessed at low or moderate low risk are matched to JCBR supervision and those assessed at high or moderate high risk are matched to JCBI supervision intensity

	Youth Risk Levels and Length of Probation District												
		Youth	Starting	; Probation	Youth	Discharged t	from Probation	Average Length of Probation					
Demo- graphic	Cohort	JCBR/ Low/ Moderate Low	JCBI/ High	No Assessment**	JCBR/ Low	JCBI/ High/ Moderate High	No Assessment**	Total Youth	Avg Length of Probation				
	11-13	12	4		6			6	7.7				
	14-15	30	44	2	22	10	2	34	8.8				
Age Group	16	44	22		18	14	2	34	10.3				
	17	56	38	2	60	22	2	84	10.6				
	18	18	6		64	38		102	12.8				
Cav	Female	30	46		48	32		80	13.7				
Sex	Male	130	68	4	122	52	6	180	10.0				
	Am. Indian		2					0					
	Asian/P.I.	2	2		2			2	10.0				
Race	Black		2		2			2	6.0				
	White	138	76	2	152	64	6	222	10.4				
	Other	20	32	2	14	20		34	16.5				
Cthoi-it.	Hispanic	32	46	2	36	24	2	62	13.8				
Ethnicity	Not Hispanic	128	68	2	134	60	4	198	10.3				
District Tota	ıl	160	114	4	170	84	6	260	11.2				

	Youth Risk Levels and Length of Probation by County and Age Group												
		Youth	Starting	g Probation	Youth	Discharged 1	from Probation		ge Length robation				
County	Age Group	JCBR/ Low/ Moderate Low	JCBI/ High	No Assessment**	JCBR/ Low	JCBI/ High/ Moderate High	No Assessment**	Total Youth	Avg Length of Probation				
	14-15				2			2	8.0				
Boone	16	4						0					
boone	17	6			2			2	24.0				
	18		2					0					
	11-13	2						0					
	14-15		6					0					
Butler	16	4				2		2	14.0				
	17	6			10			10	5.0				
	18	2			6	4		10	10.2				
	14-15	8	4	2	4			4	9.0				
C. If	16	2	2			2		2	12.0				
Colfax	17	4	6		8	2		10	10.6				
	18	4			6	4		10	9.4				
	14-15		2		2		2	4	4.5				
11	16	10	2		2	4	2	8	6.5				
Hamilton	17	8	6	2	8	4	2	14	8.1				
	18		2		6	6		12	10.3				
	11-13		2					0					
	14-15	4	4					0					
Merrick	16	6	2		2	2		4	16.5				
	17	4	2		4			4	10.0				
	18	2			6	2		8	19.0				
Name	16	4						0					
Nance	18				2			2	6.0				
	11-13				2			2	7.0				
<b>51</b>	14-15	6	10			6		6	10.0				
Platte	16	4	4			2		2	12.0				
	17	6	20		6	8		14	13.9				

		Y	outh F	Risk Levels and by County ar			ation			
		Youth	Starting	g Probation	Youth	Discharged 1	from Probation	Average Length of Probation		
County	Age Group	JCBR/ Low/ Moderate Low	JCBI/ High	No Assessment**	JCBR/ Low	JCBI/ High/ Moderate High	No Assessment**	Total Youth	Avg Length of Probation	
Platte	18	6	2		12	18		30	16.7	
	14-15	2						0		
D 11	16	2			2			2	18.0	
Polk	17	10			8			8	8.2	
	18	2			2			2	8.0	
	11-13	4			2			2	12.0	
	14-15		4		2			2	9.0	
Saunders	16	2	6		2	2		4	11.0	
	17	2			8	2		10	11.2	
	18	2			6			6	11.7	
	11-13	4						0		
	14-15	6	6		4	2		6	13.0	
Seward	16	4	4		6			6	8.3	
	17	4	2		4	2		6	9.7	
	18				10	2		12	12.3	
	11-13	2	2		2			2	4.0	
	14-15	4	8		8	2		10	7.4	
York	16	2	2		4			4	6.5	
	17	6	2		2	4		6	17.7	
	18				8	2		10	9.2	
District To	tal	160	114	4	170	84	6	260	11.2	

		١	outh F	Risk Levels and by Count			tion		
		Youth	Starting	Probation	Youth	Discharged f	rom Probation	_	e Length of bation
County	Sex	JCBR/ Low/ Moderate Low	JCBI/ High	No Assessment**	JCBR/ Low	JCBI/High/ Moderate High	No Assessment**	Total Youth	Avg Length of Probation
Danna	Female	4			4			4	16.0
Boone	Male	6	2					0	
D. Han	Female	6	4		6	4		10	10.4
Butler	Male	8	2		10	2		12	6.3
Colfax	Female	4	4		4			4	10.5
Collax	Male	14	8	2	14	8		22	9.9
Hamilton	Female		6		2	4		6	12.7
паппиоп	Male	18	6	2	16	10	6	32	7.2
Merrick	Female	2	2		2	2		4	27.0
METTICK	Male	14	8		10	2		12	12.5
Nance	Female				2			2	6.0
ivance	Male	4						0	
Platte	Female	2	18		8	16		24	15.6
rialle	Male	20	18		12	18		30	13.9
Polk	Female	8			4			4	11.5
POIK	Male	8			8			8	9.0
Saunders	Female		6		2			2	8.0
Sauriders	Male	10	4		18	4		22	11.5
Coward	Female	2	6		12	4		16	8.6
Seward	Male	16	6		12	2		14	14.0
Voul	Female	2			2	2		4	28.5
York	Male 12 14				22	6		28	6.9
District To	tal	160	114	4	170	84	6	260	11.2

		You	uth Ris	k Levels and by County			ion			
		Youth	Starting	g Probation	Youth	Discharged 1	from Probation	Average Length of Probation		
County	Race	JCBR/ Low/ Moderate Low	JCBI/ High	No Assessment**	JCBR/ Low	JCBI/ High/ Moderate High	No Assessment**	Total Youth	Avg Length of Probation	
Boone	White	10	2		4			4	16.0	
Dutler	White	12	4		14	6		20	8.1	
Butler	Other	2	2		2			2	9.0	
Calfan	White	8	4		10			10	7.8	
Colfax	Other	10	8	2	8	8		16	11.4	
Hamilton	White	18	12	2	18	14	6	38	8.1	
Manuiale	Black				2			2	6.0	
Merrick	White	16	10		10	4		14	17.6	
Nance	White	4			2			2	6.0	
	Asian/P.I.	2						0		
Platte	White	14	20		18	24		42	13.2	
	Other	6	16		2	10		12	19.8	
Polk	White	14			12			12	9.8	
POIK	Other	2						0		
	Am. Indian		2					0		
Saunders	Black		2					0		
	White	10	6		20	4		24	11.2	
	Asian/P.I.		2		2			2	10.0	
Seward	White	18	8		20	6		26	11.2	
	Other		2		2			2	12.0	
VI	White	14	10		24	6		30	6.9	
York	Other		4			2		2	49.0	
District To	tal	160	114	4	170	84	6	260	11.2	

		You		K Levels and L by County and			on		
		Youth	Starting	g Probation	Youth	Discharged <sup>1</sup>	from Probation	_	e Length of obation
County	Ethnicity	JCBR/ Low/ Moderate Low	JCBI/ High	No Assessment**	JCBR/ Low	JCBI/ High/ Moderate High	No Assessment**	Total Youth	Avg Length of Probation
5	Hispanic	2						0	
Boone	Not Hispanic	8	2		4			4	16.0
D	Hispanic	2	2		2			2	9.0
Butler	Not Hispanic	12	4		14	6		20	8.1
Calfan	Hispanic	14	10	2	14	8		22	10.7
Colfax	Not Hispanic	4	2		4			4	6.0
11	Hispanic	2	4			2	2	4	6.5
Hamilton	Not Hispanic	16	8	2	18	12	4	34	8.3
Merrick	Hispanic	2	2					0	
Merrick	Not Hispanic	14	8		12	4		16	16.1
Nance	Not Hispanic	4			2			2	6.0
Diette	Hispanic	4	22		8	12		20	18.9
Platte	Not Hispanic	18	14		12	22		34	12.2
Polk	Not Hispanic	16			12			12	9.8
C	Hispanic	2						0	
Saunders	Not Hispanic	8	10		20	4		24	11.2
Coward	Hispanic		4		4			4	11.0
Seward	Not Hispanic	18	8		20	6		26	11.2
Vaule	Hispanic	4	2		8	2		10	15.4
York	Not Hispanic	10	12		16	6		22	6.9
District To	tal	160	114	4	170	84	6	260	11.2

#### District 5

#### Part (e) Juveniles Access to Counsel

Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (e) juveniles with and without access to counsel in juvenile and adult court, both appointed and retained.

The Juveniles Access to Counsel table displays the percent of juveniles represented by an attorney in criminal cases from county court and district court and juvenile cases from county court and separate juvenile court. Data includes new cases filed and cases transferred from one county to another during calendar year 2020. Cases filed in 2020 may not have reached the sentencing/adjudication stage of proceedings. Cases for juveniles ages 13 and below must be filed and stay in juvenile court.

	Juvenile Access to Counsel District												
			Criminal C	ourt Cases		Juvenile Court Cases							
Demographic	Cohort	Total Criminal Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel	Total Juvenile Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel				
	11-13 32 7 25 78%												
Aga Craup	14-15 2 1 1 50% 107 37 70 65%												
Age Group	ge Group 16 3 1 2 67% 92 39 53												
	17	14	11	3	21%	90	48	42	47%				
	Female	4	4	0	0%	85	36	49	58%				
Sex	Male	8	6	2	25%	216	88	128	59%				
	Unknown	7	3	4	57%	20	7	13	65%				
	Asian/P.I.					3	0	3	100%				
	Black					7	2	5	71%				
Race/Ethnicity	Hispanic	5	5	0	0%	99	56	43	43%				
	White	4	4	0	0%	175	62	113	65%				
	Unknown	10	4	6	60%	37	11	26	70%				
District Total		19	13	6	32%	321	131	190	59%				

				nile Acce County ar					
			Criminal C	ourt Cases			Juvenile C	ourt Cases	
County	Age Group	Total Criminal Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel	Total Juvenile Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel
	14-15					4	2	2	50%
Boone	16					7	3	4	57%
	17					8	5	3	38%
	11-13					1	0	1	100%
Butler	14-15					2	1	1	50%
butter	16					4	1	3	75%
	17	4	2	2	50%	6	5	1	17%
	11-13					7	5	2	29%
Colfax	14-15					30	20	10	33%
Collax	16	1	1	0	0%	21	12	9	43%
	17	2	2	0	0%	17	10	7	41%
	11-13					1	0	1	100%
Hamilton	14-15					4	3	1	25%
Паннисон	16					10	5	5	50%
	17					11	6	5	45%
	11-13					4	0	4	100%
Marrial	14-15					9	1	8	89%
Merrick	16					4	1	3	75%
	17	1	1	0	0%	8	5	3	38%
Namaa	16					5	2	3	60%
Nance	17	1	1	0	0%	1	0	1	100%
	11-13					9	2	7	78%
Diette	14-15					19	7	12	63%
Platte	16					18	10	8	44%
	17					18	9	9	50%
	14-15					2	0	2	100%
Polk	16					2	2	0	0%
	17	1	1	0	0%	4	3	1	25%
Saunders	14-15					9	1	8	89%

	Juvenile Access to Counsel by County and Age Group										
			Criminal C	ourt Cases			Juvenile C	ourt Cases			
County	Age Group	Total Criminal Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel	Total Juvenile Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel		
Saunders	16	2	0	2	100%	2	0	2	100%		
Sauriders	17	2	2	0	0%	4	1	3	75%		
	11-13					7	0	7	100%		
Seward	14-15					14	1	13	93%		
Seward	16					11	0	11	100%		
	17	2	1	1	50%	6	1	5	83%		
	11-13					3	0	3	100%		
York	14-15	2	1	1	50%	14	1	13	93%		
YORK	16					8	3	5	62%		
	17	1	1	0	0%	7	3	4	57%		
District To	District Total 19 13 6 32% 321 131 190 59%										

Juvenile Access to Counsel by County and Sex										
			Criminal C	ourt Cases			Juvenile C	ourt Cases		
County	Sex	Total Criminal Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel	Total Juvenile Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel	
Daona	Female					8	5	3	38%	
Boone	Male					11	5	6	55%	
	Female	1	1	0	0%	5	2	3	60%	
Butler	Male					7	4	3	43%	
	Unknown	3	1	2	67%	1	1	0	0%	
Calfan	Female	1	1	0	0%	24	14	10	42%	
Colfax	Male	2	2	0	0%	51	33	18	35%	
	Female					2	1	1	50%	
Hamilton	Male					24	13	11	46%	
	Female	1	1	0	0%	2	0	2	100%	
Merrick	Male					15	4	11	73%	
	Unknown					8	3	5	62%	
Nance	Male	1	1	0	0%	6	2	4	67%	
	Female					24	11	13	54%	
Platte	Male					31	14	17	55%	
	Unknown					9	3	6	67%	
	Female					3	1	2	67%	
Polk	Male					4	4	0	0%	
	Unknown	1	1	0	0%	1	0	1	100%	
	Female					4	0	4	100%	
Saunders	Male	4	2	2	50%	11	2	9	82%	
	Female	1	1	0	0%	11	2	9	82%	
Seward	Male					26	0	26	100%	
	Unknown	1	0	1	100%	1	0	1	100%	
	Female					2	0	2	100%	
York	Male	1	1	0	0%	30	7	23	77%	
	Unknown	2	1	1	50%					
District To	tal	19	13	6	32%	321	131	190	59%	

Juvenile Access to Counsel by County and Race/Ethnicity										
			Criminal C	ourt Cases			Juvenile C	ourt Cases		
County	Race/ Ethnicity	Total Criminal Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel	Total Juvenile Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel	
-	White					15	10	5	33%	
Boone	Unknown					4	0	4	100%	
5	Hispanic	1	1	0	0%	2	2	0	0%	
Butler	Unknown	3	1	2	67%	11	5	6	55%	
6.16	Hispanic	3	3	0	0%	63	39	24	38%	
Colfax	White					12	8	4	33%	
	Black					1	0	1	100%	
Hamilton	Hispanic					4	0	4	100%	
	White					21	14	7	33%	
	Hispanic					3	2	1	33%	
Merrick	White					22	5	17	77%	
	Unknown	1	1	0	0%					
	Hispanic					1	0	1	100%	
Nance	White	1	1	0	0%	2	1	1	50%	
	Unknown					3	1	2	67%	
	Asian/P.I.					2	0	2	100%	
	Black					2	2	0	0%	
Platte	Hispanic					20	12	8	40%	
	White					38	13	25	66%	
	Unknown					2	1	1	50%	
	Asian/P.I.					1	0	1	100%	
Polk	White					5	3	2	40%	
	Unknown	1	1	0	0%	2	2	0	0%	
	White	2	2	0	0%	11	2	9	82%	
Saunders	Unknown	2	0	2	100%	4	0	4	100%	
	Black					4	0	4	100%	
	Hispanic	1	1	0	0%					
Seward	White					33	2	31	94%	
	Unknown	1	0	1	100%	1	0	1	100%	

Juvenile Access to Counsel by County and Race/Ethnicity										
			Criminal C	ourt Cases		Juvenile Court Cases				
County	Race/ Ethnicity	Total Criminal Without Coursel Cases  Total # With Without Counsel Counsel Cases  Total # With Without Counsel						# With Counsel	% With Counsel	
	Hispanic					6	1	5	83%	
York	White	1	1	0	0%	16	4	12	75%	
Unknown		2	1	1	50%	10	2	8	80%	
District To	tal	19	13	6	32%	321	131	190	59%	

#### District 6

#### Part (a) Juvenile Petitions and Adjudications

Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (a) Juveniles prosecuted in juvenile court under subdivision (1), (2), (3)(b), or (4) of section 43-247, including the total number of filings and adjudications.

The Juvenile Petitions and Adjudications tables report the number of new juvenile cases and corresponding percent of adjudications by case type and demographic group for cases filed in 2020. The data consists of both county court and separate juvenile court cases. Only the most serious offense is counted for cases with multiple charges, pleas or findings. Cases are counted in the Admit column if at least one charge was admitted to in court. Supplemental petitions are not included in this data set. Cases filed in 2020 may not have reached the adjudication stage of proceedings. Juvenile cases where the age is unknown, if any, are included in the report.

	Juvenile Petitions and Adjudication by Case Type District										
		St	atus Offe	nse	М	isdemea	nor		Felony		
Demo- graphic	Cohort	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated	
	14-15	10	7	70%	36	21	58%	10	8	80%	
Age Group	16	12	7	58%	33	22	67%	10	10	100%	
0.00.0	17	8	7	88%	37	26	70%	7	5	71%	
	Female	12	8	67%	34	19	56%	2	2	100%	
Sex	Male	18	13	72%	71	50	70%	25	21	84%	
	Unknown	0	0	0%	1	0	0%	0	0	0%	
	Am. Indian	1	1	100%	9	6	67%	0	0	0%	
	Black	0	0	0%	7	5	71%	0	0	0%	
Race/	Hispanic	8	5	62%	20	16	80%	6	5	83%	
Ethnicity	White	9	5	56%	57	36	63%	12	11	92%	
	Other	1	1	100%	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	
	Unknown	11	9	82%	13	6	46%	9	7	78%	
District Tot	District Total 30 21 70% 106 69 65% 27 23 85%								85%		

	Juvenile Cases and Adjudication by Case Type, County and Age Group										
		St	atus Offe	nse	Misdemeanor			Felony			
County	Age Group	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated	
Donat	16	1	1	100%	2	2	100%	0	0	0%	
Burt	17	1	1	100%	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	
	14-15	0	0	0%	5	2	40%	0	0	0%	
Cedar	16	0	0	0%	2	0	0%	0	0	0%	
	17	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%	
	14-15	1	0	0%	12	9	75%	3	2	67%	
Dakota	16	2	1	50%	9	9	100%	0	0	0%	
	17	1	1	100%	6	4	67%	1	1	100%	
Dixon	17	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%	
	14-15	9	7	78%	12	6	50%	5	4	80%	
Dodge	16	8	4	50%	12	6	50%	10	10	100%	
	17	6	5	83%	21	17	81%	5	3	60%	
Thumatan	14-15	0	0	0%	2	2	100%	0	0	0%	
Thurston	17	0	0	0%	1	0	0%	0	0	0%	
	14-15	0	0	0%	5	2	40%	2	2	100%	
Washington	16	1	1	100%	8	5	62%	0	0	0%	
	17	0	0	0%	7	3	43%	1	1	100%	
District Total	District Total 30 21 70% 106 69 65% 27 23 85%										

Juvenile Cases and Adjudication by Case Type, County and Sex										
		St	tatus Offe	nse	N	/lisdemear	nor		Felony	
County	Sex	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated
Desert	Female	1	1	100%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%
Burt	Male	1	1	100%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%
Carlan	Female	0	0	0%	4	0	0%	0	0	0%
Cedar	Male	0	0	0%	4	3	75%	0	0	0%
Delicate	Female	3	1	33%	7	4	57%	1	1	100%
Dakota	Male	1	1	100%	20	18	90%	3	2	67%
Dixon	Male	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%
<b>5</b> .	Female	7	5	71%	15	12	80%	1	1	100%
Dodge	Male	16	11	69%	30	17	57%	19	16	84%
<b>T</b> I .	Female	0	0	0%	2	2	100%	0	0	0%
Thurston	Male	0	0	0%	1	0	0%	0	0	0%
	Female	1	1	100%	5	0	0%	0	0	0%
Washington	Male	0	0	0%	14	10	71%	3	3	100%
	Unknown	0	0	0%	1	0	0%	0	0	0%
District Total 30 21 70% 106 69 65% 27 23							23	85%		

Juvenile Cases and Adjudication by Case Type, County and Race/Ethnicity											
		Status Offense			N	Misdemeanor			Felony		
County	Race	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated	
Decemb	White	1	1	100%	2	2	100%	0	0	0%	
Burt	Other	1	1	100%	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	
C	White	0	0	0%	7	3	43%	0	0	0%	
Cedar	Unknown	0	0	0%	1	0	0%	0	0	0%	
	Am. Indian	0	0	0%	7	4	57%	0	0	0%	
	Black	0	0	0%	5	4	80%	0	0	0%	
Dakota	Hispanic	2	2	100%	9	8	89%	3	3	100%	
	White	1	0	0%	6	6	100%	1	0	0%	
	Unknown	1	0	0%	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	
Dixon	White	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%	
	Am. Indian	1	1	100%	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	
	Black	0	0	0%	2	1	50%	0	0	0%	
Dodge	Hispanic	6	3	50%	10	8	80%	3	2	67%	
	White	7	4	57%	26	16	62%	8	8	100%	
	Unknown	9	8	89%	7	4	57%	9	7	78%	
Thurst	Am. Indian	0	0	0%	2	2	100%	0	0	0%	
Thurston	White	0	0	0%	1	0	0%	0	0	0%	
	Hispanic	0	0	0%	1	0	0%	0	0	0%	
Washington	White	0	0	0%	14	8	57%	3	3	100%	
	Unknown	1	1	100%	5	2	40%	0	0	0%	
District Total		30	21	70%	106	69	65%	27	23	85%	

#### District 6

#### Part (b) Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court - Case Type

Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (b) Juveniles prosecuted in adult criminal court for felonies, misdemeanors, and traffic offenses. The information shall include juveniles sentenced to terms in adult jails and prisons and juveniles placed on adult probation.

Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court tables consist of criminal and traffic cases in county court and criminal cases in district court that reached the point of sentencing in 2020. Cases with multiple charges are counted once per case and reported as the most severe offense. Cases for juveniles ages 13 and below must be filed and stay in juvenile court; however, judges have the authority to allow these cases in criminal court. Therefore, only juveniles ages 14 through 17 at the time of the offense appear in adult criminal court data.

Juv	Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court by Case Type District									
All Cases Misdemeanor Felony										
Demographic	Cohort	Total Cases	% of Total	Total Cases	% of Total for Case Type Group	Total Cases	% of Total for Case Type Group			
Age Group	17	3	100%	2	100%	1	100%			
Race/Ethnicity	Hispanic	1	100%	1	100%	0				
	Unknown	2		1		1				
Sex	Male	3	100%	2	100%	1	100%			
District Total		3	100%	2	67%	1	33%			

Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court by Case Type, County and Age Group										
County Age Cases Traffic Misdemeanor Felony										
	огоир	#	#	#	#					
Dakota	17	1	0	1	0					
Dodge	17	1	0	0	1					
Washington 17 1 0 1 0										
District Total		3	0	2	1					

Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court by Case Type, County and Sex										
County Sex All Cases Traffic Misdemeanor Felony										
		#	#	#	#					
Dakota	Male	1	0	1	0					
Dodge	Male	1	0	0	1					
Washington Male 1 0 1 0										
District Total 3 0 2 1										

Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court by Case Type, County and Race/Ethnicity									
County Race All Cases Misdemeanor Felony									
		#	#	#					
Dakota	Hispanic	1	1	0					
Dodge	Unknown	1	0	1					
Washington Unknown 1 1 0									
District Total		3	2	1					

### Part (b) Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court - Sentence

Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (b) Juveniles prosecuted in adult criminal court for felonies, misdemeanors, and traffic offenses. The information shall include juveniles sentenced to terms in adult jails and prisons and juveniles placed on adult probation.

Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court tables include criminal and traffic cases in county court and criminal cases in district court that reached the point of sentencing in 2020. All sentencing types are included where multiple sentencing types occur within a case (ex. probation/jail). Youth who are sentenced to probation in Adult Court are supervised by Adult Probation. Age is calculated from the age at offense, not the age at sentencing.

	Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court by Sentencing District												
		Total C	ases	Proba	ation	Ja	il	Prison					
Demographic	Cohort	Number of Cases	% of Total	Number of Cases	% of Cohort	Number of Cases	% of Cohort	Number of Cases	% of Cohort				
Age Group	17	3	100%	2	67%	1	33%	0	0%				
Sex	Male	3	100%	2	67%	1	33%	0	0%				
D /FH	Hispanic	1	100%	1	67%	0	33%	0	0%				
Race/Ethnicity	Unknown	2	0%	1	67%	1	33%	0	0%				
District Total		3	100%	2	67%	1	33%	0	0%				

Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court by Sentence, County and Age Group												
Total Jail Prison  County Age Cases Probation												
County	Group	Number of Cases	Number of Cases	Number of Cases	Number of Cases							
Dakota	17	1	1	0	0							
Dodge	17	1	0	1	0							
Washington	17	1	1	0	0							
District Total	District Total 3 2 1 0											

Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court by Sentence, County and Sex										
County	Sex	Total Cases	Probation	Jail	Prison					
County	эех	Number of Cases	Number of Cases	Number of Cases	Number of Cases					
Dakota	Male	1	1	0	0					
Dodge	Male	1	0	1	0					
Washington Male 1 1 0 0										
District Total 3 2 1 0										

#### Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court by Sentence, County and Race/Ethnicity Total Prison Jail Cases Probation County Race Number Number Number Number of Cases of Cases of Cases of Cases Dakota Hispanic 0 0 1 1 Dodge Unknown 1 0 1 0 Washington Unknown 1 1 0 0 **District Total** 3 2 1 0

### Part (c) Motions to Transfer Cases and Cases Transferred

Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (c) The number of motions to transfer and the number of cases transferred to adult court from juvenile court and from adult criminal court to juvenile court.

The data represents motions to transfer filed in 2020 and transfers between court types which occurred in 2020. Therefore, the exact same subset of cases may not be represented in both columns. There can be multiple motions to transfer within a single case which, if filed in the same calendar year, would all be included in the counts below. Some cases may have a motion to transfer, but no transfer actually occurs.

	Motions to Transfer Courts and Transfers District												
		Juveni	t to Adult C	ourt	Adu	Adult Court to Juvenile Court							
Demographic	Cohort	Motion to Transfer Juv to Adult	% of Total	Transfers Juv to Adult	% of Total	Motion to Transfer Adult to Juv	% of Total	Transfers Adult to Juv	% of Total				
	14-15	0		0		0		1	33%				
Age Group	16	0		0		0		2	67%				
	17	1	100%	1	100%	0		0					
D (511 : ::	Am. Indian	1	100%	0		0		0					
Race/Ethnicity	White	0		1	100%	0		3	100%				
Sex	Male	1	100%	1	100%	0		3	100%				
District Total		1		1		0		3					

М	Motions to Transfer Courts and Transfers, County and Age Group											
		-	e Court to It Court	Adult Court to Juvenile Court								
County	Age Group	Motion to Transfer	Cases Transfered	Motion to Transfer	Cases Transfered							
Dakota	17	1	0	0	0							
Dodge	16	0	0	0	1							
	14-15	0	0	0	1							
Washington	16	0	0	0	1							
	17	0	1	0	0							
District Total		1	1	0	3							

М	Motions to Transfer Courts and Transfers, County and Sex											
		_	e Court to It Court	Adult Court to Juvenile Court								
County	Sex	Motion to Transfer	Cases Transfered	Motion to Transfer	Cases Transfered							
Dakota	Male	1	0	0	0							
Dodge	Male	0	0	0	1							
Washington	Male	0	1	0	2							
District Total		1	1	0	3							

	Motions to Transfer Courts and Transfers,  County and Race/Ethnicity											
		_	e Court to It Court	Adult Court to Juvenile Court								
County	Race/ Ethnicity	Motion to Transfer	Cases Transfered	Motion to Transfer	Cases Transfered							
Dakota	Am. Indian	1	0	0	0							
Dodge	White	0	0	0	1							
Washington	White	0	1	0	2							
District Total		1	1	0	3							

### Part (d) Juvenile Probation Activity

Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (d) Juveniles placed on juvenile probation, the number of juveniles on probation in out-of-home care, the number of juveniles completing probation, the number of motions to revoke probation and probation revocations, and the average length of time on probation.

The Juvenile Probation Activity - Youth data is provided from the Administrative Office of Probation's case management system, Nebraska Probation Application for Community Safety (NPACS). The table reports the number and percent of youth with various activity during the year. Youth are counted once in each category, regardless of the number of out-of-home placements, motions to revoke, or cases they may have in the year. Age groups are calculated specific to the activity as footnoted.

	Ju	/enile P	robati Distric		ivity						
Domographic	Cahaut	Placed on Probation		Out-of-home Placement		Motions to Revoke		Probation Revoked		Satisfactory Release	
Demographic	Cohort	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total
	11-13	26	9%	8	6%	0	0%	0	0%	34	11%
	14-15	68	24%	42	30%	12	50%	2	33%	98	33%
Age Group	16	78	27%	34	25%	2	8%	0	0%	66	22%
	17	96	33%	46	33%	10	42%	4	67%	84	28%
	18	20	7%	8	6%	0	0%	0	0%	16	5%
Cov	Female	70	24%	38	28%	4	17%	2	33%	80	27%
Sex	Male	218	76%	100	72%	20	83%	4	67%	218	73%
	American Indian Or Alaskan Native	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	2	33%	0	0%
	Black	18	6%	12	9%	2	8%	0	0%	4	1%
Dana	Indian	16	6%	18	13%	0	0%	0	0%	22	7%
Race	Other	16	6%	8	6%	2	8%	0	0%	18	6%
	Pacific Islander	2	1%	4	3%	0	0%	0	0%	10	3%
	White	236	82%	96	70%	20	83%	4	67%	244	82%
Esta a i a ista	Hispanic	96	33%	28	20%	10	42%	2	33%	78	26%
Ethnicity	Not Hispanic		67%	110	80%	14	58%	4	67%	220	74%
District Total		288	100%	138	100%	24	100%	6	100%	298	100%

<sup>1.</sup> Age at which the probation term began. 2. Age at the first admission to out-of-home placement. 3. Age as of the file date for motion to revoke. 4. Age as of the disposition date for motion to revoke. 5. Age as of the disposition date for discharge from probation.

	Juvenile Probation Activity District													
County	Age	Place Proba		Out-of Place		Motio Rev		Probation Revoked		Satisfactory Release				
County	Group	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total			
	11-13	2	1%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	2	1%			
	14-15	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	8	3%			
Burt	16	4	1%	2	1%	0	0%	0	0%	2	1%			
	17	4	1%	6	4%	2	8%	0	0%	8	3%			
	18	0	0%	4	3%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%			
	14-15	2	1%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	2	1%			
	16	2	1%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%			
Cedar	17	2	1%	2	1%	0	0%	0	0%	2	1%			
	18	2	1%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	2	1%			
	11-13	4	1%	4	3%	0	0%	0	0%	10	3%			
	14-15	26	9%	4	3%	0	0%	0	0%	18	6%			
Dakota	16	26	9%	12	9%	0	0%	0	0%	24	8%			
	17	30	10%	18	13%	4	17%	2	33%	14	5%			
	18	4	1%	2	1%	0	0%	0	0%	4	1%			
Dixon	17	2	1%	2	1%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%			
	11-13	12	4%	2	1%	0	0%	0	0%	12	4%			
	14-15	24	8%	32	23%	10	42%	2	33%	50	17%			
Dodge	16	32	11%	16	12%	2	8%	0	0%	22	7%			
	17	44	15%	10	7%	2	8%	2	33%	38	13%			
	18	10	3%	2	1%	0	0%	0	0%	6	2%			
	11-13	2	1%	2	1%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%			
Thurston	14-15	6	2%	2	1%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%			
	17	2	1%	4	3%	0	0%	0	0%	4	1%			
	11-13	6	2%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	10	3%			
	14-15	10	3%	4	3%	2	8%	0	0%	20	7%			
Washington	16	14	5%	4	3%	0	0%	0	0%	18	6%			
	17	12	4%	4	3%	2	8%	0	0%	18	6%			
	18	4	1%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	4	1%			
District Total		288	100%	138	100%	24	100%	6	100%	298	100%			

	Juvenile Probation Activity District												
Country	Cav	Place Proba		Out-of-home Placement		Motions to Revoke		Probation Revoked		Satisfa Rele	-		
County	Sex	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total		
Donat	Female	4	1%	2	1%	0	0%	0	0%	4	1%		
Burt	Male	6	2%	10	7%	2	8%	0	0%	16	5%		
Carlan	Female	0	0%	2	1%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%		
Cedar	Male	8	3%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	6	2%		
5.1.1	Female	14	5%	8	6%	0	0%	0	0%	14	5%		
Dakota	Male	76	26%	32	23%	4	17%	2	33%	56	19%		
5.	Female	0	0%	2	1%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%		
Dixon	Male	2	1%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%		
	Female	38	13%	20	14%	2	8%	2	33%	46	15%		
Dodge	Male	84	29%	42	30%	12	50%	2	33%	82	28%		
T	Female	6	2%	4	3%	0	0%	0	0%	4	1%		
Thurston	Male	4	1%	4	3%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%		
M/a alain at	Female	8	3%	0	0%	2	8%	0	0%	12	4%		
Washington	Male	38	13%	12	9%	2	8%	0	0%	58	19%		
District Total		288	100%	138	100%	24	100%	6	100%	298	100%		

	Ju	venile	Probat Distri		tivity						
County	Race	Placed on Probation		Out-of-home Placement		Motions to Revoke		Probation Revoked		Satisfactory Release	
County	race	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total
Burt	White	10	3%	12	9%	2	8%	0	0%	20	7%
Cedar	White	8	3%	2	1%	0	0%	0	0%	6	2%
	Black	10	3%	6	4%	2	8%	0	0%	2	1%
	White	68	24%	16	12%	2	8%	2	33%	42	14%
Dakota	Pacific Islander	2	1%	2	1%	0	0%	0	0%	2	1%
	Indian	10	3%	16	12%	0	0%	0	0%	20	7%
	Other	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	4	1%
Dixon	White	2	1%	2	1%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
	Other	16	6%	8	6%	2	8%	0	0%	14	5%
	White	100	35%	48	35%	12	50%	2	33%	102	34%
Dadas	Black	4	1%	4	3%	0	0%	0	0%	2	1%
Dodge	Pacific Islander	0	0%	2	1%	0	0%	0	0%	8	3%
	Indian	2	1%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	2	1%
	American Indian Or Alaskan Native	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	2	33%	0	0%
Thumakan	Indian	4	1%	2	1%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Thurston	White	6	2%	6	4%	0	0%	0	0%	4	1%
NA/a alaina est :	White	42	15%	10	7%	4	17%	0	0%	70	23%
vvasnington	Vashington Black		1%	2	1%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
District Total		288	100%	138	100%	24	100%	6	100%	298	100%

	Juvenile Probation Activity District												
	E.I	Placed on Probation		Out-of-home Placement		Motions to Revoke		Probation Revoked		Satisfa Rele	,		
County	Ethnicity	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total		
Burt	Not Hispanic	10	3%	12	9%	2	8%	0	0%	20	7%		
Cedar	Not Hispanic	8	3%	2	1%	0	0%	0	0%	6	2%		
Dakota	Hispanic	42	15%	10	7%	2	8%	2	33%	38	13%		
Dakota	Not Hispanic	48	17%	30	22%	2	8%	0	0%	32	11%		
Dixon	Not Hispanic	2	1%	2	1%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%		
Dadas	Hispanic	50	17%	16	12%	8	33%	0	0%	36	12%		
Dodge	Not Hispanic	72	25%	46	33%	6	25%	4	67%	92	31%		
Thumston	Hispanic	2	1%	2	1%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%		
Thurston	Not Hispanic	8	3%	6	4%	0	0%	0	0%	4	1%		
Washington	Hispanic	2	1%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	4	1%		
Washington Not Hispanic	44	15%	12	9%	4	17%	0	0%	66	22%			
District Total	District Total		100%	138	100%	24	100%	6	100%	298	100%		

### Part (d) Juvenile Probation - Youth Risk Level and Length of Probation

Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (d) Juveniles placed on juvenile probation, the number of juveniles on probation in out-of-home care, the number of juveniles completing probation, the number of motions to revoke probation and probation revocations, and the average length of time on probation.

Youth Level of Service/Case Management Inventory (YLS/CMI) is an assessment tool used to systematically measure risk for recidivism and appropriate supervision intensity for youth on probation. The following table shows the classification results of youth that entered into Probation in 2020, and another set of youth that discharged from Probation in 2020. Youth that are assessed at low or moderate low risk are matched to JCBR supervision and those assessed at high or moderate high risk are matched to JCBI supervision intensity

		Yout	h Risk	Levels and Le		of Probatio	n			
		Youth	Starting	g Probation	Youth	Discharged t	from Probation	Average Length of Probation		
Demo- graphic	Cohort	JCBR/ Low/ Moderate Low	JCBI/ High	No Assessment**	JCBR/ Low	JCBI/ High/ Moderate High	No Assessment**	Total Youth	Avg Length of Probation	
	11-13	14	12		6	4		10	7.6	
	14-15	32	36		38	24	2	64	10.5	
Age Group	16	36	36	6	30	12		42	9.3	
	17	58	38		44	30		74	10.4	
	18		18	2	58	48		106	13.6	
Cav	Female	34	34	2	58	26		84	13.2	
Sex	Male	106	106	6	118	92	2	212	10.6	
	Am. Indian	6	8	2	8	16		24	22.8	
	Asian/P.I.		2		2	4		6	19.3	
Race	Black	8	10		4	2		6	8.3	
	White	122	108	6	156	86	2	244	10.2	
	Other	4	12		6	10		16	10.1	
[the sister	Hispanic	48	48		44	38		82	8.9	
Ethnicity	Not Hispanic	92	92	8	132	80	2	214	12.3	
District Tota	nl	140	140	8	176	118	2	296	11.3	

		Yo		sk Levels and by County an			tion		
		Youth	Starting	g Probation	Youth	Discharged 1	rom Probation		ge Length robation
County	Age Group	JCBR/ Low/ Moderate Low	JCBI/ High	No Assessment**	JCBR/ Low	JCBI/ High/ Moderate High	No Assessment**	Total Youth	Avg Length of Probation
	11-13		2		2			2	10.0
	14-15				2	2		4	16.0
Burt	16	2	2		4			4	9.5
	17	2	2					0	
	18				8	2		10	12.6
	14-15	2			2			2	12.0
	16	2				2		2	16.0
Cedar	17	2						0	
	18		2			6		6	20.3
	11-13	2	2			2		2	8.0
	14-15	16	10		8	4		12	11.8
Dakota	16	12	8	6	6	6		12	9.7
	17	18	12		14	6		20	8.1
	18		4		14	16		30	19.9
	17	2						0	
Dixon	18					2		2	7.0
	11-13	6	6			2		2	10.0
	14-15	8	16		20	16	2	38	10.1
Dodge	16	6	26		8	4		12	8.3
	17	26	18		14	20		34	12.6
	18		10		20	22		42	9.7
	11-13	2						0	
_	14-15	4	2					0	
Thurston	17	2						0	
	18				4			4	11.0
	11-13	4	2		4			4	5.0
Washington	14-15	2	8		6	2		8	7.5
	16	14			12			12	8.8

	Youth Risk Levels and Length of Probation by County and Age Group													
	Youth Starting Probation Youth Discharged from Probation Average Length of Probation													
County	Age Group	JCBR/ Low/ Moderate Low	JCBI/ High	No Assessment**	JCBR/ Low	JCBI/ High/ Moderate High	No Assessment**	Total Youth	Avg Length of Probation					
Machinatan	17	6	6		16	4		20	9.0					
vvasriington	Washington 18 2 2 12													
District Total	District Total 140 140 8 176 118 2 296 11.3													

Youth Risk Levels and Length of Probation by County and Sex												
		Youth	Starting	Probation	Youth	Discharged f	rom Probation	_	e Length of bation			
County	Sex	JCBR/ Low/ Moderate Low	JCBI/ High	No Assessment**	JCBR/ Low	JCBI/ High/ Moderate High	No Assessment**	Total Youth	Avg Length of Probation			
Doort	Female	2	2		4			4	8.0			
Burt	Male	2	4		12	4		16	13.5			
Cedar	Male	6	2		2	8		10	17.8			
Dalcata	Female	10	4		12	6		18	17.1			
Dakota	Male	38	32	6	30	28		58	12.5			
Divers	Female					2		2	7.0			
Dixon	Male	2						0				
Dadaa	Female	14	24		28	16		44	12.7			
Dodge	Male	32	52		34	48	2	84	9.3			
Tl	Female	4	2		4			4	11.0			
Thurston	Male	4						0				
Washington Female 4 2 2					10	2		12	12.7			
Washington	Male	22	16		40	4		44	7.8			
District Total		140	140	8	176	118	2	296	11.3			

		You	th Risk	Levels and L by County a			on		
		Youth	Starting	g Probation	Youth	Discharged t	from Probation		ge Length robation
County	Race	JCBR/ Low/ Moderate Low	JCBI/ High	No Assessment**	JCBR/ Low	JCBI/ High/ Moderate High	No Assessment**	Total Youth	Avg Length of Probation
Burt	White	4	6		16	4		20	12.4
Cedar	White	6	2		2	8		10	17.8
	Am. Indian	2	6	2	6	14		20	22.4
	Asian/P.I.		2			2		2	21.0
Dakota	Black	6	4		2	2		4	9.5
	White	40	24	4	30	16		46	10.2
	Other				4			4	8.5
Dixon	White	2				2		2	7.0
	Am. Indian	2			2	2		4	24.5
	Asian/P.I.				2	2		4	18.5
Dodge	Black		4		2			2	6.0
	White	40	60		54	50	2	106	9.7
	Other	4	12		2	10		12	10.7
Thursday	Am. Indian	2	2					0	
Thurston White		6			4			4	11.0
Machinet -	Black	2	2					0	
Washington	White	24	16	2	50	6		56	8.8
District Total		140	140	8	176	118	2	296	11.3

Youth Risk Levels and Length of Probation by County and Ethnicity												
		Youth	Starting	g Probation	Youth	Discharged t	from Probation	Average Length of Probation				
County	Ethnicity	JCBR/ Low/ Moderate Low	JCBI/ High	No Assessment**	JCBR/ Low	JCBI/ High/ Moderate High	No Assessment**	Total Youth	Avg Length of Probation			
Burt	Not Hispanic	4	6		16	4		20	12.4			
Cedar	Not Hispanic	6	2		2	8		10	17.8			
5.1.1	Hispanic	26	16		26	12		38	10.8			
Dakota	Not Hispanic	22	20	6	16	22		38	16.3			
Dixon	Not Hispanic	2				2		2	7.0			
	Hispanic	20	30		14	26		40	7.3			
Dodge	Not Hispanic	26	46		48	38	2	88	11.9			
	Hispanic		2					0				
Thurston	Not Hispanic	8			4			4	11.0			
	Hispanic	2			4			4	5.5			
Washington	Not Hispanic	24	18	2	46	6		52	9.1			
District Total		140	140	8	176	118	2	296	11.3			

### Part (e) Juveniles Access to Counsel

Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (e) juveniles with and without access to counsel in juvenile and adult court, both appointed and retained.

The Juveniles Access to Counsel table displays the percent of juveniles represented by an attorney in criminal cases from county court and district court and juvenile cases from county court and separate juvenile court. Data includes new cases filed and cases transferred from one county to another during calendar year 2020. Cases filed in 2020 may not have reached the sentencing/adjudication stage of proceedings. Cases for juveniles ages 13 and below must be filed and stay in juvenile court.

Juvenile Access to Counsel District												
			Criminal C	ourt Cases			Juvenile C	ourt Cases				
Demographic	Cohort	Total Criminal Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel	Total Juvenile Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel			
	11-13	1	1	0	0%	25	6	19	76%			
Ago Croup	14-15	14	62	82%								
Age Group	16 15 12 3 20% 68 20 48											
	17	47	35	12	26%	64	29	35	55%			
	Female	11	10	1	9%	64	24	40	62%			
Sex	Male	55	43	12	22%	165	42	123	75%			
	Unknown	4	0	4	100%	4	3	1	25%			
	Am. Indian					13	1	12	92%			
	Asian/P.I.					2	1	1	50%			
	Black	3	1	2	67%	8	1	7	88%			
Race/Ethnicity	Hispanic	21	18	3	14%	43	7	36	84%			
	White	36	30	6	17%	113	41	72	64%			
	Other					1	0	1	100%			
	Unknown	10	4	6	60%	53	18	35	66%			
District Total		70	53	17	24%	233	69	164	70%			

Juvenile Access to Counsel by County and Age Group  Criminal Court Cases  Juvenile Court Cases											
			Criminal C	ourt Cases			Juvenile C	ourt Cases			
County	Age Group	Total Criminal Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel	Total Juvenile Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel		
	11-13					3	1	2	67%		
Burt	16	1	1	0	0%	5	0	5	100%		
	17	1	1	0	0%	2	1	1	50%		
	14-15	2	2	0	0%	8	3	5	62%		
Cedar	16	1	1	0	0%	3	3	0	0%		
	17	1	0	1	100%	4	1	3	75%		
	11-13					6	1	5	83%		
Dalrata	14-15	1	0	1	100%	19	2	17	89%		
Dakota	16	3	2	1	33%	14	3	11	79%		
	17	20	13	7	35%	10	2	8	80%		
Dixon	17					2	2	0	0%		
	11-13	1	1	0	0%	9	3	6	67%		
Dadas	14-15	3	3	0	0%	38	6	32	84%		
Dodge	16	9	8	1	11%	34	8	26	76%		
	17	15	15	0	0%	33	16	17	52%		
	11-13					1	0	1	100%		
Thurston	14-15					2	0	2	100%		
	17					1	1	0	0%		
	11-13					6	1	5	83%		
Washington	14-15	1	0	1	100%	9	3	6	67%		
Washington	16	1	0	1	100%	12	6	6	50%		
	17	10	6	4	40%	12	6	6	50%		
District Total		70	53	17	24%	233	69	164	70%		

Juvenile Access to Counsel by County and Sex												
			Criminal C	ourt Cases			Juvenile C	ourt Cases				
County	Sex	Total Criminal Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel	Total Juvenile Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel			
	Female					5	1	4	80%			
Burt	Male	2	2	0	0%	4	0	4	100%			
	Unknown					1	1	0	0%			
Cedar	Female					4	4	0	0%			
Cedar	Male	4	3	1	25%	11	3	8	73%			
	Female	2	2	0	0%	14	4	10	71%			
Dakota	Male	19	13	6	32%	34	3	31	91%			
	Unknown	3	0	3	100%	1	1	0	0%			
Dixon	Male					2	2	0	0%			
Dodge	Female	6	6	0	0%	26	10	16	62%			
Douge	Male	22	21	1	5%	88	23	65	74%			
Thurston	Female					2	0	2	100%			
Hurston	Male					2	1	1	50%			
	Female	3	2	1	33%	13	5	8	62%			
Washington Male		8	4	4	50%	24	10	14	58%			
	Unknown	1	0	1	100%	2	1	1	50%			
District Total		70	53	17	24%	233	69	164	70%			

Juvenile Access to Counsel by County and Race/Ethnicity  Criminal Court Cases  Juvenile Court Cases										
			Criminal C	ourt Cases			Juvenile C	ourt Cases		
County	Race/ Ethnicity	Total Criminal Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel	Total Juvenile Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel	
	White	2	2	0	0%	9	2	7	78%	
Burt	Other					1	0	1	100%	
	White	3	2	1	33%	13	6	7	54%	
Cedar	Unknown	1	1	0	0%	2	1	1	50%	
	Am. Indian					10	1	9	90%	
	Asian/P.I.					1	0	1	100%	
5.1.1	Black	3	1	2	67%	6	1	5	83%	
Dakota	Hispanic	13	10	3	23%	20	2	18	90%	
	White	2	2	0	0%	11	3	8	73%	
	Unknown	6	2	4	67%	1	1	0	0%	
Dixon	White					2	2	0	0%	
	Am. Indian					1	0	1	100%	
	Asian/P.I.					1	1	0	0%	
Dades	Black					2	0	2	100%	
Dodge	Hispanic	7	7	0	0%	22	4	18	82%	
	White	21	20	1	5%	52	18	34	65%	
	Unknown					36	10	26	72%	
Thurston	Am. Indian					2	0	2	100%	
murston	White					2	1	1	50%	
	Hispanic	1	1	0	0%	1	1	0	0%	
Washington	White	8	4	4	50%	24	9	15	62%	
	Unknown	3	1	2	67%	14	6	8	57%	
District Total		70	53	17	24%	233	69	164	70%	

### Part (a) Juvenile Petitions and Adjudications

Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (a) Juveniles prosecuted in juvenile court under subdivision (1), (2), (3)(b), or (4) of section 43-247, including the total number of filings and adjudications.

The Juvenile Petitions and Adjudications tables report the number of new juvenile cases and corresponding percent of adjudications by case type and demographic group for cases filed in 2020. The data consists of both county court and separate juvenile court cases. Only the most serious offense is counted for cases with multiple charges, pleas or findings. Cases are counted in the Admit column if at least one charge was admitted to in court. Supplemental petitions are not included in this data set. Cases filed in 2020 may not have reached the adjudication stage of proceedings. Juvenile cases where the age is unknown, if any, are included in the report.

			Juveni	ile Petit	ions aı	nd Adju Distric		n by Ca	ase Typ	e			
		Tra	affic Offe	nse	St	atus Offe	nse	М	lisdemea	nor		Felony	
Demo- graphic	Cohort	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated
	14-15	0	0	0%	9	7	78%	53	48	91%	1	1	100%
Age Group	16	1	1	100%	4	3	75%	45	42	93%	3	2	67%
	17	0	0	0%	4	4	100%	53	40	75%	9	4	44%
	Female	0	0	0%	6	5	83%	53	44	83%	6	2	33%
Sex	Male	1	1	100%	10	8	80%	95	84	88%	7	5	71%
	Unknown	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	3	2	67%	0	0	0%
	Am. Indian	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	3	3	100%	0	0	0%
	Black	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	3	3	100%	1	1	100%
Race/	Hispanic	0	0	0%	3	3	100%	22	19	86%	0	0	0%
Ethnicity	White	0	0	0%	9	7	78%	68	63	93%	6	2	33%
	Other	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	0	0%	0	0	0%
	Unknown	1	1	100%	4	3	75%	54	42	78%	6	4	67%
District To	tal	1	1	100%	17	14	82%	151	130	86%	13	7	54%

				Juvenile			udication Age Gro	_	se Type,				
		Tr	raffic Offe	nse	St	atus Offe	nse	N	/lisdemear	nor		Felony	
County	Age Group	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated									
	14-15	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	5	4	80%	0	0	0%
Antelope	16	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	2	2	100%	1	0	0%
	17	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	2	2	100%	0	0	0%
	14-15	0	0	0%	4	2	50%	6	5	83%	0	0	0%
Cuming	16	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	5	4	80%	0	0	0%
	17	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	3	3	100%	3	1	33%
	14-15	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%
Knox	16	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%
	17	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	3	3	100%	0	0	0%
	14-15	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	29	28	97%	1	1	100%
Madison	16	1	1	100%	2	2	100%	20	19	95%	2	2	100%
	17	0	0	0%	2	2	100%	30	20	67%	5	2	40%
	14-15	0	0	0%	2	2	100%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%
Pierce	16	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	3	3	100%	0	0	0%
	17	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	2	1	50%	0	0	0%
	14-15	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	6	4	67%	0	0	0%
Stanton	16	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	3	3	100%	0	0	0%
	17	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	9	8	89%	0	0	0%
	14-15	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	5	5	100%	0	0	0%
Wayne	16	0	0	0%	1	0	0%	11	10	91%	0	0	0%
	17	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	4	3	75%	1	1	100%
District Tota	al	1	1	100%	17	14	82%	151	130	86%	13	7	54%

			Juve	enile Ca		d Adjud ounty ar		by Cas	e Type,				
		Traffic Offense			Status Offense			Misdemeanor			Felony		
County	Sex	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated
Ambalama	Female	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%
Antelope	Male	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	8	7	88%	1	0	0%
C	Female	0	0	0%	2	2	100%	3	3	100%	2	0	0%
Cuming	Male	0	0	0%	4	2	50%	11	9	82%	1	1	100%
V	Female	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%
Knox	Male	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	4	4	100%	0	0	0%
	Female	0	0	0%	2	2	100%	35	28	80%	4	2	50%
Madison	Male	1	1	100%	2	2	100%	44	39	89%	4	3	75%
	Unknown	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%	0	0	0%
Pierce	Male	0	0	0%	2	2	100%	6	5	83%	0	0	0%
	Female	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	6	5	83%	0	0	0%
Stanton	Male	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	9	8	89%	0	0	0%
	Unknown	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	3	2	67%	0	0	0%
\\/	Female	0	0	0%	1	0	0%	7	6	86%	0	0	0%
Wayne	Male	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	13	12	92%	1	1	100%
District Tota	al	1	1	100%	17	14	82%	151	130	86%	13	7	54%

#### Juvenile Cases and Adjudication by Case Type, County and Race/Ethnicity Traffic Offense Status Offense Misdemeanor Felony % % % % County Race Adjudi-Adjudi-Adjudi-Adjudi-Filings Filings Adjudi-Filings Adjudi-Filings Adjudi-Adjudicated cated cated cated cated cated cated cated White 0 0 1 1 100% 8 0 0% Antelope 0% 9 89% 1 Hispanic 0 0 0% 3 3 100% 2 1 50% 0 0 0% Cuming White 0 33% 0 0% 3 1 33% 12 11 92% 3 1 Hispanic 0 0 0% 0 0 0% 1 1 100% 0 0 0% White 0 0 0 0 1 0 0% Knox 0% 0% 1 100% 0 Unknown 0 0 0% 0 0 0% 3 3 100% 0 0 0% Am. Indian 0 0 0% 0 0 0% 2 2 100% 0 0 0% Black 0% 0 0 0% 1 1 100% 1 1 100% 0 0 Hispanic 0 0 0% 0 0 0% 14 13 93% 0 0 0% Madison 0 0 2 2 1 White 0% 100% 23 22 96% 2 50% Other 0 0 0 0% 0 0% 1 0 0% 0 0 0% Unknown 2 67% 1 1 100% 2 100% 38 29 76% 6 4 White Pierce 0 0 0% 2 2 100% 6 5 83% 0 0 0% White 0 0 0% 0 0 0% 5 5 100% 0 0 0% Stanton Unknown 0 0 0% 1 1 100% 13 10 77% 0 0 0% Am. Indian 0 0 0% 0 0 0% 1 1 100% 0 0 0% Black 0 100% 0 0% 0 0 0% 2 2 100% 1 1 Wayne Hispanic 0 0% 0 0 0% 5 4 80% 0 0 0% 0 White 0 0 0% 1 1 100% 12 11 92% 0 0 0% Unknown 0 0 0% 1 0 0% 0 0 0% 0 0 0% **District Total** 1 1 100% 17 14 82% 151 130 86% 13 7 54%

### Part (b) Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court - Case Type

Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (b) Juveniles prosecuted in adult criminal court for felonies, misdemeanors, and traffic offenses. The information shall include juveniles sentenced to terms in adult jails and prisons and juveniles placed on adult probation.

Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court tables consist of criminal and traffic cases in county court and criminal cases in district court that reached the point of sentencing in 2020. Cases with multiple charges are counted once per case and reported as the most severe offense. Cases for juveniles ages 13 and below must be filed and stay in juvenile court; however, judges have the authority to allow these cases in criminal court. Therefore, only juveniles ages 14 through 17 at the time of the offense appear in adult criminal court data.

Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court by Case Type District											
		All Cases		Traffic		Misdemeanor		Felony			
Demographic	Cohort	Total Cases	% of Total	Total Cases	% of Total for Case Type Group	Total Cases	% of Total for Case Type Group	Total Cases	% of Total for Case Type Group		
Age Group	14-15	1	14%	0		0		1	25%		
	17	6	86%	1	100%	2	100%	3	75%		
Race/Ethnicity	Unknown	7		1		2		4			
Sex	Female	2	33%	0		1	50%	1	25%		
	Male	4	67%	0		1	50%	3	75%		
	Unknown	1		1		0		0			
District Total		7	100%	1	14%	2	29%	4	57%		

Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court by Case Type, County and Age Group										
County	Age Group			Misdemeanor	Felony					
	Огоир	#	#	#	#					
Madison	14-15	1	0	0	1					
Madison	17	5	1	1	3					
Pierce	17	1	0	1	0					
District To	otal	7	1	2	4					

Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court by Case Type, County and Sex									
County	Sex	All Cases	Traffic	Misdemeanor	Felony				
		#	#	#	#				
	Female	2	0	1	1				
Madison	Male	3	0	0	3				
	Unknown	1	1	0	0				
Pierce	Male	1	0	1	0				
District To	otal	7	1	2	4				

Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court by Case Type, County and Race/Ethnicity									
County	Race	All Cases Traffic M		Misdemeanor	Felony				
		#	#	#	#				
Madison	Unknown	6	1	1	4				
Pierce	Unknown	1	0	1	0				
District To	otal	7	1	2	4				

### Part (b) Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court - Sentence

Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (b) Juveniles prosecuted in adult criminal court for felonies, misdemeanors, and traffic offenses. The information shall include juveniles sentenced to terms in adult jails and prisons and juveniles placed on adult probation.

Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court tables include criminal and traffic cases in county court and criminal cases in district court that reached the point of sentencing in 2020. All sentencing types are included where multiple sentencing types occur within a case (ex. probation/jail). Youth who are sentenced to probation in Adult Court are supervised by Adult Probation. Age is calculated from the age at offense, not the age at sentencing.

Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court by Sentencing District										
		Total Cases		Probation		Jail		Prison		
Demographic	Cohort	Number of Cases	% of Total	Number of Cases	% of Cohort	Number of Cases	% of Cohort	Number of Cases	% of Cohort	
A === C =====	14-15	1	14%	1	100%	0	0%	0	0%	
Age Group	17	6	86%	3	50%	1	17%	2	33%	
	Male	4	67%	3	100%	0	0%	1	0%	
Sex	Female	2	33%	0	Cohort         of Cases         Cohort         of Cases         Cohort           100%         0         0%         0           50%         1         17%         2	33%				
	Unknown	1	0%	1	50%	0	17%	0	33%	
Race/Ethnicity	Unknown	7	/0	4	100%	1	0%	2	0%	
District Total		7	100%	4	100%	1	0%	2	0%	

Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court by Sentence, County and Age Group									
Country	Age	Total Cases Probation		Jail	Prison				
County	Group	Number of Cases	Number of Cases	Number of Cases	Number of Cases				
Madison	14-15	1	1	0	0				
Madison	17	5	2	1	2				
Pierce	17	1	1	0	0				
District To	otal	7	4	1	2				

#### Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court by Sentence, **County and Sex** Total Prison Jail Probation Cases County Sex Number Number Number Number of Cases of Cases of Cases of Cases Female 2 0 1 1 0 Madison Male 3 2 1 Unknown 1 1 0 0 Pierce Male 1 0 0 1 **District Total** 7 4 1 2

#### Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court by Sentence, County and Race/Ethnicity Total Jail Prison Cases Probation County Race Number Number Number Number of Cases of Cases of Cases of Cases Madison Unknown 6 3 2 1 Pierce Unknown 1 0 0 1 **District Total** 7 4 1 2

### Part (c) Motions to Transfer Cases and Cases Transferred

Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (c) The number of motions to transfer and the number of cases transferred to adult court from juvenile court and from adult criminal court to juvenile court.

The data represents motions to transfer filed in 2020 and transfers between court types which occurred in 2020. Therefore, the exact same subset of cases may not be represented in both columns. There can be multiple motions to transfer within a single case which, if filed in the same calendar year, would all be included in the counts below. Some cases may have a motion to transfer, but no transfer actually occurs.

Motions to Transfer Courts and Transfers District											
		Juveni	le Cour	t to Adult C	ourt	Adu	lt Court	to Juvenile Cour	t		
Demographic	Cohort	Motion to Transfer Juv to Adult	% of Total	Transfers Juv to Adult	% of Total	Motion to Transfer Adult to Juv	% of Total	Transfers Adult to Juv	% of Total		
	11-13	0		0		1	100%	0			
Age Group	14-15	1	6%	0		0		0			
	17	15	94%	4	100%	0		0			
	Hispanic	1	14%	0		0		0			
Dana /Fthaniaita	White	5	71%	2	100%	0		0			
Race/Ethnicity	Other	1	14%	0		0		0			
	Unknown	9		2		1		0			
Cav	Female	10	62%	3	75%	0		0			
Sex	Male	6	38%	1	25%	1	100%	0			
District Total		16		4		1		0			

Motions to Transfer Courts and Transfers, County and Age Group												
		-	e Court to t Court	Adult Court to Juvenile Court								
County	Age Group	Motion to Transfer	Cases Transfered	Motion to Transfer	Cases Transfered							
Cuming	17	1	1	0	0							
Madison	14-15	1	0	0	0							
Madison	17	14	3	0	0							
Stanton 11-13 0 0 1 0												
District To	District Total 16 4 1 0											

ا	Motions to Transfer Courts and Transfers, County and Sex												
		Juvenil Adul	ourt to Juvenile Court										
County	Sex	Motion to Transfer	Cases Transfered	Motion to Transfer	Cases Transfered								
Cuming	Female	1	1	0	0								
Madison	Female	9	2	0	0								
iviadison	Male	6	1	0	0								
Stanton	Stanton Male 0 0 1 0												
District To	otal	16	4	1	0								

Motions to Transfer Courts and Transfers, County and Race/Ethnicity												
		-	e Court to It Court		ourt to Juvenile Court							
County	Race/ Ethnicity	Motion to Transfer	Cases Transfered	Motion to Transfer	Cases Transfered							
Cuming	White	1	1	0	0							
	Hispanic	1	0	0	0							
NA - dia	White	4	1	0	0							
Madison	Other	1	0	0	0							
	Unknown	9	2	0	0							
Stanton	Unknown	0	0	1	0							
District Total 16 4 1 0												

### Part (d) Juvenile Probation Activity

Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (d) Juveniles placed on juvenile probation, the number of juveniles on probation in out-of-home care, the number of juveniles completing probation, the number of motions to revoke probation and probation revocations, and the average length of time on probation.

The Juvenile Probation Activity - Youth data is provided from the Administrative Office of Probation's case management system, Nebraska Probation Application for Community Safety (NPACS). The table reports the number and percent of youth with various activity during the year. Youth are counted once in each category, regardless of the number of out-of-home placements, motions to revoke, or cases they may have in the year. Age groups are calculated specific to the activity as footnoted.

	Juv	/enile F	robati Distric		ivity						
Domographic	Cohort	Placed on Probation		Out-of-home Placement		Motions to Revoke		Probation Revoked		Satisfactory Release	
Demographic	Conort	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total
	11-13	22	11%	4	4%	4	6%	0	0%	22	10%
	14-15	68	33%	44	39%	16	24%	0	0%	84	39%
Age Group	16	56	27%	30	26%	12	18%	0	0%	46	21%
	17	54	26%	26	23%	24	35%	2	100%	56	26%
	18	8	4%	10	9%	12	18%	0	0%	10	5%
<b>C</b>	Female	72	35%	42	37%	16	24%	0	0%	72	33%
Sex	Male	136	65%	72	63%	52	76%	2	100%	146	67%
	American Indian Or Alaskan Native	0	0%	0	0%	6	9%	0	0%	0	0%
	Black	12	6%	8	7%	0	0%	0	0%	6	3%
Descri	Indian	20	10%	12	11%	0	0%	0	0%	24	11%
Race	Other	22	11%	8	7%	12	18%	0	0%	22	10%
	Pacific Islander	8	4%	2	2%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
	White	146	70%	84	74%	50	74%	2	100%	166	76%
Fall of the	Hispanic	50	24%	20	18%	26	38%	0	0%	56	26%
Ethnicity	Not Hispanic	158	76%	94	82%	42	62%	2	100%	162	74%
District Total		208	100%	114	100%	68	100%	2	100%	218	100%

<sup>1.</sup> Age at which the probation term began. 2. Age at the first admission to out-of-home placement. 3. Age as of the file date for motion to revoke. 4. Age as of the disposition date for motion to revoke. 5. Age as of the disposition date for motion.

Juvenile Probation Activity District													
County	Age	Place Proba		Out-of- Place		Motio Revo		Proba Revo		Satisfa Rele	_		
County	Group	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total		
	11-13	4	2%	2	2%	0	0%	0	0%	2	1%		
	14-15	8	4%	4	4%	2	3%	0	0%	20	9%		
Antelope	16	4	2%	6	5%	2	3%	0	0%	4	2%		
	17	0	0%	2	2%	0	0%	0	0%	4	2%		
	18	0	0%	2	2%	0	0%	0	0%	2	1%		
	14-15	12	6%	6	5%	0	0%	0	0%	4	2%		
Cuming	16	4	2%	2	2%	2	3%	0	0%	0	0%		
Cuming	17	8	4%	2	2%	2	3%	0	0%	10	5%		
	18	0	0%	0	0%	4	6%	0	0%	0	0%		
	11-13	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	2	1%		
	14-15	2	1%	2	2%	0	0%	0	0%	6	3%		
Knox	16	0	0%	0	0%	2	3%	0	0%	2	1%		
	17	4	2%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	10	5%		
	18	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	2	1%		
	11-13	16	8%	2	2%	4	6%	0	0%	16	7%		
	14-15	34	16%	28	25%	12	18%	0	0%	46	21%		
Madison	16	30	14%	16	14%	6	9%	0	0%	34	16%		
	17	30	14%	20	18%	16	24%	0	0%	28	13%		
	18	4	2%	8	7%	8	12%	0	0%	2	1%		
	14-15	4	2%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	2	1%		
Pierce	16	2	1%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	2	1%		
	17	6	3%	0	0%	0	0%	2	100%	2	1%		
	11-13	2	1%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	2	1%		
	14-15	2	1%	2	2%	2	3%	0	0%	2	1%		
Stanton	16	8	4%	4	4%	0	0%	0	0%	2	1%		
	17	0	0%	0	0%	2	3%	0	0%	0	0%		
	18	4	2%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	2	1%		
	14-15	6	3%	2	2%	0	0%	0	0%	4	2%		
Wayne	16	8	4%	2	2%	0	0%	0	0%	2	1%		
	17	6	3%	2	2%	4	6%	0	0%	2	1%		

	Juvenile Probation Activity District												
Placed on Out-of-home Motions to Probation Satisfactor											,		
County	Group	# of Youth	% of Total										
Wayne	18	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	2	1%		
District To	District Total 208 100% 114 100% 68 100% 2 100% 218 100%												

	Juvenile Probation Activity District													
Carrata	Carr	Place Proba		Out-of- Place		Motio Rev		Proba Revo		Satisfactory Release				
County	Sex	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total			
At	Female	6	3%	6	5%	0	0%	0	0%	8	4%			
Antelope	Male	10	5%	10	9%	4	6%	0	0%	24	11%			
C	Female	8	4%	4	4%	0	0%	0	0%	2	1%			
Cuming	Male	16	8%	6	5%	8	12%	0	0%	12	6%			
l/n av	Female	2	1%	0	0%	2	3%	0	0%	12	6%			
Knox	Male	4	2%	2	2%	0	0%	0	0%	10	5%			
Madison	Female	46	22%	28	25%	14	21%	0	0%	44	20%			
Madison	Male	68	33%	46	40%	32	47%	0	0%	82	38%			
Pierce	Male	12	6%	0	0%	0	0%	2	100%	6	3%			
Chamban	Female	4	2%	4	4%	0	0%	0	0%	4	2%			
Stanton	Male	12	6%	2	2%	4	6%	0	0%	4	2%			
Marina	Female	6	3%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	2	1%			
Wayne	Male	14	7%	6	5%	4	6%	0	0%	8	4%			
District To	tal	208	100%	114	100%	68	100%	2	100%	218	100%			

	J	uvenile	Proba	ation A rict	ctivity						
County	Page	Place Proba		Out-of-home Placement		Motions to Revoke		Probation Revoked		Satisfactory Release	
County	Race	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total
	White	16	8%	14	12%	4	6%	0	0%	30	14%
Antelope	Black	0	0%	2	2%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
	Indian	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	2	1%
Cuming	White	24	12%	10	9%	8	12%	0	0%	14	6%
17	White	4	2%	0	0%	2	3%	0	0%	18	8%
Knox	Indian	2	1%	2	2%	0	0%	0	0%	4	2%
	Other	16	8%	6	5%	10	15%	0	0%	18	8%
	White	66	32%	50	44%	30	44%	0	0%	84	39%
NA 11	American Indian Or Alaskan Native	0	0%	0	0%	6	9%	0	0%	0	0%
Madison	Pacific Islander	6	3%	2	2%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
	Black	10	5%	6	5%	0	0%	0	0%	6	3%
	Indian	16	8%	10	9%	0	0%	0	0%	18	8%
ь.	White	10	5%	0	0%	0	0%	2	100%	6	3%
Pierce	Pacific Islander	2	1%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
	White	12	6%	6	5%	4	6%	0	0%	6	3%
Stanton	Other	2	1%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	2	1%
	Indian	2	1%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
	Other	4	2%	2	2%	2	3%	0	0%	2	1%
Wayne	White	14	7%	4	4%	2	3%	0	0%	8	4%
	Black	2	1%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
District To	tal	208	100%	114	100%	68	100%	2	100%	218	100%

	Juvenile Probation Activity District												
		Place Proba		Out-of- Place				Probation Revoked		Satisfactor Release			
County	Ethnicity	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total		
Antelope	Not Hispanic	16	8%	16	14%	4	6%	0	0%	32	15%		
Hispanic 4 2% 0 0% 2 3% 0 0% 2 1%													
Cuming	Not Hispanic	20	10%	10	9%	6	9%	0	0%	12	6%		
Knox	Not Hispanic	6	3%	2	2%	2	3%	0	0%	22	10%		
Madison	Hispanic	42	20%	20	18%	22	32%	0	0%	50	23%		
Madison	Not Hispanic	72	35%	54	47%	24	35%	0	0%	76	35%		
Pierce	Not Hispanic	12	6%	0	0%	0	0%	2	100%	6	3%		
Ctanton	Hispanic	2	1%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	2	1%		
Stanton	Not Hispanic	14	7%	6	5%	4	6%	0	0%	6	3%		
Mayno	Hispanic	2	1%	0	0%	2	3%	0	0%	2	1%		
Wayne	Not Hispanic	18	9%	6	5%	2	3%	0	0%	8	4%		
District To	District Total 208 100% 114 100% 68 100% 2 100% 218 100%												

### Part (d) Juvenile Probation - Youth Risk Level and Length of Probation

Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (d) Juveniles placed on juvenile probation, the number of juveniles on probation in out-of-home care, the number of juveniles completing probation, the number of motions to revoke probation and probation revocations, and the average length of time on probation.

Youth Level of Service/Case Management Inventory (YLS/CMI) is an assessment tool used to systematically measure risk for recidivism and appropriate supervision intensity for youth on probation. The following table shows the classification results of youth that entered into Probation in 2020, and another set of youth that discharged from Probation in 2020. Youth that are assessed at low or moderate low risk are matched to JCBR supervision and those assessed at high or moderate high risk are matched to JCBI supervision intensity

	Youth Risk Levels and Length of Probation District												
		Youth	Starting	; Probation	Youth	Discharged t	from Probation	Average Length of Probation					
Demo- graphic	Cohort	JCBR/ Low/ Moderate Low	JCBI/ High	No Assessment**	JCBR/ Low	JCBI/ High/ Moderate High	No Assessment**	Total Youth	Avg Length of Probation				
	11-13	14	8		2			2	6.0				
	14-15	28	40		30	26		56	10.9				
Age Group	16	32	24		22	20		42	8.3				
	17	40	12	2	30	24	2	56	11.9				
	18	8			38	32		70	18.2				
Cav	Female	44	26	2	40	28	2	70	10.9				
Sex	Male	78	58		82	74		156	13.8				
	Am. Indian	8	12		12	20		32	9.3				
	Asian/P.I.	6	2					0					
Race	Black	4	8		4	2		6	8.0				
	White	88	56	2	92	72	2	166	14.5				
	Other	16	6		14	8		22	7.5				
Ethaniaita.	Hispanic	30	20		30	24	2	56	9.3				
Ethnicity	Not Hispanic	92	64	2	92	78		170	14.1				
District Tota	ıl	122	84	2	122	102	2	226	12.9				

		Y	outh F	Risk Levels an by County a			ation		
		Youth	Starting	g Probation			From Probation		ge Length robation
County	Age Group	JCBR/ Low/ Moderate Low	JCBI/ High	No Assessment**	JCBR/ Low	JCBI/ High/ Moderate High	No Assessment**	Total Youth	Avg Length of Probation
	11-13	2	2					0	
	14-15	6	2			2		2	21.0
Antelope	16		4			4		4	14.0
	17				4			4	20.0
	18				2	4		6	16.3
	14-15	6	6					0	
	16	2	2		4			4	8.0
Cuming	17	6	2			2		2	9.0
	18				4	4		8	11.2
	14-15		2			6		6	13.0
	16				2			2	6.0
Knox	17	4			8			8	6.0
	18				6			6	7.0
	11-13	10	6		2			2	6.0
	14-15	12	22		26	14		40	11.0
Madison	16	16	14		10	12		22	7.4
	17	22	6	2	16	18	2	36	11.8
	18	4			20	18		38	15.8
	14-15	2	2			2		2	7.0
	16	2			2			2	9.0
Pierce	17	4	2		2			2	8.0
	18				2	2		4	69.0
	11-13	2						0	
	14-15		2		2	2		4	6.0
Stanton	16	6	2		2	4		6	10.3
	17					4		4	20.0
	18	4			2			2	9.0
Wayne	14-15	2	4		2			2	6.0

	Youth Risk Levels and Length of Probation by County and Age Group												
		Youth	Starting	g Probation	Youth	Discharged 1	from Probation	Average Length of Probation					
County	Age Group	JCBR/ Low/ Moderate Low	JCBI/ High	No Assessment**	JCBR/ Low	JCBI/ High/ Moderate High	No Assessment**	Total Youth	Avg Length of Probation				
	16	6	2		2			2	3.0				
Wayne	17	4	2					0					
	18			4		6	24.7						
District To	tal	122	102	2	226	12.9							

	Youth Risk Levels and Length of Probation by County and Sex									
		Youth Starting Probation				Discharged f	rom Probation	Average Length of Probation		
County	Sex	JCBR/ Low/ Moderate Low	JCBI/ High	No Assessment**	JCBR/ Low	JCBI/High/ Moderate High	No Assessment**	Total Youth	Avg Length of Probation	
Antolone	Female	2	4		2	2		4	18.0	
Antelope	Male	6	4		4	8		12	17.0	
Cumina	Female	2	6		2			2	12.0	
Cuming	Male	12	4		6	6		12	9.7	
Knox	Female	2			8	4		12	9.8	
KIIOX	Male	2	2		8	2		10	6.2	
Madison	Female	28	16	2	24	20	2	46	10.9	
Madison	Male	36	32		50	42		92	12.4	
Pierce	Male	8	4		6	4		10	32.4	
Stanton	Female	4			2	2		4	9.0	
Staffton	Male	8	4		4	8		12	12.3	
Wayno	Female	6			2			2	6.0	
Wayne	Male	6	8		4	4		8	19.2	
District To	District Total 122 84 2 122 102 2 226 12.9									

	Youth Risk Levels and Length of Probation by County and Race									
		Youth	Starting	g Probation	Youth	Discharged t	from Probation	Average Length of Probation		
County	Race	JCBR/ Low/ Moderate Low	JCBI/ High	No Assessment**	JCBR/ Low	JCBI/ High/ Moderate High	No Assessment**	Total Youth	Avg Length of Probation	
Antolono	Am. Indian					2		2	10.0	
Antelope	White	8	8		6	8		14	18.3	
Cuming	White	14	10		8	6		14	10.0	
Knox	Am. Indian		2			4		4	16.5	
KIIOX	White	4			16	2		18	6.3	
	Am. Indian	8	8		12	12		24	8.3	
	Asian/P.I.	6						0		
Madison	Black	4	6		4	2		6	8.0	
	White	34	30	2	48	40	2	90	14.0	
	Other	12	4		10	8		18	7.6	
Pierce	Asian/P.I.		2					0		
rierce	White	8	2		6	4		10	32.4	
	Am. Indian		2			2		2	6.0	
Stanton	White	10	2		4	8		12	12.8	
	Other	2			2			2	9.0	
	Black		2					0		
Wayne	White	10	4		4	4		8	19.2	
	Other	2	2		2			2	6.0	
District To	tal	122	84	2	122	102	2	226	12.9	

	Youth Risk Levels and Length of Probation by County and Ethnicity									
		Youth	Starting	g Probation	Youth	Discharged 1	rom Probation	Average Length of Probation		
County	Ethnicity	JCBR/ Low/ Moderate Low	ow/ High Assessment** Low High/ Assessment** Moderate					Total Youth	Avg Length of Probation	
Antelope	Not Hispanic	8	8		6	10		16	17.2	
Cumina	Hispanic	2	2		2	2		4	7.5	
Cuming	Not Hispanic	12	8		6	4		10	11.0	
Knox	Not Hispanic	4	2		16	6		22	8.2	
Madison	Hispanic	24	18		26	20	2	48	9.4	
Madison	Not Hispanic	40	30	2	48	42		90	13.2	
Pierce	Not Hispanic	8	4		6	4		10	32.4	
Ctanton	Hispanic	2			2			2	9.0	
Stanton Not Hispanic 10 4 10								14	11.9	
Hispanic 2							2	10.0		
Wayne	Not Hispanic	10	8		6	2		8	18.2	
District Total 122 84 2 122 102 2 226 12									12.9	

### Part (e) Juveniles Access to Counsel

Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (e) juveniles with and without access to counsel in juvenile and adult court, both appointed and retained.

The Juveniles Access to Counsel table displays the percent of juveniles represented by an attorney in criminal cases from county court and district court and juvenile cases from county court and separate juvenile court. Data includes new cases filed and cases transferred from one county to another during calendar year 2020. Cases filed in 2020 may not have reached the sentencing/adjudication stage of proceedings. Cases for juveniles ages 13 and below must be filed and stay in juvenile court.

	Juvenile Access to Counsel District										
			Criminal C	ourt Cases		Juvenile Court Cases					
Demographic	Cohort	Total Criminal Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel	Total Juvenile Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel		
	11-13	3	2	1	33%	39	7	32	82%		
Aga Croup	14-15	8	5	3	38%	88	38	50	57%		
Age Group	16	7	6	1	14%	64	32	32	50%		
	17	35	20	15	43%	79	37	42	53%		
	Female	22	13	9	41%	97	42	55	57%		
Sex	Male	26	16	10	38%	164	70	94	57%		
	Unknown	5	4	1	20%	9	2	7	78%		
	Am. Indian					8	1	7	88%		
	Black	1	1	0	0%	8	2	6	75%		
Basa/Ethnisity	Hispanic	9	8	1	11%	33	17	16	48%		
Race/Ethnicity	White	21	16	5	24%	112	49	63	56%		
	Other					1	1	0	0%		
	Unknown	22	8	14	64%	108	44	64	59%		
District Total		53	33	20	38%	270	114	156	58%		

	Juvenile Access to Counsel by County and Age Group									
			Criminal C	ourt Cases			Juvenile C	ourt Cases		
County	Age Group	Total Criminal Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel	Total Juvenile Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel	
	11-13	2	2	0	0%	13	2	11	85%	
Antolono	14-15	1	1	0	0%	8	0	8	100%	
Antelope	16					4	2	2	50%	
	17					2	2	0	0%	
	11-13					1	0	1	100%	
Cuming	14-15	2	0	2	100%	16	8	8	50%	
Cuming	16					7	3	4	57%	
	17	2	1	1	50%	8	5	3	38%	
	14-15					2	0	2	100%	
Knox	16					1	0	1	100%	
	17	2	2	0	0%	3	1	2	67%	
	11-13					16	1	15	94%	
Madison	14-15	4	3	1	25%	40	18	22	55%	
Madison	16	7	6	1	14%	31	13	18	58%	
	17	22	8	14	64%	45	17	28	62%	
	11-13					1	1	0	0%	
Diago	14-15					5	1	4	80%	
Pierce	16					3	2	1	33%	
	17	2	2	0	0%	3	0	3	100%	
	11-13	1	0	1	100%	7	2	5	71%	
Ctantan	14-15	1	1	0	0%	9	8	1	11%	
Stanton	16					6	3	3	50%	
	17	2	2	0	0%	11	7	4	36%	
	11-13					1	1	0	0%	
\\/a\\\a\	14-15					8	3	5	62%	
Wayne	16					12	9	3	25%	
	17	5	5	0	0%	7	5	2	29%	
District To	tal	53	33	20	38%	270	114	156	58%	

	Juvenile Access to Counsel by County and Sex										
			Criminal C	ourt Cases		Juvenile Court Cases					
County	Sex	Total Criminal Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel	Total Juvenile Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel		
A	Female	2	2	0	0%	14	3	11	79%		
Antelope	Male	1	1	0	0%	13	3	10	77%		
Cuming	Female	1	0	1	100%	9	4	5	56%		
Cuming	Male	3	1	2	67%	23	12	11	48%		
Knox	Female	1	1	0	0%	1	0	1	100%		
KIIOX	Male	1	1	0	0%	5	1	4	80%		
	Female	16	8	8	50%	52	20	32	62%		
Madison	Male	14	7	7	50%	75	29	46	61%		
	Unknown	3	2	1	33%	5	0	5	100%		
Pierce	Female	1	1	0	0%	1	1	0	0%		
Pierce	Male	1	1	0	0%	11	3	8	73%		
	Female					12	7	5	42%		
Stanton	Male	4	3	1	25%	17	11	6	35%		
	Unknown					4	2	2	50%		
	Female	1	1	0	0%	8	7	1	12%		
Wayne	Male	2	2	0	0%	20	11	9	45%		
	Unknown	2	2	0	0%						
District To	tal	53	33	20	38%	270	114	156	58%		

	Juvenile Access to Counsel by County and Race/Ethnicity								
			Criminal C	ourt Cases			Juvenile C	ourt Cases	
County	Race/ Ethnicity	Total Criminal Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel	Total Juvenile Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel
Ambalama	Black					1	0	1	100%
Antelope	White	3	3	0	0%	26	6	20	77%
	Am. Indian					1	0	1	100%
Comming a	Black					2	1	1	50%
Cuming	Hispanic	1	1	0	0%	6	2	4	67%
	White	3	0	3	100%	23	13	10	43%
	Am. Indian					1	0	1	100%
Knox	Hispanic					1	0	1	100%
KIIOX	White	2	2	0	0%	1	0	1	100%
	Unknown					3	1	2	67%
	Am. Indian					5	0	5	100%
	Black	1	1	0	0%	2	1	1	50%
Madison	Hispanic	6	5	1	17%	17	9	8	47%
iviauisori	White	11	9	2	18%	30	13	17	57%
	Other					1	1	0	0%
	Unknown	15	2	13	87%	77	25	52	68%
Pierce	White	1	1	0	0%	12	4	8	67%
rierce	Unknown	1	1	0	0%				
	Hispanic	1	1	0	0%	3	2	1	33%
Stanton	White	1	1	0	0%	5	3	2	40%
	Unknown	2	1	1	50%	25	15	10	40%
	Am. Indian					1	1	0	0%
	Black					3	0	3	100%
Wayne	Hispanic	1	1	0	0%	6	4	2	33%
	White					15	10	5	33%
	Unknown	4	4	0	0%	3	3	0	0%
District To	tal	53	33	20	38%	270	114	156	58%

### Part (a) Juvenile Petitions and Adjudications

Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (a) Juveniles prosecuted in juvenile court under subdivision (1), (2), (3)(b), or (4) of section 43-247, including the total number of filings and adjudications.

The Juvenile Petitions and Adjudications tables report the number of new juvenile cases and corresponding percent of adjudications by case type and demographic group for cases filed in 2020. The data consists of both county court and separate juvenile court cases. Only the most serious offense is counted for cases with multiple charges, pleas or findings. Cases are counted in the Admit column if at least one charge was admitted to in court. Supplemental petitions are not included in this data set. Cases filed in 2020 may not have reached the adjudication stage of proceedings. Juvenile cases where the age is unknown, if any, are included in the report.

	Juvenile Petitions and Adjudication by Case Type District												
		Tr	affic Offe	nse	St	atus Offe	nse	М	lisdemea	nor	Felony		
Demo- graphic	Cohort	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated									
	14-15	0	0	0%	3	0	0%	25	14	56%	10	8	80%
Age Group	16	1	1	100%	2	0	0%	9	2	22%	3	1	33%
Стоир	17	0	0	0%	2	1	50%	13	9	69%	3	1	33%
	Female	0	0	0%	1	0	0%	17	10	59%	4	2	50%
Sex	Male	1	1	100%	3	1	33%	26	14	54%	11	7	64%
	Unknown	0	0	0%	3	0	0%	4	1	25%	1	1	100%
	Am. Indian	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	3	0	0%	2	1	50%
Race/	Hispanic	0	0	0%	1	0	0%	0	0	0%	0	0	0%
Ethnicity	White	1	1	100%	1	1	100%	29	17	59%	6	5	83%
	Unknown	0	0	0%	5	0	0%	15	8	53%	8	4	50%
District To	trict Total 1 1 100% 7 1 14% 47 25 53% 16 10 62%												

	Juvenile Cases and Adjudication by Case Type, County and Age Group												
		Tr	affic Offe	nse	St	tatus Offe	nse	N	/lisdemear	nor		Felony	
County	Age Group	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated									
	14-15	0	0	0%	1	0	0%	5	2	40%	0	0	0%
Brown	16	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%
	17	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	4	3	75%	0	0	0%
	14-15	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	4	2	50%	2	1	50%
Cherry	16	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	2	0	0%	1	0	0%
	17	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%
	14-15	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	2	1	50%	4	3	75%
Custer	16	1	1	100%	1	0	0%	3	0	0%	1	0	0%
	17	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	2	1	50%	0	0	0%
Garfield	14-15	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	2	1	50%	0	0	0%
Garnetu	17	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	0	0%	0	0	0%
Greeley	14-15	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	2	2	100%	0	0	0%
	14-15	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	3	2	67%	2	2	100%
Holt	16	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	2	1	50%	0	0	0%
	17	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	2	1	50%	2	1	50%
	14-15	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	3	3	100%	1	1	100%
Howard	16	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	1	100%
	17	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	3	3	100%	1	0	0%
Sherman	14-15	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	2	1	50%	0	0	0%
SHEITHAN	16	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	0	0%	0	0	0%
	14-15	0	0	0%	2	0	0%	2	0	0%	1	1	100%
Valley	16	0	0	0%	1	0	0%	0	0	0%	0	0	0%
	17	0	0	0%	1	0	0%	0	0	0%	0	0	0%
District Tot	al	1	1	100%	7	1	14%	47	25	53%	16	10	62%

			Juve	enile Ca		d Adjuc ounty a		by Cas	se Type,				
		Tr	affic Offe	nse	St	atus Offe	nse	N	/lisdemear	or		Felony	
County	Sex	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated
	Female	0	0	0%	1	0	0%	5	4	80%	0	0	0%
Brown	Male	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	5	2	40%	0	0	0%
	Female	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	4	2	50%	1	0	0%
Cherry	Male	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	2	1	50%	2	1	50%
	Unknown	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	0	0%	0	0	0%
<b>C</b> 1	Female	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	5	2	40%	1	1	100%
Custer	Male	1	1	100%	1	0	0%	2	0	0%	4	2	50%
Cf: -   -	Male	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	0	0%	0	0	0%
Garfield	Unknown	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	2	1	50%	0	0	0%
Greeley	Male	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	2	2	100%	0	0	0%
	Female	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	1	0	0%
Holt	Male	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	5	3	60%	3	3	100%
	Unknown	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	0	0%	0	0	0%
	Female	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	1	1	100%
Howard	Male	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	5	5	100%	2	1	50%
Sherman	Male	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	3	1	33%	0	0	0%
	Female	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	0	0%	0	0	0%
Valley	Male	0	0	0%	1	0	0%	1	0	0%	0	0	0%
	Unknown	0	0	0%	3	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	1	100%
District Tot	al	1	1	100%	7	1	14%	47	25	53%	16	10	62%

#### Juvenile Cases and Adjudication by Case Type, County and Race/Ethnicity Traffic Offense Status Offense Misdemeanor Felony % % % % County Race Adjudi-Adjudi-Adjudi-Adjudi-Filings Filings Adjudi-Adjudi-**Filings** Adjudi-Filings Adjudicated cated cated cated cated cated cated cated 0 0% 0 0 0 0 0% Hispanic 0 1 0% 0 0% Brown White 0 0 0% 0 0 0% 10 6 60% 0 0 0% Am. Indian 0 0 50% 0 0% 0 0 0% 2 0% 2 1 White Cherry 0 0 0% 0 0 0% 3 3 100% 0 0 0% Unknown 0 0 0 2 0 0% 0 0% 0 0% 0% 1 White 1 1 100% 0 0 0% 5 1 20% 1 0 0% Custer Unknown 0 0 0% 0 0% 2 1 50% 4 3 75% 1 Unknown 0 0% Garfield 0 0% 0 0 0% 3 1 33% 0 0 White 0 0 0% 0 0 0% 1 1 100% 0 0 0% Greeley 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 0% 0% 0% 1 100% Unknown 0 0 Am. Indian 0 0% 0 0 0% 1 0% 0 0 0% Holt White 0 3 100% 0 0% 1 1 100% 6 4 67% 3 Unknown 0 0 0% 0 0 0% 0 0 0% 1 0 0% White 0 0 0% 0 0 0% 1 1 100% 2 2 100% Howard Unknown 0 0 0 5 5 1 0 0% 0 0% 100% 0% Sherman White 0 0 0% 0 0 0% 3 1 33% 0 0 0% 0 0 0 0 Valley Unknown 0% 4 0% 2 0% 1 1 100% 53% **District Total** 1 1 100% 7 1 14% 47 25 16 10 62%

### Part (b) Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court - Case Type

Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (b) Juveniles prosecuted in adult criminal court for felonies, misdemeanors, and traffic offenses. The information shall include juveniles sentenced to terms in adult jails and prisons and juveniles placed on adult probation.

Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court tables consist of criminal and traffic cases in county court and criminal cases in district court that reached the point of sentencing in 2020. Cases with multiple charges are counted once per case and reported as the most severe offense. Cases for juveniles ages 13 and below must be filed and stay in juvenile court; however, judges have the authority to allow these cases in criminal court. Therefore, only juveniles ages 14 through 17 at the time of the offense appear in adult criminal court data.



Crir	ninal Co	rosecuted in A ourt by Case T and Age Grou	Гуре,							
All Cases	Traffic	Misdemeanor	Felony							
#										

	ninal Co	rosecuted in A ourt by Case T nty and Sex	
All Cases	Traffic	Misdemeanor	Felony
#	#	#	#

Juveniles
Prosecuted
in Adult
Criminal
Court by
Case Type,
County
and
Race/
Ethnicity
All Cases

### Part (b) Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court - Sentence

Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (b) Juveniles prosecuted in adult criminal court for felonies, misdemeanors, and traffic offenses. The information shall include juveniles sentenced to terms in adult jails and prisons and juveniles placed on adult probation.

Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court tables include criminal and traffic cases in county court and criminal cases in district court that reached the point of sentencing in 2020. All sentencing types are included where multiple sentencing types occur within a case (ex. probation/jail). Youth who are sentenced to probation in Adult Court are supervised by Adult Probation. Age is calculated from the age at offense, not the age at sentencing.

Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court by Sentencing District								
Total Cases Probation Jail Prison						on		
Number % of Number % of of Cases Total of Cases Cohort				Number of Cases		Number of Cases	% of Cohort	

Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court by Sentence, County and Age Group							
Total Cases	Jail Prison Probation						
Number Number Number of Cases of Cases of Cases							

Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court by Sentence, County and Sex							
Total Jail Prison Cases Probation							
Number Number Number of Cases of Cases of Cases							

Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court by Sentence, County and Race/Ethnicity							
Total Cases	Jail Prison Probation						
Number Number Number of Cases of Cases of Cases							

### Part (c) Motions to Transfer Cases and Cases Transferred

Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (c) The number of motions to transfer and the number of cases transferred to adult court from juvenile court and from adult criminal court to juvenile court.

The data represents motions to transfer filed in 2020 and transfers between court types which occurred in 2020. Therefore, the exact same subset of cases may not be represented in both columns. There can be multiple motions to transfer within a single case which, if filed in the same calendar year, would all be included in the counts below. Some cases may have a motion to transfer, but no transfer actually occurs.

Motions to Transfer Courts and Transfers District										
		Juvenile Court to Adult Court				Adu	Adult Court to Juvenile Court			
Demographic	Cohort	Motion to Transfer Juv to Adult	% of Total	Transfers Juv to Adult	% of Total	Motion to Transfer Adult to Juv	% of Total	Transfers Adult to Juv	% of Total	
	14-15	0		0		1	100%	1	20%	
Age Group	16	0		0		0		2	40%	
	17	0		0		0		2	40%	
D /F4l	White	0		0		0		4	100%	
Race/Ethnicity	Unknown	0		0		1		1		
Carr	Female	0		0		0		1	20%	
Sex	Male	0		0		1	100%	4	80%	
District Total		0		0		1		5		

Motions to Transfer Courts and Transfers, County and Age Group								
		_	e Court to It Court	Adult Court to Juvenile Court				
County	Age Group	Motion Cases to Transfered		Motion to Transfer	Cases Transfered			
Custer	16	0	0	0	1			
14-15		0	0	1	1			
Howard	17	0	0	0	2			
Sherman	16	0	0	0	1			
District To	tal	0	0	1	5			

Motions to Transfer Courts and Transfers, County and Sex								
		_	e Court to It Court	Adult Court to Juvenile Court				
County	County Sex		Motion to Transfer Transfered		Cases Transfered			
Custer	Male	0	0	0	1			
Howard	Male	0	0	1	3			
Sherman	Female	0	0	0	1			
District Total		0	0	1	5			

Motions to Transfer Courts and Transfers, County and Race/Ethnicity							
		•	e Court to It Court	Adult Court to Juvenile Court			
County	Race/ Ethnicity	Motion to Transfer	Cases Transfered	Motion to Transfer	Cases Transfered		
Custer	White	0	0	0	1		
Hamanal	White	0	0	0	2		
Howard	Unknown	0	0	1	1		
Sherman White		0	0	0	1		
District To	otal	0	0	1	5		

### Part (d) Juvenile Probation Activity

Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (d) Juveniles placed on juvenile probation, the number of juveniles on probation in out-of-home care, the number of juveniles completing probation, the number of motions to revoke probation and probation revocations, and the average length of time on probation.

The Juvenile Probation Activity - Youth data is provided from the Administrative Office of Probation's case management system, Nebraska Probation Application for Community Safety (NPACS). The table reports the number and percent of youth with various activity during the year. Youth are counted once in each category, regardless of the number of out-of-home placements, motions to revoke, or cases they may have in the year. Age groups are calculated specific to the activity as footnoted.

Juvenile Probation Activity District								
D bis	Calaant	Placed on Probation		Out-of-home Placement		Satisfactory Release		
Demographic	Cohort	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	
	11-13	10	14%	2	6%	20	22%	
	14-15	32	46%	16	47%	36	39%	
Age Group	16	6	9%	4	12%	10	11%	
	17	20	29%	12	35%	24	26%	
	18	2	3%	0	0%	2	2%	
Cave	Female	24	34%	14	41%	38	41%	
Sex	Male	46	66%	20	59%	54	59%	
	Black	2	3%	0	0%	2	2%	
Race	Indian	6	9%	2	6%	16	17%	
Race	Other	4	6%	4	12%	2	2%	
	White	58	83%	28	82%	72	78%	
Ethnicity	Hispanic	4	6%	4	12%	4	4%	
Ethnicity	Not Hispanic	66	94%	30	88%	88	96%	
District Total		70	100%	34	100%	92	100%	

<sup>1.</sup> Age at which the probation term began. 2. Age at the first admission to out-of-home placement. 3. Age as of the file date for motion to revoke. 4. Age as of the disposition date for motion to revoke. 5. Age as of the disposition date for probation.

Juvenile Probation Activity District											
County	Age	Place Proba		Out-of- Place		Satisfactory Release					
County	Group	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total				
Boyd	16	0	0%	0	0%	2	2%				
	11-13	0	0%	0	0%	2	2%				
Prown	14-15	6	9%	0	0%	2	2%				
Brown	17	4	6%	0	0%	0	0%				
	18	0	0%	0	0%	2	2%				
	11-13	2	3%	2	6%	0	0%				
Charm	14-15	6	9%	2	6%	4	4%				
Cherry	16	0	0%	0	0%	4	4%				
	17	2	3%	0	0%	0	0%				
	11-13	6	9%	0	0%	8	9%				
_	14-15	4	6%	6	18%	0	0%				
Custer	16	2	3%	0	0%	0	0%				
	17	4	6%	2	6%	10	11%				
6 6 1	17	0	0%	2	6%	2	2%				
Garfield	18	2	3%	0	0%	0	0%				
	14-15	4	6%	0	0%	2	2%				
Greeley	16	0	0%	2	6%	0	0%				
	11-13	0	0%	0	0%	4	4%				
	14-15	2	3%	2	6%	12	13%				
Holt	16	2	3%	0	0%	0	0%				
	17	8	11%	6	18%	10	11%				
	11-13	0	0%	0	0%	6	7%				
	14-15	4	6%	2	6%	8	9%				
Howard	16	2	3%	2	6%	2	2%				
	17	2	3%	2	6%	2	2%				
Rock	14-15	6	9%	2	6%	8	9%				
	11-13	2	3%	0	0%	0	0%				
Valley	14-15	0	0%	2	6%	0	0%				
	16	0	0%	0	0%	2	2%				
District T	otal	70	100%	34	100%	92	100%				

	Ju	venile	Probat Distri		tivity			
County	Sex	Placed on Probation		Out-of- Place		Satisfactory Release		
County	sex	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	
Boyd	Female	0	0%	0	0%	2	2%	
D	Female	4	6%	0	0%	2	2%	
Brown	Male	6	9%	0	0%	4	4%	
Cla a www.	Female	6	9%	2	6%	4	4%	
Cherry	Male	4	6%	2	6%	4	4%	
Conton	Female	6	9%	2	6%	8	9%	
Custer	Male	10	14%	6	18%	10	11%	
Garfield	Male	2	3%	2	6%	2	2%	
C	Female	0	0%	2	6%	0	0%	
Greeley	Male	4	6%	0	0%	2	2%	
Llalt	Female	2	3%	4	12%	10	11%	
Holt	Male	10	14%	4	12%	16	17%	
Harrand	Female	4	6%	4	12%	6	7%	
Howard	Male	4	6%	2	6%	12	13%	
Rock	Female	0	0%	0	0%	6	7%	
ROCK	Male	6	9%	2	6%	2	2%	
Valley	Female	2	3%	0	0%	0	0%	
Valley	Male	0	0%	2	6%	2	2%	
District T	otal	70	100%	34	100%	92	100%	

Juvenile Probation Activity District											
County	Race	Place Proba		Out-of- Place		Satisfactory Release					
County	Nace	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total				
Boyd	Black	0	0%	0	0%	2	2%				
D	Indian	2	3%	0	0%	2	2%				
Brown	White	8	11%	0	0%	4	4%				
Cla a mm r	Indian	4	6%	2	6%	6	7%				
Cherry	White	6	9%	2	6%	2	2%				
Conton	Other	4	6%	2	6%	2	2%				
Custer	White	12	17%	6	18%	16	17%				
Garfield	White	2	3%	2	6%	2	2%				
Cuanlan	Other	0	0%	2	6%	0	0%				
Greeley	White	4	6%	0	0%	2	2%				
Holt	White	12	17%	8	24%	22	24%				
HOIL	Indian	0	0%	0	0%	4	4%				
Howard	White	8	11%	6	18%	18	20%				
Dools	White	6	9%	2	6%	4	4%				
Rock	Indian	0	0%	0	0%	4	4%				
Valler	White	0	0%	2	6%	2	2%				
Valley	Black	2	3%	0	0%	0	0%				
District T	otal	70	100%	34	100%	92	100%				

	Juvenile Probation Activity District										
Carreto	Falls or incident	Place Proba		Out-of- Place		Satisfactory Release					
County	Ethnicity	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total				
Boyd	Hispanic	0	0%	0	0%	2	2%				
Brown	Not Hispanic	10	14%	0	0%	6	7%				
Cherry	Not Hispanic	10	14%	4	12%	8	9%				
Custan	Hispanic	4	6%	2	6%	2	2%				
Custer	Not Hispanic	12	17%	6	18%	16	17%				
Garfield	Not Hispanic	2	3%	2	6%	2	2%				
Cuantan	Hispanic	0	0%	2	6%	0	0%				
Greeley	Not Hispanic	4	6%	0	0%	2	2%				
Holt	Not Hispanic	12	17%	8	24%	26	28%				
Howard	Not Hispanic	8	11%	6	18%	18	20%				
Rock	Not Hispanic	6	9%	2	6%	8	9%				
Valley	Not Hispanic	2	3%	2	6%	2	2%				
District T	otal	70	100%	34	100%	92	100%				

#### District 8

#### Part (d) Juvenile Probation - Youth Risk Level and Length of Probation

Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (d) Juveniles placed on juvenile probation, the number of juveniles on probation in out-of-home care, the number of juveniles completing probation, the number of motions to revoke probation and probation revocations, and the average length of time on probation.

Youth Level of Service/Case Management Inventory (YLS/CMI) is an assessment tool used to systematically measure risk for recidivism and appropriate supervision intensity for youth on probation. The following table shows the classification results of youth that entered into Probation in 2020, and another set of youth that discharged from Probation in 2020. Youth that are assessed at low or moderate low risk are matched to JCBR supervision and those assessed at high or moderate high risk are matched to JCBI supervision intensity

	Youth R		and Le Distric		of Probatio	on		
		Youth Sta Probati	_		Discharged Probation	Average Length of Probation		
Demo- graphic	Cohort	JCBR/ Low/ Moderate Low	JCBI/ High	JCBR/ Low	JCBI/ High/ Moderate High	Total Youth	Avg Length of Probation	
	11-13	10		4	2	6	10.0	
	14-15	24	8	20	6	26	12.9	
Age Group	16	6		8		8	5.5	
	17	12	8	16	2	18	13.4	
	18	2		12	10	22	15.5	
<b></b>	Female	16	8	22	6	28	13.9	
Sex	Male	38	8	38	14	52	12.2	
	Am. Indian	4	2	8	2	10	14.2	
Dece	Black	2		2		2	15.0	
Race	White	44	14	48	18	66	12.6	
	Other	4		2		2	9.0	
Filos i sito	Hispanic	4		4		4	12.0	
Ethnicity	Not Hispanic	50	16	56	20	76	12.8	
District Tota	al	54	16	60	20	80	12.8	

Youth Risk Levels and Length of Probation by County and Age Group											
		Youth Sta Probati			Discharged Probation						
County	Age Group	JCBR/ Low/ Moderate Low	JCBI/ High	JCBR/ Low	JCBI/ High/ Moderate High	Total Youth	Avg Length of Probation				
Boyd	17			2		2	15.0				
	11-13			2		2	12.0				
Drawn	14-15	4	2	2	2	4	12.5				
Brown	17	2	2			0					
	18			2		2	9.0				
	11-13	2				0					
Charm	14-15	4	2			0					
Cherry	16			6		6	6.0				
	17	2		2		2	6.0				
	11-13	6		2		2	9.0				
	14-15	4		4		4	16.0				
Custer	16	2				0					
	17	2	2	2		2	9.0				
	18			4	2	6	11.0				
Garfield	17			2		2	3.0				
Garrield	18	2				0					
Greeley	14-15	4		2		2	3.0				
	11-13				2	2	9.0				
	14-15	2		4	4	8	14.2				
Holt	16	2				0					
	17	4	4	4		4	11.0				
	18			6	2	8	21.0				
	14-15	4		6		6	15.3				
Howard	16	2				0					
Howard	17	2		2	2	4	27.0				
	18				2	2	15.0				
Post	14-15	2	4	2		2	5.0				
Rock	16			2		2	4.0				

Youth Risk Levels and Length of Probation by County and Age Group											
		Youth Sta Probati	_		Discharged Probation	Average Length of Probation					
County	Age Group	JCBN/ JCBI/		JCBR/ JCBI/ Low High/ Moderate High		Total Youth	Avg Length of Probation				
Sherman	18				2	2	16.0				
	11-13	2				0					
Valley	17			2		2	12.0				
	18				2	2	13.0				
District To	tal	54	16	60	20	80	12.8				

		Youth Risk		d Length of ty and Sex	Probation		
		Youth Starting	Probation		charged from bation		e Length of obation
County	Sex	JCBR/Low/ Moderate Low	JCBI/ High	JCBR/ Low	JCBI/High/ Moderate High	Total Youth	Avg Length of Probation
Boyd	Female			2		2	15.0
5	Female	2	2	2		2	10.0
Brown	Male	4	2	4	2	6	12.0
Charma	Female	4	2	4		4	6.0
Cherry	Male	4		4		4	6.0
Custon	Female	4	2	4	2	6	11.0
Custer	Male	10		8		8	12.5
Garfield	Male	2		2		2	3.0
Greeley	Male	4		2		2	3.0
Holt	Female		2	4	4	8	23.0
пош	Male	8	2	10	4	14	11.4
Howard	Female	4		4		4	14.0
помаги	Male	4		4	4	8	21.8
Rock	Female			2		2	5.0
NOCK	Male	2	4	2		2	4.0
Sherman	Male				2	2	16.0
Valley	Female	2				0	
valley	Male			2	2	4	12.5
District Total		54	16	60	20	80	12.8

	Youth Risk Levels and Length of Probation by County and Race										
		Youth Sta Probati	_		Discharged Probation	Average Length of Probation					
County	Race	JCBR/ Low/ Moderate Low	JCBI/ High	JCBR/ Low	JCBI/ High/ Moderate High	Total Youth	Avg Length of Probation				
Boyd	Black			2		2	15.0				
Brown	Am. Indian	2		2		2	10.0				
PLOMII	White	4	4	4	2	6	12.0				
Charm	Am. Indian	2	2	6		6	6.0				
Cherry	White	6		2		2	6.0				
Custer	White	10	2	10	2	12	12.3				
Custer	Other	4		2		2	9.0				
Garfield	White	2		2		2	3.0				
Greeley	White	4		2		2	3.0				
Holt	Am. Indian				2	2	43.0				
пош	White	8	4	14	6	20	12.9				
Howard	White	8		8	4	12	19.2				
Rock	White	2	4	4		4	4.5				
Sherman	White				2	2	16.0				
Valley	Black	2				0					
valley	White			2	2	4	12.5				
District To	otal	54	16	60	20	80	12.8				

Youth Risk Levels and Length of Probation by County and Ethnicity											
	Ethnicity	Youth Starting	g Probation		scharged from obation	Average Length of Probation					
County		JCBR/Low/ Moderate Low	JCBI/ High	JCBR/ Low	JCBI/High/ Moderate High	Total Youth	Avg Length of Probation				
Boyd	Hispanic			2		2	15.0				
Brown	Not Hispanic	6	4	6	2	8	11.5				
Cherry	Not Hispanic	8	2	8		8	6.0				
Custon	Hispanic	4		2		2	9.0				
Custer	Not Hispanic	10	2	10	2	12	12.3				
Garfield	Not Hispanic	2		2		2	3.0				
Greeley	Not Hispanic	4		2		2	3.0				
Holt	Not Hispanic	8	4	14	8	22	15.6				
Howard	Not Hispanic	8		8	4	12	19.2				
Rock	Not Hispanic	2	4	4		4	4.5				
Sherman	Not Hispanic				2	2	16.0				
Valley	Not Hispanic	2		2	2	4	12.5				
District Tota	l	54	16	60	20	80	12.8				

#### District 8

#### Part (e) Juveniles Access to Counsel

Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (e) juveniles with and without access to counsel in juvenile and adult court, both appointed and retained.

The Juveniles Access to Counsel table displays the percent of juveniles represented by an attorney in criminal cases from county court and district court and juvenile cases from county court and separate juvenile court. Data includes new cases filed and cases transferred from one county to another during calendar year 2020. Cases filed in 2020 may not have reached the sentencing/adjudication stage of proceedings. Cases for juveniles ages 13 and below must be filed and stay in juvenile court.

	Juvenile Access to Counsel District											
			Criminal C	ourt Cases			Juvenile C	ourt Cases				
Demographic	Cohort	Total Criminal Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel	Total Juvenile Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel			
	11-13					18	11	7	39%			
A === C=====	14-15	8	6	2	25%	45	20	25	56%			
Age Group	16	18	12	6	33%	20	5	15	75%			
	17	24	20	4	17%	21	8	13	62%			
	Female	3	3	0	0%	32	12	20	62%			
Sex	Male	31	21	10	32%	59	26	33	56%			
	Unknown	16	14	2	12%	13	6	7	54%			
	Am. Indian	2	2	0	0%	8	5	3	38%			
	Black	1	1	0	0%							
Race/Ethnicity	Hispanic	1	1	0	0%	1	1	0	0%			
	White	23	16	7	30%	52	23	29	56%			
	Unknown	23	18	5	22%	43	15	28	65%			
District Total		50	38	12	24%	104	44	60	58%			

	Juvenile Access to Counsel by County and Age Group											
			Criminal C	ourt Cases		Juvenile Court Cases						
County	Age Group	Total Criminal Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel	Total Juvenile Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel			
Blaine	17	1	1	0	0%							
	14-15					6	5	1	17%			
Brown	16					1	0	1	100%			
	17	3	2	1	33%	4	2	2	50%			
	11-13					8	6	2	25%			
Charry	14-15					7	4	3	43%			
Cherry	16	1	1	0	0%	4	1	3	75%			
	17	3	3	0	0%	1	1	0	0%			
	11-13					3	1	2	67%			
Custon	14-15					9	1	8	89%			
Custer	16	5	2	3	60%	9	2	7	78%			
	17	1	1	0	0%	2	0	2	100%			
C£: -   -	14-15					2	0	2	100%			
Garfield	17	1	0	1	100%	1	0	1	100%			
C	14-15					3	2	1	33%			
Greeley	17	1	1	0	0%							
	11-13					1	0	1	100%			
	14-15	2	2	0	0%	6	3	3	50%			
Holt	16					2	1	1	50%			
	17	3	3	0	0%	6	2	4	67%			
	14-15	3	2	1	33%	4	2	2	50%			
Howard	16	5	2	3	60%	1	0	1	100%			
	17	5	3	2	40%	6	3	3	50%			
Rock	14-15	1	0	1	100%							
CI.	14-15					3	1	2	67%			
Sherman	16					2	1	1	50%			
	11-13					6	4	2	33%			
Valley	14-15	1	1	0	0%	5	2	3	60%			
	16	6	6	0	0%	1	0	1	100%			

				nile Acce County ar							
	Criminal Court Cases Juvenile Court Cases										
County	Age Group	Total Criminal Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel	Total Juvenile Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel		
Valley	17	6	6	0	0%	1	0	1	100%		
\\/haalax	14-15	1	1	0	0%						
Wheeler	16	1	1	0	0%						
District To	otal	50	38	12	24%	104	44	60	58%		

Juvenile Access to Counsel by County and Sex										
			Criminal C	ourt Cases			Juvenile C	ile Court Cases		
County	Sex	Total Criminal Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel	Total Juvenile Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel	
Blaine	Male	1	1	0	0%					
Duarre	Female	1	1	0	0%	6	3	3	50%	
Brown	Male	2	1	1	50%	5	4	1	20%	
	Female	1	1	0	0%	8	4	4	50%	
Cherry	Male	3	3	0	0%	11	8	3	27%	
	Unknown					1	0	1	100%	
Custon	Female					10	2	8	80%	
Custer	Male	6	3	3	50%	13	2	11	85%	
د میلان ما ما	Male					1	0	1	100%	
Garfield	Unknown	1	0	1	100%	2	0	2	100%	
Cuanlan	Male					3	2	1	33%	
Greeley	Unknown	1	1	0	0%					
	Female					3	1	2	67%	
Holt	Male	5	5	0	0%	11	4	7	64%	
	Unknown					1	1	0	0%	
Howard	Female	1	1	0	0%	2	1	1	50%	
помаги	Male	12	6	6	50%	9	4	5	56%	
Rock	Unknown	1	0	1	100%					
Sherman	Female					1	0	1	100%	
Sherman	Male					4	2	2	50%	
	Female					2	1	1	50%	
Valley	Male					2	0	2	100%	
	Unknown	13	13	0	0%	9	5	4	44%	
Wheeler	Male	2	2	0	0%					
District To	District Total		38	12	24%	104	44	60	58%	

	Juvenile Access to Counsel  by County and Race/Ethnicity										
			Criminal C	ourt Cases			Juvenile C	ourt Cases			
County	Race/ Ethnicity	Total Criminal Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel	Total Juvenile Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel		
Blaine	White	1	1	0	0%						
	Hispanic					1	1	0	0%		
Brown	White	3	2	1	33%	10	6	4	40%		
	Am. Indian	2	2	0	0%	7	4	3	43%		
Cherry	White	1	1	0	0%	9	7	2	22%		
	Unknown	1	1	0	0%	4	1	3	75%		
	Hispanic	1	1	0	0%						
Custer	White	5	2	3	60%	9	1	8	89%		
	Unknown					14	3	11	79%		
Garfield	Unknown	1	0	1	100%	3	0	3	100%		
C	White					2	2	0	0%		
Greeley	Unknown	1	1	0	0%	1	0	1	100%		
	Am. Indian					1	1	0	0%		
Holt	White	3	3	0	0%	13	4	9	69%		
	Unknown	2	2	0	0%	1	1	0	0%		
	Black	1	1	0	0%						
Howard	White	8	5	3	38%	4	1	3	75%		
	Unknown	4	1	3	75%	7	4	3	43%		
Rock	Unknown	1	0	1	100%						
Sherman	White					5	2	3	60%		
Valley	Unknown	13	13	0	0%	13	6	7	54%		
Wheeler	White	2	2	0	0%						
District To	District Total		38	12	24%	104	44	60	58%		

#### District 9

#### Part (a) Juvenile Petitions and Adjudications

Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (a) Juveniles prosecuted in juvenile court under subdivision (1), (2), (3)(b), or (4) of section 43-247, including the total number of filings and adjudications.

The Juvenile Petitions and Adjudications tables report the number of new juvenile cases and corresponding percent of adjudications by case type and demographic group for cases filed in 2020. The data consists of both county court and separate juvenile court cases. Only the most serious offense is counted for cases with multiple charges, pleas or findings. Cases are counted in the Admit column if at least one charge was admitted to in court. Supplemental petitions are not included in this data set. Cases filed in 2020 may not have reached the adjudication stage of proceedings. Juvenile cases where the age is unknown, if any, are included in the report.

			Juveni	ile Petit	ions ar	nd Adju Distric		n by Ca	ase Typ	e			
		Tr	affic Offe	nse	St	atus Offe	nse	М	lisdemea	nor		Felony	
Demo- graphic	Cohort	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated
	14-15	1	0	0%	3	2	67%	77	62	81%	23	20	87%
Age Group	16	2	2	100%	2	1	50%	57	45	79%	8	7	88%
Огопр	17	2	2	100%	1	1	100%	62	48	77%	11	8	73%
	Female	3	2	67%	2	1	50%	73	59	81%	9	9	100%
Sex	Male	2	2	100%	3	2	67%	120	93	78%	33	26	79%
	Unknown	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	3	3	100%	0	0	0%
	Am. Indian	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	1	1	100%
	Black	1	1	100%	0	0	0%	4	3	75%	2	2	100%
Race/ Ethnicity	Hispanic	2	2	100%	0	0	0%	5	4	80%	2	2	100%
Lumerty	White	1	1	100%	1	1	100%	20	14	70%	8	7	88%
	Unknown	1	0	0%	5	3	60%	166	133	80%	29	23	79%
District To	tal	5	4	80%	6	4	67%	196	155	79%	42	83%	

	Juvenile Cases and Adjudication by Case Type, County and Age Group												
		Traffic Offense			Status Offense			Misdemeanor				Felony	
County	Age Group	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated
	14-15	1	0	0%	1	1	100%	19	14	74%	6	5	83%
Buffalo	16	2	2	100%	1	1	100%	18	13	72%	6	5	83%
	17	2	2	100%	1	1	100%	19	13	68%	7	4	57%
	14-15	0	0	0%	2	1	50%	58	48	83%	17	15	88%
Hall	16	0	0	0%	1	0	0%	39	32	82%	2	2	100%
17 0 0 0% 0 0 0% 43 35 81% 4 4 1009									100%				
District To	otal	5	4	80%	6	4	67%	196	155	79%	42	35	83%

	Juvenile Cases and Adjudication by Case Type, County and Sex												
		Traffic Offense		Status Offense			Misdemeanor			Felony			
County	Sex	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated
	Female	3	2	67%	1	1	100%	28	22	79%	4	4	100%
Buffalo	Male	2	2	100%	1	1	100%	28	18	64%	15	10	67%
	Unknown	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%	0	0	0%
	Female	0	0	0%	1	0	0%	45	37	82%	5	5	100%
Hall	Male	0	0	0%	2	1	50%	92	75	82%	18	16	89%
	Unknown	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	3	3	100%	0	0	0%
District T	otal	5	4	80%	6	4	67%	196	155	79%	42	35	83%

	Juvenile Cases and Adjudication by Case Type, County and Race/Ethnicity												
		Tı	raffic Offe	nse	S	tatus Offei	nse	Misdemeanor				Felony	
County	Race	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated
	Am. Indian	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	1	1	100%
	Black	1	1	100%	0	0	0%	4	3	75%	2	2	100%
Buffalo	Hispanic	2	2	100%	0	0	0%	5	4	80%	2	2	100%
	White	1	1	100%	1	1	100%	20	14	70%	8	7	88%
	Unknown	1	0	0%	2	2	100%	26	18	69%	6	2	33%
Hall	Hall Unknown 0 0 0% 3 1 33% 140 115 82% 23 21 91%										91%		
District Total 5 4 80% 6 4 67%							67%	196	155	79%	42	35	83%

#### District 9

#### Part (b) Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court - Case Type

Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (b) Juveniles prosecuted in adult criminal court for felonies, misdemeanors, and traffic offenses. The information shall include juveniles sentenced to terms in adult jails and prisons and juveniles placed on adult probation.

Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court tables consist of criminal and traffic cases in county court and criminal cases in district court that reached the point of sentencing in 2020. Cases with multiple charges are counted once per case and reported as the most severe offense. Cases for juveniles ages 13 and below must be filed and stay in juvenile court; however, judges have the authority to allow these cases in criminal court. Therefore, only juveniles ages 14 through 17 at the time of the offense appear in adult criminal court data.

	Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court by Case Type District												
		All Cases		Traffic		Misde	meanor	Felony					
Demographic	Cohort	Total Cases	% of Total	Total Cases	% of Total for Case Type Group	Total Cases	% of Total for Case Type Group	Total Cases	% of Total for Case Type Group				
Age Group	14-15	1	7%	0		0		1	14%				
	16	6	40%	2	100%	3	50%	1	14%				
	17	8	53%	0		3	50%	5	71%				
Race/Ethnicity	Black	1	7%	0		0		1	14%				
	Hispanic	7	47%	0		4	67%	3	43%				
	White	7	47%	2	100%	2	33%	3	43%				
Sex	Female	1	7%	0		1	17%	0					
	Male	14	93%	2	100%	5	83%	7	100%				
District Total		15	100%	2	13%	6	40%	7	47%				

Juven		by (	Case Ty	lult Criminal ( pe, e Group	Court						
County Age Group # # # # # #											
	·	#	#	#	#						
Buffalo	16	4	2	2	0						
Duitalo	17	6	0	2	4						
	14-15	1	0	0	1						
Hall	16	2	0	1	1						
	17	2	0	1	1						
District 7	Γotal	15	2	6	7						

Juvenil	es Prose	Ca	in Adul se Type ity and		urt by						
County											
		#	#	#	#						
Buffalo	Female	1	0	1	0						
Duitalo	Male	9	2	3	4						
Hall	Male	5	0	2	3						
District 7	Γotal	15	2	6	7						

Juveni		Cas	se Type	t Criminal Co , Ethnicity	urt by
County	Race	All Cases	Traffic	Misdemeanor	Felony
		#	#	#	#
	Black	1	0	0	1
Buffalo	Hispanic	3	0	2	1
	White	6	2	2	2
Hall	Hispanic	4	0	2	2
Hall	White	1	0	0	1
District <sup>-</sup>	Гotal	15	2	6	7

#### District 9

#### Part (b) Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court - Sentence

Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (b) Juveniles prosecuted in adult criminal court for felonies, misdemeanors, and traffic offenses. The information shall include juveniles sentenced to terms in adult jails and prisons and juveniles placed on adult probation.

Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court tables include criminal and traffic cases in county court and criminal cases in district court that reached the point of sentencing in 2020. All sentencing types are included where multiple sentencing types occur within a case (ex. probation/jail). Youth who are sentenced to probation in Adult Court are supervised by Adult Probation. Age is calculated from the age at offense, not the age at sentencing.

	Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court by Sentencing District											
		Total Cases		Probation		Jail		Prison				
Demographic	Cohort	Number of Cases	% of Total	Number of Cases	% of Cohort	Number of Cases	% of Cohort	Number of Cases	% of Cohort			
	14-15	1	7%	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%			
Age Group	16	6	40%	4	67%	1	17%	1	17%			
	17	8	53%	3	38%	Jail     Prison       Number of Cases     % of Cohort of Cases     Number of Cool     % O       0     0%     1     10       1     17%     1     1       0     0%     5     6       1     0%     7     10       0     17%     0     1       0     0%     3     10       1     17%     3     1       0     0%     1     6	62%					
C	Male	14	93%	6	0%	1	0%	7	100%			
Sex	Female	1	7%	1	67%	0	17%	0	17%			
	White	7	47%	4	0%	0	0%	3	100%			
Race/Ethnicity	Hispanic	7	47%	3	67%	1	17%	3	17%			
Black 1 7% 0 38% 0 0%					1	62%						
District Total 15 100% 7 0% 1 0% 7								100%				

Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court by Sentence, County and Age Group										
Carrativ	Age	Total Cases	Probation	Jail	Prison					
County	Group	Number of Cases	Number of Cases	Number of Cases	Number of Cases					
Duffala	16	4	4	0	0					
Buffalo	17	6	2	0	4					
	14-15	1	0	0	1					
Hall	16	2	0	1	1					
	17	2	1	0	1					
District <sup>-</sup>	<b>Fotal</b>	15	7	1	7					

Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court by Sentence, County and Sex									
County	Sex	Total Cases	Probation	Jail	Prison				
County	Jex	Number Number of Cases		Number of Cases	Number of Cases				
Buffalo	Female	1	1	0	0				
Dullato	Male	9	5	0	4				
Hall	Male	5	1	3					
District Total		15	7	1	7				

#### Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court by Sentence, County and Race/Ethnicity Total Prison Jail Cases Probation County Race Number Number Number Number of Cases of Cases of Cases of Cases Black 0 0 1 1 Buffalo Hispanic 2 0 3 1 White 4 0 2 6 Hispanic 1 1 2 4 Hall White 1 0 0 1 **District Total** 15 7 1 7

#### District 9

#### Part (c) Motions to Transfer Cases and Cases Transferred

Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (c) The number of motions to transfer and the number of cases transferred to adult court from juvenile court and from adult criminal court to juvenile court.

The data represents motions to transfer filed in 2020 and transfers between court types which occurred in 2020. Therefore, the exact same subset of cases may not be represented in both columns. There can be multiple motions to transfer within a single case which, if filed in the same calendar year, would all be included in the counts below. Some cases may have a motion to transfer, but no transfer actually occurs.

Motions to Transfer Courts and Transfers District											
		Juveni	le Cour	t to Adult C	ourt	Adu	lt Court	to Juvenile Cour	t		
Demographic	Cohort	Motion to Transfer Juv to Adult	% of Total	Transfers Juv to Adult	% of Total	Motion to Transfer Adult to Juv	% of Total	Transfers Adult to Juv	% of Total		
	14-15	2	100%	0		2	29%	1	100%		
Age Group	16	0		0		3	43%	0			
	17	0		0		2	29%	0			
	Hispanic	0		0		2	29%	0			
Race/Ethnicity	White	0		0		5	71%	1	100%		
	Unknown	2		0		0		0			
	Female	0		0		1	14%	0			
Sex	Male	2	100%	0		6	86%	1	100%		
District Total		2		0		7		1			

	Motions to Transfer Courts and Transfers, County and Age Group										
		_	e Court to It Court	Adult Court to Juvenile Court							
County	Age Group	Motion to Transfer	Cases Transfered	Motion to Transfer	Cases Transfered						
Dffala	16	0	0	3	0						
Buffalo	17	0	0	1	0						
11.0	14-15	2	0	2	1						
Hall	17	0	0	1	0						
District 7	Total	2	0	7	1						

	Motions to Transfer Courts and Transfers, County and Sex										
		_	e Court to It Court	Adult Court to Juvenile Court							
County	Sex	Motion to Transfer	Cases Transfered	Motion to Transfer	Cases Transfered						
Buffalo	Female	0	0	1	0						
Dullato	Male	0	0	3	0						
Hall	Male	2	0	3	1						
District Total		2	0	7	1						

	Motions to Transfer Courts and Transfers, County and Race/Ethnicity										
		_	e Court to t Court	Adult Court to Juvenile Court							
County	Race/ Ethnicity	Motion to Transfer	Cases Transfered	Motion to Transfer	Cases Transfered						
Buffalo	White	0	0	4	0						
	Hispanic	0	0	2	0						
Hall	White	0	0	1	1						
	Unknown	2	0	0	0						
District <sup>-</sup>	Total	2	0	7	1						

#### District 9

#### Part (d) Juvenile Probation Activity

Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (d) Juveniles placed on juvenile probation, the number of juveniles on probation in out-of-home care, the number of juveniles completing probation, the number of motions to revoke probation and probation revocations, and the average length of time on probation.

The Juvenile Probation Activity - Youth data is provided from the Administrative Office of Probation's case management system, Nebraska Probation Application for Community Safety (NPACS). The table reports the number and percent of youth with various activity during the year. Youth are counted once in each category, regardless of the number of out-of-home placements, motions to revoke, or cases they may have in the year. Age groups are calculated specific to the activity as footnoted.

	Juvenile I	Probati Distric		ivity					
Domographic	Cohort	Placed on Probation		Out-of-home Placement		Motio Revo		Satisfactory Release	
Demographic	Conort	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total
	11-13	36	9%	22	10%	2	6%	30	6%
	14-15	150	38%	66	30%	14	39%	182	37%
Age Group	16	78	20%	52	24%	4	11%	140	28%
	17	98	25%	60	28%	6	17%	120	24%
	18	28	7%	18	8%	10	28%	20	4%
Cave	Female	138	35%	72	33%	6	17%	174	35%
Sex	Male	252	65%	146	67%	30	83%	318	65%
	American Indian Or Alaskan Native	0	0%	0	0%	2	6%	0	0%
	Black	20	5%	22	10%	4	11%	30	6%
Race	Indian	6	2%	8	4%	0	0%	2	0%
	Other	134	34%	58	27%	4	11%	158	32%
	White	230	59%	130	60%	26	72%	302	61%
Falls and address	Hispanic	156	40%	74	34%	12	33%	178	36%
Ethnicity	Not Hispanic	234	60%	144	66%	24	67%	314	64%
District Total		390	100%	218	100%	36	100%	492	100%

<sup>1.</sup> Age at which the probation term began. 2. Age at the first admission to out-of-home placement. 3. Age as of the file date for motion to revoke. 4. Age as of the disposition date for motion to revoke. 5. Age as of the disposition date for motion.

	Juvenile Probation Activity District												
Ct.	Age	Placed on Probation		Out-of-home Placement		Motions to Revoke		Satisfactory Release					
County	Group	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total				
	11-13	12	3%	2	1%	0	0%	6	1%				
	14-15	34	9%	14	6%	2	6%	64	13%				
Buffalo	16	34	9%	24	11%	2	6%	48	10%				
	17	46	12%	36	17%	4	11%	44	9%				
	18	14	4%	4	2%	6	17%	10	2%				
	11-13	24	6%	20	9%	2	6%	24	5%				
	14-15	116	30%	52	24%	12	33%	118	24%				
Hall	16	44	11%	28	13%	2	6%	92	19%				
	17	52	13%	24	11%	2	6%	76	15%				
	18	14	4%	14	6%	4	11%	10	2%				
District 7	Total	390	100%	218	100%	36	100%	492	100%				

	Juvenile Probation Activity District											
County	Sex	Placed on Probation		Out-of-home Placement		Motions to Revoke		Satisfactory Release				
		# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total			
Dffala	Female	62	16%	28	13%	6	17%	66	13%			
Buffalo	Male	78	20%	52	24%	8	22%	106	22%			
Hall	Female	76	19%	44	20%	0	0%	108	22%			
Hall	Male	174	45%	94	43%	22	61%	212	43%			
District Total		390	100%	218	100%	36	100%	492	100%			

	Juvenile Probation Activity District										
County		Placed on Probation		Out-of-home Placement		Motions to Revoke		Satisfactory Release			
	Race	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total		
	White	108	28%	60	28%	14	39%	152	31%		
	Other	18	5%	6	3%	0	0%	16	3%		
Buffalo	Black	10	3%	10	5%	0	0%	4	1%		
	Indian	4	1%	4	2%	0	0%	0	0%		
	Other	116	30%	52	24%	4	11%	142	29%		
	Black	10	3%	12	6%	4	11%	26	5%		
Hall	White	122	31%	70	32%	12	33%	150	30%		
	American Indian Or Alaskan Native	0	0%	0	0%	2	6%	0	0%		
	Indian	2	1%	4	2%	0	0%	2	0%		
District <sup>-</sup>	<b>Total</b>	390	100%	218	100%	36	100%	492	100%		

	Juvenile Probation Activity District										
County	[the ministry	Placed on Probation		Out-of-home Placement		Motions to Revoke		Satisfactory Release			
	Ethnicity	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total		
Dffala	Hispanic	22	6%	12	6%	2	6%	16	3%		
Buffalo	Not Hispanic	118	30%	68	31%	12	33%	156	32%		
Hall	Hispanic	134	34%	62	28%	10	28%	162	33%		
Hall	Not Hispanic	116	30%	76	35%	12	33%	158	32%		
District 7	Гotal	390	100%	218	100%	36	100%	492	100%		

#### Part (d) Juvenile Probation - Youth Risk Level and Length of Probation

Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (d) Juveniles placed on juvenile probation, the number of juveniles on probation in out-of-home care, the number of juveniles completing probation, the number of motions to revoke probation and probation revocations, and the average length of time on probation.

Youth Level of Service/Case Management Inventory (YLS/CMI) is an assessment tool used to systematically measure risk for recidivism and appropriate supervision intensity for youth on probation. The following table shows the classification results of youth that entered into Probation in 2020, and another set of youth that discharged from Probation in 2020. Youth that are assessed at low or moderate low risk are matched to JCBR supervision and those assessed at high or moderate high risk are matched to JCBI supervision intensity

		Yout	h Risk	Levels and Le		of Probatio	n		
		Youth	Starting	; Probation	Youth	Discharged 1	from Probation		ge Length robation
Demo- graphic	Cohort	JCBR/ Low/ Moderate Low	JCBI/ High	No Assessment**	JCBR/ Low	JCBI/ High/ Moderate High	No Assessment**	Total Youth	Avg Length of Probation
	11-13	22	14		4	6		10	7.6
	14-15	62	88		32	30		62	9.3
Age Group	16	36	42		40	22		62	13.2
	17	36	60	2	60	38	4	102	15.8
	18	16	10	2	70	76	2	148	17.6
Sex	Female	62	74	2	74	48	2	124	14.1
Sex	Male	110	140	2	132	124	4	260	15.1
	Am. Indian	2	2	2		4	2	6	18.0
Dage	Black	4	16		10	16		26	12.5
Race	White	110	118	2	136	96	4	236	14.9
	Other	56	78		60	56		116	15.1
Hispanic		64	92		62	66		128	16.3
Ethnicity	Not Hispanic	108	122	4	144	106	6	256	14.1
District Tota			214	4	206	172	6	384	14.8

		`	outh/	Risk Levels ar by County a			oation		
		Youth	Starting	g Probation	Youth	Discharged 1	from Probation		ge Length robation
County	Age Group	JCBR/ Low/ Moderate Low	JCBI/ High	No Assessment**	JCBR/ Low	JCBI/ High/ Moderate High	No Assessment**	Total Youth	Avg Length of Probation
	11-13	8	4			2		2	12.0
	14-15	18	16		8	14		22	8.4
Buffalo	16	12	22		16	2		18	9.8
	17	12	32	2	26	18	2	46	17.2
	18	10	4		24	30		54	16.8
	11-13	14	10		4	4		8	6.5
	14-15	44	72		24	16		40	9.8
Hall	16	24	24 20		24	20		44	14.6
	17	24	28		34	20	2	56	14.7
	18	6	6	2	46	46	2	94	18.1
District <sup>-</sup>	Total	172	214	4	206	172	6	384	14.8

			Youth	Risk Levels an by Coun	_		tion					
		Youth	Starting	Probation	Youth	Discharged f	rom Probation	_	e Length of obation			
County	Sex	JCBR/Low/ Moderate Low	JCBI/ High	No Assessment**	JCBR/ Low	JCBI/High/ Moderate High	No Assessment**	Total Youth	Avg Length of Probation			
Duffala	Female	28	32	2	24	24	2	50	13.2			
Buffalo	Male	32	46		50	42		92	15.5			
Hall	Female	34	42		50	24		74	14.8			
Пац	Male 78 94 2 82 82 4 168 15.0											
District T	District Total 172 214 4 206 172 6 384 14.8											

		Yo	uth Ri	sk Levels and by County			tion		
		Youth	Starting	g Probation	Youth	Discharged 1	from Probation		ge Length robation
County	Race	JCBR/ Low/ Moderate Low	JCBI/ High	No Assessment**	JCBR/ Low	JCBI/ High/ Moderate High	No Assessment**	Total Youth	Avg Length of Probation
	Am. Indian	2		2		2	2	4	22.5
Buffalo	Black	_				10		10	9.8
випаю	White	48	60		68	44		112	15.5
	Other	10	8		6	10		16	9.9
	Am. Indian		2			2		2	9.0
I I a II	Black	4	6		10	6		16	14.1
Hall	White	62	58	2	68	52	4	124	14.3
	Other	46	70		54	46		100	16.0
District 7	Гotal	172 214 4 206 172 6 384							14.8

		Yo		sk Levels and by County an			on		
		Youth	Starting	g Probation	Youth	Discharged f	rom Probation		e Length of obation
County	Ethnicity	JCBR/ Low/ Moderate Low	JCBI/ High	No Assessment**	JCBR/ Low	JCBI/ High/ Moderate High	No Assessment**	Total Youth	Avg Length of Probation
D. effele	Hispanic	10	12		2	12		14	20.6
Buffalo	Not Hispanic	50	66	2	72	54	2	128	14.0
Hall	Hispanic	54	80		60	54		114	15.8
Hall	Not Hispanic	58	56	2	72	52	4	128	14.1
District 7	Γotal	172	214	4	206	172	6	384	14.8

#### Part (e) Juveniles Access to Counsel

Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (e) juveniles with and without access to counsel in juvenile and adult court, both appointed and retained.

The Juveniles Access to Counsel table displays the percent of juveniles represented by an attorney in criminal cases from county court and district court and juvenile cases from county court and separate juvenile court. Data includes new cases filed and cases transferred from one county to another during calendar year 2020. Cases filed in 2020 may not have reached the sentencing/adjudication stage of proceedings. Cases for juveniles ages 13 and below must be filed and stay in juvenile court.

			Juvenile	Access to District		el .			
			Criminal C	ourt Cases			Juvenile C	ourt Cases	
Demographic	Cohort	Total Criminal Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel	Total Juvenile Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel
	11-13	1	0	1	100%	79	19	60	76%
Aga Craup	14-15	8	4	4	50%	171	50	121	71%
Age Group	16	38	30	106	44	62	58%		
	17	63	49	14	22%	115	58	57	50%
	Female	28	26	2	7%	158	59	99	63%
Sex	Male	82	57	25	30%	307	109	198	64%
	Unknown					6	3	3	50%
	Am. Indian	2	1	1	50%	5	0	5	100%
	Asian/P.I.	2	2	0	0%				
	Black	10	8	2	20%	11	1	10	91%
Race/Ethnicity	Hispanic	32	24	8	25%	16	4	12	75%
	White	59	44	15	25%	49	13	36	73%
	Other	1	1	0	0%				
	Unknown	4	3	1	25%	390	153	237	61%
District Total		110	83	27	25%	471	171	300	64%

				nile Acc County a					
			Criminal C	ourt Cases			Juvenile C	ourt Cases	
County	Age Group	Total Criminal Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel	Total Juvenile Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel
	11-13	2	19	90%					
Dffala	14-15	1	0	1	100%	49	9	40	82%
Buffalo	16	16	10	6	38%	41	10	31	76%
	17	30	21	9	30%	45	17	28	62%
	11-13	1	0	1	100%	58	17	41	71%
Hall	14-15	7	4	3	43%	122	41	81	66%
Hall	16	22	20	2	9%	65	34	31	48%
	17	33	28	5	15%	70	41	29	41%
District 7	Γotal	110	83	27	25%	471	171	300	64%

				ile Acces y County					
			Criminal C	ourt Cases			Juvenile C	ourt Cases	
County	Sex	Total Criminal Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel	Total Juvenile Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel
	Female	10	8	2	20%	67	16	51	76%
Buffalo	Male	37	23	14	38%	88	21	67	76%
	Unknown					1	1	0	0%
	Female	18	18	0	0%	91	43	48	53%
Hall	Male	45	34	11	24%	219	88	131	60%
	Unknown					5	2	3	60%
District 7	Γotal	110	83	25%	471	171	300	64%	

# Juvenile Access to Counsel by County and Race/Ethnicity

			Criminal C	ourt Cases			Juvenile C	ourt Cases	
County	Race/ Ethnicity	Total Criminal Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel	Total Juvenile Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel
	Am. Indian	2	1	1	50%	5	0	5	100%
	Black	5	3	2	40%	11	1	10	91%
Duffala	Hispanic	7	6	1	14%	16	4	12	75%
Buffalo	White	29	18	11	38%	49	13	36	73%
	Other	1	1	0	0%				
	Unknown	3	2	1	33%	75	20	55	73%
	Asian/P.I.	2	2	0	0%				
	Black	5	5	0	0%				
Hall	Hispanic	25	18	7	28%				
	White	30	26	4	13%				
	Unknown	1	1	0	0%	315	133	182	58%
District <sup>-</sup>	Γotal	110	83	27	25%	471	171	300	64%

#### Part (a) Juvenile Petitions and Adjudications

Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (a) Juveniles prosecuted in juvenile court under subdivision (1), (2), (3)(b), or (4) of section 43-247, including the total number of filings and adjudications.

The Juvenile Petitions and Adjudications tables report the number of new juvenile cases and corresponding percent of adjudications by case type and demographic group for cases filed in 2020. The data consists of both county court and separate juvenile court cases. Only the most serious offense is counted for cases with multiple charges, pleas or findings. Cases are counted in the Admit column if at least one charge was admitted to in court. Supplemental petitions are not included in this data set. Cases filed in 2020 may not have reached the adjudication stage of proceedings. Juvenile cases where the age is unknown, if any, are included in the report.

			Juveni	ile Petit	ions ar	nd Adju Distric	dicatio: :t	n by Ca	ase Typ	e			
		Tra	affic Offe	ense	St	atus Offe	nse	M	lisdemea	nor		Felony	
Demo- graphic	Cohort	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated
	14-15	21	21	100%	10	7	70%	51	48	94%	4	4	100%
Age Group	16	34	33	97%	7	3	43%	48	42	88%	1	1	100%
	17	26	25	96%	5	2	40%	36	31	86%	7	3	43%
	Female	14	14	100%	11	9	82%	32	30	94%	2	2	100%
Sex	Male	45	43	96%	9	3	33%	100	88	88%	8	4	50%
	Unknown	22	22	100%	2	0	0%	3	3	100%	2	2	100%
	Am. Indian	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	2	2	100%	1	0	0%
	Asian/P.I.	1	1	100%	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	0	0	0%
Race/	Black	3	3	100%	2	2	100%	5	4	80%	0	0	0%
Ethnicity	Hispanic	9	9	100%	1	0	0%	10	9	90%	0	0	0%
	White	63	62	98%	15	9	60%	106	95	90%	6	4	67%
	Unknown	5	4	80%	4	1	25%	12	11	92%	5	4	80%
District To	tal	22	12	55%	135	121	90%	12	8	67%			

				Juvenile			udication Age Gro		se Type,				
		Tr	affic Offe	nse	St	atus Offe	nse	Ν	1isdemear	nor		Felony	
County	Age Group	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated									
	14-15	12	12	100%	3	3	100%	35	35	100%	2	2	100%
Adams	16	30	29	97%	5	3	60%	31	28	90%	0	0	0%
	17	22	22	100%	0	0	0%	24	21	88%	4	2	50%
	14-15	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	2	2	100%	0	0	0%
Clay	16	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%
	17	2	2	100%	4	1	25%	2	2	100%	2	0	0%
	14-15	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	2	2	100%	0	0	0%
Fillmore	16	0	0	0%	1	0	0%	0	0	0%	0	0	0%
	17	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	2	2	100%	0	0	0%
Fuendilia	16	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%
Franklin	17	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	1	100%
	14-15	0	0	0%	1	0	0%	2	2	100%	0	0	0%
Harlan	16	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	4	3	75%	0	0	0%
	17	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	2	2	100%	0	0	0%
	14-15	3	3	100%	4	3	75%	3	2	67%	0	0	0%
Kearney	16	2	2	100%	0	0	0%	2	1	50%	0	0	0%
	17	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	5	3	60%	0	0	0%
	14-15	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	0	0%	0	0	0%
Nuckolls	16	0	0	0%	1	0	0%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%
	17	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%
	14-15	6	6	100%	0	0	0%	4	3	75%	1	1	100%
Phelps	16	2	2	100%	0	0	0%	7	6	86%	0	0	0%
	17	1	0	0%	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	0	0	0%
	14-15	0	0	0%	1	0	0%	2	2	100%	1	1	100%
Webster	16	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	1	1	100%
	17	1	1	100%	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	0	0	0%
District Tot	al	81	79	98%	22	12	55%	135	121	90%	12	8	67%

			Juv	enile Ca		d Adjuc ounty a		by Ca	se Type				
		Tı	raffic Offe	nse		tatus Offe		N	∕lisdemear	ıor		Felony	
County	Sex	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated
	Female	12	12	100%	4	4	100%	21	21	100%	2	2	100%
Adams	Male	31	30	97%	4	2	50%	67	61	91%	4	2	50%
	Unknown	21	21	100%	0	0	0%	2	2	100%	0	0	0%
	Female	0	0	0%	2	2	100%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%
Clay	Male	2	2	100%	2	0	0%	4	4	100%	2	0	0%
	Unknown	0	0	0%	1	0	0%	0	0	0%	0	0	0%
	Female	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%
Fillmore	Male	0	0	0%	1	0	0%	3	3	100%	0	0	0%
Franklin	Male	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	1	1	100%
	Female	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	2	2	100%	0	0	0%
Harlan	Male	0	0	0%	1	0	0%	6	5	83%	0	0	0%
	Female	1	1	100%	4	3	75%	3	1	33%	0	0	0%
Kearney	Male	3	3	100%	1	1	100%	6	4	67%	0	0	0%
	Unknown	1	1	100%	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%
	Female	0	0	0%	1	0	0%	0	0	0%	0	0	0%
Nuckolls	Male	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	3	2	67%	0	0	0%
	Female	1	1	100%	0	0	0%	3	3	100%	0	0	0%
Phelps	Male	8	7	88%	0	0	0%	8	6	75%	1	1	100%
	Female	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%
Webster	Male	1	1	100%	0	0	0%	2	2	100%	0	0	0%
	Unknown	0	0	0%	1	0	0%	0	0	0%	2	2	100%
District To	tal	81	79	98%	22	12	55%	135	121	90%	12	8	67%

			Juve	nile Ca C			ication ce/Ethn	_	e Type,				
		Tı	raffic Offe	nse	St	tatus Offe	nse	Misdemeanor			Felony		
County	Race	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated
	Am. Indian	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	1	0	0%
	Asian/P.I.	1	1	100%	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	0	0	0%
A -l	Black	3	3	100%	1	1	100%	5	4	80%	0	0	0%
Adams	Hispanic	8	8	100%	0	0	0%	8	7	88%	0	0	0%
	White	49	49	100%	7	5	71%	71	67	94%	4	3	75%
	Unknown	3	2	67%	0	0	0%	5	5	100%	1	1	100%
	Hispanic	0	0	0%	1	0	0%	0	0	0%	0	0	0%
Clay	White	1	1	100%	3	2	67%	5	5	100%	1	0	0%
	Unknown	1	1	100%	1	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	0	0%
	Am. Indian	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%
Fillmore	White	0	0	0%	1	0	0%	0	0	0%	0	0	0%
	Unknown	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	3	3	100%	0	0	0%
Franklin	Unknown	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	1	1	100%
	White	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	7	6	86%	0	0	0%
Harlan	Unknown	0	0	0%	1	0	0%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%
	Black	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%	0	0	0%
	Hispanic	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	2	2	100%	0	0	0%
Kearney	White	5	5	100%	3	2	67%	7	3	43%	0	0	0%
	Unknown	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%
Nuckolls	White	0	0	0%	1	0	0%	3	2	67%	0	0	0%
	Hispanic	1	1	100%	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	0	0	0%
Phelps	White	8	7	88%	0	0	0%	10	9	90%	1	1	100%
	Unknown	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	0	0%	0	0	0%
\A/-  -	White	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	3	3	100%	0	0	0%
Webster	Unknown	1	1	100%	1	0	0%	0	0	0%	2	2	100%
District To	otal	81	79	98%	22	12	55%	135	121	90%	12	8	67%

#### Part (b) Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court - Case Type

Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (b) Juveniles prosecuted in adult criminal court for felonies, misdemeanors, and traffic offenses. The information shall include juveniles sentenced to terms in adult jails and prisons and juveniles placed on adult probation.

Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court tables consist of criminal and traffic cases in county court and criminal cases in district court that reached the point of sentencing in 2020. Cases with multiple charges are counted once per case and reported as the most severe offense. Cases for juveniles ages 13 and below must be filed and stay in juvenile court; however, judges have the authority to allow these cases in criminal court. Therefore, only juveniles ages 14 through 17 at the time of the offense appear in adult criminal court data.



Crir	Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court by Case Type, County and Age Group							
All Cases	Traffic	Misdemeanor	Felony					
#	#	#	#					

	ninal Co	rosecuted in A ourt by Case T nty and Sex	
All Cases	Traffic	Misdemeanor	Felony
#	#	#	#

Juveniles
Prosecuted
in Adult
Criminal
Court by
Case Type,
County
and
Race/
Ethnicity

All Cases

#### Part (b) Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court - Sentence

Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (b) Juveniles prosecuted in adult criminal court for felonies, misdemeanors, and traffic offenses. The information shall include juveniles sentenced to terms in adult jails and prisons and juveniles placed on adult probation.

Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court tables include criminal and traffic cases in county court and criminal cases in district court that reached the point of sentencing in 2020. All sentencing types are included where multiple sentencing types occur within a case (ex. probation/jail). Youth who are sentenced to probation in Adult Court are supervised by Adult Probation. Age is calculated from the age at offense, not the age at sentencing.

Juveni	Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court by Sentencing District									
Total Cases Probation				Ja	il	Prison				
Number of Cases	% of Total	Number of Cases	% of Cohort	Number of Cases		Number of Cases	% of Cohort			

Crim	Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court by Sentence, County and Age Group							
Total Cases	Probation	Jail	Prison					
Number of Cases	Number of Cases	Number of Cases	Number of Cases					

	Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court by Sentence, County and Sex							
Total Cases	Jail Prison Probation							
Number of Cases	Number Number Number Number							

Crim	Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court by Sentence, County and Race/Ethnicity							
Total Cases	Probation	Jail Prison Probation						
Number of Cases	Number Number Number Number							

#### Part (c) Motions to Transfer Cases and Cases Transferred

Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (c) The number of motions to transfer and the number of cases transferred to adult court from juvenile court and from adult criminal court to juvenile court.

The data represents motions to transfer filed in 2020 and transfers between court types which occurred in 2020. Therefore, the exact same subset of cases may not be represented in both columns. There can be multiple motions to transfer within a single case which, if filed in the same calendar year, would all be included in the counts below. Some cases may have a motion to transfer, but no transfer actually occurs.

Motions to Transfer Courts and Transfers District										
		Juvenile Court to Adult Court				Adu	Adult Court to Juvenile Court			
Demographic	Cohort	Motion to Transfer Juv to Adult	% of Total	Transfers Juv to Adult	% of Total	Motion to Transfer Adult to Juv	% of Total	Transfers Adult to Juv	% of Total	
	11-13	0		0		0		1	2%	
A C	14-15	0		0		0		11	17%	
Age Group	16	0		0		0		30	46%	
	17	4	100%	4	100%	1	100%	23	35%	
	Asian/P.I.	0		0		0		1	2%	
	Black	0		0		0		1	2%	
Race/Ethnicity	Hispanic	0		0		0		5	8%	
	White	2	100%	2	100%	1	100%	54	89%	
	Unknown	2		2		0		4		
	Female	0		0		1	100%	17	27%	
Sex	Male	4	100%	4	100%	0		47	73%	
	Unknown	0		0		0		1		
District Total		4		4		1		65		

Motions to Transfer Courts and Transfers, County and Age Group									
		-	e Court to It Court	Adult Court to Juvenile Court					
County	Age Group	Motion to Transfer	Cases Transfered	Motion to Transfer	Cases Transfered				
	11-13	0	0	0	1				
A -l	14-15	0	0	0	11				
Adams	16	0	0	0	27				
	17	2	2	0	22				
Clay	16	0	0	0	1				
Clay	17	2	2	0	1				
Vaarnav	16	0	0	0	2				
Kearney	17	0	0	1	0				
District T	otal	4	4	1	65				

Motions to Transfer Courts and Transfers, County and Sex								
		-	e Court to It Court	Adult Court to Juvenile Court				
County	Sex	Motion to Transfer	Cases Transfered	Motion to Transfer	Cases Transfered			
	Female	0	0	0	16			
Adams	Male	2	2	0	44			
	Unknown	0	0	0	1			
Clay	Male	2	2	0	2			
Vaarnav	Female	0	0	1	1			
Kearney	Male	0	0	0	1			
District T	otal	4	4	1	65			

Motions to Transfer Courts and Transfers, County and Race/Ethnicity								
		-	e Court to t Court	Adult Court to Juvenile Court				
County	Race/ Ethnicity	Motion to Transfer Transfered		Motion to Transfer	Cases Transfered			
	Asian/P.I.	0	0	0	1			
	Black	0	0	0	1			
Adams	Hispanic	0	0	0	5			
	White	1	1	0	50			
	Unknown	1	1	0	4			
Clay	White	1	1	0	2			
Clay	Unknown	1	1	0	0			
Kearney	White	0	0	1	2			
District T	otal	4	4	1	65			

#### Part (d) Juvenile Probation Activity

Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (d) Juveniles placed on juvenile probation, the number of juveniles on probation in out-of-home care, the number of juveniles completing probation, the number of motions to revoke probation and probation revocations, and the average length of time on probation.

The Juvenile Probation Activity - Youth data is provided from the Administrative Office of Probation's case management system, Nebraska Probation Application for Community Safety (NPACS). The table reports the number and percent of youth with various activity during the year. Youth are counted once in each category, regardless of the number of out-of-home placements, motions to revoke, or cases they may have in the year. Age groups are calculated specific to the activity as footnoted.

	Juvenile Probation Activity District									
D	Cabart		Placed on Probation		Out-of-home Placement		ns to oke	Satisfactory Release		
Demographic	Cohort	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	
	11-13	20	12%	12	16%	4	67%	12	10%	
	14-15	58	35%	25	34%	0	0%	41	33%	
Age Group	16	54	32%	8	11%	0	0%	27	22%	
	17	28	17%	17	23%	2	33%	35	28%	
	18	8	5%	12	16%	0	0%	10	8%	
	Female	67	40%	42	57%	2	33%	67	54%	
Sex	Male	101	60%	32	43%	4	67%	58	46%	
	Black	12	7%	4	5%	2	33%	4	3%	
	Indian	3	2%	2	3%	0	0%	3	2%	
Race	Other	22	13%	14	19%	0	0%	22	18%	
	Pacific Islander	2	1%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
	White	129	77%	54	73%	4	67%	96	77%	
Fall of the	Hispanic	34	20%	12	16%	0	0%	22	18%	
Ethnicity	Not Hispanic	134	80%	62	84%	6	100%	103	82%	
District Total		168	100%	74	100%	6	100%	125	100%	

<sup>1.</sup> Age at which the probation term began. 2. Age at the first admission to out-of-home placement. 3. Age as of the file date for motion to revoke. 4. Age as of the disposition date for motion to revoke. 5. Age as of the disposition date for motion.

		Ju	venile	Probat Distri		tivity			
County	Age	Placed on Probation		Out-of-home Placement		Motions to Revoke		Satisfactory Release	
County	Group	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total
	11-13	10	6%	8	11%	0	0%	2	2%
	14-15	34	20%	14	19%	0	0%	24	19%
Adams	16	36	21%	6	8%	0	0%	18	14%
	17	20	12%	14	19%	2	33%	28	22%
	18	8	5%	12	16%	0	0%	10	8%
	11-13	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	2	2%
Clay	14-15	8	5%	6	8%	0	0%	2	2%
Clay	16	2	1%	0	0%	0	0%	2	2%
	17	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	2	2%
	14-15	2	1%	1	1%	0	0%	1	1%
Fillmore	16	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	1%
	17	2	1%	1	1%	0	0%	1	1%
I I a al a a	16	2	1%	0	0%	0	0%	2	2%
Harlan	17	0	0%	2	3%	0	0%	0	0%
	11-13	2	1%	2	3%	0	0%	2	2%
12	14-15	6	4%	2	3%	0	0%	2	2%
Kearney	16	2	1%	2	3%	0	0%	0	0%
	17	2	1%	0	0%	0	0%	2	2%
	14-15	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	2	2%
Nuckolls	16	2	1%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
	17	2	1%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
	11-13	6	4%	2	3%	4	67%	6	5%
<b>D</b> I .	14-15	6	4%	2	3%	0	0%	10	8%
Phelps	16	8	5%	0	0%	0	0%	4	3%
	17	2	1%	0	0%	0	0%	2	2%
	11-13	2	1%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Webster	14-15	2	1%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
	16	2	1%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
District To	otal	168	100%	74	100%	6	100%	125	100%

	Juvenile Probation Activity District												
County	Cav	Place Proba		Out-of-home Placement		Motions to Revoke		Satisfactory Release					
County	Sex	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total				
Adams	Female	48	29%	32	43%	0	0%	52	42%				
Adams	Male	60	36%	22	30%	2	33%	30	24%				
Clay	Female	4	2%	2	3%	0	0%	4	3%				
Clay	Male	6	4%	4	5%	0	0%	4	3%				
F:11	Female	1	1%	0	0%	0	0%	1	1%				
Fillmore	Male	3	2%	2	3%	0	0%	2	2%				
Harlan	Female	0	0%	2	3%	0	0%	0	0%				
Harlan	Male	2	1%	0	0%	0	0%	2	2%				
Manuary	Female	6	4%	4	5%	0	0%	2	2%				
Kearney	Male	6	4%	2	3%	0	0%	4	3%				
Nuckolls	Female	2	1%	0	0%	0	0%	2	2%				
NUCKOUS	Male	2	1%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%				
Dhalna	Female	6	4%	2	3%	2	33%	6	5%				
Phelps	Male	16	10%	2	3%	2	33%	16	13%				
Webster	Male	6	4%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%				
District To	otal	168	100%	74	100%	6	100%	125	100%				

	Juvenile Probation Activity District												
County	Race	Place Proba		Out-of- Place		Motio Rev		Satisfactory Release					
County	Race	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total				
	Black	12	7%	4	5%	2	33%	4	3%				
	Other	18	11%	14	19%	0	0%	22	18%				
Adams	Indian	0	0%	2	3%	0	0%	2	2%				
	White	76	45%	34	46%	0	0%	54	43%				
	Pacific Islander	2	1%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%				
Clay	White	8	5%	6	8%	0	0%	8	6%				
Clay	Other	2	1%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%				
T:11	White	3	2%	2	3%	0	0%	2	2%				
Fillmore	Indian	1	1%	0	0%	0	0%	1	1%				
Harlan	White	2	1%	2	3%	0	0%	2	2%				
W	White	10	6%	6	8%	0	0%	6	5%				
Kearney	Indian	2	1%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%				
Nuckolls	White	4	2%	0	0%	0	0%	2	2%				
Phelps	White	22	13%	4	5%	4	67%	22	18%				
\\/ =  = = # c ::	Other	2	1%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%				
Webster	White	4	2%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%				
District To	otal	168	100%	74	100%	6	100%	125	100%				

	Juvenile Probation Activity District												
County	Pall i . ia	Placed on Probation		Out-of-home Placement		Motio Rev		Satisfactory Release					
	Ethnicity	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total				
A .l	Hispanic	30	18%	12	16%	0	0%	22	18%				
Adams	Not Hispanic	78	46%	42	57%	2	33%	60	48%				
Clay	Hispanic	2	1%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%				
Clay	Not Hispanic	8	5%	6	8%	0	0%	8	6%				
Fillmore	Not Hispanic	4	2%	2	3%	0	0%	3	2%				
Harlan	Not Hispanic	2	1%	2	3%	0	0%	2	2%				
Kearney	Not Hispanic	12	7%	6	8%	0	0%	6	5%				
Nuckolls	Not Hispanic	4	2%	0	0%	0	0%	2	2%				
Pholps	Hispanic	2	1%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%				
Phelps	Not Hispanic	20	12%	4	5%	4	67%	22	18%				
Webster	Not Hispanic	6	4%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%				
District To	otal	168	100%	74	100%	6	100%	125	100%				

#### Part (d) Juvenile Probation - Youth Risk Level and Length of Probation

Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (d) Juveniles placed on juvenile probation, the number of juveniles on probation in out-of-home care, the number of juveniles completing probation, the number of motions to revoke probation and probation revocations, and the average length of time on probation.

Youth Level of Service/Case Management Inventory (YLS/CMI) is an assessment tool used to systematically measure risk for recidivism and appropriate supervision intensity for youth on probation. The following table shows the classification results of youth that entered into Probation in 2020, and another set of youth that discharged from Probation in 2020. Youth that are assessed at low or moderate low risk are matched to JCBR supervision and those assessed at high or moderate high risk are matched to JCBI supervision intensity

	Y	outh Risk	Levels	and Le		robation			
		Youth Sta Probati	_	Youth	Discharged 1	from Probation	Average Length of Probation		
Demo- graphic	Cohort	JCBR/ Low/ Moderate Low	JCBI/ High	JCBR/ Low	JCBI/ High/ Moderate High	No Assessment**	Total Youth	Avg Length of Probation	
	11-13	4	16	4	2		6	10.7	
	14-15	28	30	8	10	2	20	10.5	
Age Group	16	18	36	10	2		12	9.8	
	17	10	18	12	20		32	17.7	
	18	4	4	29	26		55	16.2	
Cov	Female	29	38	33	22	2	57	13.9	
Sex	Male	35	66	30	38		68	15.6	
	Am. Indian	1	2	1	2		3	7.3	
	Asian/P.I.	2					0		
Race	Black	4	8	2	8		10	12.6	
	White	53	76	52	38	2	92	16.3	
	Other	4	18	8	12		20	9.9	
Ethnicit:	Hispanic	8	26	10	10		20	10.0	
Ethnicity	Not Hispanic	56	78	53	50	2	105	15.7	
District Tota	nl	64	104	63	60	2	125	14.8	

	Youth Risk Levels and Length of Probation by County and Age Group											
		Youth Sta Probati	_	Youth	Discharged 1	Average Length of Probation						
County	Age Group	JCBR/ Low/ Moderate Low	JCBI/ High	JCBR/ Low	JCBI/ High/ Moderate High	No Assessment**	Total Youth	Avg Length of Probation				
	11-13	2	8	2	2		4	13.0				
	14-15	14	20		6	2	8	8.5				
Adams	16	14	22	8	2		10	10.2				
	17	8	12	8	12		20	17.2				
	18	4	4	16	18		34	12.4				
	14-15	4	4				0					
Clay	16		2				0					
Clay	17			2	2		4	12.0				
	18			4	4		8	28.0				
	14-15	2					0					
Fillmore	17	2		2			2	18.0				
	18			1			1	10.0				
Harlan	16		2				0					
Hartan	18			4			4	24.0				
	11-13		2	2			2	6.0				
	14-15	4	2				0					
Kearney	16		2				0					
	17		2		4		4	15.5				
	18			2	2		4	9.5				
	14-15			2	2		4	12.0				
Nuckolls	16		2				0					
	17		2				0					
	11-13	2	4				0					
	14-15	4	2	6	2		8	11.8				
Phelps	16	4	4	2			2	8.0				
	17		2		2		2	38.0				
	18			2			2	37.0				
Webster	11-13		2				0					

	Youth Risk Levels and Length of Probation by County and Age Group													
County Age Group		Youth Sta Probati	_	Youth	Discharged 1	Average Length of Probation								
	_	JCBR/ Low/ Moderate Low	JCBI/ High	JCBR/ Low	JCBI/ High/ Moderate High	No Assessment**	Total Youth	Avg Length of Probation						
	14-15		2				0							
Webster	16		2				0							
	18				2		2	14.0						
District To	otal	64	104	63	60	2	125	14.8						

Youth Risk Levels and Length of Probation by County and Sex												
		Youth Sta Probati	_	Youtl	n Discharged fr	Average Length of Probation						
County	Sex	JCBR/Low/ Moderate Low	JCBI/ High	JCBR/ Low	JCBI/High/ Moderate High	No Assessment**	Total Youth	Avg Length of Probation				
A .l	Female	18	30	20	16	2	38	12.4				
Adams	Male	24	36	14	24		38	13.6				
Class	Female	4		2			2	57.0				
Clay	Male		6	4	6		10	15.8				
F:11	Female	1		1			1	10.0				
Fillmore	Male	3		2			2	18.0				
	Female			2			2	23.0				
Harlan	Male		2	2			2	25.0				
14	Female	2	4	2	2		4	6.5				
Kearney	Male	2	4	2	4		6	14.3				
Nicestralla	Female		2	2	2		4	12.0				
Nuckolls	Male		2				0					
Disalma	Female	4	2	4	2		6	12.7				
Phelps	Male	6	10	6	2		8	23.0				
Webster	Male		6		2		2	14.0				
District Tota	al	64	104	63	60	2	125	14.8				

		Youth Ris			Length of and Race	Probation		
	Race	Youth Sta Probati	_	Youth	Discharged 1	Average Length of Probation		
County		JCBR/ Low/ Moderate Low	JCBI/ High	JCBR/ Low	JCBI/ High/ Moderate High	No Assessment**	Total Youth	Avg Length of Probation
	Am. Indian				2		2	6.0
	Asian/P.I.	2					0	
Adams	Black	4	8	2	6		8	12.2
	White	32	44	24	20	2	46	14.8
	Other	4	14	8	12		20	9.9
Clay	White	4	4	6	6		12	22.7
Clay	Other		2				0	
Fillmore	Am. Indian	1		1			1	10.0
rillillore	White	3		2			2	18.0
Harlan	White		2	4			4	24.0
Voornou	Am. Indian		2				0	
Kearney	White	4	6	4	6		10	11.2
Nuckolls	White		4	2	2		4	12.0
Phelps	White	10	12	10	4		14	18.6
	Black				2		2	14.0
Webster	White		4				0	
	Other		2				0	
District To	otal	64	104	63	60	2	125	14.8

	Youth Risk Levels and Length of Probation by County and Ethnicity												
		Youth Starting Probation		Youth	n Discharged f	Average Length of Probation							
County	Ethnicity	JCBR/Low/ Moderate Low	JCBI/ High	JCBR/ Low	JCBI/High/ Moderate High	No Assessment**	Total Youth	Avg Length of Probation					
Adams	Hispanic	8	22	10	10		20	10.0					
Adams	Not Hispanic	34	44	24	30	2	56	14.1					
Clay	Hispanic		2				0						
Clay	Not Hispanic	4	4	6	6		12	22.7					
Fillmore	Not Hispanic	4		3			3	15.3					
Harlan	Not Hispanic		2	4			4	24.0					
Kearney	Not Hispanic	4	8	4	6		10	11.2					
Nuckolls	Not Hispanic		4	2	2		4	12.0					
Dhalna	Hispanic		2				0						
Phelps	Not Hispanic	10	10	10	4		14	18.6					
Webster	Not Hispanic		6		2		2	14.0					
District Tot	tal	64	104	63	60	2	125	14.8					

### Part (e) Juveniles Access to Counsel

Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (e) juveniles with and without access to counsel in juvenile and adult court, both appointed and retained.

The Juveniles Access to Counsel table displays the percent of juveniles represented by an attorney in criminal cases from county court and district court and juvenile cases from county court and separate juvenile court. Data includes new cases filed and cases transferred from one county to another during calendar year 2020. Cases filed in 2020 may not have reached the sentencing/adjudication stage of proceedings. Cases for juveniles ages 13 and below must be filed and stay in juvenile court.

Juvenile Access to Counsel  District											
			Criminal C	ourt Cases		Juvenile Court Cases					
Demographic	Cohort	Total Criminal Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel	Total Juvenile Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel		
	11-13	1	0	1	100%	24	8	16	67%		
Aga Craun	14-15	4	3	1	25%	108	44	64	59%		
Age Group	16	11	9	2	18%	108	55	53	49%		
	17	22	17	5	23%	90	42	48	53%		
	Female	8	7	1	12%	77	33	44	57%		
Sex	Male	26	20	6	23%	223	95	128	57%		
	Unknown	4	2	2	50%	30	21	9	30%		
	Am. Indian					3	1	2	67%		
	Asian/P.I.					1	0	1	100%		
December 15th and a few	Black					18	3	15	83%		
Race/Ethnicity	Hispanic	1	1	0	0%	28	12	16	57%		
	White	27	23	4	15%	246	117	129	52%		
	Unknown	10	5	5	50%	34	16	18	53%		
District Total		38	29	9	24%	330	149	181	55%		

Juvenile Access to Counsel by County and Age Group											
			Criminal C	ourt Cases			Juvenile C	ourt Cases			
County	Age Group	Total Criminal Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel	Total Juvenile Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel		
	11-13					18	7	11	61%		
Adams	14-15	3	2	1	33%	70	24	46	66%		
Audilis	16	6	5	1	17%	80	38	42	52%		
	17	14	12	2	14%	61	25	36	59%		
	11-13					1	0	1	100%		
Clay	14-15					3	2	1	33%		
Clay	16					2	1	1	50%		
	17	4	1	3	75%	11	8	3	27%		
	14-15					2	2	0	0%		
Fillmore	16					1	1	0	0%		
	17	1	1	0	0%	2	2	0	0%		
Franklin	16					1	0	1	100%		
Trankun	17					1	0	1	100%		
	14-15					3	0	3	100%		
Harlan	16	1	0	1	100%	5	2	3	60%		
	17	1	1	0	0%	4	2	2	50%		
	11-13	1	0	1	100%	2	0	2	100%		
Kearney	14-15	1	1	0	0%	14	5	9	64%		
Rearriey	16	2	2	0	0%	5	4	1	20%		
	17	2	2	0	0%	7	3	4	57%		
	14-15					1	1	0	0%		
Nuckolls	16	2	2	0	0%	2	0	2	100%		
	17					1	0	1	100%		
	11-13					2	1	1	50%		
Phelps	14-15					11	8	3	27%		
rneths	16					10	7	3	30%		
	17					2	1	1	50%		
Webster	11-13					1	0	1	100%		
WCDStel	14-15					4	2	2	50%		

	Juvenile Access to Counsel by County and Age Group											
	Criminal Court Cases Juvenile Court Cases											
County	Age Group	Total Criminal Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel	Total Juvenile Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel			
Mobatos	16					2	2	0	0%			
webster	Webster 17 1 1 0 0%											
District To	District Total 38 29 9 24% 330 149 181 55%											

Juvenile Access to Counsel by County and Sex											
			Criminal C	ourt Cases			Juvenile C	ourt Cases			
County	Sex	Total Criminal Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel	Total Juvenile Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel		
	Female	6	5	1	17%	53	21	32	60%		
Adams	Male	16	13	3	19%	152	56	96	63%		
	Unknown	1	1	0	0%	24	17	7	29%		
	Female					4	2	2	50%		
Clay	Male	4	1	3	75%	12	8	4	33%		
	Unknown					1	1	0	0%		
F:11	Female					1	1	0	0%		
Fillmore	Male	1	1	0	0%	4	4	0	0%		
Franklin	Male					2	0	2	100%		
	Female					2	0	2	100%		
Harlan	Male	1	1	0	0%	10	4	6	60%		
	Unknown	1	0	1	100%						
	Female	2	2	0	0%	10	4	6	60%		
Kearney	Male	2	2	0	0%	16	6	10	62%		
	Unknown	2	1	1	50%	2	2	0	0%		
	Female					1	0	1	100%		
Nuckolls	Male	2	2	0	0%	3	1	2	67%		
Disales	Female					5	4	1	20%		
Phelps	Male					20	13	7	35%		
	Female					1	1	0	0%		
Webster	Male					4	3	1	25%		
	Unknown					3	1	2	67%		
District To	otal	38	29	9	24%	330	149	181	55%		

Juvenile Access to Counsel by County and Race/Ethnicity											
			Criminal C	ourt Cases			Juvenile C	ourt Cases			
County	Race/ Ethnicity	Total Criminal Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel	Total Juvenile Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel		
	Am. Indian					2	0	2	100%		
	Asian/P.I.					1	0	1	100%		
A .l	Black					16	2	14	88%		
Adams	Hispanic	1	1	0	0%	22	9	13	59%		
	White	18	15	3	17%	173	76	97	56%		
	Unknown	4	3	1	25%	15	7	8	53%		
	Hispanic					3	1	2	67%		
Clay	White	2	1	1	50%	10	8	2	20%		
	Unknown	2	0	2	100%	4	2	2	50%		
	Am. Indian					1	1	0	0%		
Fillmore	White	1	1	0	0%	1	1	0	0%		
	Unknown					3	3	0	0%		
Franklin	Unknown					2	0	2	100%		
	White	1	1	0	0%	10	3	7	70%		
Harlan	Unknown	1	0	1	100%	2	1	1	50%		
	Black					2	1	1	50%		
.,	Hispanic					2	1	1	50%		
Kearney	White	4	4	0	0%	22	9	13	59%		
	Unknown	2	1	1	50%	2	1	1	50%		
	White	1	1	0	0%	4	1	3	75%		
Nuckolls	Unknown	1	1	0	0%						
	Hispanic					1	1	0	0%		
Phelps	White					23	16	7	30%		
	Unknown					1	0	1	100%		
M/-l-	White					3	3	0	0%		
Webster	Unknown					5	2	3	60%		
District To	otal	38	29	9	24%	330	149	181	55%		

### Part (a) Juvenile Petitions and Adjudications

Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (a) Juveniles prosecuted in juvenile court under subdivision (1), (2), (3)(b), or (4) of section 43-247, including the total number of filings and adjudications.

The Juvenile Petitions and Adjudications tables report the number of new juvenile cases and corresponding percent of adjudications by case type and demographic group for cases filed in 2020. The data consists of both county court and separate juvenile court cases. Only the most serious offense is counted for cases with multiple charges, pleas or findings. Cases are counted in the Admit column if at least one charge was admitted to in court. Supplemental petitions are not included in this data set. Cases filed in 2020 may not have reached the adjudication stage of proceedings. Juvenile cases where the age is unknown, if any, are included in the report.

Juvenile Petitions and Adjudication by Case Type District													
		Tr	affic Offe	nse	St	atus Offe	nse	М	lisdemea	nor		Felony	
Demo- graphic	Cohort	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated									
	14-15	0	0	0%	18	15	83%	51	39	76%	6	5	83%
Age Group	16	0	0	0%	11	9	82%	73	52	71%	13	11	85%
	17	1	1	100%	9	6	67%	63	38	60%	12	8	67%
	Female	0	0	0%	17	17	100%	51	31	61%	7	5	71%
Sex	Male	1	1	100%	12	11	92%	135	97	72%	21	16	76%
	Unknown	0	0	0%	9	2	22%	1	1	100%	3	3	100%
	Am. Indian	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	3	3	100%	1	1	100%
	Asian/P.I.	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%
Race/	Black	0	0	0%	3	3	100%	6	2	33%	0	0	0%
Ethnicity	Hispanic	0	0	0%	5	5	100%	23	19	83%	5	5	100%
	White	1	1	100%	23	15	65%	139	98	71%	18	13	72%
	Unknown	0	0	0%	7	7	100%	15	6	40%	7	5	71%
District To	tal	1	1	100%	38	30	79%	187	129	69%	31	24	77%

Juvenile Cases and Adjudication by Case Type, County and Age Group													
		Tr	raffic Offe	nse	St	tatus Offe	nse	N	1isdemear	nor		Felony	
County	Age Group	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated									
Chase	14-15	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	1	1	100%
	14-15	0	0	0%	6	6	100%	14	12	86%	1	1	100%
Dawson	16	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	17	13	76%	5	4	80%
	17	0	0	0%	4	4	100%	23	17	74%	2	2	100%
Dundy	16	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%
	14-15	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%	0	0	0%
Frontier	16	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%
	14-15	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%	1	1	100%
Furnas	16	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%	2	2	100%
	17	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%	0	0	0%
	16	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	4	1	25%	0	0	0%
Hayes	17	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	0	0%	0	0	0%
Hitchcock	17	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	2	1	50%	0	0	0%
	14-15	0	0	0%	2	2	100%	7	5	71%	1	1	100%
Keith	16	0	0	0%	3	3	100%	12	6	50%	0	0	0%
	17	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	7	3	43%	1	0	0%
	14-15	0	0	0%	3	1	33%	23	18	78%	2	1	50%
Lincoln	16	0	0	0%	3	1	33%	17	14	82%	0	0	0%
	17	0	0	0%	4	1	25%	11	5	45%	5	3	60%
	14-15	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	0	0%	0	0	0%
Perkins	16	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	3	3	100%	0	0	0%
	17	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	4	4	100%	0	0	0%
	14-15	0	0	0%	5	4	80%	5	3	60%	0	0	0%
Red Willow	16	0	0	0%	3	3	100%	18	13	72%	6	5	83%
	17	1	1	100%	0	0	0%	15	8	53%	4	3	75%
District Total		1	1	100%	38	30	79%	187	129	69%	31	24	77%

			Juve	nile Cas		Adjudi unty an		by Case	e Type,				
		Ti	raffic Offe	nse		tatus Offe		N	/lisdemear	nor		Felony	
County	Sex	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated
Chase	Male	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	1	1	100%
	Female	0	0	0%	9	9	100%	19	11	58%	0	0	0%
Dawson	Male	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	34	30	88%	7	6	86%
	Unknown	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	1	1	100%	1	1	100%
Dundy	Male	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%
Frontier	Male	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%
_	Female	0	0	0%	2	2	100%	0	0	0%	0	0	0%
Furnas	Male	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%	3	3	100%
	Female	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	2	0	0%	0	0	0%
Hayes	Male	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	3	1	33%	0	0	0%
Hitchcock	Male	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	2	1	50%	0	0	0%
17.11	Female	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	7	4	57%	0	0	0%
Keith	Male	0	0	0%	4	4	100%	19	10	53%	2	1	50%
	Female	0	0	0%	2	2	100%	11	7	64%	2	1	50%
Lincoln	Male	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	40	30	75%	4	2	50%
	Unknown	0	0	0%	7	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	1	100%
5 11	Female	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	2	1	50%	0	0	0%
Perkins	Male	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	6	6	100%	0	0	0%
	Female	0	0	0%	3	3	100%	10	8	80%	5	4	80%
Red Willow	Male	1	1	100%	4	3	75%	28	16	57%	4	3	75%
	Unknown	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%	1	1	100%
District Total		1	1	100%	38	30	79%	187	129	69%	31	24	77%

		Tr	affic Offe	nse	St	tatus Offei	nse	N	1isdemear	nor		Felony	
County	Race	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated									
CI	Hispanic	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	1	100%
Chase	White	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%
	Am. Indian	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	3	3	100%	1	1	100%
	Asian/P.I.	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%
	Black	0	0	0%	2	2	100%	2	1	50%	0	0	0%
Dawson	Hispanic	0	0	0%	4	4	100%	15	13	87%	2	2	100%
	White	0	0	0%	4	4	100%	30	23	77%	5	4	80%
	Unknown	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	3	1	33%	0	0	0%
Dundy	White	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%
	Hispanic	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%	0	0	0%
Frontier	White	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%
	Black	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%	0	0	0%
Furnas	White	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	1	100%
	Unknown	0	0	0%	2	2	100%	0	0	0%	2	2	100%
Hayes	White	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	5	1	20%	0	0	0%
Hitchcock	White	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	2	1	50%	0	0	0%
	Hispanic	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%
Keith	White	0	0	0%	3	3	100%	19	11	58%	0	0	0%
	Unknown	0	0	0%	2	2	100%	6	2	33%	2	1	50%
	Black	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%
	Hispanic	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	5	3	60%	2	2	100%
Lincoln	White	0	0	0%	10	3	30%	43	32	74%	5	2	40%
	Unknown	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	2	1	50%	0	0	0%
Perkins	White	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	8	7	88%	0	0	0%
	Black	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	3	0	0%	0	0	0%
	Hispanic	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	2	2	100%	0	0	0%
Red Willow	White	1	1	100%	6	5	83%	29	20	69%	7	6	86%
	Unknown	0	0	0%	2	2	100%	4	2	50%	3	2	67%
District Tota		1	1	100%	38	30	79%	187	129	69%	31	24	77%

### Part (b) Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court - Case Type

Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (b) Juveniles prosecuted in adult criminal court for felonies, misdemeanors, and traffic offenses. The information shall include juveniles sentenced to terms in adult jails and prisons and juveniles placed on adult probation.

Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court tables consist of criminal and traffic cases in county court and criminal cases in district court that reached the point of sentencing in 2020. Cases with multiple charges are counted once per case and reported as the most severe offense. Cases for juveniles ages 13 and below must be filed and stay in juvenile court; however, judges have the authority to allow these cases in criminal court. Therefore, only juveniles ages 14 through 17 at the time of the offense appear in adult criminal court data.

	Juven	iles Pro	by	ed in Ac Case Ty District		ninal Co	ourt					
		All C	Cases	Tra	affic	Misde	meanor	Fel	ony			
Demographic	Cohort	Total Cases	% of Total	Total Cases	% of Total for Case Type Group	Total Cases	% of Total for Case Type Group	Total Cases	% of Total for Case Type Group			
Age Group	14-15	14	45%	7	37%	7	64%	0				
	16	8	26%	7	37%	1	9%	0				
	17	9	29%	5	26%	3	27%	1	100%			
Race/Ethnicity	Hispanic	12	46%	6	43%	6	55%	0				
	White	14	54%	8	57%	5	45%	1	100%			
	Unknown	5		5		0		0				
Sex	Sex Female 12 39% 8 42% 4 36% 0											
	Male	19	61%	11	58%	7	64%	1	100%			
District Total		31	100%	19	61%	11	35%	1	3%			

Juvenile		Ca	se Type	lt Criminal Co e, e Group	ourt by						
County Age Group All Cases Traffic Misdemeanor Felony											
# # # # # Chase 17 1 0 1 0											
Chase	14-15	14	7	7	0						
Dawson			7	1							
Dawson	16	8	/	ı	0						
	17	5	5	0	0						
Lincoln 17 3 0 2 1											
District Total 31 19 11 1											

Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court by Case Type, County and Sex												
County	County Sex Cases Traffic Misdemeanor Felony											
	# # # #											
Chase	Female	1	0	1	0							
Dawson	Female	11	8	3	0							
Dawson	Male	16	11	5	0							
Lincoln Male 3 0 2 1												
District Total 31 19 11 1												

#### Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court by Case Type, County and Race/Ethnicity Traffic Misdemeanor Felony Cases County Race # # # # Chase Hispanic 0 1 0 1 Hispanic 10 6 4 0 White Dawson 12 8 4 0 Unknown 5 5 0 0 1 Hispanic 1 0 0 Lincoln White 2 0 1 1

31

19

11

1

**District Total** 

#### Part (b) Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court - Sentence

Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (b) Juveniles prosecuted in adult criminal court for felonies, misdemeanors, and traffic offenses. The information shall include juveniles sentenced to terms in adult jails and prisons and juveniles placed on adult probation.

Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court tables include criminal and traffic cases in county court and criminal cases in district court that reached the point of sentencing in 2020. All sentencing types are included where multiple sentencing types occur within a case (ex. probation/jail). Youth who are sentenced to probation in Adult Court are supervised by Adult Probation. Age is calculated from the age at offense, not the age at sentencing.

	Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court by Sentencing District										
		Total Cases		Probation		Jail		Prison			
Demographic	Cohort	Number of Cases	% of Total	Number of Cases	% of Cohort	Number of Cases	% of Cohort	Number of Cases	% of Cohort		
	14-15	14	45%	14	100%	0	0%	0	0%		
Age Group	16	8	26%	8	100%	0	0%	0	0%		
	17	9	29%	8	89%	1	11%	0	0%		
C	Female	12	39%	12	100%	0	0%	0	0%		
Sex	Male	19	61%	18	100%	1	0%	0	0%		
	Hispanic	12	46%	12	100%	0	0%	0	0%		
Race/Ethnicity Unknow		5	0%	5	100%	0	0%	0	0%		
	White	14	54%	13	100%	1	0%	0	0%		
District Total		31	100%	30	100%	1	0%	0	0%		

#### Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court by Sentence, County and Age Group Total Jail Prison Cases Probation Age County Group Number Number Number Number of Cases of Cases of Cases of Cases 17 0 0 Chase 1 1 0 14-15 14 14 16 0 Dawson 8 8 0 17 5 5 0 0 Lincoln 17 3 2 1 0

30

31

**District Total** 

1

0

Juven	Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court by Sentence, County and Sex										
County	Sex	Total Cases	Probation	Jail	Prison						
County	Sex	Number of Cases	Number of Cases	Number of Cases	Number of Cases						
Chase	Female	1	1	0	0						
Dawson	Female	11	11	0	0						
Dawson	Male	16	16	0	0						
Lincoln	Male	3	2	1	0						
District Total 31 30 1 0											

#### Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court by Sentence, County and Race/Ethnicity Total Jail Prison Cases Probation County Race Number Number Number Number of Cases of Cases of Cases of Cases 0 0 Chase Hispanic 1 Hispanic 10 10 0 0 White Dawson 12 12 0 0 Unknown 5 5 0 0 1 0 Hispanic 1 0 Lincoln White 2 1 1 0 **District Total** 31 1 30 0

#### Part (c) Motions to Transfer Cases and Cases Transferred

Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (c) The number of motions to transfer and the number of cases transferred to adult court from juvenile court and from adult criminal court to juvenile court.

The data represents motions to transfer filed in 2020 and transfers between court types which occurred in 2020. Therefore, the exact same subset of cases may not be represented in both columns. There can be multiple motions to transfer within a single case which, if filed in the same calendar year, would all be included in the counts below. Some cases may have a motion to transfer, but no transfer actually occurs.

Motions to Transfer Courts and Transfers District										
		Juveni	Juvenile Court to Adult Court				lt Court	to Juvenile Cou	rt	
Demographic	Cohort	Motion to Transfer Juv to Adult	% of Total	Transfers Juv to Adult	% of Total	Motion to Transfer Adult to Juv	% of Total	Transfers Adult to Juv	% of Total	
	14-15	0		0		0		3	50%	
Age Group	16	0		0		0		1	17%	
	17	1	100%	0		1	100%	2	33%	
	Black	0		0		0		1	25%	
Da aa (Ethaniaita)	Hispanic	1	100%	0		0		2	50%	
Race/Ethnicity	White	0		0		1	100%	1	25%	
	Unknown	0		0		0		2		
	Female	0		0		0		1	17%	
Sex	Male	0		0		1	100%	5	83%	
	Unknown	1		0		0		0		
District Total		1		0		1		6		

M	Motions to Transfer Courts and Transfers, County and Age Group									
		_	e Court to It Court	Adult Court to Juvenile Court						
County	Age Group	Motion to Transfer		Motion to Transfer	Cases Transfered					
Davisan	14-15	0	0	0	3					
Dawson	17	0	0	0	1					
Furnas	16	0	0	0	1					
Lincoln	17	1	0	0	0					
Red Willow	17	0	0	1	1					
District Tota	ι	1	0	1	6					

Motions to Transfer Courts and Transfers, County and Sex									
			e Court to It Court	Adult Court to Juvenile Court					
County	Sex	Motion to Transfer	Cases Transfered	Motion to Transfer	Cases Transfered				
Dawes	Female	0	0	0	1				
Dawson	Male	0	0	0	3				
Furnas	Male	0	0	0	1				
Lincoln	Unknown	1	0	0	0				
Red Willow	Male	0	0	1	1				
District Tota	l	1	0	1	6				

Motions to Transfer Courts and Transfers, County and Race/Ethnicity									
		_	e Court to t Court	Adult Court to Juvenile Court					
County	Race/ Ethnicity	Motion to Transfer	Cases Transfered	Motion to Transfer	Cases Transfered				
	Black	0	0	0	1				
Dawson	Hispanic	0	0	0	2				
	Unknown	0	0	0	1				
Furnas	Unknown	0	0	0	1				
Lincoln	Hispanic	1	0	0	0				
Red Willow	White	0	0	1	1				
District Tota	ι	1	0	1	6				

### Part (d) Juvenile Probation Activity

Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (d) Juveniles placed on juvenile probation, the number of juveniles on probation in out-of-home care, the number of juveniles completing probation, the number of motions to revoke probation and probation revocations, and the average length of time on probation.

The Juvenile Probation Activity - Youth data is provided from the Administrative Office of Probation's case management system, Nebraska Probation Application for Community Safety (NPACS). The table reports the number and percent of youth with various activity during the year. Youth are counted once in each category, regardless of the number of out-of-home placements, motions to revoke, or cases they may have in the year. Age groups are calculated specific to the activity as footnoted.

	Juvenile I	Probati Distric		tivity					
Demographic	Cohort	Placed on Probation		Out-of-home Placement		Motions to Revoke		Satisfactory Release	
Demographic	Contact	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total
	11-13	60	15%	16	14%	0	0%	58	12%
	14-15	108	27%	36	31%	14	29%	190	40%
Age Group	16	110	27%	30	25%	18	38%	110	23%
	17	100	25%	32	27%	14	29%	106	22%
	18	24	6%	4	3%	2	4%	12	3%
C	Female	130	32%	28	24%	10	21%	170	36%
Sex	Male	272	68%	90	76%	38	79%	306	64%
	American Indian Or Alaskan Native	0	0%	0	0%	2	4%	0	0%
	Asian Or Pacific Islander	0	0%	0	0%	2	4%	0	0%
	Black	14	3%	10	8%	2	4%	30	6%
Race	Indian	12	3%	8	7%	0	0%	8	2%
	Other	48	12%	8	7%	10	21%	74	16%
	Pacific Islander	4	1%	0	0%	0	0%	8	2%
	White	324	81%	92	78%	32	67%	356	75%
Est	Hispanic	96	24%	16	14%	14	29%	150	32%
Etnnicity	Ethnicity Not Hispanic		76%	102	86%	34	71%	326	68%
District Total		402	100%	118	100%	48	100%	476	100%

<sup>1.</sup> Age at which the probation term began. 2. Age at the first admission to out-of-home placement. 3. Age as of the file date for motion to revoke. 4. Age as of the disposition date for motion to revoke. 5. Age as of the disposition date for discharge from probation.

Juvenile Probation Activity District										
County	Age	Place Proba		Out-of- Place		Motio Revo		Satisfa Rele	_	
County	Group	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	
	14-15	4	1%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Chase	16	0	0%	0	0%	2	4%	2	0%	
	17	0	0%	4	3%	0	0%	0	0%	
	11-13	12	3%	6	5%	0	0%	18	4%	
	14-15	32	8%	4	3%	4	8%	80	17%	
Dawson	16	22	5%	4	3%	8	17%	36	8%	
	17	38	9%	4	3%	4	8%	48	10%	
	18	8	2%	0	0%	2	4%	6	1%	
	14-15	2	0%	0	0%	0	0%	2	0%	
Dundy	16	2	0%	0	0%	0	0%	2	0%	
	17	2	0%	0	0%	0	0%	2	0%	
	11-13	4	1%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Frontier	14-15	4	1%	2	2%	0	0%	0	0%	
	16	2	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
	14-15	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	4	1%	
Furnas	16	6	1%	0	0%	0	0%	2	0%	
	17	2	0%	0	0%	0	0%	4	1%	
Gosper	16	2	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Hayes	17	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	2	0%	
	11-13	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	2	0%	
	14-15	4	1%	0	0%	0	0%	2	0%	
Hitchcock	16	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	2	0%	
	17	0	0%	0	0%	2	4%	2	0%	
Hooker	11-13	2	0%	0	0%	0	0%	2	0%	
	11-13	8	2%	0	0%	0	0%	8	2%	
	14-15	12	3%	4	3%	0	0%	16	3%	
Keith	16	16	4%	4	3%	0	0%	12	3%	
	17	10	2%	2	2%	0	0%	4	1%	
	18	2	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Lincoln	11-13	22	5%	6	5%	0	0%	22	5%	

Juvenile Probation Activity District										
	Age	Place Proba		Out-of Place		Motions to Revoke		Satisfactory Release		
County	Group	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	
	14-15	36	9%	24	20%	8	17%	66	14%	
Limaalm	16	32	8%	18	15%	6	12%	34	7%	
Lincoln	17	28	7%	18	15%	6	12%	22	5%	
	18	6	1%	2	2%	0	0%	0	0%	
Logan	16	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	2	0%	
	11-13	2	0%	2	2%	0	0%	0	0%	
D. oldoo	14-15	2	0%	0	0%	0	0%	2	0%	
Perkins	16	4	1%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
	17	4	1%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
	11-13	10	2%	2	2%	0	0%	6	1%	
	14-15	12	3%	2	2%	2	4%	18	4%	
Red Willow	16	24	6%	4	3%	2	4%	18	4%	
.,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	17	16	4%	4	3%	2	4%	22	5%	
	18	8	2%	2	2%	0	0%	6	1%	
District Tot	402	100%	118	100%	48	100%	476	100%		

	Juvenile Probation Activity District										
County	Sex	Place Proba		Out-of- Place		Motio Rev		Satisfactory Release			
County	Jex	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total		
Chase	Female	0	0%	2	2%	2	4%	0	0%		
Citase	Male	4	1%	2	2%	0	0%	2	0%		
Dawson	Female	42	10%	4	3%	6	12%	56	12%		
Dawson	Male	70	17%	14	12%	12	25%	132	28%		
Dundy	Male	6	1%	0	0%	0	0%	6	1%		
Frontier	Female	4	1%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%		
riontier	Male	6	1%	2	2%	0	0%	0	0%		
Furnas	Female	2	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%		
Furnas	Male	6	1%	0	0%	0	0%	10	2%		
Gosper	Male	2	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%		
Hayes	Male	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	2	0%		
l litala a a alc	Female	2	0%	0	0%	2	4%	2	0%		
Hitchcock	Male	2	0%	0	0%	0	0%	6	1%		
Hooker	Female	2	0%	0	0%	0	0%	2	0%		
IZ = i+l=	Female	14	3%	0	0%	0	0%	22	5%		
Keith	Male	34	8%	10	8%	0	0%	18	4%		
Linaala	Female	38	9%	18	15%	0	0%	56	12%		
Lincoln	Male	86	21%	50	42%	20	42%	88	18%		
Logan	Male	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	2	0%		
Dorking	Female	6	1%	2	2%	0	0%	2	0%		
Perkins	Male	6	1%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%		
Dad Willer	Female	20	5%	2	2%	0	0%	30	6%		
Red Willow	Male	50	12%	12	10%	6	12%	40	8%		
District Tota	l	402	100%	118	100%	48	100%	476	100%		

	Juvenile Probation Activity District										
Carreti	Dave	Place Proba		Out-of- Place		Motio Rev		Satisfa Rele	_		
County	Race	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total		
Chase	White	2	0%	4	3%	2	4%	2	0%		
Criase	Other	2	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%		
	Asian Or Pacific Islander	0	0%	0	0%	2	4%	0	0%		
	Other	18	4%	4	3%	6	12%	52	11%		
D	Black	10	2%	0	0%	2	4%	18	4%		
Dawson	White	78	19%	12	10%	8	17%	112	24%		
	Indian	4	1%	2	2%	0	0%	0	0%		
	Pacific Islander	2	0%	0	0%	0	0%	6	1%		
D d	Black	2	0%	0	0%	0	0%	2	0%		
Dundy	White	4	1%	0	0%	0	0%	4	1%		
	Other	2	0%	2	2%	0	0%	0	0%		
Frontier	White	8	2%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%		
Furnas	White	8	2%	0	0%	0	0%	10	2%		
Gosper	White	2	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%		
Hayes	White	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	2	0%		
	White	2	0%	0	0%	2	4%	8	2%		
Hitchcock	Other	2	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%		
Hooker	Pacific Islander	2	0%	0	0%	0	0%	2	0%		
	White	46	11%	10	8%	0	0%	32	7%		
Keith	Other	2	0%	0	0%	0	0%	2	0%		
	Indian	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	6	1%		
	Other	18	4%	0	0%	2	4%	20	4%		
	White	96	24%	52	44%	16	33%	112	24%		
Lincoln	American Indian Or Alaskan Native	0	0%	0	0%	2	4%	0	0%		
	Indian	8	2%	6	5%	0	0%	2	0%		
	Black	2	0%	10	8%	0	0%	10	2%		
Logan	White	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	2	0%		
Perkins	White	12	3%	2	2%	0	0%	2	0%		
	Other	4	1%	2	2%	2	4%	0	0%		
Red Willow	White	66	16%	12	10%	4	8%	70	15%		

Juvenile Probation Activity District									
County F	Paca		Placed on Probation		Out-of-home Placement		ns to oke	Satisfactory Release	
	Race	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total
District Tota	l	402	100%	118	100%	48	100%	476	100%

	Juvenile Probation Activity District												
County	[the picity		Placed on Probation		Out-of-home Placement		ns to oke	Satisfactory Release					
County	Ethnicity	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total				
Chana	Hispanic	2	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%				
Chase	Not Hispanic	2	0%	4	3%	2	4%	2	0%				
D	Hispanic	54	13%	6	5%	8	17%	102	21%				
Dawson	Not Hispanic	58	14%	12	10%	10	21%	86	18%				
Dundy	Not Hispanic	6	1%	0	0%	0	0%	6	1%				
	Hispanic	2	0%	2	2%	0	0%	0	0%				
Frontier	Not Hispanic	8	2%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%				
Furnas	Not Hispanic	8	2%	0	0%	0	0%	10	2%				
Gosper	Not Hispanic	2	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%				
Hayes	Not Hispanic	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	2	0%				
I likala a ala	Hispanic	4	1%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%				
Hitchcock	Not Hispanic	0	0%	0	0%	2	4%	8	2%				
Hooker	Not Hispanic	2	0%	0	0%	0	0%	2	0%				
17 '11	Hispanic	12	3%	4	3%	0	0%	14	3%				
Keith	Not Hispanic	36	9%	6	5%	0	0%	26	5%				
	Hispanic	16	4%	2	2%	4	8%	26	5%				
Lincoln	Not Hispanic	108	27%	66	56%	16	33%	118	25%				
Logan	Not Hispanic	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	2	0%				
Perkins	Not Hispanic	12	3%	2	2%	0	0%	2	0%				
D. J.M.C.II	Hispanic	6	1%	2	2%	2	4%	8	2%				
Red Willow	Not Hispanic	64	16%	12	10%	4	8%	62	13%				
District Tota	l	402	100%	118	100%	48	100%	476	100%				

### Part (d) Juvenile Probation - Youth Risk Level and Length of Probation

Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (d) Juveniles placed on juvenile probation, the number of juveniles on probation in out-of-home care, the number of juveniles completing probation, the number of motions to revoke probation and probation revocations, and the average length of time on probation.

Youth Level of Service/Case Management Inventory (YLS/CMI) is an assessment tool used to systematically measure risk for recidivism and appropriate supervision intensity for youth on probation. The following table shows the classification results of youth that entered into Probation in 2020, and another set of youth that discharged from Probation in 2020. Youth that are assessed at low or moderate low risk are matched to JCBR supervision and those assessed at high or moderate high risk are matched to JCBI supervision intensity

Youth Risk Levels and Length of Probation District												
		Youth	Starting	g Probation	Youth	Discharged t	from Probation	Average Length of Probation				
Demo- graphic	Cohort	JCBR/ Low/ Moderate Low	JCBI/ High	No Assessment**	JCBR/ Low	JCBI/ High/ Moderate High	No Assessment**	Total Youth	Avg Length of Probation			
	11-13	30	26	4	24	4	4	32	5.6			
	14-15	68	32	8	62	32	12	106	9.7			
Age Group	16	72	32	6	58	20	8	86	10.4			
	17	44	34	22	48	30	8	86	13.3			
	18	8	12	4	62	40	12	114	16.3			
Sav	Female	72	34	24	86	42	18	146	11.8			
Sex	Male	150	102	20	168	84	26	278	12.1			
	Am. Indian	4	8		2	6		8	10.8			
	Asian/P.I.	4			6			6	7.0			
Race	Black	10	4		12	14	2	28	13.2			
	White	184	102	38	188	94	36	318	11.9			
	Other	20	22	6	46	12	6	64	12.7			
[the sister	Hispanic	42	32	22	82	24	22	128	10.7			
Ethnicity	Not Hispanic	180	104	22	172	102	22	296	12.6			
District Tota	nl	222	136	44	254	126	44	424	12.0			

Youth Risk Levels and Length of Probation by County and Age Group											
		Youth	Starting	g Probation	Youth	Discharged t	from Probation	Average Length of Probation			
County	Age Group	JCBR/ Low/ Moderate Low	JCBI/ High	No Assessment**	JCBR/ Low	JCBI/ High/ Moderate High	No Assessment**	Total Youth	Avg Length of Probation		
	14-15	2	2					0			
Chase	17					2		2	14.0		
	18				2			2	20.0		
	11-13	10	2		8			8	6.5		
	14-15	18	6	8	22	4	6	32	6.5		
Dawson	16	14	6	2	18	4	2	24	10.7		
	17	16	6	16	18	4	8	30	9.3		
	18	4	2	2	22	10	8	40	16.2		
	14-15		2		2			2	7.0		
Dundy	16	2			2			2	7.0		
	17			2	2			2	9.0		
	11-13	4						0			
Frontier	14-15	2	2					0			
	16	2						0			
	16	6						0			
Furnas	17		2					0			
	18				4	2		6	26.0		
Gosper	16	2						0			
Hayes	18						2	2	11.0		
	14-15	2	2			2		2	20.0		
Hitchcock	18				2	2		4	17.5		
Hooker	11-13	2			2			2	6.0		
	11-13	2	4	2	4		4	8	3.2		
	14-15	10	2		8		6	14	7.9		
Keith	16	12	4		4	2		6	5.0		
	17	4	4	2	4	2		6	10.0		
	18		2		6			6	15.3		
Lincoln	11-13	4	16	2	6	4		10	6.4		

Youth Risk Levels and Length of Probation by County and Age Group												
		Youth	Starting	g Probation	Youth	Discharged t	from Probation	Average Length of Probation				
County	Age Group	JCBR/ Low/ Moderate Low	JCBI/ High	No Assessment**	JCBR/ Low	JCBI/ High/ Moderate High	No Assessment**	Total Youth	Avg Length of Probation			
	14-15	22	14		24	22		46	11.4			
Lincoln	16	20	12		24	10		34	12.4			
LITICOUT	17	14	12	2	20	18		38	16.1			
	18		4	2	10	24	2	36	15.0			
Logan	18				2			2	18.0			
	11-13	2						0				
Perkins	14-15	2						0				
reikilis	16		2	2	2			2	6.0			
	17	2	2					0				
	11-13	6	4		4			4	6.5			
	14-15	10	2		6	4		10	13.4			
Red Willow	16	14	8	2	8	4	6	18	9.0			
	17	8	8		4	4		8	18.5			
	18	4	4		14	2		16	15.6			
District Tota	l	222	136	44	254	126	44	424	12.0			

		Yo	outh Ri	isk Levels and by County			ion		
		Youth Starting Probation			Youth	Discharged f	Average Length of Probation		
County	Sex	JCBR/ Low/ Moderate Low	JCBI/ High	No Assessment**	JCBR/ Low	JCBI/ High/ Moderate High	No Assessment**	Total Youth	Avg Length of Probation
Chase	Male	2	2		2	2		4	17.0
Dawaan	Female	24	4	14	22	4	8	34	10.8
Dawson	Male	38	18	14	66	18	16	100	10.8
Dundy	Male	2	2	2	6			6	7.7
Frontier	Female	4						0	
rionuei	Male	4	2					0	
Furnas	Female	2						0	
rumas	Male	4	2		4	2		6	26.0
Gosper	Male	2						0	
Hayes	Male						2	2	11.0
Hitchcock	Female		2		2			2	14.0
HILCHCOCK	Male	2				4		4	20.5
Hooker	Female	2			2			2	6.0
Keith	Female	4	6	4	12	2	6	20	9.2
Keitii	Male	24	10		14	2	4	20	6.7
Lincoln	Female	16	20	2	30	34	2	66	13.1
LIIICOIII	Male	44	38	4	54	44		98	13.2
Logan	Male				2			2	18.0
Porkins	Female	4		2	2			2	6.0
Perkins	Male	2	4					0	
Dod Willer	Female	16	2	2	16	2	2	20	13.1
Red Willow	Male	26	24		20	12	4	36	12.7
District Tota	l	222	136	44	254	126	44	424	12.0

	Youth Risk Levels and Length of Probation by County and Race											
	Race	Youth Starting Probation			Youth	Discharged :	Average Length of Probation					
County		JCBR/ Low/ Moderate Low	JCBI/ High	No Assessment**	JCBR/ Low	JCBI/ High/ Moderate High	No Assessment**	Total Youth	Avg Length of Probation			
Chana	White	2			2			2	20.0			
Chase	Other		2			2		2	14.0			
	Am. Indian	2	2					0				
	Asian/P.I.	2			4			4	7.5			
Dawson	Black	8	2		6	4	2	12	11.5			
	White	40	12	26	52	12	20	84	9.5			
	Other	10	6	2	26	6	2	34	13.9			
	Black		2		2			2	7.0			
Dundy	White	2		2	4			4	8.0			
	White	8						0				
Frontier	Other		2					0				
Furnas	White	6	2		4	2		6	26.0			
Gosper	White	2						0				
Hayes	White						2	2	11.0			
	White	2			2	4		6	18.3			
Hitchcock	Other		2					0				
Hooker	Asian/P.I.	2			2			2	6.0			
	Am. Indian				2			2	21.0			
Keith	White	28	14	4	24	4	8	36	7.3			
	Other		2				2	2	6.0			
	Am. Indian	2	6			6		6	7.3			
	Black	2			4	10		14	15.6			
Lincoln	White	46	48	2	60	58		118	13.6			
	Other	10	4	4	20	4	2	26	11.5			
Logan	White				2			2	18.0			
Perkins	White	6	4	2	2			2	6.0			
	White	42	22	2	36	14	6	56	12.9			
Red Willow	Other		4					0				

Youth Risk Levels and Length of Probation by County and Race										
County	Race	Youth Starting Probation			Youth	Discharged 1	Average Length of Probation			
		JCBR/ Low/ Moderate Low	JCBI/ High	No Assessment**	JCBR/ Low	JCBI/ High/ Moderate High	No Assessment**	Total Youth	Avg Length of Probation	
District Total		222	136	44	254	126	44	424	12.0	

		Yout		Levels and Le y County and	_		n		
	Ethnicity	Youth	Starting	g Probation	Youth	Discharged t	Average Length of Probation		
County		JCBR/ Low/ Moderate Low	JCBI/ High	No Assessment**	JCBR/ Low	JCBI/ High/ Moderate High	No Assessment**	Total Youth	Avg Length of Probation
Chara	Hispanic		2			2		2	14.0
Chase	Not Hispanic	2			2			2	20.0
	Hispanic	24	12	18	46	8	18	72	10.5
Dawson	Not Hispanic	38	10	10	42	14	6	62	11.1
Dundy	Not Hispanic	2	2	2	6			6	7.7
Fuzution	Hispanic		2					0	
Frontier	Not Hispanic	8						0	
Furnas	Not Hispanic	6	2		4	2		6	26.0
Gosper	Not Hispanic	2						0	
Hayes	Not Hispanic						2	2	11.0
l likah as alı	Hispanic	2	2					0	
Hitchcock	Not Hispanic				2	4		6	18.3
Hooker	Not Hispanic	2			2			2	6.0
I/ = i+l=	Hispanic	4	8		10	2	2	14	8.3
Keith	Not Hispanic	24	8	4	16	2	8	26	7.8
Lincoln	Hispanic	10	2	4	20	12	2	34	12.3
LINCOIN	Not Hispanic	50	56	2	64	66		130	13.4
Logan	Not Hispanic				2			2	18.0
Perkins	Not Hispanic	6	4	2	2			2	6.0
Ded Willer	Hispanic	2	4		6			6	9.7
Red Willow	Not Hispanic	40	22	2	30	14	6	50	13.2
District Tota	l	222	136	44	254	126	44	424	12.0

### Part (e) Juveniles Access to Counsel

Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (e) juveniles with and without access to counsel in juvenile and adult court, both appointed and retained.

The Juveniles Access to Counsel table displays the percent of juveniles represented by an attorney in criminal cases from county court and district court and juvenile cases from county court and separate juvenile court. Data includes new cases filed and cases transferred from one county to another during calendar year 2020. Cases filed in 2020 may not have reached the sentencing/adjudication stage of proceedings. Cases for juveniles ages 13 and below must be filed and stay in juvenile court.

	Juvenile Access to Counsel District												
			Criminal C	ourt Cases		Juvenile Court Cases							
Demographic	Cohort	Total Criminal Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel	Total Juvenile Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel				
	11-13	6	4	2	33%	67	23	44	66%				
Ago Croup	14-15	19	15	4	21%	99	40	59	60%				
Age Group	16	28	22	6	21%	113	33	80	71%				
	17	36	27	9	25%	106	45	61	58%				
	Female	25	22	3	12%	122	49	73	60%				
Sex	Male	60	44	16	27%	245	88	157	64%				
	Unknown	4	2	2	50%	18	4	14	78%				
	Am. Indian					4	1	3	75%				
	Asian/P.I.					1	0	1	100%				
December 15th and a street	Black	4	3	1	25%	14	5	9	64%				
Race/Ethnicity	Hispanic	17	13	4	24%	53	22	31	58%				
	White	52	43	9	17%	262	97	165	63%				
	Unknown	16	9	7	44%	51	16	35	69%				
District Total		89	68	21	24%	385	141	244	63%				

Juvenile Access to Counsel by County and Age Group											
			Criminal C	ourt Cases			Juvenile C	ourt Cases			
County	Age Group	Total Criminal Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel	Total Juvenile Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel		
	11-13					3	2	1	33%		
Chase	14-15					3	0	3	100%		
Chase	16					1	1	0	0%		
	17	2	2	0	0%						
	11-13	1	1	0	0%	9	5	4	44%		
Dawson	14-15	8	5	3	38%	24	9	15	62%		
Dawson	16	4	2	2	50%	27	6	21	78%		
	17	11	5	6	55%	36	8	28	78%		
Dundy	16					1	0	1	100%		
	11-13					2	0	2	100%		
F	14-15					1	0	1	100%		
Frontier	16					1	1	0	0%		
	17	1	1	0	0%						
	14-15	1	1	0	0%	3	0	3	100%		
Furnas	16	1	0	1	100%	3	0	3	100%		
	17	1	1	0	0%	1	0	1	100%		
6	14-15					1	0	1	100%		
Gosper	16					1	0	1	100%		
	16					4	3	1	25%		
Hayes	17					1	1	0	0%		
	11-13					3	1	2	67%		
Hitchcock	17					2	2	0	0%		
Hooker	17	1	1	0	0%						
	11-13					12	4	8	67%		
IZ - 541-	14-15					20	12	8	40%		
Keith	16	2	1	1	50%	22	5	17	77%		
	17	1	1	0	0%	17	10	7	41%		
15	11-13	3	3	0	0%	24	10	14	58%		
Lincoln	14-15	9	8	1	11%	33	17	16	48%		

Juvenile Access to Counsel by County and Age Group												
			Criminal C	ourt Cases			Juvenile C	ourt Cases				
County	Age Group	Total Criminal Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel	Total Juvenile Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel			
Lincoln	16	16	15	1	6%	21	13	8	38%			
Lincoln 17 13 11 2 15% 22 12 10 45%												
Lagan	16	1	0	1	100%							
Logan	17	1	1	0	0%							
	11-13					1	0	1	100%			
Perkins	14-15					4	0	4	100%			
rerkins	16	2	2	0	0%	3	1	2	67%			
	17	1	1	0	0%	5	3	2	40%			
	11-13	2	0	2	100%	13	1	12	92%			
Red Willow	14-15	1	1	0	0%	10	2	8	80%			
Red Willow	16	2	2	0	0%	29	3	26	90%			
	17	4	3	1	25%	22	9	13	59%			
District Tota	District Total 89 68 21 24% 385 141 244 63%											

Juvenile Access to Counsel by County and Sex												
			Criminal C	ourt Cases			Juvenile C	ourt Cases				
County	Sex	Total Criminal Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel	Total Juvenile Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel			
Chase	Female	1	1	0	0%	3	1	2	67%			
Chase	Male	1	1	0	0%	4	2	2	50%			
	Female	6	3	3	50%	35	10	25	71%			
Dawson	Male	18	10	8	44%	57	17	40	70%			
	Unknown					4	1	3	75%			
Dundy	Male					1	0	1	100%			
Frontier	Female	1	1	0	0%	2	0	2	100%			
Frontier	Male					2	1	1	50%			
	Female					2	0	2	100%			
Furnas	Male	1	0	1	100%	5	0	5	100%			
	Unknown	2	2	0	0%							
Gosper	Male					2	0	2	100%			
Haves	Female					2	2	0	0%			
Hayes	Male					3	2	1	33%			
Hitabaaal	Female					2	1	1	50%			
Hitchcock	Male					3	2	1	33%			
Hooker	Male	1	1	0	0%							
	Female					23	13	10	43%			
Keith	Male	2	2	0	0%	48	18	30	62%			
	Unknown	1	0	1	100%							
	Female	13	13	0	0%	27	17	10	37%			
Lincoln	Male	27	24	3	11%	63	32	31	49%			
	Unknown	1	0	1	100%	10	3	7	70%			
1.00-11	Female	1	1	0	0%							
Logan	Male	1	0	1	100%							
Dauldin	Female	1	1	0	0%	5	1	4	80%			
Perkins	Male	2	2	0	0%	8	3	5	62%			
Dod Will	Female	2	2	0	0%	21	4	17	81%			
Red Willow	Male	7	4	3	43%	49	11	38	78%			

				e Access County a		sel					
	Criminal Court Cases Juvenile Court Cases										
County	Sex	Total Criminal Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel	Total Juvenile Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel		
Red Willow	Red Willow         Unknown         4         0         4         100%										
District Tota	District Total 89 68 21 24% 385 141 244 63%										

Juvenile Access to Counsel by County and Race/Ethnicity											
			Criminal C		Juvenile C	ourt Cases					
County	Race/ Ethnicity	Total Criminal Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel	Total Juvenile Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel		
	Hispanic	1	1	0	0%	3	1	2	67%		
Chase	White					4	2	2	50%		
	Unknown	1	1	0	0%						
	Am. Indian					4	1	3	75%		
	Asian/P.I.					1	0	1	100%		
Dawson	Black	1	0	1	100%	6	2	4	67%		
Dawson	Hispanic	10	6	4	40%	29	8	21	72%		
	White	7	5	2	29%	51	16	35	69%		
	Unknown	6	2	4	67%	5	1	4	80%		
Dundy	White					1	0	1	100%		
Frontier	Hispanic					1	0	1	100%		
riontiei	White	1	1	0	0%	3	1	2	67%		
	Black					1	0	1	100%		
Furnas	White					2	0	2	100%		
	Unknown	3	2	1	33%	4	0	4	100%		
Cospor	White					1	0	1	100%		
Gosper	Unknown					1	0	1	100%		
Hayes	White					5	4	1	20%		
l litabaaal	White					3	3	0	0%		
Hitchcock	Unknown					2	0	2	100%		
Hooker	White	1	1	0	0%						
	Black					1	1	0	0%		
l/aith	Hispanic					4	4	0	0%		
Keith	White	1	1	0	0%	44	18	26	59%		
	Unknown	2	1	1	50%	22	8	14	64%		
	Black	3	3	0	0%	1	1	0	0%		
Lincoln	Hispanic	5	5	0	0%	14	9	5	36%		
Lincoln	White	32	29	3	9%	80	38	42	52%		
	Unknown	1	0	1	100%	5	4	1	20%		

	Juvenile Access to Counsel by County and Race/Ethnicity												
			Criminal C	ourt Cases		Juvenile Court Cases							
County	Race/ Ethnicity	Total Criminal Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel	Total Juvenile Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel				
Logan	White	2	1	1	50%								
Dauldina	Hispanic	1	1	0	0%								
Perkins	White	2	2	0	0%	13	4	9	69%				
	Black					5	1	4	80%				
Deel Miller	Hispanic					2	0	2	100%				
Red Willow	White	6	3	3	50%	55	11	44	80%				
	Unknown	3	3	0	0%	12	3	9	75%				
District Tota	l	89	68	21	24%	385	141	244	63%				

#### District 12

#### Part (a) Juvenile Petitions and Adjudications

Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (a) Juveniles prosecuted in juvenile court under subdivision (1), (2), (3)(b), or (4) of section 43-247, including the total number of filings and adjudications.

The Juvenile Petitions and Adjudications tables report the number of new juvenile cases and corresponding percent of adjudications by case type and demographic group for cases filed in 2020. The data consists of both county court and separate juvenile court cases. Only the most serious offense is counted for cases with multiple charges, pleas or findings. Cases are counted in the Admit column if at least one charge was admitted to in court. Supplemental petitions are not included in this data set. Cases filed in 2020 may not have reached the adjudication stage of proceedings. Juvenile cases where the age is unknown, if any, are included in the report.

	Juvenile Petitions and Adjudication by Case Type District												
		Tra	affic Offe	nse	St	atus Offe	nse	M	lisdemea	nor		Felony	
Demo- graphic	Cohort	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated									
	14-15	1	1	100%	12	8	67%	76	58	76%	9	8	89%
Age Group	16	0	0	0%	10	6	60%	89	59	66%	4	3	75%
0.000	17	1	0	0%	9	7	78%	54	38	70%	5	5	100%
	Female	1	1	100%	14	10	71%	65	42	65%	2	1	50%
Sex	Male	1	0	0%	16	10	62%	152	112	74%	16	15	94%
	Unknown	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	2	1	50%	0	0	0%
	Am. Indian	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	19	8	42%	3	3	100%
	Black	1	1	100%	1	1	100%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%
Race/ Ethnicity	Hispanic	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	34	29	85%	2	1	50%
zamierty	White	1	0	0%	5	1	20%	75	56	75%	3	3	100%
	Unknown	0	0	0%	24	18	75%	90	61	68%	10	9	90%
District To	tal	2	1	50%	31	21	68%	219	155	71%	18	16	89%

Juvenile Cases and Adjudication by Case Type,  County and Age Group													
		Tı	raffic Offe	nse	St	atus Offe	nse	M	1isdemear	nor		Felony	
County	Age Group	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated									
	14-15	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	7	7	100%	0	0	0%
Box Butte	16	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	12	5	42%	0	0	0%
	17	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	7	5	71%	1	1	100%
	14-15	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	6	4	67%	0	0	0%
Cheyenne	16	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	8	6	75%	1	1	100%
	17	0	0	0%	1	0	0%	1	0	0%	1	1	100%
	14-15	1	1	100%	0	0	0%	6	4	67%	1	1	100%
Dawes	16	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	10	2	20%	0	0	0%
	17	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	4	3	75%	0	0	0%
Deuel	16	0	0	0%	2	1	50%	0	0	0%	0	0	0%
Garden	16	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	0	0%	0	0	0%
Grant	14-15	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	3	2	67%	0	0	0%
12: 1 11	14-15	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	2	2	100%	0	0	0%
Kimball	17	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%
	14-15	0	0	0%	1	0	0%	1	1	100%	1	1	100%
Morrill	16	0	0	0%	1	0	0%	3	2	67%	0	0	0%
	17	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	2	2	100%	0	0	0%
	14-15	0	0	0%	10	7	70%	49	37	76%	5	4	80%
Scotts Bluff	16	0	0	0%	6	4	67%	47	38	81%	3	2	67%
	17	0	0	0%	7	6	86%	36	24	67%	3	3	100%
	14-15	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	2	1	50%	2	2	100%
Sheridan	16	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	8	6	75%	0	0	0%
	17	1	0	0%	1	1	100%	3	3	100%	0	0	0%
District Total		2	1	50%	31	21	68%	219	155	71%	18	16	89%

			Juve	nile Cas		Adjudi unty an		oy Case	· Type,				
		Tr	raffic Offe	nse	St	tatus Offe	nse	N	1isdemear	or		Felony	
County	Sex	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated
	Female	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	7	5	71%	0	0	0%
Box Butte	Male	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	18	12	67%	1	1	100%
	Unknown	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	0	0%	0	0	0%
Chavana	Female	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	7	4	57%	1	1	100%
Cheyenne	Male	0	0	0%	2	1	50%	8	6	75%	1	1	100%
Dawes	Female	1	1	100%	0	0	0%	7	5	71%	0	0	0%
Dawes	Male	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	13	4	31%	1	1	100%
Deuel	Female	0	0	0%	1	0	0%	0	0	0%	0	0	0%
Deuei	Unknown	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%	0	0	0%
Garden	Male	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	0	0%	0	0	0%
Grant	Male	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	3	2	67%	0	0	0%
Kimball	Female	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	2	2	100%	0	0	0%
Nimball	Male	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%
Morrill	Male	0	0	0%	2	0	0%	6	5	83%	1	1	100%
	Female	0	0	0%	13	10	77%	41	25	61%	1	0	0%
Scotts Bluff	Male	0	0	0%	10	7	70%	90	73	81%	10	9	90%
	Unknown	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%
Sheridan	Female	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%
SHEFFUATI	Male	1	0	0%	1	1	100%	12	9	75%	2	2	100%
District Total		2	1	50%	31	21	68%	219	155	71%	18	16	89%

			Juver			Adjudiond nd Race			Type,				
		Tı	raffic Offe	nse	Si	tatus Offei	nse	N	/lisdemear	nor		Felony	
County	Race	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated	Filings	Adjudi- cated	% Adjudi- cated
	Am. Indian	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	4	2	50%	0	0	0%
	Black	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%
Box Butte	Hispanic	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	2	1	50%	0	0	0%
	White	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	16	11	69%	1	1	100%
	Unknown	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	3	2	67%	0	0	0%
	White	0	0	0%	1	0	0%	3	3	100%	1	1	100%
Cheyenne	Unknown	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	12	7	58%	1	1	100%
	Am. Indian	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	9	2	22%	1	1	100%
	Black	1	1	100%	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	0	0	0%
Dawes	Hispanic	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%
	White	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	5	3	60%	0	0	0%
	Unknown	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	5	3	60%	0	0	0%
	White	0	0	0%	1	0	0%	0	0	0%	0	0	0%
Deuel	Unknown	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%	0	0	0%
Garden	White	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	0	0%	0	0	0%
Grant	White	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	3	2	67%	0	0	0%
Kimball	White	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	3	3	100%	0	0	0%
	Hispanic	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%
Morrill	White	0	0	0%	2	0	0%	5	4	80%	0	0	0%
	Unknown	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	1	100%
	Black	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%	0	0	0%
	Hispanic	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	29	25	86%	2	1	50%
Scotts Bluff	White	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	35	26	74%	1	1	100%
	Unknown	0	0	0%	22	16	73%	68	48	71%	8	7	88%
	Am. Indian	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	6	4	67%	2	2	100%
61	Hispanic	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%
Sheridan	White	1	0	0%	0	0	0%	4	4	100%	0	0	0%
	Unknown	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	2	1	50%	0	0	0%
District Total	District Total		1	50%	31	21	68%	219	155	71%	18	16	89%

#### District 12

#### Part (b) Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court - Case Type

Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (b) Juveniles prosecuted in adult criminal court for felonies, misdemeanors, and traffic offenses. The information shall include juveniles sentenced to terms in adult jails and prisons and juveniles placed on adult probation.

Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court tables consist of criminal and traffic cases in county court and criminal cases in district court that reached the point of sentencing in 2020. Cases with multiple charges are counted once per case and reported as the most severe offense. Cases for juveniles ages 13 and below must be filed and stay in juvenile court; however, judges have the authority to allow these cases in criminal court. Therefore, only juveniles ages 14 through 17 at the time of the offense appear in adult criminal court data.

Juv	eniles Pro	by C	d in Ad Case Ty District		minal Co	ourt				
		All C	Cases	Misde	meanor	Felony				
Demographic	Cohort	Total Cases	% of Total	Total Cases	% of Total for Case Type Group	Total Cases	% of Total for Case Type Group			
Age Group	14-15	2	29%	1	100%	1	17%			
	16	1	14%	0		1	17%			
	17	4	57%	0		4	67%			
Race/Ethnicity	Hispanic	3	100%	0		3	100%			
	Unknown	4		1		3				
Sex	Female	1	20%	0		1	20%			
	Male 4 80% 0 4 80%									
	Unknown	2		1		1				
District Total		7	100%	1	14%	6	86%			

Juveniles	Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court by Case Type, County and Age Group											
County Age Group All Cases Traffic Misdemeanor Felony												
	Group	#	#	#	#							
Deuel	17	1	0	0	1							
Morrill	17	1	0	0	1							
	14-15	2	0	1	1							
Scotts Bluff	16	1	0	0	1							
17 2 0 0 2												
District Total 7 0 1 6												

Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court by Case Type, County and Sex									
County	Sex	All Cases	Traffic	Misdemeanor	Felony				
		#	#	#	#				
Deuel	Male	1	0	0	1				
Morrill	Female	1	0	0	1				
Cootto Diviff	Male	3	0	0	3				
Scotts Bluff Unknown 2 0 1									
District Tota	l	7	0	1	6				

Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court by Case Type, County and Race/Ethnicity									
County	Race	All Cases	Misdemeanor	Felony					
		#	#	#					
Deuel	Unknown	1	0	1					
Morrill	Unknown	1	0	1					
Coatta Diviff	Hispanic	3	0	3					
Scotts Bluff Unknown 2 1 1									
District Tota	l	7	1	6					

#### District 12

#### Part (b) Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court - Sentence

Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (b) Juveniles prosecuted in adult criminal court for felonies, misdemeanors, and traffic offenses. The information shall include juveniles sentenced to terms in adult jails and prisons and juveniles placed on adult probation.

Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court tables include criminal and traffic cases in county court and criminal cases in district court that reached the point of sentencing in 2020. All sentencing types are included where multiple sentencing types occur within a case (ex. probation/jail). Youth who are sentenced to probation in Adult Court are supervised by Adult Probation. Age is calculated from the age at offense, not the age at sentencing.

	Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court by Sentencing District											
	Cohort	Total C	ases	Prob	ation	Ja	il	Pris	on			
Demographic		Number of Cases	% of Total	Number of Cases	% of Cohort	Number of Cases	% of Cohort	Number of Cases	% of Cohort			
	14-15	2	29%	0	0%	1	50%	1	50%			
Age Group	16	1	14%	1	100%	0	0%	0	0%			
	17	4	57%	4	100%	0	0%	0	0%			
	Male	4	80%	3	0%	0	50%	1	50%			
Sex	Unknown	2	0%	1	0%	1	50%	0	50%			
	Female	1	20%	1	100%	0	0%	0	0%			
D /FH	Hispanic	3	100%	2	0%	0	50%	1	50%			
Race/Ethnicity	Unknown	4	0%	3	0%	1	50%	0	50%			
District Total		7	100%	5	0%	1	50%	1	50%			

#### Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court by Sentence, County and Age Group Total Jail Prison Cases Probation Age County Group Number Number Number Number of Cases of Cases of Cases of Cases Deuel 0 0 17 1 1 0 Morrill 17 1 1 0 14-15 2 0 1 1 Scotts Bluff 16 1 0 0 1 17 2 2 0 0 **District Total** 7 5 1 1

Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court by Sentence, County and Sex									
Country	Say	Total Cases	Probation	Jail	Prison				
County	Sex	Number of Cases	Number of Cases	Number of Cases	Number of Cases				
Deuel	Male	1	1	0	0				
Morrill	Female	1	1	0	0				
Scotts Bluff	Male	3	2	0	1				
Unknown 2 1 1 0									
District Total	l	7	5	1	1				

#### Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court by Sentence, County and Race/Ethnicity Total Prison Jail Cases Probation County Race Number Number Number Number of Cases of Cases of Cases of Cases Deuel Unknown 0 0 1 1 Unknown Morrill 1 1 0 0 Hispanic 3 2 0 1 Scotts Bluff Unknown 2 1 0 1 **District Total** 7 5 1 1

#### District 12

#### Part (c) Motions to Transfer Cases and Cases Transferred

Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (c) The number of motions to transfer and the number of cases transferred to adult court from juvenile court and from adult criminal court to juvenile court.

The data represents motions to transfer filed in 2020 and transfers between court types which occurred in 2020. Therefore, the exact same subset of cases may not be represented in both columns. There can be multiple motions to transfer within a single case which, if filed in the same calendar year, would all be included in the counts below. Some cases may have a motion to transfer, but no transfer actually occurs.

	Motions to Transfer Courts and Transfers District										
		Juveni	le Cour	t to Adult C	ourt	Adu	lt Court	to Juvenile Cour	t		
Demographic	Cohort	Motion to Transfer Juv to Adult	% of Total	Transfers Juv to Adult	% of Total	Motion to Transfer Adult to Juv	% of Total	Transfers Adult to Juv	% of Total		
	14-15	0		0		0		1	20%		
Age Group	16	0		0		0		1	20%		
	17	0		0		3	100%	3	60%		
	Hispanic	0		0		2	100%	2	50%		
Race/Ethnicity	White	0		0		0		2	50%		
	Unknown	0		0		1		1			
Sex	Male	0		0		3	100%	5	100%		
District Total		0		0		3		5			

Motions to Transfer Courts and Transfers, County and Age Group										
		_	e Court to It Court	Adult Court to Juvenile Court						
County	ounty Age Group		Motion to Transfered		Cases Transfered					
	14-15	0	0	0	1					
Scotts Bluff	Scotts Bluff 16		0	0	1					
	17	0	0	3	3					
District Tota	ι	0	0	3	5					

Motions to Transfer Courts and Transfers, County and Sex									
		-	e Court to t Court	Adult Court to Juvenile Court					
County	Sex	Motion to Transfer	Cases Transfered	Motion to Transfer	Cases Transfered				
Scotts Bluff	Male	0 0 3 5							
District Tota	l	0	0	3	5				

١	Motions to Transfer Courts and Transfers, County and Race/Ethnicity									
		_	e Court to It Court	Adult Court to Juvenile Court						
County	Race/ Ethnicity	Motion to Transfered		Motion to Transfer	Cases Transfered					
	Hispanic	0	0	2	2					
Scotts Bluff	White	0	0	0	2					
	Unknown	0	0	1	1					
District Tota	l	0	0	3	5					

#### District 12

#### Part (d) Juvenile Probation Activity

Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (d) Juveniles placed on juvenile probation, the number of juveniles on probation in out-of-home care, the number of juveniles completing probation, the number of motions to revoke probation and probation revocations, and the average length of time on probation.

The Juvenile Probation Activity - Youth data is provided from the Administrative Office of Probation's case management system, Nebraska Probation Application for Community Safety (NPACS). The table reports the number and percent of youth with various activity during the year. Youth are counted once in each category, regardless of the number of out-of-home placements, motions to revoke, or cases they may have in the year. Age groups are calculated specific to the activity as footnoted.

	Juvenile f	Probati Distric		tivity					
Domographic	Cohort	Placed on Probation		Out-of- Place		Motio Rev		Satisfactory Release	
Demographic	Conort	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total
	11-13	30	10%	12	11%	2	4%	48	10%
	14-15	86	28%	34	31%	10	19%	144	31%
Age Group	16	86	28%	32	29%	22	42%	136	29%
	17	94	30%	22	20%	12	23%	120	26%
	18	14	5%	10	9%	6	12%	16	3%
6	Female	98	32%	44	40%	24	46%	146	31%
Sex	Male	212	68%	66	60%	28	54%	318	69%
	American Indian Or Alaskan Native	0	0%	0	0%	12	23%	0	0%
	Black	10	3%	4	4%	0	0%	10	2%
Race	Indian	60	19%	30	27%	0	0%	106	23%
	Other	72	23%	28	25%	12	23%	118	25%
	White	168	54%	48	44%	28	54%	230	50%
Fil. 1.11	Hispanic	122	39%	42	38%	22	42%	184	40%
Ethnicity	Not Hispanic	188	61%	68	62%	30	58%	280	60%
District Total		310	100%	110	100%	52	100%	464	100%

<sup>1.</sup> Age at which the probation term began. 2. Age at the first admission to out-of-home placement. 3. Age as of the file date for motion to revoke. 4. Age as of the disposition date for motion to revoke. 5. Age as of the disposition date for motion.

	Juvenile Probation Activity District										
County	Age	Place Proba		Out-of-home Placement		Motions to Revoke		Satisfactory Release			
County	Group	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total		
	11-13	2	1%	0	0%	0	0%	4	1%		
Box Butte	14-15	8	3%	0	0%	0	0%	12	3%		
box butte	16	2	1%	0	0%	4	8%	28	6%		
	17	8	3%	0	0%	4	8%	10	2%		
	14-15	10	3%	0	0%	0	0%	6	1%		
Cheyenne	16	16	5%	4	4%	0	0%	12	3%		
	17	6	2%	2	2%	2	4%	20	4%		
	11-13	2	1%	0	0%	0	0%	8	2%		
	14-15	8	3%	6	5%	0	0%	4	1%		
Dawes	16	4	1%	0	0%	0	0%	2	0%		
	17	2	1%	2	2%	0	0%	8	2%		
	18	0	0%	0	0%	2	4%	0	0%		
David	16	2	1%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%		
Deuel	17	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	2	0%		
Garden	14-15	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	2	0%		
	14-15	4	1%	0	0%	0	0%	4	1%		
	16	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	2	0%		
Kimball	17	2	1%	2	2%	0	0%	0	0%		
	18	2	1%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%		
Morrill	14-15	2	1%	0	0%	0	0%	4	1%		
	11-13	26	8%	12	11%	2	4%	36	8%		
	14-15	52	17%	28	25%	10	19%	104	22%		
Scotts Bluff	16	54	17%	24	22%	16	31%	90	19%		
D.G.I	17	72	23%	14	13%	6	12%	76	16%		
	18	12	4%	10	9%	4	8%	16	3%		
	14-15	2	1%	0	0%	0	0%	8	2%		
Sheridan	16	8	3%	4	4%	2	4%	2	0%		
	17	4	1%	2	2%	0	0%	4	1%		
District Tot	al	310	100%	110	100%	52	100%	464	100%		

	Juvenile Probation Activity District										
Country	Sex	Place Proba		Out-of- Place		Motio Revo		Satisfactory Release			
County	Sex	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total		
D D H .	Female	4	1%	0	0%	0	0%	8	2%		
Box Butte	Male	16	5%	0	0%	8	15%	46	10%		
Chavana	Female	10	3%	4	4%	2	4%	18	4%		
Cheyenne	Male	22	7%	2	2%	0	0%	20	4%		
D	Female	4	1%	2	2%	0	0%	4	1%		
Dawes	Male	12	4%	6	5%	2	4%	18	4%		
	Female	2	1%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%		
Deuel	Male	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	2	0%		
Garden	Male	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	2	0%		
IZ:III	Female	4	1%	2	2%	0	0%	2	0%		
Kimball	Male	4	1%	0	0%	0	0%	4	1%		
Morrill	Male	2	1%	0	0%	0	0%	4	1%		
Caathe Divisi	Female	70	23%	36	33%	22	42%	104	22%		
Scotts Bluff	Male	146	47%	52	47%	16	31%	218	47%		
Charidan	Female	4	1%	0	0%	0	0%	10	2%		
Sheridan	Male	10	3%	6	5%	2	4%	4	1%		
District Tota	l	310	100%	110	100%	52	100%	464	100%		

	Juvenile Probation Activity District									
County	Page	Placed on Probation		Out-of-home Placement		Motions to Revoke		Satisfactory Release		
County	Race	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	
	Other	0	0%	0	0%	2	4%	4	1%	
Box Butte	White	12	4%	0	0%	6	12%	46	10%	
	Indian	8	3%	0	0%	0	0%	4	1%	
	Other	2	1%	2	2%	2	4%	10	2%	
Cheyenne	White	28	9%	4	4%	0	0%	26	6%	
	Indian	2	1%	0	0%	0	0%	2	0%	
	American Indian Or Alaskan Native	0	0%	0	0%	2	4%	0	0%	
Dawes	Indian	14	5%	8	7%	0	0%	22	5%	
	White	2	1%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Deuel	White	2	1%	0	0%	0	0%	2	0%	
Garden	White	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	2	0%	
Kimball	White	8	3%	2	2%	0	0%	6	1%	
Morrill	Indian	2	1%	0	0%	0	0%	4	1%	
	American Indian Or Alaskan Native	0	0%	0	0%	8	15%	0	0%	
	Other	70	23%	26	24%	8	15%	104	22%	
Scotts Bluff	White	114	37%	40	36%	22	42%	146	31%	
	Black	10	3%	4	4%	0	0%	10	2%	
	Indian	22	7%	18	16%	0	0%	62	13%	
	American Indian Or Alaskan Native	0	0%	0	0%	2	4%	0	0%	
Sheridan	Indian	12	4%	4	4%	0	0%	12	3%	
	White	2	1%	2	2%	0	0%	2	0%	
District Tota	l	310	100%	110	100%	52	100%	464	100%	

		Juveni		bation strict	Activit	.y			
Country	[the picits	Place Proba		Out-of- Place		Motio Rev		Satisfa Rele	-
County	Ethnicity	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total
D D H .	Hispanic	0	0%	0	0%	2	4%	4	1%
Box Butte	Not Hispanic	20	6%	0	0%	6	12%	50	11%
Chaucin	Hispanic	6	2%	2	2%	2	4%	16	3%
Cheyenne	Not Hispanic	26	8%	4	4%	0	0%	22	5%
D	Hispanic	2	1%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Dawes	Not Hispanic	14	5%	8	7%	2	4%	22	5%
David	Hispanic	2	1%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Deuel	Not Hispanic	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	2	0%
Garden	Not Hispanic	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	2	0%
Kimball	Not Hispanic	8	3%	2	2%	0	0%	6	1%
Morrill	Hispanic	2	1%	0	0%	0	0%	4	1%
Canthe Divisi	Hispanic	108	35%	40	36%	18	35%	158	34%
Scotts Bluff	Not Hispanic	108	35%	48	44%	20	38%	164	35%
Chanidan	Hispanic		1%	0	0%	0	0%	2	0%
Sheridan	Not Hispanic			6	5%	2	4%	12	3%
District Tota	l	310	100%	110	100%	52	100%	464	100%

#### District 12

#### Part (d) Juvenile Probation - Youth Risk Level and Length of Probation

Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (d) Juveniles placed on juvenile probation, the number of juveniles on probation in out-of-home care, the number of juveniles completing probation, the number of motions to revoke probation and probation revocations, and the average length of time on probation.

Youth Level of Service/Case Management Inventory (YLS/CMI) is an assessment tool used to systematically measure risk for recidivism and appropriate supervision intensity for youth on probation. The following table shows the classification results of youth that entered into Probation in 2020, and another set of youth that discharged from Probation in 2020. Youth that are assessed at low or moderate low risk are matched to JCBR supervision and those assessed at high or moderate high risk are matched to JCBI supervision intensity

		Yout	h Risk	Levels and Le		of Probatio	n		
		Youth	Starting	g Probation	Youth	Discharged t	from Probation	Average Length of Probation	
Demo- graphic	Cohort	JCBR/ Low/ Moderate Low	JCBI/ High	No Assessment**	JCBR/ Low	JCBI/ High/ Moderate High	No Assessment**	Total Youth	Avg Length of Probation
	11-13	24	6		8	2		10	6.2
	14-15	34	48	4	50	16	4	70	7.5
Age Group	16	40	46		48	20		68	9.2
	17	46	48		48	46		94	9.9
	18	6	8		44	38		82	10.8
Sex	Female	40	58		58	40		98	10.3
Sex	Male	110	98	4	140	82	4	226	8.9
	Am. Indian	24	34	2	32	30	2	64	11.1
Dana	Black	6	4		8	2		10	6.6
Race	White	82	86		94	66		160	8.9
	Other	32	2	64	24	2	90	9.2	
[the sister	Hispanic	54	66	2	86	40	2	128	9.0
Ethnicity	Not Hispanic	96	90	2	112	82	2	196	9.5
District Tota	al	150	156	4	198	122	4	324	9.3

		Yo		isk Levels and by County an			ition		
		Youth	Starting	g Probation	Youth	Discharged :	from Probation		ge Length robation
County	Age Group	JCBR/ Low/ Moderate Low	JCBI/ High	No Assessment**	JCBR/ Low	JCBI/ High/ Moderate High	No Assessment**	Total Youth	Avg Length of Probation
	11-13	2			2			2	8.0
	14-15	4	2	2	2	2	2	6	3.7
Box Butte	16		2		4	2		6	14.7
	17	2	6		4	2		6	8.7
	18				4	6		10	12.4
	14-15		10		2	2		4	6.5
	16	4	12		2	4		6	2.7
Cheyenne	17	2	4		6	8		14	5.6
	18				4	2		6	9.3
	11-13	2			2			2	6.0
	14-15	6	2		6			6	7.3
Dawes	16		4					0	
	17		2					0	
	18				2	4		6	16.0
	16		2					0	
Deuel	18				2			2	17.0
Garden	16				2			2	8.0
	14-15	4						0	
	16				2			2	2.0
Kimball	17	2			2	2		4	14.0
	18		2					0	
	14-15		2					0	
Morrill	16					2		2	5.0
	11-13	20	6		4	2		6	5.7
	14-15	18	32	2	38	12	2	52	8.2
Scotts Bluff	16	32	22		38	10		48	10.2
	17	40	32		34	34		68	10.0
	18	6	6		32	26		58	9.9

	Youth Risk Levels and Length of Probation by County and Age Group										
	Youth Starting Probation Youth Discharged from Probation A										
County	Age Group	JCBR/ Low/ Moderate Low	JCBI/ High	No Assessment**	JCBR/ Low	JCBI/ High/ Moderate High	No Assessment**	Total Youth	Avg Length of Probation		
	14-15	2			2			2	3.0		
Sheridan	16	4	4			2		2			
	17 4 2 2										
District Tota	strict Total 150 156 4 198 122 4 324 9.3										

		Yo	outh Ri	sk Levels and by County			ion			
		Youth	Starting	; Probation	Youth	Discharged f	rom Probation	Average Length of Probation		
County	Sex	JCBR/ Low/ Moderate Low	JCBI/ High	No Assessment**	JCBR/ Low	JCBI/ High/ Moderate High	No Assessment**	Total Youth	Avg Length of Probation	
Box Butte	Female	2	2		4	2		6	8.0	
вох више	Male	6	8	2	12	10	2	24	10.6	
Charrana	Female		10		2	6		8	8.2	
Cheyenne	Male	6	16		12	10		22	5.0	
D	Female		4		2			2	15.0	
Dawes	Male	8	4		8	4		12	10.2	
David	Female		2					0		
Deuel	Male				2			2	17.0	
Garden	Male				2			2	8.0	
Kinah all	Female	2	2		2			2	2.0	
Kimball	Male	4			2	2		4	14.0	
Morrill	Male		2			2		2	5.0	
Cootto Divite	Female	34	36		44	32		76	10.5	
Scotts Bluff	Male	82	62	2	102	52	2	156	9.0	
Sheridan	Female	2	2		4			4	16.5	
Male 4 6						2		2	0.0	
District Total		150	156	4	198	122	4	324	9.3	

	Youth Risk Levels and Length of Probation by County and Race											
		Youth	Starting	g Probation	Youth	Discharged 1	from Probation	Average Length of Probation				
County	Race	JCBR/ Low/ Moderate Low	JCBI/ High	No Assessment**	JCBR/ Low	JCBI/ High/ Moderate High	No Assessment**	Total Youth	Avg Length of Probation			
	Am. Indian	4	2	2	4		2	6	3.7			
Box Butte	White	4	8		10	12		22	12.3			
	Other				2			2	5.0			
	Am. Indian		2			2		2	3.0			
Cheyenne	White	6	22		10	14		24	5.4			
	Other		2		4			4	10.0			
Dawes	Am. Indian	6	8		10	4		14	10.9			
Dawes	White	2						0				
Deuel	White		2		2			2	17.0			
Garden	White				2			2	8.0			
Kimball	White	6	2		4	2		6	10.0			
Morrill	Am. Indian		2			2		2	5.0			
	Am. Indian	8	14		14	20		34	13.4			
Scotts Bluff	Black	6	4		8	2		10	6.6			
SCOULS BIUIT	White	64	50		66	38		104	8.7			
	Other	38	30	2	58	24	2	84	9.2			
Sheridan	Am. Indian	6	6		4	2		6	11.0			
Sheridan White			2					0				
District Tota	l	150	156	4	198	122	4	324	9.3			

		Yout		Levels and Le y County and			n		
		Youth	Starting	g Probation	Youth	Discharged t	from Probation	Average Length of Probation	
County	Ethnicity	JCBR/ Low/ Moderate Low	JCBI/ High	No Assessment**	JCBR/ Low	JCBI/ High/ Moderate High	No Assessment**	Total Youth	Avg Length of Probation
Day Dutha	Hispanic				2			2	5.0
Box Butte	Not Hispanic	8	10	2	14	12	2	28	10.4
Chavanna	Hispanic		6		4	4		8	9.5
Cheyenne	Not Hispanic	6	20		10	12		22	4.5
Dawes	Hispanic		2					0	
Dawes	Not Hispanic	8	6		10	4		14	10.9
Deuel	Hispanic		2					0	
Deuei	Not Hispanic				2			2	17.0
Garden	Not Hispanic				2			2	8.0
Kimball	Not Hispanic	6	2		4	2		6	10.0
Morrill	Hispanic		2			2		2	5.0
Scotts Bluff	Hispanic	52	54	2	78	34	2	114	9.2
SCOLLS BIUTT	Not Hispanic	64	44		68	50		118	9.8
Charidan	Hispanic	2			2			2	3.0
Sneridan	Sheridan Not Hispanic		8		2	2		4	15.0
District Tota	l	150	156	4	198	122	4	324	9.3

#### District 12

#### Part (e) Juveniles Access to Counsel

Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (e) juveniles with and without access to counsel in juvenile and adult court, both appointed and retained.

The Juveniles Access to Counsel table displays the percent of juveniles represented by an attorney in criminal cases from county court and district court and juvenile cases from county court and separate juvenile court. Data includes new cases filed and cases transferred from one county to another during calendar year 2020. Cases filed in 2020 may not have reached the sentencing/adjudication stage of proceedings. Cases for juveniles ages 13 and below must be filed and stay in juvenile court.

			Juvenile	Access to District	o Counse	el					
			Criminal C	ourt Cases		Juvenile Court Cases					
Demographic	Cohort	Total Criminal Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel	Total Juvenile Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel		
	11-13					65	14	51	78%		
Ago Croup	14-15	6	5	1	17%	137	42	95	69%		
Age Group	16	23	19	4	17%	149	54	95	64%		
	17	42	28	14	33%	101	40	61	60%		
	Female	16	16	0	0%	141	45	96	68%		
Sex	Male	48	33	15	31%	306	104	202	66%		
	Unknown	7	3	4	57%	5	1	4	80%		
	Am. Indian	6	4	2	33%	48	16	32	67%		
	Black					7	2	5	71%		
Race/Ethnicity	Hispanic	12	7	5	42%	65	25	40	62%		
	White	37	32	5	14%	128	44	84	66%		
	Unknown 16 9 7 44% 204 63 141 69										
District Total		71	52	19	27%	452	150	302	67%		

	Juvenile Access to Counsel by County and Age Group											
			Criminal C	ourt Cases			Juvenile C	ourt Cases				
County	Age Group	Total Criminal Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel	Total Juvenile Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel			
	11-13					19	2	17	89%			
Day Dutta	14-15	2	2	0	0%	8	5	3	38%			
Box Butte	16	7	6	1	14%	19	10	9	47%			
	17	8	8	0	0%	12	5	7	58%			
	14-15					8	0	8	100%			
Cheyenne	16					14	0	14	100%			
	17	2	1	1	50%	10	0	10	100%			
	11-13					3	1	2	67%			
Danna	14-15	2	2	0	0%	17	6	11	65%			
Dawes	16					12	7	5	42%			
	17					4	2	2	50%			
Deuel	16					2	0	2	100%			
Garden	11-13					1	0	1	100%			
Garden	16					1	0	1	100%			
Grant	14-15					3	3	0	0%			
Kimball	14-15					2	0	2	100%			
Kimball	17	3	2	1	33%	2	0	2	100%			
	11-13					2	1	1	50%			
Morrill	14-15					4	1	3	75%			
MOTTIL	16	1	1	0	0%	7	2	5	71%			
	17	1	1	0	0%	5	2	3	60%			
	11-13					38	9	29	76%			
Scotts Bluff	14-15	2	1	1	50%	90	25	65	72%			
SCOULS BIUIT	16	12	10	2	17%	86	28	58	67%			
	17	26	14	12	46%	63	27	36	57%			
	11-13					2	1	1	50%			
Sheridan	14-15					5	2	3	60%			
SHEFFUAN	16	3	2	1	33%	8	7	1	12%			
	17	2	2	0	0%	5	4	1	20%			

	Juvenile Access to Counsel by County and Age Group										
			Criminal C	ourt Cases			Juvenile C	ourt Cases			
County	Age Group	Total Criminal Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel	Total Juvenile Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel		
District Tota	District Total 71 52 19 27% 452 150 302 67%										

				e Access County a		sel			
			Criminal C	ourt Cases			Juvenile C	ourt Cases	
County	Sex	Total Criminal Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel	Total Juvenile Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel
	Female	5	5	0	0%	16	5	11	69%
Box Butte	Male	11	11	0	0%	41	16	25	61%
	Unknown	1	0	1	100%	1	1	0	0%
	Female	1	1	0	0%	12	0	12	100%
Cheyenne	Male	1	0	1	100%	19	0	19	100%
	Unknown					1	0	1	100%
	Female					11	5	6	55%
Dawes	Male	2	2	0	0%	24	11	13	54%
	Unknown					1	0	1	100%
David	Female					1	0	1	100%
Deuel	Unknown					1	0	1	100%
Garden	Male					2	0	2	100%
Grant	Male					3	3	0	0%
	Female					3	0	3	100%
Kimball	Male	2	1	1	50%	1	0	1	100%
	Unknown	1	1	0	0%				
N.A	Female	1	1	0	0%				
Morrill	Male	1	1	0	0%	18	6	12	67%
	Female	9	9	0	0%	94	33	61	65%
Scotts Bluff	Male	26	14	12	46%	182	56	126	69%
	Unknown	5	2	3	60%	1	0	1	100%
61 11	Female					4	2	2	50%
Sheridan Male 5			4	1	20%	16	12	4	25%
District Tota		71	52	19	27%	452	150	302	67%

	Juvenile Access to Counsel by County and Race/Ethnicity											
			Criminal C	ourt Cases			Juvenile C	ourt Cases				
County	Race/ Ethnicity	Total Criminal Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel	Total Juvenile Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel			
	Am. Indian	2	2	0	0%	16	2	14	88%			
	Black					3	1	2	67%			
Box Butte	Hispanic	2	2	0	0%	3	2	1	33%			
	White	11	11	0	0%	30	13	17	57%			
	Unknown	2	1	1	50%	6	4	2	33%			
Cl	White	2	1	1	50%	10	0	10	100%			
Cheyenne	Unknown					22	0	22	100%			
	Am. Indian					18	7	11	61%			
	Black					2	1	1	50%			
Dawes	Hispanic					2	1	1	50%			
	White	1	1	0	0%	9	4	5	56%			
	Unknown	1	1	0	0%	5	3	2	40%			
David	White					1	0	1	100%			
Deuel	Unknown					1	0	1	100%			
Garden	White					2	0	2	100%			
Grant	White					3	3	0	0%			
12. 1. 11	White	2	1	1	50%	4	0	4	100%			
Kimball	Unknown	1	1	0	0%							
	Am. Indian					1	0	1	100%			
N.A	Hispanic	1	1	0	0%	1	1	0	0%			
Morrill	White	1	1	0	0%	15	5	10	67%			
	Unknown					1	0	1	100%			
	Am. Indian	3	1	2	67%	2	0	2	100%			
	Black					2	0	2	100%			
Scotts Bluff	Hispanic	9	4	5	56%	58	20	38	66%			
	White	19	16	3	16%	48	15	33	69%			
	Unknown	9	4	5	56%	167	54	113	68%			
Charit	Am. Indian	1	1	0	0%	11	7	4	36%			
Sheridan	Hispanic					1	1	0	0%			

Juvenile Access to Counsel by County and Race/Ethnicity									
County	Race/ Ethnicity	Criminal Court Cases				Juvenile Court Cases			
		Total Criminal Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel	Total Juvenile Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel
Sheridan	White	1	1	0	0%	6	4	2	33%
	Unknown	3	2	1	33%	2	2	0	0%
District Total		71	52	19	27%	452	150	302	67%

#### Appendix I Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007

#### Revised Statutes » Chapter 24 » 24-1007

24-1007. State Court Administrator; compile judicial workload statistics; how; juveniles in Nebraska's justice system; annual report; contents.

- (1) The State Court Administrator shall compile uniform and accurate statistics which will assist in the evaluation of judicial workloads. The judicial workload statistics shall be based on caseload numbers weighted by category of case. The judicial workload statistics shall be compiled annually for each district, county, and separate juvenile court judicial district in the state.
- (2) The State Court Administrator shall develop and provide an annual report to the Legislature and the Governor on juveniles in Nebraska's justice system. The report to the Legislature shall be provided electronically. For purposes of this section, juvenile has the same meaning as in section 43-245. The report shall include, but not be limited to, geographic and demographic information on the following:
- (a) Juveniles prosecuted in juvenile court under subdivision (1), (2), (3)(b), or (4) of section 43-247, including the total number of filings and adjudications;
- (b) Juveniles prosecuted in adult criminal court for felonies, misdemeanors, and traffic offenses. The information shall include juveniles sentenced to terms in adult jails and prisons and juveniles placed on adult probation;
- (c) The number of motions to transfer and the number of cases transferred to adult court from juvenile court and from adult criminal court to juvenile court;
- (d) Juveniles placed on juvenile probation, the number of juveniles on probation in out-of-home care, the number of juveniles completing probation, the number of motions to revoke probation and probation revocations, and the average length of time on probation;
- (e) Juveniles with and without access to counsel in juvenile and adult court, both appointed and retained; and
- (f) Rates of recidivism.

#### Source:

Laws 1995, LB 189, § 5;

Laws 2014, LB464, § 3.

#### Appendix II Probation Definitions

The Probation information was collected from the Nebraska Probation Application for community Safety (NPACS) case management system. Traditional probationers and Problem Solving Court probationers are included in this analysis. The following are explanations of the data.

- 1. Youth Level of Service/ Case Management Inventory (YLS/CMI) score: Youth Placed on Probation Initial Classification based on YLS/CMI Level provides an analysis of the number of youth whose first YLS/CMI score would place them in the Juvenile Community-Based Resource (JCBR) classification, or the Juvenile Community-Based Intervention (JCBI) classification. JCBR are commonly referred to as the low risk youth and their cases are managed accordingly, while the JCBI youth have scores of moderate high, high or very high. JCBI youth have more supervision requirements to address their high risk. Some youth do not have an YLS/CMI assessment completed before their probation is terminated. This can be due to a brief probation term, or successful completion of preadjudicated services.
- 2. Placed on Probation: the count of all youth that were formally placed on Probation between January 1 and December 31 of the previous year.
- 3. Placed Out of Home: this information is a count of all youth who were placed in an out of home placement (OHP) at some point during the calendar year. This information represents the number of youth that were out of home, not the number of placements. For example, one youth may be out of home in detention, move to a shelter and eventually transition home. The youth would be counted once even though they had two different OHP. If a youth is placed in OHP on their 19th birthday prior to being released from Probation, the youth is included in the placed at 18 years old age bracket and the appropriate sex, race and ethnicity group.
- 4. Motion to Revoke: the count of all youth that received a motion to revoke on any of their active cases in the report year. A youth may have multiple court cases being processed concurrently, but the youth would only be counted once in this report.
- 5. Revocations Only: the number of youth that had probation revoked on one or more cases. Individual youth are counted and not the number of cases that were revoked. When a motion to revoke is filed, the court has several options that they can pursue including: revoking the probation case and terminating the probation term, extending the probation term, sending the youth to YRTC and continuing probation after that placement, or dismissing the motion to revoke. For this category, only those youth whose cases were revoked and terminated in the reporting period are included. Further details regarding revocations can be found in the Juvenile Probation's Detailed Analysis.
- 6. Successful Discharge: the number of youth who were successfully discharged from Probation, during the year, on one or more court cases. Successful completion includes completion of pre-adjudication, pre-disposition, traditional probation, early release and YRTC probation. Length of Probation is provided in months. Some youth may be listed as discharged from probation without an official start date. These are youth that may have completed pre-adjudication or pre-dispositional services. These youth are not officially disposed to probation but there is a court case completion/discharge date entered because the court case is closed. Some youth will also appear to be discharged at 19 or later due to the time lapse between court proceeding and case closure. Youth can also be discharged past 19 years old if Nebraska Probation is providing interstate probation supervision.
- 7. All Discharges YLS: this information contains a listing of youth that were discharged during the report year. Age is presented at time of probation start (which may be prior to the report year), and age at time of discharge. Length of probation is displayed in months. Some youth may be listed as discharged from probation without an official start date. These are youth that may have completed pre-adjudication or pre-dispositional services. These

youth are not officially disposed to probation but there is a court case completion/discharge date entered because the court case is closed. A final YLS/CMI score and classification is also provided for any analysis on the number of youth that were discharged at each level. It is not uncommon for there to be more youth at a JCBR level discharged as opposed to JCBI. This is due to the process of stepping high risk youth down to a lower supervision level before discharging them from probation.

#### Age calculations

- 1. Youth placed on probation: age at which their probation term began during that calendar year.
- 2. Out of home placement: age at the first admission to out of home placement during that calendar year.
- 3. Motions to Revoke: age at which the motion to revoke was filed/created during that calendar year.
- 4. Probation Revoked: age on the date which the disposition for the motion to revoke was applied during that calendar year.
- 5. Satisfactory Release: age on the date which the disposition for discharge from probation occurred during that calendar year.
- 6. Initial Risk Level: age at which their probation term began during that calendar year. Youth are assessed just prior to or after they begin their probation term. For consistency, the age when they began their probation term was calculated.
- 7. Final Risk Level: age on the date which the disposition for discharge from probation occurred during that calendar year. Youth may be assessed within six months of their release. For consistency, the age when they were discharged from probation was calculated and reported in regards to their final risk assessment level.
- 8. Length of Probation: age on the date which the disposition for discharge from probation occurred during that calendar year.

# Appendix III § 1-1001. Uniform definitions of recidivism for Nebraska State Probation and Nebraska Problem-Solving Courts

For the purpose of accurately assessing post-program recidivism across justice programs, Nebraska State Probation and Nebraska Problem-Solving Courts shall utilize the following uniform definitions of recidivism for all adults and juveniles within their respective programs.

#### (A) Adults:

As applied to adults, recidivism shall mean a final conviction of a Class I or II misdemeanor, a Class IV felony or above, or a Class W misdemeanor based on a violation of state law or an ordinance of any city or village enacted in conformance with state law, within 3 years of being successfully released.

#### (B) Juveniles:

- (1) As applied to juveniles, recidivism shall mean that within 1 year of being successfully released from a probation or problem-solving court program the juvenile has:
  - (a) an adjudication pursuant to Neb. Rev. Stat. § 43-247(1) or (2).
- (b) for a juvenile 14 years or older, a final conviction for a Class W misdemeanor based on a violation of state traffic laws or ordinances of any city or village enacted in conformance with state law; or
  - (c) a prosecution and final conviction as an adult for any crimes set forth in subsection (A) above.
- (2) For juveniles that age out of the juvenile system within 1 year of program exit and who did not recidivate post-program as juveniles, the adult definition of post-program recidivism, including any drug-related or alcohol-related conviction, shall apply.
- § 1-1001 adopted September 25, 2013.