

Nebraska Juvenile Justice System Statistical Annual Report: 2017



Table of Contents

Introduction	1
Part (a) Juvenile Petitions and Adjudications	. 2
Part (b) Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court - Case Type	. 4
Part (b) Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court - Sentence	. 6
Part (c) Motions to Transfer Cases and Cases Transferred	. 8
Part (d) Juvenile Probation Activity - Youth	. 12
Part (d) Juvenile Probation - Risk Level and Length of Probation	. 15
Part (e) Juveniles Access to Counsel	. 17
District 1	24
District 2	62
District 3	101
District 4	109
District 5	117
District 6	164
District 7	204
District 8	241
District 9	279
District 10	314
District 11	357
District 12	402
Appendix I - Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007	442
Annendix II - Administrative Office of Probation	440

Introduction

The Nebraska Juvenile Justice System 2017 Statistical Annual Report meets the requirements of Nebraska Revised Statute § 24-1007 (2014). This report is compiled by the Administrative Office of the Courts and Probation (AOC/P). The report contains statistics on Juvenile Justice (status offense and juvenile delinquency) cases and on juveniles prosecuted in the adult court system. A status offense is an act that is prohibited because of the juvenile status of the person, but that is not punishable if committed by an adult. Juvenile delinquency cases involve a violation of a law applicable regardless of juvenile or adult status. This report provides statistical information on juveniles in the justice system. The data presented show caseload statistics by case type, geography and demographics.

The data in this report was extracted from the Supreme Court's Judicial User System to Improve Court Efficiency (JUSTICE), a case-based data storage system comprised of clerk entries of information from relevant court documents. Each table reports statistics on cases for youth ages 17 and under at the time of the offense. The Part (d) Juvenile Probation Activity tables are provided from the Administrative Office of Probation's (AOP) case management system, Nebraska Probation Application for Community Safety (NPACS). These tables report activity for juveniles receiving probation services in the year.

The report contains a statewide section and 12 judicial district sections. Each district section has district summary tables followed by county data for that judicial district. If there is no data for a county, that county is not included in the table. All geographic tables include demographic statistics by age, gender and race/ethnicity if there is data for that group. If not expressly written on trial court forms, age, gender and/or race/ethnicity may be unknown to court personnel responsible for JUSTICE data entry and will be included as "Unknown" in this report.

For the majority of the report, age demographic groupings are based on the age of the juvenile at the time of the offense. Exceptions are noted in the report. Age groups used in this report are: 10 & Under; 11-13; 14-15; 16; and 17 and are based on Nebraska Revised Statute 43-246.01 and 43-247 regarding critical ages in juvenile court jurisdiction.

Juvenile recidivism is available through a collaboration of the Office of the Courts and Probation and the University of Nebraska. The full report can be found on the AOC/P website at https://supremecourt.nebraska.gov. Select Publications, in the Publication/Report Type dropdown arrow select Probation, click the Apply button, then find the publication "Recidivism Rates for Nebraska Juvenile Probationers: 2010 to 2015."

1

Part (a) Juvenile Petitions and Adjudications

Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (a) Juveniles prosecuted in juvenile court under subdivision (1), (2), (3)(b), or (4) of section 43-247, including the total number of filings and adjudications.


The Juvenile Petitions and Adjudications tables report the number of new juvenile cases and corresponding percent of adjudications by case type and demographic group for cases filed in 2017. The data consists of both county court and separate juvenile court cases. Only the most serious offense is counted for cases with multiple charges, pleas or findings. Cases are counted in the Admit column if at least one charge was admitted to in court. Supplemental petitions are not included in this data set. Cases filed in November or December of 2017 may not have reached the adjudication stage of proceedings. Juvenile cases where the age is unknown, if any, are included in the report.

Out of 4,674 Juvenile cases statewide, 3,064 (66%) were adjudicated as Admit in 2017.

			,	Juvenile	e Petitio	ns and	Adjudio	cation by	y Case	Type S	tatewide	Э				
Demo-	Cohort	Traf	ffic Offer	nse	Sta	tus Offe	nse	Mis	sdemear	nor		Felony			Total	
graphic	Conort	Cases	Admit	% Admit	Cases	Admit	% Admit	Cases	Admit	% Admit	Cases	Admit	% Admit	Cases	Admit	% Admit
	10 & under	1	1	100%	13	5	38%	16	8	50%	1	0	0%	31	14	45%
	11-13	2	2	100%	117	65	56%	365	235	64%	65	51	78%	549	353	64%
Age Group	14-15	51	28	55%	352	215	61%	1,107	776	70%	176	127	72%	1,686	1,146	68%
	16	56	31	55%	230	147	64%	787	518	66%	112	81	72%	1,185	777	66%
	17	39	28	72%	150	100	67%	892	557	62%	142	89	63%	1,223	774	63%
	Female	47	28	60%	421	254	60%	988	631	64%	103	76	74%	1,559	989	63%
Gender	Male	100	60	60%	418	267	64%	2,132	1,426	67%	382	264	69%	3,032	2,017	67%
	Unknown	2	2	100%	23	11	48%	47	37	79%	11	8	73%	83	58	70%
	Am. Indian	1	1	100%	18	16	89%	80	52	65%	16	11	69%	115	80	70%
	Asian/P.I.	4	2	50%	4	1	25%	11	4	36%	4	3	75%	23	10	43%
	Black	8	5	62%	47	22	47%	415	222	53%	84	45	54%	554	294	53%
Race/ Ethnicity	Hispanic	31	20	65%	85	49	58%	454	323	71%	64	52	81%	634	444	70%
	White	94	54	57%	244	150	61%	1,411	983	70%	214	155	72%	1,963	1,342	68%
	Other	0	0	0%	2	2	100%	25	19	76%	3	3	100%	30	24	80%
	Unknown	11	8	73%	462	292	63%	771	491	64%	111	79	71%	1,355	870	64%
Total Cas	ses	149	90	60%	862	532	62%	3,167	2,094	66%	496	348	70%	4,674	3,064	66%


Overall 66% of cases were adjudicated as admit. Cases with status offenses (62%) are less likely than those with misdemeanors (66%) and felonies (70%) to admit. Overall, youth 10 & under (45%), are less likely to admit than other age groups. Males (67%) are more likely to admit than females (63%). Among races, Asian/P.I. (43%) and Blacks (53%) have the lowest admit rates and are less likely to admit than other races.

Percent of Cases Adjudicated as Admit by Case Type and Age Group




Gender

Percent of Cases Adjudicated as Admit by Case Type and Gender



Race/Ethnicity

Percent of Cases Adjudicated as Admit by Case Type and Race/Ethnicity



Part (b) Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court - Case Type

Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (b) Juveniles prosecuted in adult criminal court for felonies, misdemeanors, and traffic offenses. The information shall include juveniles sentenced to terms in adult jails and prisons and juveniles placed on adult probation.

Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court tables consist of criminal and traffic cases in county court and criminal cases in district court that reached the point of sentencing in 2017. Cases with multiple charges are counted once per case and reported as the most severe offense. Cases for juveniles ages 13 and below must be filed and stay in juvenile court. Therefore, only juveniles ages 14 through 17 at the time of the offense appear in adult criminal court data.


Of the 265 cases of juveniles prosecuted in adult criminal court, 29% were traffic cases, 43% were misdemeanor cases, and 27% were felony cases.

J	uveniles Pi	osecute		dult Crir tatewide		ourt by (Case Ty	ре	
		All C	ases	Tra	ffic	Misder	neanor	Fel	ony
Demographic	Cohort	Total Cases	% of Total	Total Cases	% of Total for Case Type Group	Total Cases	% of Total for Case Type Group	Total Cases	% of Total for Case Type Group
Age Group	14-15	23	9%	17	22%	1	1%	5	7%
	16	65	25%	32	41%	25	22%	8	11%
	17	177	67%	29	37%	89	77%	59	82%
Gender	Female	66	26%	26	33%	34	31%	6	9%
	Male	189	74%	52	67%	76	69%	61	91%
	Unknown	10		0		5		5	
Race/Ethnicity	Am. Indian	6	3%	0		4	4%	2	4%
	Asian/P.I.	1	0%	1	1%	0		0	
	Black	54	23%	8	11%	24	25%	22	39%
	Hispanic	60	26%	39	51%	13	13%	8	14%
	White	108	47%	28	37%	55	57%	25	44%
	Other	1	0%	0		1	1%	0	
	Unknown	35		2		18		15	
Total Cases		265	100%	78	29%	115	43%	72	27%


^{*} The percent of total cases by demographic is calculated using the valid percent (does not include cases with unknown gender or unknown race/ethnicity).

There were 265 Juvenile cases prosecuted in adult criminal court in 2017. Traffic offenses comprised 29% of all cases, misdemeanor 43% and felony 27%. Among age groups, 17 year olds are most likely to have misdemeanor (77%) or felony (82%) offenses than any other age group. Overall, males (74%), are more likely than females 26%) to offend. Hispanics (51%) are more likely than other races to have a traffic case in adult court, Whites (57%) are most likely to have a misdemeanor. Asian/P.I. (0) and American Indians (4%) have very few felony offenses. Hispanics (14%) are less likely than Blacks (39%) or Whites (44%) to have a felony offense. There is no difference between the likelihood of Blacks or Whites having a felony offense.


Juveniles in Adult Criminal Court by Age Group



Juveniles in Adult Criminal Court by Age Group




Juveniles in Adult Criminal Court by Age Group




Gender

Male 67%


Juveniles in Adult Criminal Court by Gender



Juveniles in Adult Criminal Court by Gender




Juveniles in Adult Criminal Court by Gender




Race/Ethnicity


Juveniles in Adult Criminal Court by Race/Ethnicity



Juveniles in Adult Criminal Court by Race/Ethnicity



Juveniles in Adult Criminal Court by Race/Ethnicity



Part (b) Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court - Sentence

Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (b) Juveniles prosecuted in adult criminal court for felonies, misdemeanors, and traffic offenses. The information shall include juveniles sentenced to terms in adult jails and prisons and juveniles placed on adult probation.

Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court tables include criminal and traffic cases in county court and criminal cases in district court that reached the point of sentencing in 2017. All sentencing types are included where multiple sentencing types

Of the 265 cases prosecuted in adult criminal court, 69% were sentanced to probation, 22% to jail and 9% to prison.


occur within a case (ex. probation/jail). Youth who are sentenced to probation in Adult Court are supervised by Adult Probation. Age is calculated from the age at offense, not the age at sentencing.

	Juvenile	s Proseci	uted in	Adult Cri Statewid		ourt by Se	entencin	g	
		Total C	ases	Proba	ition	Ja	ail	Pris	son
Demographic	Cohort	Number of Cases	% of Total	Number of Cases	% of Cohort	Number of Cases	% of Cohort	Number of Cases	% of Cohort
	14-15	23	9%	19	83%	0	0%	4	17%
Age Group	16	65	25%	54	83%	6	9%	5	8%
	17	177	67%	110	62%	53	30%	14	8%
	Female	66	26%	55	83%	11	17%	0	0%
Gender	Male	189	74%	125	66%	43	23%	21	11%
	Unknown	10	0%	3	0%	5	0%	2	0%
	Am. Indian	6	3%	0	0%	6	100%	0	0%
	Asian/P.I.	1	0%	1	100%	0	0%	0	0%
	Black	54	23%	29	54%	13	24%	12	22%
Race/Ethnicity	Hispanic	60	26%	50	83%	6	10%	4	7%
	White	108	47%	85	79%	18	17%	5	5%
	Other	1	0%	1	100%	0	0%	0	0%
	Unknown	35	0%	17	0%	16	0%	2	0%
Total Ca	ases	265	100%	183	69%	59	22%	23	9%

^{*}The percent of total cases by demographic is calculated using the valid percent (does not include cases with unknown gender or unknown race/ethnicity).


Overall, 69% of juvenile cases prosecuted in adult criminal court were sentenced to probation, 22% to jail and 9% to prison. In adult criminal court overall two thirds (67%) of the cases were for 17 year olds, three fourths (74%) for males and 47% for whites. The most likely to be sentenced to probation were 14 through 16 year olds (83%), female (83%) and Asian/P.I. and other (100%), followed by Hispanic (83%). Most likely to receive jail sentences were 17 year olds (30%), males (23%) and American Indian (100%) followed by blacks (24%). Prison sentences were most likely to be given to youth that were 14-15 years old at the time of offense (17%), males (11%) and blacks (23%).

Sentencing of Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court




Gender

Sentencing of Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court



Race/Ethnicity

Sentencing of Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court



Part (c) Motions to Transfer Cases and Cases Transferred

Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (c) The number of motions to transfer and the number of cases transferred to adult court from juvenile court and from adult criminal court to juvenile court.

The data represents motions to transfer filed in 2017 and transfers between court types which occurred in 2017. Therefore, the exact same subset of cases may not be represented in both columns. There can be multiple motions to transfer within a single case which, if filed in the same calendar year, would all be included in the counts below. Some cases may have a motion to transfer, but no transfer actually occurs.

	Motion	s to Tran		ases and tatewide	Cases	s Transfe	erred						
	Juvenile C	ourt to Adu	ılt Cour	t		Adult C	Court to	Juvenile Co	ourt				
Demographic	Cohort	Motions to Transfer	% of Total	Transfers	% of Total	Motions to Transfer	% of Total	Transfers	% of Total				
	11-13	1	1%	0		0		1	1%				
Ago Croup	14-15	5	7%	0		17	16%	28	15%				
Age Group 16 14 18% 4 17% 31 29% 63 349													
17 56 74% 19 83% 58 55% 96 51%													
	Female	13	18%	3	13%	17	16%	46	25%				
Gender	Male	61	82%	20	87%	87	84%	139	75%				
	Unknown	2		0		2		3					
	Am. Indian	4	7%	0		4	4%	4	2%				
	Asian/P.I.	0		0		0		2	1%				
	Black	11	20%	5	28%	33	33%	23	14%				
Race/Ethnicity	Hispanic	8	15%	6	33%	23	23%	36	21%				
	White	31	57%	7	39%	41	41%	103	61%				
	Other	0		0		0		1	1%				
	Unknown	22		5		5		19					
Total Cases		76		23		106		188					


^{*}The percent of total cases by demographic is calculated using the valid percent within demographic (does not include cases with unknown gender or unknown race/ethnicity).

Overall, there were 76 motions to transfer from juvenile court to adult court filed in 2017, with 23 cases transferred. Among age groups, 74% of motions to transfer were for 17 year olds and 83% of cases transferred. Males comprised 82% of motions to transfer and 87% of transfers. 57% of motions to transfer were for whites as were 39% of transfers. There were 106 motions to transfer from adult court to juvenile court filed in 2017, with 188 cases transferred during the year. Of the motions to transfer, 55% were for 17 year olds, 84% were for males, and 41% were for whites. Of cases transferred, 51% were for 17 year olds, 75% were for males, and 61% were for whites.


Juvenile court had 76 motions to transfer to adult court filed in 2017, and 23 cases transferred to adult court. Adult court had 106 motions to transfer to juvenile court filed, and 188 cases transferred to juvenule court in the year.

Motions to Transfer

From Juvenile Court to Adult Court by Age Group




TransfersFrom Juvenile Court to Adult Court by Age




Motions to Transfer

From Adult Court to Juvenile Court by Age Group



Transfers


From Adult Court to Juvenile Court by Age Group



Gender


Motions to Transfer

From Juvenile Court to Adult Court by Gender




Transfers

From Juvenile Court to Adult Court by Gender




Motions to Transfer

From Adult Court to Juvenile Court by Gender



Transfers


From Adult Court to Juvenile Court by Gender




Race/Ethnicity

Motions to Transfer

From Juvenile Court to Adult Court by Race/Ethnicity




TransferFrom Juvenile Court to Adult Court by




Motions to Transfer

From Adult Court to Juvenile Court by Race/Ethnicity



Transfers

From Adult Court to Juvenile Court by Race/Ethnicity



Part (d) Juvenile Probation Activity - Youth

Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (d) Juveniles placed on juvenile probation, the number of juveniles on probation in out-of-home care, the number of juveniles completing probation, the number of motions to revoke probation and probation revocations, and the average length of time on probation.

The Juvenile Probation Activity - Youth data is provided from the Administrative Office of Probation's case management system, Nebraska Probation Application for Community Safety (NPACS). The table reports the number and percent of youth with various activity during the year. Youth are counted once in each category, regardless of the number of out-of-home placements, motions to revoke, or cases they may have in the year. Age groups are calculated specific to the activity as footnoted.


				ile Pro Statewi		Activity uth	/				
Demographic	Cohort	Place Prob			-home ement	Motic Rev		Prob Revo		Satisfa Rele	
		# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total
Age Group	10 & under	2	0%	1	0%	0	0%	0	0%	7	0%
	11-13	228	8%	109	6%	39	4%	0	0%	221	9%
	14-15	870	31%	501	28%	254	24%	8	29%	815	32%
	16	683	24%	444	25%	242	22%	5	18%	660	26%
	17	807	29%	498	28%	322	30%	7	25%	698	27%
	18	201	7%	208	12%	218	20%	8	29%	144	6%
	19 & over	0	0%	0	0%	1	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Gender	Female	947	34%	552	31%	330	31%	7	25%	876	34%
	Male	1,844	66%	1,209	69%	746	69%	21	75%	1,669	66%
Race	Am. Indian	127	5%	110	6%	64	6%	2	7%	65	3%
	Asian/P.I.	22	1%	17	1%	12	1%	0	0%	18	1%
	Black	444	16%	427	24%	216	20%	5	18%	350	14%
	White	1,692	61%	924	52%	591	55%	13	46%	1,626	64%
	Other	506	18%	283	16%	193	18%	8	29%	486	19%
Ethnicity	Hispanic	708	25%	376	21%	267	25%	8	29%	606	24%
	Not Hispanic	2,083	75%	1,385	79%	809	75%	20	71%	1,939	76%
Total Youth		2,791	100%	1,761	100%	1,076	100%	28	100%	2,545	100%

^{1.} Age at which the probation term began. 2. Age at the first admission to out-of-home placement. 3. Age as of the file date for motion to revoke. 4. Age as of the disposition date for motion to revoke. 5. Age as of the disposition date for discharge from probation.

There were 2,790 youth placed on probation in 2017. Three out of ten (31%) were 14 - 15 years of age, two thirds (66%) were male and 61% were white. Of the 1,760 youth with an out-of-home placement within the year, 14 - 15 year olds and 17 year olds each comprised 28%, 69% were male, and 52% were white. There were 1,050 motions to revoke probation, 30% of which were for 17 year olds, 69% for males, and 56% for whites. 172 youth had their probation revoked in the year. Of these youth, 17 year olds comprised 29%. Seven out of ten (70%) of this group were male, and 56% were white. A total of 2,544 youth had a satisfactory release from probation. Of these, 32% were 14-15 years old, 66% were male and 64% were white. Hispanic origin comprised close to a quarter of the population, ranging from 21% in out-of-home placement to 27% with probation revoked.


In 2017, 2,791
youth were
placed on
probation, 2,545
had a
satisfactory
release, and 28
cases had
probation
revoked.

Youth Placed on Probation by Age




Gender

Youth Placed on Probation by Gender




Race

Youth Placed on Probation by Race



Ethnicity

Youth Placed on Probation by Ethnicity



Part (d) Juvenile Probation - Risk Level and Length of Probation

Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (d) Juveniles placed on juvenile probation, the number of juveniles on probation in out-of-home care, the number of juveniles completing probation, the number of motions to revoke probation and probation revocations, and the average length of time on probation.

Youth Level of Service/Case Management Inventory (YLS/CMI) is an assessment tool used to systematically measure risk for recidivism and appropriate supervision intensity for youth on probation. The following table shows the classification results of youth that entered into Probation in 2017, and another set of youth that discharged from Probation in 2017. Youth that are assessed at low or moderate low risk are matched to JCBR supervision and those assessed at high or moderate high risk are matched to JCBI supervision intensity

	Jι	ıvenile Prol	oation -	Youth Risk Le Statewide Juv		Length of F	Probation		
		Ir	nitial Risk	Level		Final Risk	Level		erage
Demo- graphic	Cohort	JCBR/ Low/	JCBI/	No	JCBR/	JCBI/ High/	No		gth of pation
grapriic		Moderate Low	High	Assessment**	Low	Moderate High	Assessment**	# of Youth	Months
Age	10 & under	2			57	23	4	84	9.9
Group	11-13	145	81	2	301	143	24	468	10.2
	14-15	446	393	31	372	183	12	567	11.6
	16	384	276	23	548	358	17	923	12.4
	17	476	304	27	582	715	10	1,307	14.8
	18	119	78	4	89	122	8	219	21.8
Gender	Female	546	375	26	686	400	31	1,117	12.9
	Male	1,026	757	61	1,263	1,144	44	2,451	13.6
Race	Am. Indian	65	56	6	49	65	6	120	16.2
	Asian/P.I.	18	3	1	20	1		21	12.0
	Black	218	214	12	245	318	8	571	14.8
	White	999	648	45	1,265	844	38	2,147	12.5
	Other	272	211	23	370	316	23	709	14.3
Ethnicity	Hispanic	385	294	29	457	385	31	873	13.5
	Not Hispanic	1,187	838	58	1,492	1,159	44	2,695	13.3
Total Ca	ises	1,572	1,132	87	1,949	1,544	75	3,568	13.4


^{*} The Juvenile Court's jurisdicition terminates at the age of majority. The age group for 18 year olds average length of probation includes 18 and 19 year olds.

Of the 2,791 youth placed on probation in 2017 56% were initially assessed at a low level of risk, with 41% at a high leve of riskl. Of the 3,568 youth with a final risk assessment, 55% were low risk, while 43% were high risk. The average length of probation was 13.4 months. Among demographics, the groups with the highest average length of probation were youth 18 years of age with an average of 21.8 months, males 13.6 months and American Indians 16.2 months. Youth of Hispanic origin had an average of 13.5 months of probation.

Of the 3,568 youth exiting probation in 2017, the average length of probation was 13.4 months.


^{**} No YLS/CMI assessment completed prior to termination of probation due to a brief probation term or successful completion of pre-dispositional services.1 Age at which probation term began.2 Age as of the disposition date for discharge from probation 3 Age as of the disposition date for discharge from probation

Gender



Race

Ethnicity



10.0

12.0

14.0

Part (e) Juveniles Access to Counsel

Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (e) juveniles with and without access to counsel in juvenile and adult court, both appointed and retained.


The Juveniles Access to Counsel table displays the percent of juveniles represented by an attorney in criminal cases from county court and district court and juvenile cases from county court and separate juvenile court. Data includes new cases filed and cases transfered from one county to another during calendar year 2017. Cases filed in 2017 may not have reached the sentencing/adjudication stage of proceedings. Cases for juveniles ages 13 and below must be filed and stay in juvenile court.

One half (52%) of juvenile cases in criminal court had access to counsel, while three fourths (73%) of cases in juvenile court had access to counsel.

				Access t Statewid	o Couns e	el							
			Criminal C	ourt Cases	;		Juvenile C	ourt Cases					
Demographic	Cohort	Total Criminal Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel	Total Juvenile Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel				
	10 & under	4	3	1	25%	42	20	22	52%				
	11-13	16	13	3	19%	825	176	649	79%				
Age Group	14-15 127 68 59 46% 2,480 616 1,864 75 16 375 480 480 50% 4677 464 4,246 78												
	16 375 186 189 50% 1,677 461 1,216 73												
	17	911	425	486	1,775	532	1,243	70%					
	Female	372	213	159	43%	2,275	620	1,655	73%				
Gender	Male	1,015	463	552	54%	4,417	1,140	3,277	74%				
	Unknown	46	19	27	59%	107	45	62	58%				
	Am. Indian	21	10	11	52%	147	52	95	65%				
	Asian/P.I.	13	8	5	38%	34	7	27	79%				
	Black	213	68	145	68%	1,023	50	973	95%				
Race/Ethnicity	Hispanic	231	105	126	55%	896	261	635	71%				
	White	773	422	351	45%	2,636	787	1,849	70%				
	Other	8	5	3	38%	73	6	67	92%				
	Unknown	174	77	97	56%	1,990	642	1,348	68%				
Total Cases		1,433	695	738	52%	6,799	1,805	4,994	73%				


Overall, of the 1,433 juvenile cases filed in criminal court, over half (52%) had access to counsel. Demographic groups with the highest rate of access to counsel in criminal court were age 17 (53%), males (54%), and blacks (68%). Of the 6,797 juvenile court cases, 73% of cases had access to counsel. In juvenile court 11-13 year olds (79%) had the highest rate of access to counsel, as ded males (74%), and blacks (92%).

Percent of Juveniles With Counsel by Age Group




Gender

Percent of Juveniles With Counsel by Gender




Race/Ethnicity

Percent of Juveniles With Counsel by Race/Ethnicity




District Sections

Nebraska County Court Districts



The report includes data from both adult and juvenile case types, from all of the Nebraska county courts, district courts and separate juvenile courts statewide. Lancaster, Douglas and Sarpy counties hear juvenile cases in a separate juvenile court. All other counties hear juvenile cases in county courts. Where data in the report is broken out into districts, it is reported by districts as shown above.

Separate Juvenile Courts



Nebraska Revised Statute 43-2,111

Each county of this state having a population of seventy-five thousand or more inhabitants shall constitute a separate juvenile court judicial district. There shall be established in each such juvenile court judicial district of this state a separate juvenile court whenever the establishment thereof shall be authorized by a majority of the electors of any such county voting thereon. The court so established shall be a court of record.

District 1

Part (a) Juvenile Petitions and Adjudications

Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (a) Juveniles prosecuted in juvenile court under subdivision (1), (2), (3)(b), or (4) of section 43-247, including the total number of filings and adjudications.

The Juvenile Petitions and Adjudications tables report the number of new juvenile cases and corresponding percent of adjudications by case type and demographic group for cases filed in 2017. The data consists of both county court and separate juvenile court cases. Only the most serious offense is counted for cases with multiple charges, pleas or findings. Cases are counted in the Admit column if at least one charge was admitted to in court. Supplemental petitions are not included in this data set. Cases filed in November or December of 2017 may not have reached the adjudication stage of proceedings. Juvenile cases where the age is unknown are included in the report.

		J	uvenil	e Petiti	ons an	d Adju Distric		n by Ca	ise Typ	e			
Dome		Tra	ffic Offer	nse	Sta	tus Offei	nse	Mis	sdemear	nor		Felony	
Demo- graphic	Cohort	Cases	Admit	% Admit	Cases	Admit	% Admit	Cases	Admit	% Admit	Cases	Admit	% Admit
	10 & under	0	0	0%	6	2	33%	4	3	75%	0	0	0%
	11-13	0	0	0%	11	3	27%	22	17	77%	5	4	80%
Age Group	14-15	3	3	100%	30	20	67%	59	48	81%	8	5	62%
Т	16	2	1	50%	13	11	85%	33	19	58%	3	3	100%
	17	1	1	100%	13	8	62%	75	50	67%	3	3	100%
	Female	1	1	100%	31	15	48%	49	30	61%	4	3	75%
Gender	Male	5	4	80%	37	26	70%	139	103	74%	14	12	86%
	Unknown	0	0	0%	5	3	60%	5	4	80%	1	0	0%
	Am. Indian	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%
	Black	0	0	0%	1	0	0%	1	0	0%	0	0	0%
Race/ Ethnicity	Hispanic	2	2	100%	3	3	100%	14	12	86%	0	0	0%
	White	2	1	50%	2	2	100%	45	34	76%	3	3	100%
	Unknown	2	2	100%	67	39	58%	132	90	68%	16	12	75%
District T	otal	6	5	83%	73	44	60%	193	137	71%	19	15	79%

				Co	unty ar	nd Age	Group						
		Tra	ffic Offer	nse	Sta	tus Offe	nse	Mis	sdemear	nor		Felony	
County	Age Group	Cases	Admit	% Admit	Cases	Admit	% Admit	Cases	Admit	% Admit	Cases	Admit	% Admi
	10 & under	0	0	0%	6	2	33%	0	0	0%	0	0	0%
	11-13	0	0	0%	10	2	20%	10	6	60%	0	0	0%
Gage	14-15	0	0	0%	20	11	55%	13	8	62%	0	0	0%
	16	0	0	0%	10	8	80%	18	8	44%	1	1	100%
	17	0	0	0%	11	6	55%	28	15	54%	2	2	100%
	10 & under	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	2	2	100%	0	0	0%
	11-13	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	4	4	100%	1	1	100%
Jefferson	14-15	1	1	100%	6	5	83%	15	13	87%	4	3	75%
	16	1	1	100%	2	2	100%	2	2	100%	1	1	100%
	17	0	0	0%	2	2	100%	8	6	75%	0	0	0%
	14-15	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	1	0	0%	0	0	0%
Johnson	16	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	0	0%	0	0	0%
	17	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	0	0%	1	1	100%
	11-13	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	2	2	100%
Nemaha	16	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%	0	0	0%
	14-15	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	2	2	100%	1	1	100%
Pawnee	16	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	3	3	100%	0	0	0%
	17	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%
	10 & under	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%
	11-13	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	2	1	50%	0	0	0%
Richardson	14-15	0	0	0%	3	3	100%	7	6	86%	0	0	0%
	16	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	2	0	0%	1	1	100%
	17	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	6	3	50%	0	0	0%
	10 & under	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	0	0%	0	0	0%
	11-13	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	5	5	100%	0	0	0%
Saline	14-15	2	2	100%	0	0	0%	19	17	89%	0	0	0%
	16	1	0	0%	0	0	0%	7	6	86%	0	0	0%
	17	1	1	100%	0	0	0%	27	21	78%	0	0	0%
	11-13	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	2	1	50%
Thayer	14-15	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	2	2	100%	3	1	33%
,	17	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	4	4	100%	0	0	0%
District Tota		6	5	83%	73	44	60%	193	137	71%	19	15	79%

			Juvenil		s and A County			y Case	Type,				
		Tra	ffic Offe	nse	Sta	tus Offei	nse	Mis	sdemear	nor		Felony	
County	Gender	Cases	Admit	% Admit	Cases	Admit	% Admit	Cases	Admit	% Admit	Cases	Admit	% Admi
	Female	0	0	0%	26	10	38%	22	9	41%	2	2	100%
Gage	Male	0	0	0%	29	18	62%	47	28	60%	1	1	100%
	Unknown	0	0	0%	2	1	50%	0	0	0%	0	0	0%
	Female	0	0	0%	2	2	100%	5	4	80%	1	1	100%
Jefferson	Male	2	2	100%	6	6	100%	21	19	90%	4	4	100%
	Unknown	0	0	0%	2	1	50%	5	4	80%	1	0	0%
	Female	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	0	0%	0	0	0%
Johnson	Male	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	2	0	0%	1	1	100%
	Unknown	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%	0	0	0%
Na	Female	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%	0	0	0%
Nemaha	Male	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	2	2	100%
	Female	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	2	2	100%	0	0	0%
Pawnee	Male	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	4	4	100%	1	1	100%
District Control	Female	0	0	0%	2	2	100%	5	2	40%	0	0	0%
Richardson	Male	0	0	0%	2	2	100%	13	9	69%	1	1	100%
0-1:	Female	1	1	100%	0	0	0%	13	12	92%	0	0	0%
Saline	Male	3	2	67%	0	0	0%	46	37	80%	0	0	0%
Th	Female	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	1	0	0%
Thayer	Male	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	6	6	100%	4	2	50%
District Tota	ı	6	5	83%	73	44	60%	193	137	71%	19	15	79%

		J	uvenil		and Ao				Гуре,				
		Tra	ffic Offe	nse	Sta	tus Offe	nse	Mis	sdemear	nor		Felony	
County	Race	Cases	Admit	% Admit	Cases	Admit	% Admit	Cases	Admit	% Admit	Cases	Admit	% Admit
	Black	0	0	0%	1	0	0%	1	0	0%	0	0	0%
0	Hispanic	0	0	0%	2	2	100%	0	0	0%	0	0	0%
Gage	White	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%
	Unknown	0	0	0%	54	27	50%	67	36	54%	3	3	100%
	Hispanic	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	2	2	100%	0	0	0%
Jefferson	White	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%
	Unknown	2	2	100%	10	9	90%	28	24	86%	6	5	83%
	Hispanic	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%	0	0	0%
Johnson	White	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	0	0%	1	1	100%
	Unknown	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	2	0	0%	0	0	0%
Nemaha	Unknown	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%	2	2	100%
D	White	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	4	4	100%	1	1	100%
Pawnee	Unknown	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	2	2	100%	0	0	0%
D'alessalessa	White	0	0	0%	2	2	100%	12	7	58%	0	0	0%
Richardson	Unknown	0	0	0%	2	2	100%	6	4	67%	1	1	100%
	Am. Indian	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%
0-1:	Hispanic	2	2	100%	0	0	0%	12	10	83%	0	0	0%
Saline	White	2	1	50%	0	0	0%	26	21	81%	0	0	0%
	Unknown	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	20	17	85%	0	0	0%
Theur	White	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	1	100%
Thayer	Unknown	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	7	7	100%	4	1	25%
District Tota	I	6	5	83%	73	44	60%	193	137	71%	19	15	79%

District 1

Part (b) Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court - Case Type

Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (b) Juveniles prosecuted in adult criminal court for felonies, misdemeanors, and traffic offenses. The information shall include juveniles sentenced to terms in adult jails and prisons and juveniles placed on adult probation.

Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court tables consist of criminal and traffic cases in county court and criminal cases in district court that reached the point of sentencing in 2017. Cases with multiple charges are counted once per case and reported as the most severe offense. Cases for juveniles ages 13 and below must be filed and stay in juvenile court; however, judges have the authority to allow these cases in criminal court. Therefore, only juveniles ages 14 through 17 at the time of the offense appear in adult criminal court data, with rare exceptions.

Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court by Case Type District									
		All Cases		Traffic		Misdemeanor		Felony	
Demographic	Cohort	Total Cases	% of Total	Total Cases	% of Total for Case Type Group	Total Cases	% of Total for Case Type Group	Total Cases	% of Total for Case Type Group
Age Group	14-15	1	11%	0		0		1	50%
	16	1	11%	1	100%	0		0	
	17	7	78%	0		6	100%	1	50%
Gender	Female	3	60%	0		3	75%	0	
	Male	2	40%	1	100%	1	25%	0	
	Unknown	4		0		2		2	
Race/Ethnicity	White	5	100%	1	100%	3	100%	1	100%
	Unknown	4		0		3		1	
District Total		9	100%	1	11%	6	67%	2	22%

Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court by Case Type, County and Age Group							
County	Age Group	All Cases	Traffic	Misdemeanor	Felony		
	Group	#	#	#	#		
Fillmore	14-15	1	0	0	1		
Gage	17	1	0	1	0		
Jefferson	17	4	0	3	1		
Saline	16	1	1	0	0		
Saline	17	1	0	1	0		
Thayer	17	1	0	1	0		
District Tot	9	1	6	2			

Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court by Case Type, County and Gender							
County	Gender	All Cases	Traffic	Misdemeanor	Felony		
		#	#	#	#		
Fillmore	Unknown	1	0	0	1		
Gage	Unknown	1	0	1	0		
	Female	1	0	1	0		
Jefferson	Male	1	0	1	0		
	Unknown	2	0	1	1		
Saline	Female	1	0	1	0		
Saline	Male	1	1	0	0		
Thayer	Female	1	0	1	0		
District Tot	al	9	1	6	2		

Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court by Case Type, County and Race/Ethnicity							
County	Race	All Cases Traffic		Misdemeanor	Felony		
		#	#	#	#		
Fillmore	White	1	0	0	1		
Gage	Unknown	1	0	1	0		
lofforoon	White	1	0	1	0		
Jefferson	Unknown	3	0	2	1		
Saline	White	2	1	1	0		
Thayer	White	1	0	1	0		
District Tot	al	9	1	6	2		

District 1

Part (b) Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court - Sentence

Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (b) Juveniles prosecuted in adult criminal court for felonies, misdemeanors, and traffic offenses. The information shall include juveniles sentenced to terms in adult jails and prisons and juveniles placed on adult probation.

Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court tables include criminal and traffic cases in county court and criminal cases in district court that reached the point of sentencing in 2017. All sentencing types are included where multiple sentencing types occur within a case (ex. probation/jail). Age is calculated from the age at offense, not the age at sentencing.

Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court by Sentencing District									
		Total Cases		Probation		Jail		Prison	
Demographic	Cohort	Number of Cases	% of Total	Number of Cases	% of Cohort	Number of Cases	% of Cohort	Number of Cases	% of Cohort
	14-15	1	11%	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%
Age Group	16	1	11%	1	100%	0	0%	0	0%
	17	7	78%	4	57%	3	43%	0	0%
	Unknown	4	0%	1	0%	2	0%	1	100%
Gender	Male	2	40%	2	100%	0	0%	0	0%
	Female	3	60%	2	57%	1	43%	0	0%
Race/Ethnicity	White	5	100%	4	0%	0	0%	1	100%
	Unknown	4	0%	1	57%	3	43%	0	0%
District Total		9	100%	5	0%	3	0%	1	100%

Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court by Sentence, County and Age Group							
	Λαο	Total Cases	Probation	Jail	Prison		
County	Age Group	Number of Cases	Number of Cases	Number of Cases	Number of Cases		
Fillmore	14-15	1	0	0	1		
Gage	17	1	0	1	0		
Jefferson	17	4	2	2	0		
Saline	16	1	1	0	0		
Saline	17	1	1	0	0		
Thayer	17	1	1	0	0		
District Total	al	9	5	3	1		

Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court by Sentence, County and Gender								
		Total Cases	Probation	Jail	Prison			
County	Gender	Number of Cases	Number of Cases	Number of Cases	Number of Cases			
Fillmore	Unknown	1	0	0	1			
Gage	Unknown	1	0	1	0			
	Female	1	0	1	0			
Jefferson	Male	1	1	0	0			
	Unknown	2	1	1	0			
Colina	Female	1	1	0	0			
Saline	Male	1	1	0	0			
Thayer	Female	1	1	0	0			
District Tot	al	9	5	3	1			

Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court by County and Race/Ethnicity Total Jail Prison Cases Probation County Race Number Number Number Number of of of of Cases Cases Cases Cases Fillmore White 0 0 1 1 Gage Unknown 1 0 1 0 White 1 0 1 0 Jefferson Unknown 3 1 2 0 2 Saline White 2 0 0 Thayer White 1 1 0 0 9 5 3 1 **District Total**

District 1

Part (c) Motions to Transfer Cases and Cases Transferred

Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (c) The number of motions to transfer and the number of cases transferred to adult court from juvenile court and from adult criminal court to juvenile court.

The data for Motions to Transfer Cases and Cases Transferred consists of county court and district court adult criminal cases and county court and separate juvenile court juvenile cases within the same county. Motions to transfer cases and cases transferred that were entered in 2017 were included in the file. Cases may have been filed previously and transferred in 2017. There can be multiple motions to transfer a case and all are reported; transfers occur once per case.

Motions to Transfer Courts and Transfers District										
		Juvenile Court to Adult Court				Adu	Adult Court to Juvenile Court			
Demographic	Cohort	Motion to Transfer Juv to Adult	% of Total	Transfers Juv to Adult	% of Total	Motion to Transfer Adult to Juv	% of Total	Transfers Adult to Juv	% of Total	
	14-15	0		0		1	50%	1	50%	
Age Group	16	0		0		1	50%	1	50%	
	17	7	100%	3	100%	0		0		
	Female	1	14%	0		0		0		
Gender	Male	6	86%	3	100%	1	100%	2	100%	
	Unknown	0		0		1		0		
	Hispanic	1	50%	0		0		0		
Race/Ethnicity	White	1	50%	1	100%	2	100%	2	100%	
	Unknown	5		2		0		0		
District Total		7		3		2		2		

Motions to Transfer Courts and Transfers, County and Age Group									
			le Court to It Court	Adult Court to Juvenile Court					
County	Age Group	Motion to Transfer	Cases Transfered	Motion to Transfer	Cases Transfered				
Fillmore	14-15	0	0	1	0				
Jefferson	16	0	0	1	1				
Calina	14-15	0	0	0	1				
Saline	17	7	3	0	0				
District Tot	al	7	3	2	2				

Motions to Transfer Courts and Transfers, County and Gender									
			le Court to It Court	Adult Court to Juvenile Court					
County	Gender	Motion to Transfer Transfered		Motion Cases Transfered to Transfer					
Fillmore	Unknown	0	0	1	0				
Jefferson	Male	0	0	1	1				
Saline	Female	1	0	0	0				
Sallile	Male	6	3	0	1				
District Tot	al	7	3	2	2				

Motions to Transfer Courts and Transfers, County and Race/Ethnicity									
			le Court to It Court	Adult Court to Juvenile Court					
County	Race/ Ethnicity	Motion to Transfer	Cases Transfered	Motion to Transfer	Cases Transfered				
Fillmore	White	0	0	1	0				
Jefferson	White	0	0	1	1				
	Hispanic	1	0	0	0				
Saline	White	1	1	0	1				
	Unknown	5	2	0	0				
District Tot	al	7	3	2	2				

District 1

Part (d) Juvenile Probation Activity

Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (d) Juveniles placed on juvenile probation, the number of juveniles on probation in out-of-home care, the number of juveniles completing probation, the number of motions to revoke probation and probation revocations, and the average length of time on probation.

The Juvenile Probation Activity data is provided from the Administrative Office of Probation's case management system, Nebraska Probation Application for Community Safety (NPACS). The table reports the number and percent of youth with various activity in 2017. Youth are counted once in each category, regardless of the number of out-of-home placements, motions to revoke, or cases they may have in the court system. Age groups are calculated specific to the activity as footnoted.

Juvenile Probation Activity District										
Domographic	Cohort	Place Prob		Out-of Place		Motions to Revoke		Satisfactory Release		
Demographic	Conort	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	
	10 & under	2	1%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
	11-13	31	13%	14	15%	4	6%	14	8%	
A O	14-15	82	35%	37	39%	15	23%	79	46%	
Age Group	16	44	19%	22	23%	16	25%	34	20%	
	17	70	30%	16	17%	20	31%	40	24%	
	18	4	2%	6	6%	9	14%	3	2%	
Condon	Female	53	23%	20	21%	12	19%	56	33%	
Gender	Male	180	77%	75	79%	52	81%	114	67%	
	Am. Indian	4	2%	0	0%	0	0%	2	1%	
Dese	Black	10	4%	3	3%	4	6%	10	6%	
Race	White	191	82%	80	84%	46	72%	137	81%	
	Other	28	12%	12	13%	14	22%	21	12%	
Cthnicity.	Hispanic	27	12%	10	11%	12	19%	21	12%	
Ethnicity	Not Hispanic	206	88%	85	89%	52	81%	149	88%	
District Total		233	100%	95	100%	64	100%	170	100%	

^{1.} Age at which the probation term began. 2. Age at the first admission to out-of-home placement. 3. Age as of the file date for motion to revoke. 4. Age as of the disposition date for motion to revoke. 5. Age as of the disposition date for discharge from probation.

Juvenile Probation Activity by County and Age Group									
County	Age Group	Placed on Probation	Out-of- home Placement	Motions to Revoke	Satisfactory Release				
		# of Youth	# of Youth	# of Youth	# of Youth				
	14-15	2	2	0	1				
Clay	16	2	0	0	3				
Clay	17	2	2	0	0				
	18	0	0	0	1				
	14-15	1	0	0	0				
T:II	16	2	0	0	1				
Fillmore	17	1	2	0	0				
	18	0	1	0	0				
	11-13	12	0	2	6				
0	14-15	28	10	2	24				
Gage	16	14	6	4	14				
	17	34	4	8	18				
	11-13	4	4	0	2				
	14-15	16	8	4	14				
Jefferson	16	6	6	4	2				
	17	6	2	4	10				
	18	0	4	6	2				
	14-15	2	2	2	0				
Johnson	18	2	0	2	0				
	11-13	4	2	0	2				
Nemaha	14-15	0	2	0	8				
	16	2	2	0	2				
	11-13	1	0	0	0				
	14-15	1	1	1	0				
Nuckolls	17	1	0	0	0				
	18	0	1	1	0				
Pawnee	16	2	0	0	0				
	10 & under	2	0	0	0				
	11-13	2	0	0	2				
Richardson	14-15	8	4	2	2				
	16	8	4	2	0				
	17	6	0	0	2				
Saline	11-13	4	2	0	2				

Juvenile Probation Activity by County and Age Group									
County	Age Group	Placed Out-of- on home Probation Placement		Motions to Revoke	Satisfactory Release				
		# of Youth	# of Youth	# of Youth	# of Youth				
	14-15	16	4	2	18				
Saline	16	4	2	4	12				
Saline	17	18	6	8	10				
	18	2	0	0	0				
	11-13	4	6	2	0				
Thouar	14-15	8	4	2	12				
Thayer	16	4	2	2	0				
	17	2	0	0	0				
District Tota	al	233	95	64	170				

			bation Acti and Gende		
County	Gender	Placed on Probation	Out-of- home Placement	Motions to Revoke	Satisfactory Release
		# of Youth	# of Youth	# of Youth	# of Youth
Clay	Female	1	1		
Clay	Male	5	3		5
Fillmore	Female		1		
Fillinore	Male	4	2		1
Cogo	Female	24	6	4	28
Gage	Male	64	14	12	34
Jefferson	Female	8	4	4	12
Jellerson	Male	24	20	14	18
Johnson	Male	4	2	4	
Nemaha	Female	2	4		2
ivemana	Male	4	2		10
Nuckolls	Male	3	2	2	
Pawnee	Male	2			
Dieberdeen	Female	4			
Richardson	Male	22	8	4	6
Saline	Female	12		4	10
Sailile	Male	32	14	10	32
Thouar	Female	2	4		4
Thayer	Male	16	8	6	8
District Tota	al	233	95	64	170

			n Activity -	Cases	
County	Race	Placed on Probation	Out-of- home Placement	Motions to Revoke	Satisfactory Release
		# of Youth	# of Youth	# of Youth	# of Youth
Clavi	White	6	4		4
Clay	Other				1
Fillmore	Black		1		
rillillore	White	4	2		1
	Black	6		2	
Gage	White	82	20	14	58
	Other				4
	Black				4
Jefferson	White	32	22	14	24
	Other		2	4	2
Johnson	White	4	2	4	
Nemaha	White	4	4		12
Nemana	Other	2	2		
Nuckolls	White	3	2	2	
Pawnee	White	2			
	Am. Indian	2			
Richardson	Black	2	2		4
	White	22	6	4	2
	Am. Indian	2			2
Colina	Black	2		2	2
Saline	White	20	10	4	24
	Other	20	4	8	14
Thouar	White	12	8	4	12
Thayer	Other	6	4	2	
District Tota	al	233	95	64	170

	Juvenile Probation Activity - Cases by County and Ethnicity									
County	Ethnicity	Placed on Probation	Out-of- home Placement	Motions to Revoke	Satisfactory Release					
		# of Youth	# of Youth	# of Youth	# of Youth					
Clay	Hispanic				1					
Clay	Not Hispanic	6	4		4					
Fillmore	Hispanic	4	2		1					
Fillinore	Not Hispanic		1							
	Hispanic				4					
Gage	Hispanic	82	20	14	58					
	Not Hispanic	6		2						
	Hispanic		2	4	2					
Jefferson	Hispanic	32	22	14	24					
	Not Hispanic				4					
Johnson	Not Hispanic	4	2	4						
Nemaha	Not Hispanic	2	2							
Nemana	Not Hispanic	4	4		12					
Nuckolls	Not Hispanic	3	2	2						
Pawnee	Not Hispanic	2								
	Not Hispanic	2								
Richardson	Not Hispanic	2	2		4					
	Not Hispanic	22	6	4	2					
	Hispanic	20	4	8	14					
Saline	Hispanic	20	10	4	24					
Sallile	Not Hispanic	2			2					
	Not Hispanic	2		2	2					
Thayer	Hispanic	6	4	2						
Illayel	Not Hispanic	12	8	4	12					
District Tota	al	233	95	64	170					

District 1

Part (d) Juvenile Probation - Youth Risk Level and Length of Probation

Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (d) Juveniles placed on juvenile probation, the number of juveniles on probation in out-of-home care, the number of juveniles completing probation, the number of motions to revoke probation and probation revocations, and the average length of time on probation.

Youth Level of Service/Case Management Inventory (YLS/CMI) is an assessment tool used to determine the level of risk and appropriate placement for youth on probation. The following table shows the classification results of youth upon entry and exit from probation. Youth that are determined to be low or moderate low risk go through Juvenile Community-Based Resource (JCBR/Low/Moderate Low), those determined to be high or moderate high risk go through Juvenile Community-Based Intervention (JCBI/High/Moderate HighRisk)

	Youth Risk Levels and Length of Probation District									
		Initial Risk	Level		Final Risk	Average Length of Probation				
Demo- graphic	Cohort	JCBR/ Low/ Moderate Low	JCBI/ High	JCBR/ Low	JCBI/ High/ Moderate High	No Assessment**	Total Youth	Avg Length of Probation		
	10 & under	2					0			
	11-13	25	6	2	2		4	8.2		
	14-15	54	28	33	12		45	8.2		
Age Group	16	30	14	29	5		34	11.1		
	17	40	30	34	18	2	54	11.1		
	18	2	2	28	17		45	10.7		
	19 & over			2	5		7	17.7		
Gender	Female	26	27	48	17		65	8.6		
Gender	Male	127	53	80	42	2	124	11.5		
	Am. Indian	4		2			2	12.0		
Doos	Black	4	6	6	2		8	6.2		
Race	White	125	66	102	44		146	9.5		
	Other	20	8	18	13	2	33	16.0		
Ethnicity	Hispanic	21	6	16	11	2	29	16.7		
Ethnicity	Not Hispanic	132	74	112	48		160	9.4		
District Tot	al	153	80	128	59	2	189	10.5		

	Youth Risk Levels and Length of Probation by County and Age Group										
		Initial Risk	Level		Final Risk	Level	Avera of P	ge Length robation			
County	Age Group	JCBR/ Low/ Moderate Low	JCBI/ High	JCBR/ Low	JCBI/ High/ Moderate High	No Assessment**	Total Youth	Avg Length of Probation			
	14-15		2				0				
	16	2		2	1		3	9.0			
Clay	17	1	1	2			2	11.5			
	18				2		2	15.2			
	19 & over				1		1	40.0			
	14-15	1					0				
Fillmore	16	2		1			1	6.0			
	17		1				0				
	11-13	10	2				0				
	14-15	22	6	14	4		18	8.0			
Gage	16	12	2	10			10	7.6			
	17	18	16	14	14		28	7.2			
	18			6	2		8	14.0			
	11-13	4					0				
	14-15	8	8	4	2		6	11.3			
Jefferson	16	2	4	4	2		6	10.8			
	17	4	2	4			4	18.0			
	18			12	8		20	8.6			
	14-15	2					0				
Johnson	18		2				0				
	19 & over				2		2	9.0			
	11-13	4		2			2	4.0			
	14-15			2			2	8.0			
Nemaha	16		2	2			2	12.0			
Nemana	17			2			2	7.0			
	18			2			2	6.0			
	19 & over			2			2	5.0			
	11-13	1					0				
Muckella	14-15	1		1			1	3.0			
Nuckolls	17	1					0				
	18				1		1	13.0			
Pawnee	16	2					0				

	Youth Risk Levels and Length of Probation by County and Age Group										
		Initial Risk	Level		Final Risk	Average Length of Probation					
County	Age Group	JCBR/ Low/ Moderate Low	JCBI/ High	JCBR/ Low	JCBI/ High/ Moderate High	No Assessment**	Total Youth	Avg Length of Probation			
	10 & under	2					0				
	11-13	2					0				
	14-15	2	6	4			4	5.5			
Richardson	16	8					0				
	17	4	2	4			4	9.5			
	18				2		2	8.0			
	19 & over				2		2	28.0			
	11-13	4					0				
	14-15	12	4	4	2		6	8.3			
Saline	16	2	2	8			8	18.1			
	17	10	8	6	4	2	12	17.8			
	18	2		6	2		8	12.5			
	11-13		4		2		2	12.5			
	14-15	6	2	4	4		8	8.2			
Thayer	16		4	2	2		4	8.3			
	17	2		2			2	18.0			
	18			2			2	13.5			
District Tota	al	153	80	128	59	2	189	10.5			

		Youth l			Length of P d Gender	robation		
		Initial Risk	Level		Final Risk	Level		e Length of obation
County	Gender	JCBR/ Low/ Moderate Low	JCBI/ High	JCBR/ Low	JCBI/High/ Moderate High	No Assessment**	Total Youth	Avg Length of Probation
Clay	Female		1		1		1	11.0
Clay	Male	3	2	4	3		7	15.6
Fillmore	Male		1	6.0				
Cono	Female		30	6.3				
Gage	Male 52 12 22 12						34	10.1
Jefferson	Female	2	6	12	4		16	11.6
Jellerson	Male	16	8	12	8		20	9.6
Johnson	Male	2	2		2		2	9.0
Nemaha	Female		2	2			2	7.0
inemana	Male	4		10			10	7.0
Nuckolls	Male	3		1	1		2	8.0
Pawnee	Male	2					0	
Richardson	Female	4			2		2	28.0
Richardson	Male	14	8	8	2		10	7.6
Saline	Female	8	4	8	2		10	7.2
Sallile	Male	22	10	16	6	2	24	18.2
Thouar	Female	2		4			4	8.5
Thayer	Male	6	10	6	8		14	11.0
District Total		153	80	128	59	2	189	10.5

	Υ	outh Risk			ength of F 1d Race	Probation		
		Initial Risk	Level		Final Risk	Level		ge Length robation
County	Race	JCBR/ Low/ Moderate Low	JCBI/ High	JCBR/ Low	JCBI/ High/ Moderate High	No Assessment**	Total Youth	Avg Length of Probation
Clay	White	3	3	4	1		5	11.8
Clay	Other				3		3	20.5
Fillmore	White	3	1	1			1	6.0
	Black	4	2				0	
Gage	White	58	24	40	20		60	8.4
	Other			4			4	7.0
	Black			2			2	11.0
Jefferson	White	18	14	20	10		30	10.0
	Other			2	2		4	13.5
Johnson	White	2	2		2		2	9.0
Nemaha	White	2	2	12			12	7.0
ivernana	Other	2					0	
Nuckolls	White	3		1	1		2	8.0
Pawnee	White	2					0	
	Am. Indian	2					0	
Richardson	Black		2	4			4	5.5
	White	16	6	4	4		8	13.8
	Am. Indian	2		2			2	12.0
Saline	Black		2		2		2	3.0
Janne	White	14	6	12			12	12.1
	Other	14	6	10	6	2	18	18.5
Thayer	White	4	8	8	6		14	9.7
Пауе	Other	4	2	2	2		4	13.0
District Tota	al	153	80	128	59	2	189	10.5

					ngth of Pro Ethnicity	obation		
		Initial Risk	Level		Final Risk	Level		e Length of obation
County	Ethnicity	JCBR/ Low/ Moderate Low	JCBI/ High	JCBR/ Low	JCBI/ High/ Moderate High	No Assessment**	Total Youth	Avg Length of Probation
Clay	Hispanic				3		3	20.5
Clay	Not Hispanic	3	3	4	1		5	11.8
Fillmore	Hispanic	1					0	
rillillore	Not Hispanic	2	1	1			1	6.0
Gage	Hispanic	4		4			4	7.0
Gaye	Not Hispanic	58	26	40	20		60	8.4
Jefferson	Hispanic			2	2		4	15.5
Jelieisoli	Not Hispanic	18	14	22	10		32	9.9
Johnson	Not Hispanic	2	2		2		2	9.0
Nemaha	Not Hispanic	4	2	12			12	7.0
Nuckolls	Not Hispanic	3		1	1		2	8.0
Pawnee	Not Hispanic	2					0	
Richardson	Not Hispanic	18	8	8	4		12	11.0
Saline	Hispanic	14	6	10	6	2	18	18.5
Sallile	Not Hispanic	16	8	14	2		16	11.0
Thouar	Hispanic	2					0	
Thayer	Not Hispanic	6	10	10	8		18	10.4
District Tota	I	153	80	128	59	2	189	10.5

District 1

Part (e) Juveniles Access to Counsel

Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (e) juveniles with and without access to counsel in juvenile and adult court, both appointed and retained.

The Juveniles Access to Counsel table displays the percent of juveniles represented by an attorney in criminal cases from county court and district court and juvenile cases from county court and separate juvenile court. Data includes new cases filed and cases transferred from one county to another during calendar year 2017. Cases filed in 2017 may not have reached the sentencing/adjudication stage of proceedings.

Juvenile Access to Counsel District												
			Criminal C	ourt Cases	;		Juvenile C	ourt Cases	i			
Demographic	Cohort	Total Criminal Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel	Total Juvenile Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel			
	10 & under					14	6	8	57%			
	11-13					52	20	32	62%			
Age Group	14-15	3	2	1	33%	121	67	54	45%			
	16	4	3	1	25%	67	44	23	34%			
	17	30	14	16	53%	105	56	49	47%			
	Female	7	5	2	29%	111	67	44	40%			
Gender	Male	21	12	9	43%	231	114	117	51%			
	Unknown	9	2	7	78%	17	12	5	29%			
	Am. Indian					1	1	0	0%			
	Black					5	2	3	60%			
Race/Ethnicity	Hispanic	1	1	0	0%	22	9	13	59%			
	White	21	15	6	29%	68	38	30	44%			
	Unknown	15	3	12	80%	263	143	120	46%			
District Total		37	19	18	49%	359	193	166	46%			

Juvenile Access to Counsel by County and Age Group												
			Criminal C	ourt Cases	3	_	Juvenile C	ourt Cases	;			
County	Age Group	Total Criminal Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel	Total Juvenile Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel			
Fillmore	14-15	1	0	1	100%							
	10 & under					9	3	6	67%			
	11-13					24	8	16	67%			
Gage	14-15					39	23	16	41%			
	16	1	1	0	0%	33	22	11	33%			
	17	6	1	5	83%	47	27	20	43%			
	10 & under					2	2	0	0%			
	11-13					6	3	3	50%			
Jefferson	14-15					27	19	8	30%			
	16					7	5	2	29%			
	17	7	3	4	57%	12	10	2	17%			
	14-15	1	1	0	0%	3	1	2	67%			
Johnson	16					1	1	0	0%			
	17					2	1	1	50%			
	11-13					2	0	2	100%			
Nemaha	16					1	1	0	0%			
	17	2	2	0	0%							
	11-13					1	0	1	100%			
	14-15					4	1	3	75%			
Pawnee	16					3	3	0	0%			
	17	2	1	1	50%	1	1	0	0%			
	10 & under					2	1	1	50%			
	11-13					10	6	4	40%			
Richardson	14-15	1	1	0	0%	15	8	7	47%			
	16	1	1	0	0%	4	2	2	50%			
	17	4	3	1	25%	10	6	4	40%			
	10 & under					1	0	1	100%			
	11-13					6	2	4	67%			
Saline	14-15					27	13	14	52%			
	16	1	1	0	0%	17	10	7	41%			
	17	7	4	3	43%	29	8	21	72%			
	11-13	-	-	_		3	1	2	67%			
Thayer	14-15					6	2	4	67%			

	Juvenile Access to Counsel by County and Age Group											
			Criminal C	Juvenile C	e Court Cases							
County	Age Group	Total Criminal Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel	Total Juvenile Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel			
Thouar	16	1	0	1	100%	1	0	1	100%			
Illayel	Thayer 17 2 0 2 100% 4 3 1 25%											
District Tota	District Total 37 19 18 49% 359 193 166 46%											

				le Access ounty an					
			Criminal C	ourt Cases	;		Juvenile C	ourt Cases	;
County	Gender	Total Criminal Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel	Total Juvenile Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel
Fillmore	Unknown	1	0	1	100%				
	Female					62	36	26	42%
Gage	Male					88	45	43	49%
	Unknown	7	2	5	71%	2	2	0	0%
	Female					10	6	4	40%
Jefferson	Male	6	3	3	50%	34	25	9	26%
	Unknown	1	0	1	100%	10	8	2	20%
	Female	1	1	0	0%	1	1	0	0%
Johnson	Male					4	1	3	75%
	Unknown					1	1	0	0%
Namaha	Female					1	1	0	0%
Nemaha	Male	2	2	0	0%	2	0	2	100%
Davinas	Female	1	0	1	100%	2	2	0	0%
Pawnee	Male	1	1	0	0%	7	3	4	57%
	Female	1	1	0	0%	12	9	3	25%
Richardson	Male	5	4	1	20%	27	14	13	48%
	Unknown					2	0	2	100%
	Female	4	3	1	25%	21	11	10	48%
Saline	Male	4	2	2	50%	58	22	36	62%
	Unknown					1	0	1	100%
	Female					2	1	1	50%
Thayer	Male	3	0	3	100%	11	4	7	64%
	Unknown					1	1	0	0%
District Tota	ı	37	19	18	49%	359	193	166	46%

		1	Juvenil by Count	e Access y and Ra					
			Criminal C	ourt Cases	3		Juvenile C	ourt Cases	;
County	Race/ Ethnicity	Total Criminal Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel	Total Juvenile Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel
Fillmore	White	1	0	1	100%				
	Black					4	1	3	75%
Gage	Hispanic					2	0	2	100%
Gage	White					1	1	0	0%
	Unknown	7	2	5	71%	145	81	64	44%
	Black					1	1	0	0%
Jefferson	Hispanic					2	2	0	0%
Jellerson	White	4	3	1	25%	1	1	0	0%
	Unknown	3	0	3	100%	50	35	15	30%
	Hispanic					1	1	0	0%
Johnson	White	1	1	0	0%	2	1	1	50%
	Unknown					3	1	2	67%
Nemaha	White	2	2	0	0%				
iveillalla	Unknown					3	1	2	67%
Pawnee	White	2	1	1	50%	7	3	4	57%
Pawnee	Unknown					2	2	0	0%
Richardson	White	6	5	1	17%	22	14	8	36%
Richardson	Unknown					19	9	10	53%
	Am. Indian					1	1	0	0%
Soline	Hispanic	1	1	0	0%	17	6	11	65%
Saline	White	4	3	1	25%	34	17	17	50%
	Unknown	3	1	2	67%	28	9	19	68%
Thaver	White	1	0	1	100%	1	1	0	0%
Thayer	Unknown	2	0	2	100%	13	5	8	62%
District Tota	I	37	19	18	49%	359	193	166	46%

District 2

Part (a) Juvenile Petitions and Adjudications

Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (a) Juveniles prosecuted in juvenile court under subdivision (1), (2), (3)(b), or (4) of section 43-247, including the total number of filings and adjudications.

The Juvenile Petitions and Adjudications tables report the number of new juvenile cases and corresponding percent of adjudications by case type and demographic group for cases filed in 2017. The data consists of both county court and separate juvenile court cases. Only the most serious offense is counted for cases with multiple charges, pleas or findings. Cases are counted in the Admit column if at least one charge was admitted to in court. Supplemental petitions are not included in this data set. Cases filed in November or December of 2017 may not have reached the adjudication stage of proceedings. Juvenile cases where the age is unknown are included in the report.

	Juve	enile Pe	titions		djudica trict	ition by	y Case	Туре		
Demo-		Stat	tus Offe	nse	Mis	sdemear	nor		Felony	
graphic	Cohort	Cases	Admit	% Admit	Cases	Admit	% Admit	Cases	Admit	% Admit
	10 & under	2	1	50%	1	0	0%	0	0	0%
	11-13	7	3	43%	16	7	44%	4	4	100%
Age Group	14-15	22	11	50%	88	54	61%	20	19	95%
	16	18	10	56%	92	68	74%	9	7	78%
	17	14	7	50%	61	36	59%	6	6	100%
	Female	35	16	46%	68	41	60%	2	2	100%
Gender	Male	27	16	59%	189	123	65%	37	34	92%
	Unknown	1	0	0%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%
	Am. Indian	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%
	Asian/P.I.	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	1	100%
	Black	4	2	50%	24	12	50%	5	4	80%
Race/ Ethnicity	Hispanic	0	0	0%	2	2	100%	0	0	0%
	White	20	12	60%	144	108	75%	24	22	92%
	Other	1	1	100%	14	11	79%	3	3	100%
	Unknown	38	17	45%	73	31	42%	6	6	100%
District T	otal	63	32	51%	258	165	64%	39	36	92%

	Juvenile Cases and Adjudication by Case Type, County and Age Group												
		Stat	tus Offe	nse	Mis	sdemear	nor	Felony					
County	Age Group	Cases	Admit	% Admit	Cases	Admit	% Admit	Cases	Admit	% Admit			
	10 & under	2	1	50%	1	0	0%	0	0	0%			
	11-13	0	0	0%	2	1	50%	0	0	0%			
Cass	14-15	3	2	67%	20	15	75%	1	1	100%			
	16	2	1	50%	14	12	86%	1	1	100%			
	17	3	2	67%	9	9	100%	0	0	0%			
	11-13	1	0	0%	4	1	25%	0	0	0%			
Ota-	14-15	5	1	20%	19	5	26%	2	2	100%			
Otoe	16	5	2	40%	13	3	23%	1	1	100%			
	17	3	0	0%	13	4	31%	0	0	0%			
	11-13	6	3	50%	10	5	50%	4	4	100%			
Carny	14-15	14	8	57%	49	34	69%	17	16	94%			
Sarpy	16	11	7	64%	65	53	82%	7	5	71%			
	17	8	5	62%	39	23	59%	6	6	100%			
District To	tal	63	32	51%	258	165	64%	39	36	92%			

Juvenile Cases and Adjudication by Case Type, County and Gender												
		Sta	tus Offer	nse	Mis	demear	nor	Felony				
County	Gender	Cases	Admit	% Admit	Cases	Admit	% Admit	Cases	Admit	% Admit		
	Female	3	2	67%	9	7	78%	0	0	0%		
Cass	Male	7	4	57%	36	29	81%	2	2	100%		
	Unknown	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%		
Otoe	Female	13	2	15%	15	3	20%	0	0	0%		
Otoe	Male	1	1	100%	34	10	29%	3	3	100%		
	Female	19	12	63%	44	31	70%	2	2	100%		
Sarpy	Sarpy Male		11	58%	119	84	71%	32	29	91%		
	Unknown		0	0%	0	0	0%	0	0	0%		
District To	tal	63	32	51%	258	165	64%	39	36	92%		

Juvenile Cases and Adjudication by Case Type, County and Race/Ethnicity												
		Sta	tus Offe	nse	Mis	sdemear	nor	Felony				
County	Race	Cases	Admit	% Admit	Cases	Admit	% Admit	Cases	Admit	% Admit		
Cass	White	2	2	100%	30	26	87%	0	0	0%		
Cass	Unknown	8	4	50%	16	11	69%	2	2	100%		
Otoe	White	0	0	0%	1	0	0%	0	0	0%		
Olde	Unknown	14	3	21%	48	13	27%	3	3	100%		
	Am. Indian	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%		
	Asian/P.I.	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	1	100%		
	Black	4	2	50%	24	12	50%	5	4	80%		
Sarpy	Hispanic	0	0	0%	2	2	100%	0	0	0%		
	White	18	10	56%	113	82	73%	24	22	92%		
	Other	1	1	100%	14	11	79%	3	3	100%		
	Unknown	16	10	62%	9	7	78%	1	1	100%		
District To	tal	63	32	51%	258	165	64%	39	36	92%		

District 2

Part (b) Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court - Case Type

Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (b) Juveniles prosecuted in adult criminal court for felonies, misdemeanors, and traffic offenses. The information shall include juveniles sentenced to terms in adult jails and prisons and juveniles placed on adult probation.

Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court tables consist of criminal and traffic cases in county court and criminal cases in district court that reached the point of sentencing in 2017. Cases with multiple charges are counted once per case and reported as the most severe offense. Cases for juveniles ages 13 and below must be filed and stay in juvenile court; however, judges have the authority to allow these cases in criminal court. Therefore, only juveniles ages 14 through 17 at the time of the offense appear in adult criminal court data, with rare exceptions.

Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court by Case Type District											
		All C	ases	Misder	neanor	Felony					
Demographic	Cohort	Total Cases	% of Total	Total Cases	% of Total for Case Type Group	Total Cases	% of Total for Case Type Group				
Age Group	16	1	11%	0		1	17%				
	17	8	89%	3	100%	5	83%				
Gender	Female	1	11%	1	33%	0					
	Male	8	89%	2	67%	6	100%				
Race/Ethnicity	Am. Indian	2	25%	0		2	33%				
	Black	1	12%	0		1	17%				
	White	5	62%	2	100%	3	50%				
	Unknown	1		1		0					
District Total		9	100%	3	33%	6	67%				

Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court by Case Type, County and Age Group									
County Age Group All Cases Traffic Misdemeanor Felon									
	Огоцр	#	#	#	#				
Cass	17	1	0	0	1				
Otoe	17	1	0	0	1				
Carny	16	1	0	0	1				
Sarpy 17 6 0 3 3									
District To	tal	9	0	3	6				

Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court by Case Type, County and Gender								
County Gender All Cases Traffic Misdemeanor Felor								
		#	#	#	#			
Cass	Male	1	0	0	1			
Otoe	Male	1	0	0	1			
Campu	Female	1	0	1	0			
Sarpy Male 6 0 2 4								
District To	tal	9	0	3	6			

Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court by Case Type, County and Race/Ethnicity							
County Race All Cases Misdemeanor							
		#	#	#			
Cass	White	1	0	1			
Otoe	White	1	0	1			
	Am. Indian	2	0	2			
Carny	Black	1	0	1			
Sarpy	White	3	2	1			
Unknown 1 1 0							
District To	tal	9	3	6			

District 2

Part (b) Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court - Sentence

Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (b) Juveniles prosecuted in adult criminal court for felonies, misdemeanors, and traffic offenses. The information shall include juveniles sentenced to terms in adult jails and prisons and juveniles placed on adult probation.

Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court tables include criminal and traffic cases in county court and criminal cases in district court that reached the point of sentencing in 2017. All sentencing types are included where multiple sentencing types occur within a case (ex. probation/jail). Age is calculated from the age at offense, not the age at sentencing.

	Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court by Sentencing District										
		Total C	ases	Prob	ation	Ja	ail	Pris	on		
Demographic	Cohort	Number of Cases	% of Total	Number of Cases	% of Cohort	Number of Cases	% of Cohort	Number of Cases	% of Cohort		
Ago Croup	16	1	11%	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%		
Age Group	17	8	89%	1	12%	6	75%	1	12%		
Gender	Male	8	89%	1	0%	5	0%	2	100%		
Gender	Female	1	11%	0	12%	1	75%	0	12%		
	Black	1	12%	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%		
Dogg/Ethnicity	Unknown	1	0%	0	12%	1	75%	0	12%		
Race/Ethnicity	Am. Indian	2	25%	0	12%	2	75%	0	12%		
	White	5	62%	1	12%	3	75%	1	12%		
District Total		9	100%	1	0%	6	0%	2	100%		

Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court by Sentence, County and Age Group								
	٨٥٥	Total Cases	Probation	Jail	Prison			
County	Age Group	Number of Cases	Number of Cases	Number of Cases	Number of Cases			
Cass	17	1	0	1	0			
Otoe	17	1	1	0	0			
Carny	16	1	0	0	1			
Saipy	Sarpy 17 6 0 5 1							
District To	tal	9	1	6	2			

Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court by Sentence, County and Gender							
		Total Cases	Probation	Jail	Prison		
County	Gender	Number of Cases	Number of Cases	Number of Cases	Number of Cases		
Cass	Male	1	0	1	0		
Otoe	Male	1	1	0	0		
Corny	Female	1	0	1	0		
Sarpy Male 6 0 4 2							
District To	tal	9	1	6	2		

Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court by County and Race/Ethnicity Total Jail Prison Cases Probation County Race Number Number Number Number of of of of Cases Cases Cases Cases Cass White 0 1 0 1 Otoe White 1 1 0 0 Am. Indian 2 0 2 0 1 Black 0 0 1 Sarpy White 3 0 2 1 Unknown 1 0 1 0 9 1 6 2 **District Total**

District 2

Part (c) Motions to Transfer Cases and Cases Transferred

Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (c) The number of motions to transfer and the number of cases transferred to adult court from juvenile court and from adult criminal court to juvenile court.

The data for Motions to Transfer Cases and Cases Transferred consists of county court and district court adult criminal cases and county court and separate juvenile court juvenile cases within the same county. Motions to transfer cases and cases transferred that were entered in 2017 were included in the file. Cases may have been filed previously and transferred in 2017. There can be multiple motions to transfer a case and all are reported; transfers occur once per case.

Motions to Transfer Courts and Transfers District											
		Juven	ile Cour	t to Adult Co	ourt	Adu	It Court 1	to Juvenile Cour	t		
Demographic	Cohort	Motion to Transfer Juv to Adult	% of Total	Transfers Juv to Adult	% of Total	Motion to Transfer Adult to Juv	% of Total	Transfers Adult to Juv	% of Total		
	14-15	1	20%	0		1	50%	2	20%		
Age Group	16	2	40%	0		1	50%	6	60%		
	17	2	40%	0		0		2	20%		
	Female	0		0		0		1	10%		
Gender	Male	4	100%	0		2	100%	9	90%		
	Unknown	1		0		0		0			
	Black	0		0		0		1	10%		
Dogg/Ethnicity	Hispanic	1	100%	0		0		0			
Race/Ethnicity	White	0		0		2	100%	9	90%		
	Unknown	4		0		0		0			
District Total		5		0		2		10			

Motions to Transfer Courts and Transfers, County and Age Group										
			le Court to It Court	Adult Court to Juvenile Court						
County	Age Group	Motion to Transfer	Cases Transfered	Motion to Transfer	Cases Transfered					
	14-15	1	0	0	0					
Cass	16	2	0	0	0					
	17	1	0	0	0					
Otoe	17	1	0	0	0					
	14-15	0	0	1	2					
Sarpy	16	0	0	1	6					
	17	0	0	0	2					
District To	tal	5	0	2	10					

Motions to Transfer Courts and Transfers, County and Gender									
			le Court to It Court	Adult Court to Juvenile Court					
County	Gender	Motion to Transfer Transfered		Motion to Transfer	Cases Transfered				
Cass	Male	3	0	0	0				
Cass	Unknown	1	0	0	0				
Otoe	Male	1	0	0	0				
Sarny	Female	0	0	0	1				
Sarpy		0	0	2	9				
District To	tal	5	0	2	10				

Motions to Transfer Courts and Transfers, County and Race/Ethnicity									
			le Court to It Court	Adult Court to Juvenile Court					
County	Race/ Ethnicity	Motion to Transfer Transfered		Motion to Transfer	Cases Transfered				
Cass	Hispanic	1	0	0	0				
Cass	Unknown	3	0	0	0				
Otoe	Unknown	1	0	0	0				
Carny	Black	0	0	0	1				
Sarpy	White	0	0	2	9				
District To	tal	2	10						

Part (d) Juvenile Probation Activity

Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (d) Juveniles placed on juvenile probation, the number of juveniles on probation in out-of-home care, the number of juveniles completing probation, the number of motions to revoke probation and probation revocations, and the average length of time on probation.

The Juvenile Probation Activity data is provided from the Administrative Office of Probation's case management system, Nebraska Probation Application for Community Safety (NPACS). The table reports the number and percent of youth with various activity in 2017. Youth are counted once in each category, regardless of the number of out-of-home placements, motions to revoke, or cases they may have in the court system. Age groups are calculated specific to the activity as footnoted.

	Juvenile Probation Activity District										
Domographic	Cohort	Placed on Probation		Out-of-home Placement		Motions to Revoke		Satisfactory Release			
Demographic	Conort	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total		
	11-13	6	1%	6	2%	2	2%	12	4%		
	14-15	110	24%	72	28%	12	12%	78	23%		
Age Group	16	140	31%	78	30%	22	23%	86	26%		
	17	158	35%	76	29%	32	33%	116	35%		
	18	42	9%	28	11%	28	29%	44	13%		
Condon	Female	132	29%	84	32%	30	31%	112	33%		
Gender	Male	324	71%	176	68%	66	69%	224	67%		
	Am. Indian	10	2%	4	2%	0	0%	2	1%		
	Asian/P.I.	2	0%	0	0%	0	0%	4	1%		
Race	Black	16	4%	20	8%	6	6%	18	5%		
	White	336	74%	192	74%	66	69%	250	74%		
	Other	92	20%	44	17%	24	25%	62	18%		
Cthnicity.	Hispanic	72	16%	54	21%	20	21%	64	19%		
Ethnicity	Not Hispanic	384	84%	206	79%	76	79%	272	81%		
District Total		456	100%	260	100%	96	100%	336	100%		

^{1.} Age at which the probation term began. 2. Age at the first admission to out-of-home placement. 3. Age as of the file date for motion to revoke. 4. Age as of the disposition date for motion to revoke. 5. Age as of the disposition date for discharge from probation.

			robation A and Age C			
County	Age Group	Placed on Probation	Out-of- home Placement	Motions to Revoke	Satisfactory Release	
	Gloup	# of Youth	# of Youth	# of Youth	# of Youth	
	11-13	0	0	0	6	
	14-15	12	8	0	10	
Cass	16	36	22	0	12	
	17	24	18	0	22	
	18	4	2	0	14	
	11-13	6	0	0	4	
	14-15	4	4	6	6	
Otoe	16	12	8	4	8	
	17	18	16	2	12	
	18	8	4	6	6	
	11-13	0	6	2	2	
	14-15	94	60	6	62	
Sarpy	16	92	48	18	66	
	17	116	42	30	82	
	18	30	22	22	24	
District	Total	456	260	96	336	

	J		obation Acty and Gen		
County	Gender	Placed on Probation	Out-of- home Placement	Motions to Revoke	Satisfactory Release
•		# of Youth	# of Youth	# of Youth	# of Youth
Cooo	Female	22	22		26
Cass	Male	54	28		38
Otoe	Female	16	8	8	18
Otoe	Male	32	24	10	18
Commit	Female	94	54	22	68
Sarpy	Male	238	124	56	168
District	Total	456	260	96	336

	Juvenile Probation Activity - Cases by County and Race									
County	Race	Placed on Probation	Out-of- home Placement	Motions to Revoke	Satisfactory Release					
		# of Youth	# of Youth	# of Youth	# of Youth					
Cass	White	70	48		60					
Cass	Other	6	2		4					
Otoe	White	42	28	16	30					
Otoe	Other	6	4	2	6					
	Am. Indian	10	4		2					
	Asian/P.I.	2			4					
Sarpy	Black	16	20	6	18					
	White	224	116	50	160					
	Other	80	38	22	52					
District	Total	456	260	96	336					

	Juvenile Probation Activity - Cases by County and Ethnicity									
County	Ethnicity	Placed on Probation	Out-of- home Placement	Motions to Revoke	Satisfactory Release					
		# of Youth	# of Youth	# of Youth	# of Youth					
Cass	Hispanic	6	2		4					
Cass	Hispanic	70	48		60					
Otoe	Hispanic 6		4	2	6					
Olde	Hispanic	42	28	16	30					
	Hispanic	2			4					
	Hispanic	80	38	22	52					
Sarpy	Hispanic	224	116	50	160					
	Not Hispanic		4		2					
	Not Hispanic		20	6	18					
District	Total	456	260	96	336					

Part (d) Juvenile Probation - Youth Risk Level and Length of Probation

Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (d) Juveniles placed on juvenile probation, the number of juveniles on probation in out-of-home care, the number of juveniles completing probation, the number of motions to revoke probation and probation revocations, and the average length of time on probation.

Youth Level of Service/Case Management Inventory (YLS/CMI) is an assessment tool used to determine the level of risk and appropriate placement for youth on probation. The following table shows the classification results of youth upon entry and exit from probation. Youth that are determined to be low or moderate low risk go through Juvenile Community-Based Resource (JCBR/Low/Moderate Low), those determined to be high or moderate high risk go through Juvenile Community-Based Intervention (JCBI/High/Moderate HighRisk)

		Yout	h Risk	Levels and L Distric		of Probatio	n		
		In	itial Risl	< Level		Final Risk	Average Length of Probation		
Demo- graphic	Cohort	JCBR/ Low/ Moderate Low	JCBI/ High	No Assessment**	JCBR/ Low	JCBI/ High/ Moderate High	No Assessment**	Total Youth	Avg Length of Probation
	11-13	4	2		2			2	6.0
	14-15	42	56	12	22	16	2	40	9.0
Ago Croup	16	76	52	12	40	26		66	6.6
Age Group	17	96	52	10	62	52		114	10.3
	18	32	10		94	66		160	8.9
	19 & over				8	6		14	14.1
Gender	Female	72	54	6	78	38		116	8.1
Gender	Male	178	118	28	150	128	2	280	9.5
	Am. Indian	6		4	2	2		4	10.5
	Asian/P.I.	2			4			4	7.0
Race	Black	10	6		18	2		20	8.9
	White	190	124	22	164	124	2	290	9.2
	Other	42	42	8	40	38		78	8.7
Ethnicity	Hispanic	34	30	8	44	36		80	8.9
Ethnicity	Not Hispanic	216	142	26	184	130	2	316	9.2
District Tot	al	250	172	34	228	166	2	396	9.1

	Youth Risk Levels and Length of Probation by County and Age Group										
		In	itial Risl	(Level		Final Risk	Level	Average Length of Probation			
County	Age Group	JCBR/ Low/ Moderate Low	JCBI/ High	No Assessment**	JCBR/ Low	JCBI/ High/ Moderate High	No Assessment**	Total Youth	Avg Length of Probation		
	14-15	6	6		10			10	15.6		
Cass	16	22	14		4	2		6	4.3		
Cass	17	16	8		12	4		16	5.5		
	18	4			30	2		32	11.0		
	11-13	4	2		2			2	6.0		
	14-15		4		4	4		8	7.2		
Otoe	16	8	4		2			2	2.7		
	17	12	4	2	10	4		14	13.7		
	18	6	2		16	8		24	8.4		
	14-15	36	46	12	8	12	2	22	6.5		
	16	46	34	12	34	24		58	7.0		
Sarpy	17	68	40	8	40	44		84	10.7		
	18	22	8		48	56		104	8.4		
	19 & over				8	6		14	14.1		
District	Total	250	172	34	228	166	2	396	9.1		

	Youth Risk Levels and Length of Probation by County and Gender										
		In	itial Risk	(Level		Final Risk	Average Length of Probation				
County	Gender	JCBR/ Low/ Moderate Low	JCBI/ High	No Assessment**	JCBR/ Low	JCBI/ High/ Moderate High	No Assessment**	Total Youth	Avg Length of Probation		
Cooo	Female	12	10		20	2		22	11.8		
Cass	Male	36	18		36	6		42	8.6		
Otoe	Female	12	2	2	18	4		22	6.9		
Olde	Male	18	14		16	12		28	11.3		
Carny	Female 48 42 4		4	40	32		72	7.3			
Sarpy	Male 124 86 28		28	98	110	2	210	9.4			
District	District Total 250 172 34				228	166	2	396	9.1		

	Youth Risk Levels and Length of Probation by County and Race										
		In	itial Risł	k Level		Final Risk	Level	Average Length of Probation			
County	Race	JCBR/ Low/ Moderate Low	JCBI/ High	No Assessment**	JCBR/ Low	JCBI/ High/ Moderate High	No Assessment**	Total Youth	Avg Length of Probation		
Cass	White	44	26		52	6		58	10.1		
Cass	Other	4	2		4	2		6	5.5		
Otoe	White	30	12		28	16		44	10.1		
Olde	Other		4	2	6			6	4.3		
	Am. Indian	6		4	2	2		4	10.5		
	Asian/P.I.	2			4			4	7.0		
Sarpy	Sarpy Black 10 6			18	2		20	8.9			
	White	116	86	22	84	102	2	188	8.8		
	Other	38	36	6	30	36		66	9.3		
District	Total	250	172	34	228	166	2	396	9.1		

Youth Risk Levels and Length of Probation by County and Ethnicity										
		In	itial Risl	k Level		Final Risk	Level	Average Length of Probation		
County Ethnicity		JCBR/ Low/ Moderate Low	JCBI/ High	No Assessment**	JCBR/ Low	JCBI/ High/ Moderate High	No Assessment**	Total Youth	Avg Length of Probation	
Cooo	Hispanic	6	2		4	2		6	5.5	
Cass	Not Hispanic	42	26		52	6		58	10.1	
Otoe	Hispanic	2	4	2	8			8	4.8	
Olde	Not Hispanic	28	12		26	16		42	10.2	
Carny	Hispanic	26	24	6	32	34		66	9.7	
Sarpy	Not Hispanic	146	104	26	106	108	2	216	8.7	
District	Total	250	172	34	228	166	2	396	9.1	

Part (e) Juveniles Access to Counsel

Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (e) juveniles with and without access to counsel in juvenile and adult court, both appointed and retained.

The Juveniles Access to Counsel table displays the percent of juveniles represented by an attorney in criminal cases from county court and district court and juvenile cases from county court and separate juvenile court. Data includes new cases filed and cases transferred from one county to another during calendar year 2017. Cases filed in 2017 may not have reached the sentencing/adjudication stage of proceedings.

	Juvenile Access to Counsel District										
			Criminal C	ourt Cases	;		Juvenile Court Cases				
Demographic	Cohort	Total Criminal Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel	Total Juvenile Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel		
	10 & under					3	2	1	33%		
	11-13	4	2	2	50%	65	2	63	97%		
Age Group	14-15	6	3	3	50%	234	17	217	93%		
	16	47	22	25	53%	207	13	194	94%		
	17	73	33	40	55%	186	15	171	92%		
	Female	23	14	9	39%	216	17	199	92%		
Gender	Male	103	43	60	58%	476	32	444	93%		
	Unknown	4	3	1	25%	3	0	3	100%		
	Am. Indian	3	0	3	100%	3	0	3	100%		
	Asian/P.I.					4	0	4	100%		
	Black	9	5	4	44%	77	1	76	99%		
Race/Ethnicity	Hispanic	7	5	2	29%	5	0	5	100%		
	White	102	45	57	56%	351	14	337	96%		
	Other					43	0	43	100%		
	Unknown	9	5	4	44%	212	34	178	84%		
District Total		130	60	70	54%	695	49	646	93%		

	Juvenile Access to Counsel by County and Age Group											
			Criminal C	ourt Cases	;		Juvenile C	ourt Cases	i			
County	Age Group	Total Criminal Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel	Total Juvenile Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel			
	10 & under					3	2	1	33%			
	11-13					8	0	8	100%			
Cass	14-15	2	2	0	0%	30	11	19	63%			
	16	2	2	0	0%	30	9	21	70%			
	17	4	3	1	25%	18	6	12	67%			
	11-13					5	1	4	80%			
Otoe	14-15					27	5	22	81%			
Olde	16	4	4	0	0%	20	4	16	80%			
	17	7	7	0	0%	16	7	9	56%			
	11-13	4	2	2	50%	52	1	51	98%			
Carny	14-15	4	1	3	75%	177	1	176	99%			
Sarpy	16	41	16	25	61%	157	0	157	100%			
	17	62	23	39	63%	152	2	150	99%			
District To	tal	130	60	70	54%	695	49	646	93%			

Juvenile Access to Counsel by County and Gender												
			Criminal C	ourt Cases	•	Juvenile Court Cases						
County	Gender	Total Criminal Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel	Total Juvenile Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel			
	Female	1	1	0	0%	24	7	17	71%			
Cass	Male	7	6	1	14%	63	21	42	67%			
	Unknown					2	0	2	100%			
	Female					28	10	18	64%			
Otoe	Male	8	8	0	0%	40	7	33	82%			
	Unknown	3	3	0	0%							
	Female	22	13	9	41%	164	0	164	100%			
Sarpy	Male	88	29	59	67%	373	4	369	99%			
	Unknown	1	0	1	100%	1	0	1	100%			
District To	tal	130	60	70	54%	695	49	646	93%			

Juvenile Access to Counsel by County and Race/Ethnicity												
			Criminal C	ourt Cases	3		Juvenile C	ourt Cases	;			
County	Race/ Ethnicity	Total Criminal Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel	Total Juvenile Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel			
	Black	1	1	0	0%	1	1	0	0%			
Cass	Hispanic	1	0	1	100%	1	0	1	100%			
Cass	White	6	6	0	0%	38	12	26	68%			
	Unknown					49	15	34	69%			
	Hispanic	2	2	0	0%							
Otoe	White	4	4	0	0%	1	1	0	0%			
	Unknown	5	5	0	0%	67	16	51	76%			
	Am. Indian	3	0	3	100%	3	0	3	100%			
	Asian/P.I.					4	0	4	100%			
	Black	8	4	4	50%	76	0	76	100%			
Sarpy	Hispanic	4	3	1	25%	4	0	4	100%			
White		92	35	57	62%	312	1	311	100%			
	Other					43	0	43	100%			
	Unknown	4	0	4	100%	96	3	93	97%			
District To	tal	130	60	70	54%	695	49	646	93%			

Part (a) Juvenile Petitions and Adjudications

Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (a) Juveniles prosecuted in juvenile court under subdivision (1), (2), (3)(b), or (4) of section 43-247, including the total number of filings and adjudications.

The Juvenile Petitions and Adjudications tables report the number of new juvenile cases and corresponding percent of adjudications by case type and demographic group for cases filed in 2017. The data consists of both county court and separate juvenile court cases. Only the most serious offense is counted for cases with multiple charges, pleas or findings. Cases are counted in the Admit column if at least one charge was admitted to in court. Supplemental petitions are not included in this data set. Cases filed in November or December of 2017 may not have reached the adjudication stage of proceedings. Juvenile cases where the age is unknown are included in the report.

	Juvenile Petitions and Adjudication by Case Type District													
Demo-		Sta	tus Offei	nse	Mis	sdemear	nor		Felony					
graphic	Cohort	Cases	Admit	% Admit	Cases	Admit	% Admit	Cases	Admit	% Admit				
	11-13	23	3	13%	37	19	51%	7	5	71%				
Age	14-15	77	26	34%	91	52	57%	14	6	43%				
Group	16	34	17	50%	72	34	47%	10	4	40%				
	17	0	0	0%	74	41	55%	12	6	50%				
	Female	59	16	27%	89	46	52%	6	3	50%				
Gender	Male	75	30	40%	183	99	54%	37	18	49%				
	Unknown	0	0	0%	2	1	50%	0	0	0%				
	Am. Indian	3	2	67%	4	1	25%	0	0	0%				
	Asian/P.I.	4	1	25%	2	1	50%	1	0	0%				
	Black	25	9	36%	63	33	52%	15	2	13%				
Race/ Ethnicity	Hispanic	29	13	45%	34	16	47%	4	3	75%				
	White	62	18	29%	153	83	54%	18	12	67%				
	Other	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%				
	Unknown	11	3	27%	17	11	65%	5	4	80%				
District T	otal	134	46	34%	274	146	53%	43	21	49%				

Part (b) Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court - Case Type

Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (b) Juveniles prosecuted in adult criminal court for felonies, misdemeanors, and traffic offenses. The information shall include juveniles sentenced to terms in adult jails and prisons and juveniles placed on adult probation.

Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court tables consist of criminal and traffic cases in county court and criminal cases in district court that reached the point of sentencing in 2017. Cases with multiple charges are counted once per case and reported as the most severe offense. Cases for juveniles ages 13 and below must be filed and stay in juvenile court; however, judges have the authority to allow these cases in criminal court. Therefore, only juveniles ages 14 through 17 at the time of the offense appear in adult criminal court data, with rare exceptions.

	Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court by Case Type District													
All Cases Traffic Misdemeanor Felony														
Demographic	Cohort	Total Cases	% of Total	Total Cases	% of Total for Case Type Group	Total Cases	% of Total for Case Type Group	Total Cases	% of Total for Case Type Group					
Age Group	16	4	33%	1	100%	0		3	33%					
	17	8	67%	0		2	100%	6	67%					
Gender	Male	12	100%	1	100%	2	100%	9	100%					
Race/Ethnicity	Black	5	42%	0		1	50%	4	44%					
	Hispanic	2	17%	0		1	50%	1	11%					
White 5 42% 1 100% 0 4 44%														
District Total		12	100%	1	8%	2	17%	9	75%					

Part (b) Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court - Sentence

Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (b) Juveniles prosecuted in adult criminal court for felonies, misdemeanors, and traffic offenses. The information shall include juveniles sentenced to terms in adult jails and prisons and juveniles placed on adult probation.

Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court tables include criminal and traffic cases in county court and criminal cases in district court that reached the point of sentencing in 2017. All sentencing types are included where multiple sentencing types occur within a case (ex. probation/jail). Age is calculated from the age at offense, not the age at sentencing.

Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court by Sentencing District													
Total Cases Probation Jail Prison													
Demographic	Cohort	Number of Cases	% of Total	Number of Cases	% of Cohort	Number of Cases	% of Cohort	Number of Cases	% of Cohort				
16 4 33% 2 50% 2 50%													
Age Group	17	8	67%	1	12%	5	62%	2	25%				
Gender	Male	12	100%	3	50%	7	50%	2	0%				
	Black	5	42%	1	50%	3	50%	1	0%				
Race/Ethnicity	White	5	42%	2	50%	2	50%	1	0%				
Hispanic 2 17% 0 12% 2 62% 0 25%													
District Total	District Total 12 100% 3 50% 7 50% 2 0%												

Part (c) Motions to Transfer Cases and Cases Transferred

Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (c) The number of motions to transfer and the number of cases transferred to adult court from juvenile court and from adult criminal court to juvenile court.

The data for Motions to Transfer Cases and Cases Transferred consists of county court and district court adult criminal cases and county court and separate juvenile court juvenile cases within the same county. Motions to transfer cases and cases transferred that were entered in 2017 were included in the file. Cases may have been filed previously and transferred in 2017. There can be multiple motions to transfer a case and all are reported; transfers occur once per case.

Motions to Transfer Courts and Transfers District													
		Juven	ile Cou	rt to Adult C	ourt	Adult Court to Juvenile Court							
Demographic	Cohort	Motion to Transfer Juv to Adult	% of Total	Transfers Juv to Adult	% of Total	Motion to Transfer Adult to Juv	% of Total	Transfers Adult to Juv	% of Total				
	14-15	0		0		2	25%	5	31%				
Age Group	16	1	33%	1	50%	2	25%	3	19%				
	17	2	67%	1	50%	4	50%	8	50%				
Gender	Female	1	33%	0		1	12%	4	25%				
Gender	Male	2	67%	2	100%	7	88%	12	75%				
	Black	1	33%	1	50%	3	38%	1	6%				
Race/Ethnicity Hispanic 0 0 2 25% 4													
White 2 67% 1 50% 3 38% 11 69%													
District Total		3		2		8		16					

Part (d) Juvenile Probation Activity

Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (d) Juveniles placed on juvenile probation, the number of juveniles on probation in out-of-home care, the number of juveniles completing probation, the number of motions to revoke probation and probation revocations, and the average length of time on probation.

The Juvenile Probation Activity data is provided from the Administrative Office of Probation's case management system, Nebraska Probation Application for Community Safety (NPACS). The table reports the number and percent of youth with various activity in 2017. Youth are counted once in each category, regardless of the number of out-of-home placements, motions to revoke, or cases they may have in the court system. Age groups are calculated specific to the activity as footnoted.

	Juvenile Probation Activity District													
Domographic	Cohort	Place Prob		Out-of Place		Motic Rev		Prob Revo		Satisfa Rele	•			
Demographic	Conort	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total			
	11-13	64	7%	40	6%	12	3%	0	0%	80	9%			
	14-15	248	29%	162	23%	100	23%	2	100%	300	34%			
Age Group	16	196	23%	178	26%	108	25%	0	0%	220	25%			
	17	270	31%	200	29%	120	28%	0	0%	236	27%			
	18	82	10%	116	17%	86	20%	0	0%	54	6%			
Onndan	Female	302	35%	232	33%	146	34%	2	100%	376	42%			
Gender	Male	558	65%	464	67%	280	66%	0	0%	514	58%			
	Am. Indian	58	7%	58	8%	38	9%	0	0%	42	5%			
	Asian/P.I.	10	1%	14	2%	18	4%	0	0%	14	2%			
Race	Black	188	22%	206	30%	78	18%	2	100%	120	13%			
	White	532	62%	346	50%	246	58%	0	0%	596	67%			
	Other	72	8%	72	10%	46	11%	0	0%	118	13%			
E41:	Hispanic	174	20%	116	17%	68	16%	0	0%	148	17%			
Ethnicity	Not Hispanic		80%	580	83%	358	84%	2	100%	742	83%			
District Total		860	100%	696	100%	426	100%	2	100%	890	100%			

^{1.} Age at which the probation term began. 2. Age at the first admission to out-of-home placement. 3. Age as of the file date for motion to revoke. 4. Age as of the disposition date for motion to revoke. 5. Age as of the disposition date for discharge from probation.

Part (d) Juvenile Probation - Youth Risk Level and Length of Probation

Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (d) Juveniles placed on juvenile probation, the number of juveniles on probation in out-of-home care, the number of juveniles completing probation, the number of motions to revoke probation and probation revocations, and the average length of time on probation.

Youth Level of Service/Case Management Inventory (YLS/CMI) is an assessment tool used to determine the level of risk and appropriate placement for youth on probation. The following table shows the classification results of youth upon entry and exit from probation. Youth that are determined to be low or moderate low risk go through Juvenile Community-Based Resource (JCBR/Low/Moderate Low), those determined to be high or moderate high risk go through Juvenile Community-Based Intervention (JCBI/High/Moderate HighRisk)

Youth Risk Levels and Length of Probation District													
		In	itial Risl	(Level		Final Risk	Level		ge Length robation				
Demo- graphic	Cohort	JCBR/ Low/ Moderate Low	JCBI/ High	No Assessment**	JCBR/ Low	JCBI/ High/ Moderate High	No Assessment**	Total Youth	Avg Length of Probation				
	11-13	50	14		16	2		18	7.9				
	14-15	162	82	4	118	22	2	142	10.1				
Ago Croup	16	126	60	10	142	32		174	12.5				
Age Group	17	196	60	14	236	52	4	292	13.8				
	18	56	26		284	128	6	418	16.1				
	19 & over				54	98	8	160	22.6				
Candan	Female	214	86	2	352	120	2	474	15.0				
Gender	Male	376	156	26	498	214	18	730	15.1				
	Am. Indian	32	22	4	38	16		54	12.2				
	Asian/P.I.	8	2		16	2		18	15.3				
Race	Black	126	62		134	84	8	226	16.6				
	White	372	140	20	546	184	12	742	14.5				
	Other		16	4	116	48		164	16.1				
Ethnicity	Ethnicity Hispanic		48	6	156	46	2	204	13.5				
Ethnicity	Not Hispanic	470	194	22	694	288	18	1,000	15.4				
District Tot	al	590	242	28	850	334	20	1,204	15.1				

Part (e) Juveniles Access to Counsel

Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (e) juveniles with and without access to counsel in juvenile and adult court, both appointed and retained.

The Juveniles Access to Counsel table displays the percent of juveniles represented by an attorney in criminal cases from county court and district court and juvenile cases from county court and separate juvenile court. Data includes new cases filed and cases transfered from one county to another during calendar year 2017. Cases filed in 2017 may not have reached the sentencing/adjudication stage of proceedings.

Juvenile Access to Counsel District												
			Criminal C	ourt Cases	3		Juvenile C	ourt Cases	•			
Demographic	Cohort	Total Criminal Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel	Total Juvenile Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel			
	10 & under	1	1	0	0%	1	0	1	100%			
	11-13					86	4	82	95%			
Age Group	14-15	18	3	15	83%	226	16	210	93%			
	16	64	22	42	66%	144	12	132	92%			
	17	142	67	75	53%	100	13	87	87%			
	Female	71	39	32	45%	194	16	178	92%			
Gender	Male	154	54	100	65%	360	29	331	92%			
	Unknown					3	0	3	100%			
	Am. Indian	3	2	1	33%	8	1	7	88%			
	Asian/P.I.	5	3	2	40%	8	0	8	100%			
	Black	41	10	31	76%	125	12	113	90%			
Race/Ethnicity	Hispanic	29	12	17	59%	84	5	79	94%			
	White	129	55	74	57%	295	24	271	92%			
	Other					1	0	1	100%			
	Unknown	18	11	7	39%	36	3	33	92%			
District Total		225	93	132	59%	557	45	512	92%			

Part (a) Juvenile Petitions and Adjudications

Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (a) Juveniles prosecuted in juvenile court under subdivision (1), (2), (3)(b), or (4) of section 43-247, including the total number of filings and adjudications.

The Juvenile Petitions and Adjudications tables report the number of new juvenile cases and corresponding percent of adjudications by case type and demographic group for cases filed in 2017. The data consists of both county court and separate juvenile court cases. Only the most serious offense is counted for cases with multiple charges, pleas or findings. Cases are counted in the Admit column if at least one charge was admitted to in court. Supplemental petitions are not included in this data set. Cases filed in November or December of 2017 may not have reached the adjudication stage of proceedings. Juvenile cases where the age is unknown are included in the report.

	Juvenile Petitions and Adjudication by Case Type District													
Demo-		Traffic Offense		Status Offense			Mis	demear	nor		Felony			
graphic	Cohort	Cases	Admit	% Admit	Cases	Admit	% Admit	Cases	Admit	% Admit	Cases	Admit	% Admit	
	10 & under	0	0	0%	1	0	0%	3	2	67%	0	0	0%	
	11-13	1	1	100%	10	8	80%	63	34	54%	8	4	50%	
Age Group	14-15	8	4	50%	81	60	74%	203	118	58%	51	36	71%	
J. J	16	1	1	100%	90	60	67%	126	72	57%	25	15	60%	
	17	0	0	0%	68	44	65%	134	72	54%	39	25	64%	
Gender	Female	4	3	75%	136	96	71%	184	100	54%	29	20	69%	
Gender	Male	6	3	50%	114	76	67%	345	198	57%	94	60	64%	
	Am. Indian	1	1	100%	0	0	0%	12	6	50%	2	0	0%	
	Asian/P.I.	1	1	100%	0	0	0%	3	0	0%	2	2	100%	
	Black	4	2	50%	11	6	55%	274	141	51%	58	33	57%	
Race/ Ethnicity	Hispanic	2	0	0%	3	1	33%	81	52	64%	26	24	92%	
,	White	2	2	100%	9	9	100%	150	94	63%	35	21	60%	
	Other	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	3	1	33%	0	0	0%	
	Unknown	0	0	0%	227	156	69%	6	4	67%	0	0	0%	
District T	otal	10	6	60%	250	172	69%	529	298	56%	123	80	65%	

Part (b) Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court - Case Type

Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (b) Juveniles prosecuted in adult criminal court for felonies, misdemeanors, and traffic offenses. The information shall include juveniles sentenced to terms in adult jails and prisons and juveniles placed on adult probation.

Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court tables consist of criminal and traffic cases in county court and criminal cases in district court that reached the point of sentencing in 2017. Cases with multiple charges are counted once per case and reported as the most severe offense. Cases for juveniles ages 13 and below must be filed and stay in juvenile court; however, judges have the authority to allow these cases in criminal court. Therefore, only juveniles ages 14 through 17 at the time of the offense appear in adult criminal court data, with rare exceptions.

Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court by Case Type District													
		All Cases		Tra	ıffic	Misder	neanor	Felony					
Demographic	Cohort	Total Cases	% of Total	Total Cases	% of Total for Case Type Group	Total Cases	% of Total for Case Type Group	Total Cases	% of Total for Case Type Group				
Age Group 14-15 4 3% 0 0 4 15%													
	16	41	32%	17	47%	20	31%	4	15%				
	17	83	65%	19	53%	45	69%	19	70%				
Gender	Female	37	29%	12	33%	22	34%	3	11%				
	Male	91	71%	24	67%	43	66%	24	89%				
Race/Ethnicity	Asian/ P.I.	1	1%	1	3%	0		0					
	Black	43	34%	6	17%	20	31%	17	63%				
	Hispanic	28	22%	12	33%	10	15%	6	22%				
	White	55	43%	17	47%	34	52%	4	15%				
	Other	1	1%	0		1	2%	0					
District Total		128	100%	36	28%	65	51%	27	21%				

Part (b) Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court - Sentence

Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (b) Juveniles prosecuted in adult criminal court for felonies, misdemeanors, and traffic offenses. The information shall include juveniles sentenced to terms in adult jails and prisons and juveniles placed on adult probation.

Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court tables include criminal and traffic cases in county court and criminal cases in district court that reached the point of sentencing in 2017. All sentencing types are included where multiple sentencing types occur within a case (ex. probation/jail). Age is calculated from the age at offense, not the age at sentencing.

Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court by Sentencing District												
		Total C	Cases	Prob	ation	Ja	ail	Pris	on			
Demographic	Cohort	Number of Cases	% of Total	Number of Cases	% of Cohort	Number of Cases	% of Cohort	Number of Cases	% of Cohort			
	14-15	4	3%	1	25%	0	0%	3	75%			
Age Group	16	41	90%	0	0%	4	10%					
	17	83	65%	62	75%	13	16%	8	10%			
Gender	Male	91	71%	65	25%	11	0%	15	75%			
Gender	Female	37	29%	35	90%	2	0%	0	10%			
	Black	43	34%	24	25%	9	0%	10	75%			
	Hispanic	28	22%	21	90%	3	0%	4	10%			
Race/Ethnicity	Other	1	1%	1	90%	0	0%	0	10%			
	55	43%	53	90%	1	0%	1	10%				
	Asian/P.I.	1	1%	1	75%	0	16%	0	10%			
District Total		128	100%	100	25%	13	0%	15	75%			

Part (c) Motions to Transfer Cases and Cases Transferred

Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (c) The number of motions to transfer and the number of cases transferred to adult court from juvenile court and from adult criminal court to juvenile court.

The data for Motions to Transfer Cases and Cases Transferred consists of county court and district court adult criminal cases and county court and separate juvenile court juvenile cases within the same county. Motions to transfer cases and cases transferred that were entered in 2017 were included in the file. Cases may have been filed previously and transferred in 2017. There can be multiple motions to transfer a case and all are reported; transfers occur once per case.

	:	Motions	to Trar	nsfer Cour District	ts and	l Transfe	rs		
		Juven	ile Cour	t to Adult Co	ourt	Adul	t Court	to Juvenile Cou	t
Demographic	Cohort	Motion to Transfer Juv to Adult	% of Total	Transfers Juv to Adult	% of Total	Motion to Transfer Adult to Juv	% of Total	Transfers Adult to Juv	% of Total
	14-15	1	11%	0		9	16%	8	16%
Age Group	16	4	44%	2	40%	16	28%	16	33%
	17	4	44%	3	60%	32	56%	25	51%
Condor	Female	0		1	20%	10	18%	11	22%
Gender	Male	9	100%	4	80%	47	82%	38	78%
	Am. Indian	0		0		3	5%	2	4%
	Asian/P.I.	0		0		0		1	2%
Doog/Ethnicity	Black	9	100%	4	80%	28	49%	18	37%
Race/Ethnicity	Hispanic	0		1	20%	13	23%	9	18%
	White	0		0		13	23%	18	37%
	Other	0		0		0		1	2%
District Total		9		5		57		49	

Part (d) Juvenile Probation Activity

Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (d) Juveniles placed on juvenile probation, the number of juveniles on probation in out-of-home care, the number of juveniles completing probation, the number of motions to revoke probation and probation revocations, and the average length of time on probation.

The Juvenile Probation Activity data is provided from the Administrative Office of Probation's case management system, Nebraska Probation Application for Community Safety (NPACS). The table reports the number and percent of youth with various activity in 2017. Youth are counted once in each category, regardless of the number of out-of-home placements, motions to revoke, or cases they may have in the court system. Age groups are calculated specific to the activity as footnoted.

			Juveni		oation trict	Activit	y				
Demographic	Cohort	Place Prob		Out-of Place		Motic Rev		Prob Revo		Satisfa Rele	
Demographic	Conort	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total
	10 & under	0	0%	2	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
	11-13	66	5%	38	3%	4	1%	0	0%	52	6%
A ma Craun	14-15	442	32%	350	31%	148	25%	10	83%	262	28%
Age Group	16	340	24%	288	25%	120	20%	0	0%	244	26%
	17	454	32%	336	30%	196	33%	0	0%	314	34%
	18	98	7%	124	11%	122	21%	2	17%	60	6%
Gender	Female	522	37%	344	30%	194	33%	2	17%	350	38%
Gender	Male	878	63%	794	70%	396	67%	10	83%	582	62%
	Am. Indian	42	3%	44	4%	20	3%	0	0%	8	1%
	Asian/P.I.	20	1%	18	2%	2	0%	0	0%	10	1%
Race	Black	564	40%	554	49%	280	47%	6	50%	410	44%
	White	478	34%	318	28%	190	32%	0	0%	360	39%
	Other	296	21%	204	18%	98	17%	6	50%	144	15%
Ethoricity	Hispanic	358	26%	252	22%	146	25%	6	50%	196	21%
Ethnicity	Not Hispanic	1,042	74%	886	78%	444	75%	6	50%	736	79%
District Total			100%	1,138	100%	590	100%	12	100%	932	100%

^{1.} Age at which the probation term began. 2. Age at the first admission to out-of-home placement. 3. Age as of the file date for motion to revoke. 4. Age as of the disposition date for motion to revoke. 5. Age as of the disposition date for discharge from probation.

Part (d) Juvenile Probation - Youth Risk Level and Length of Probation

Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (d) Juveniles placed on juvenile probation, the number of juveniles on probation in out-of-home care, the number of juveniles completing probation, the number of motions to revoke probation and probation revocations, and the average length of time on probation.

Youth Level of Service/Case Management Inventory (YLS/CMI) is an assessment tool used to determine the level of risk and appropriate placement for youth on probation. The following table shows the classification results of youth upon entry and exit from probation. Youth that are determined to be low or moderate low risk go through Juvenile Community-Based Resource (JCBR/Low/Moderate Low), those determined to be high or moderate high risk go through Juvenile Community-Based Intervention (JCBI/High/Moderate HighRisk)

		Yout	h Risk	Levels and L Distric		of Probatic	on		
		ln	itial Risk	(Level		Final Risk	Level		ge Length robation
Demo- graphic	Cohort	JCBR/ Low/ Moderate Low	JCBI/ High	No Assessment**	JCBR/ Low	JCBI/ High/ Moderate High	No Assessment**	Total Youth	Avg Length of Probation
	11-13	38	28		6	8		14	6.3
	14-15	188	244	10	72	34	2	108	8.3
A 212 C 22112	16	188	150	2	88	74		162	11.1
Age Group	17	260	182	12	160	134		294	11.7
	18	52	46		224	246	2	472	13.3
	19 & over				24	26		50	14.7
Candar	Female	322	196	4	224	166	2	392	11.5
Gender	Male	404	454	20	350	356	2	708	12.3
	Am. Indian	24	18		4	14		18	17.7
	Asian/P.I.	16	4		8			8	6.5
Race	Black	248	300	16	212	260	2	474	13.0
	White	282	192	4	242	172		414	11.4
	Other	156	136	4	108	76	2	186	10.7
Ethoriait.	Hispanic	178	176	4	136	106	2	244	11.2
Ethnicity	Not Hispanic	548	474	20	438	416	2	856	12.3
District Tot	al	726	650	24	574	522	4	1,100	12.0

Part (e) Juveniles Access to Counsel

Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (e) juveniles with and without access to counsel in juvenile and adult court, both appointed and retained.

The Juveniles Access to Counsel table displays the percent of juveniles represented by an attorney in criminal cases from county court and district court and juvenile cases from county court and separate juvenile court. Data includes new cases filed and cases transferred from one county to another during calendar year 2017. Cases filed in 2017 may not have reached the sentencing/adjudication stage of proceedings.

			Juvenile	Access t District		el			
			Criminal C	ourt Cases	;		Juvenile C	ourt Cases	
Demographic	Cohort	Total Criminal Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel	Total Juvenile Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel
	10 & under					7	1	6	86%
	11-13					184	2	182	99%
Age Group	14-15	26	693	96%					
	16	109	38	71	65%	458	19	439	96%
	17	247	95	152	62%	469	28	441	94%
	Female	101	44	57	56%	664	33	631	95%
Gender	Male	275	89	186	68%	1,172	43	1,129	96%
	Unknown					1	0	1	100%
	Am. Indian	6	2	4	67%	26	0	26	100%
	Asian/P.I.	8	5	3	38%	13	0	13	100%
	Black	149	45	104	70%	736	5	731	99%
Race/Ethnicity	Hispanic	67	14	53	79%	257	5	252	98%
	White	136	61	75	55%	400	14	386	96%
	Other	8	5	3	38%	14	0	14	100%
	Unknown	2	1	1	50%	391	52	339	87%
District Total		376	133	243	65%	1,837	76	1,761	96%

Part (a) Juvenile Petitions and Adjudications

Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (a) Juveniles prosecuted in juvenile court under subdivision (1), (2), (3)(b), or (4) of section 43-247, including the total number of filings and adjudications.

The Juvenile Petitions and Adjudications tables report the number of new juvenile cases and corresponding percent of adjudications by case type and demographic group for cases filed in 2017. The data consists of both county court and separate juvenile court cases. Only the most serious offense is counted for cases with multiple charges, pleas or findings. Cases are counted in the Admit column if at least one charge was admitted to in court. Supplemental petitions are not included in this data set. Cases filed in November or December of 2017 may not have reached the adjudication stage of proceedings. Juvenile cases where the age is unknown are included in the report.

	Juvenile Petitions and Adjudication by Case Type District													
Dama		Tra	ffic Offer	nse	Sta	tus Offei	nse	Mis	sdemea	nor		Felony		
Demo- graphic	Cohort	Cases	Admit	% Admit	Cases	Admit	% Admit	Cases	Admit	% Admit	Cases	Admit	% Admit	
	10 & under	1	1	100%	2	0	0%	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	
	11-13	0	0	0%	5	4	80%	39	17	44%	1	1	100%	
Age Group	14-15	22	10	45%	18	13	72%	113	87	77%	9	4	44%	
	16	23	11	48%	15	7	47%	102	71	70%	7	6	86%	
	17	7	5	71%	15	9	60%	102	63	62%	12	7	58%	
	Female	13	4	31%	26	14	54%	118	69	58%	4	2	50%	
Gender	Male	40	23	58%	23	19	83%	224	158	71%	23	14	61%	
	Unknown	0	0	0%	6	0	0%	14	11	79%	2	2	100%	
	Am. Indian	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	2	0	0%	0	0	0%	
	Asian/P.I.	1	0	0%	0	0	0%	2	1	50%	0	0	0%	
Race/	Black	1	1	100%	0	0	0%	6	5	83%	1	1	100%	
Ethnicity	Hispanic	19	11	58%	15	9	60%	112	75	67%	5	4	80%	
	White	32	15	47%	24	19	79%	212	143	67%	15	6	40%	
	Unknown	0	0	0%	16	5	31%	22	14	64%	8	7	88%	
District T	otal	53	27	51%	55	33	60%	356	238	67%	29	18	62%	

		Tra	ffic Offe	nse	Sta	tus Offe	nse	Mis	sdemear	nor		Felony	
County	Age Group	Cases	Admit	% Admit	Cases	Admit	% Admit	Cases	Admit	% Admit	Cases	Admit	% Admit
Doone	14-15	2	1	50%	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	2	1	50%
Boone	16	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%
	10 & under	1	1	100%	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	0	0	0%
Butler	14-15	2	2	100%	1	1	100%	4	4	100%	0	0	0%
bullel	16	1	1	100%	0	0	0%	8	8	100%	1	1	100%
	17	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	5	1	20%	1	1	100%
	10 & under	0	0	0%	1	0	0%	0	0	0%	0	0	0%
	11-13	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	8	6	75%	0	0	0%
Colfax	14-15	4	4	100%	2	2	100%	19	17	89%	0	0	0%
	16	2	2	100%	0	0	0%	15	15	100%	1	1	100%
	17	4	4	100%	2	2	100%	15	10	67%	1	0	0%
	11-13	0	0	0%	2	2	100%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%
	14-15	0	0	0%	2	2	100%	19	18	95%	1	1	100%
	16	0	0	0%	2	1	50%	9	9	100%	0	0	0%
	17	0	0	0%	1	0	0%	12	10	83%	0	0	0%
	10 & under	0	0	0%	1	0	0%	0	0	0%	0	0	0%
	11-13	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	4	3	75%	0	0	0%
Merrick	14-15	0	0	0%	1	0	0%	7	5	71%	0	0	0%
	16	0	0	0%	3	1	33%	6	4	67%	1	1	100%
	17	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	8	6	75%	1	0	0%
Nance	17	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	1	0	0%
	11-13	0	0	0%	1	0	0%	21	6	29%	0	0	0%
Divis	14-15	14	3	21%	11	7	64%	47	29	62%	3	0	0%
Platte	16	18	6	33%	7	4	57%	45	20	44%	1	1	100%
	17	3	1	33%	9	4	44%	34	16	47%	6	5	83%
	14-15	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	3	3	100%	0	0	0%
Polk	16	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	2	2	100%	1	1	100%
	17	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%
	11-13	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	2	1	50%	1	1	100%
0	14-15	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	2	2	100%	2	1	50%
Saunders	16	0	0	0%	2	1	50%	3	2	67%	0	0	0%
	17	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	7	5	71%	0	0	0%
0	11-13	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	0	0%	0	0	0%
Seward	14-15	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	10	8	80%	0	0	0%

	Juvenile Cases and Adjudication by Case Type, County and Age Group												
		Tra	ffic Offer	nse	Sta	tus Offei	nse	Mis	demear	or		Felony	
County	Age Group	Cases	Admit	% Admit	Cases	Admit	% Admit	Cases	Admit	% Admit	Cases	Admit	% Admit
Coward			2	100%	1	0	0%	8	6	75%	2	1	50%
Seward 17	17	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	11	8	73%	1	0	0%
	11-13	0	0	0%	2	2	100%	2	0	0%	0	0	0%
Vd-	14-15	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	2	1	50%	1	1	100%
York	16	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	5	4	80%	0	0	0%
	17			0%	1	1	100%	8	5	62%	1	1	100%
District Tota	ı	53	27	51%	55	33	60%	356	238	67%	29	18	62%

		•	- Luvelill		S and A County			y Case	<u>-ypc,</u>				
		Tra	ffic Offe	nse	Sta	tus Offei	nse	Mis	sdemear	nor		Felony	
County	Gender	Cases	Admit	% Admit	Cases	Admit	% Admit	Cases	Admit	% Admit	Cases	Admit	% Admit
Boone	Male	2	1	50%	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	2	1	50%
Dutlor	Female	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	5	4	80%	1	1	100%
Butler	Male	4	4	100%	0	0	0%	12	9	75%	1	1	100%
	Female	1	1	100%	4	3	75%	13	13	100%	0	0	0%
Colfax	Male	9	9	100%	1	1	100%	43	34	79%	2	1	50%
	Unknown	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%
11 96	Female	0	0	0%	5	3	60%	13	11	85%	0	0	0%
Hamilton	Male	0	0	0%	2	2	100%	28	27	96%	1	1	100%
	Female	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	9	7	78%	0	0	0%
Merrick	Male	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	7	5	71%	1	0	0%
	Unknown	0	0	0%	4	0	0%	9	6	67%	1	1	100%
NI	Male	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	0	0%
Nance	Unknown	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%
	Female	12	3	25%	10	3	30%	57	23	40%	2	1	50%
Platte	Male	23	7	30%	16	12	75%	87	45	52%	7	4	57%
	Unknown	0	0	0%	2	0	0%	3	3	100%	1	1	100%
Polk	Male	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	6	6	100%	1	1	100%
0	Female	0	0	0%	2	1	50%	3	2	67%	0	0	0%
Saunders	Male	0	0	0%	2	2	100%	11	8	73%	3	2	67%
0	Female	0	0	0%	2	1	50%	13	8	62%	1	0	0%
Seward	Male	2	2	100%	0	0	0%	17	14	82%	2	1	50%
	Female	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	5	1	20%	0	0	0%
York	Male	0	0	0%	2	2	100%	12	9	75%	2	2	100%
District Tot	al	53	27	51%	55	33	60%	356	238	67%	29	18	62%

		Tra	ffic Offe	nse	Sta	tus Offe	nse	Mis	sdemear	nor		Felony	
County	Race	Cases	Admit	% Admit	Cases	Admit	% Admit	Cases	Admit	% Admit	Cases	Admit	% Admit
Doone	White	2	1	50%	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	1	0	0%
Boone	Unknown	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	1	100%
	Hispanic	1	1	100%	0	0	0%	3	2	67%	0	0	0%
Butler	White	3	3	100%	1	1	100%	11	8	73%	1	1	100%
	Unknown	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	3	3	100%	1	1	100%
	Black	1	1	100%	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	0	0	0%
Colfax	Hispanic	5	5	100%	4	3	75%	42	33	79%	1	1	100%
	White	4	4	100%	1	1	100%	15	15	100%	1	0	0%
	Hispanic	0	0	0%	2	1	50%	4	4	100%	0	0	0%
Hamilton	White	0	0	0%	2	2	100%	35	32	91%	0	0	0%
	Unknown	0	0	0%	3	2	67%	2	2	100%	1	1	100%
	Hispanic	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	5	5	100%	0	0	0%
Merrick	White	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	20	13	65%	1	0	0%
	Unknown	0	0	0%	5	1	20%	0	0	0%	1	1	100%
Nance	White	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	0	0%
nance	Unknown	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%
	Am. Indian	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	0	0%	0	0	0%
	Asian/P.I.	1	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	0	0%	0	0	0%
DI-#-	Black	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	2	1	50%	0	0	0%
Platte	Hispanic	12	4	33%	9	5	56%	52	26	50%	4	3	75%
	White	22	6	27%	12	9	75%	83	39	47%	5	2	40%
	Unknown	0	0	0%	7	1	14%	8	5	62%	1	1	100%
D.II	White	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	5	5	100%	0	0	0%
Polk	Unknown	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	1	1	100%
	Black	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	1	100%
Saunders	White	0	0	0%	4	3	75%	11	9	82%	1	1	100%
	Unknown	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	3	1	33%	1	0	0%
	Am. Indian	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	0	0%	0	0	0%
	Asian/P.I.	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%
Seward	Black	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	4	4	100%	0	0	0%
	Hispanic	1	1	100%	0	0	0%	2	2	100%	0	0	0%
	White	1	1	100%	2	1	50%	22	15	68%	3	1	33%
V. I	Hispanic	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	4	3	75%	0	0	0%
York	White	0	0	0%	2	2	100%	9	6	67%	1	1	100%

	Juvenile Cases and Adjudication by Case Type, County and Race/Ethnicity												
	Traffic Offense Status Offense Misdemeanor Felony												
County	Race	Cases	Admit	% Admit									
York	York Unknown 0 0 0% 1 1 100% 4 1 25% 1 1 100								100%				
District Tota	District Total 53 27 51% 55 33 60% 356 238 67% 29 18 62%												

Part (b) Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court - Case Type

Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (b) Juveniles prosecuted in adult criminal court for felonies, misdemeanors, and traffic offenses. The information shall include juveniles sentenced to terms in adult jails and prisons and juveniles placed on adult probation.

Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court tables consist of criminal and traffic cases in county court and criminal cases in district court that reached the point of sentencing in 2017. Cases with multiple charges are counted once per case and reported as the most severe offense. Cases for juveniles ages 13 and below must be filed and stay in juvenile court; however, judges have the authority to allow these cases in criminal court. Therefore, only juveniles ages 14 through 17 at the time of the offense appear in adult criminal court data, with rare exceptions.

Ju	veniles Pr	by (d in Ac Case Ty District	/pe	ninal Co	ourt					
		All C	ases	Misder	neanor	Fel	ony				
Demographic	Cohort	Total Cases	% of Total	Total Cases	% of Total for Case Type Group	Total Cases	% of Total for Case Type Group				
Age Group	17	5	100%	2	100%	3	100%				
Gender	Female	1	33%	0		1	50%				
	Male	2	67%	1	100%	1	50%				
	Unknown	2		1		1					
Race/Ethnicity	White	2	100%	1	100%	1	100%				
	Unknown	3		1		2					
District Total	District Total 5 100% 2 40% 3 60%										

Juveniles			Туре,	Criminal Cou Froup	rt by								
County	Group												
# # # #													
Platte	17	1	0	0	1								
Saunders	17	1	0	0	1								
Seward	17	1	0	1	0								
York 17 2 0 1 1													
District Tota	District Total 5 0 2 3												

Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court by Case Type, County and Gender								
County	Gender	All Cases	Traffic	Misdemeanor	Felony			
		#	#	#	#			
Platte	Female	1	0	0	1			
Saunders	Male	1	0	0	1			
Seward	Male	1	0	1	0			
York	Unknown	2	0	1	1			
District Tota	I	5	0	2	3			

Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court by Case Type, County and Race/Ethnicity									
County	Race	All Cases	Misdemeanor	Felony					
		#	#	#					
Platte	White	1	0	1					
Saunders	Unknown	1	0	1					
Seward	White	1	1	0					
York	Unknown	2	1	1					
District Tota	ı	5	2	3					

District 5

Part (b) Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court - Sentence

Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (b) Juveniles prosecuted in adult criminal court for felonies, misdemeanors, and traffic offenses. The information shall include juveniles sentenced to terms in adult jails and prisons and juveniles placed on adult probation.

Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court tables include criminal and traffic cases in county court and criminal cases in district court that reached the point of sentencing in 2017. All sentencing types are included where multiple sentencing types occur within a case (ex. probation/jail). Age is calculated from the age at offense, not the age at sentencing.

Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court by Sentencing District										
		Total C	ases	Probation		Jail		Prison		
Demographic	Cohort	Number of Cases	% of Total	Number of Cases	% of Cohort	Number of Cases	% of Cohort	Number of Cases	% of Cohort	
Age Group	17	5	100%	3	60%	2	40%	0	0%	
	Female	1	33%	0	60%	1	40%	0	0%	
Gender	Male	2	67%	2	60%	0	40%	0	0%	
	Unknown	2	0%	1	60%	1	40%	0	0%	
Page/Ethnicity	White	2	100%	1	60%	1	40%	0	0%	
Race/Ethnicity	Unknown	3	0%	2	60%	1	40%	0	0%	
District Total		5	100%	3	60%	2	40%	0	0%	

Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court by Sentence, County and Age Group									
County	Age	Total Cases	Probation	Jail	Prison				
	Group	Number of Cases	Number of Cases	Number of Cases	Number of Cases				
Platte	17	1	0	1	0				
Saunders	17	1	1	0	0				
Seward	17	1	1	0	0				
York	17	1	1	0					
District Tota	ı	5	3	2	0				

Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court by Sentence, County and Gender									
County	Gender	Total Cases	Probation	Jail	Prison				
		Number of Cases		Number of Cases	Number of Cases				
Platte	Female	1	0	1	0				
Saunders	Male	1	1	0	0				
Seward	Male	1	1	0	0				
York	Unknown	2	1	0					
District Tota	I	5	3	2	0				

Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court by Sentence, County and Race/Ethnicity								
County	Race	Total Cases	Probation	Jail	Prison			
		Number of Of Cases		Number of Cases	Number of Cases			
Platte	White	1	0	1	0			
Saunders	Unknown	1	1	0	0			
Seward	White	1	1	0	0			
York	Unknown	2	1	1	0			
District Tota	I	5	3	2	0			

District 5

Part (c) Motions to Transfer Cases and Cases Transferred

Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (c) The number of motions to transfer and the number of cases transferred to adult court from juvenile court and from adult criminal court to juvenile court.

The data for Motions to Transfer Cases and Cases Transferred consists of county court and district court adult criminal cases and county court and separate juvenile court juvenile cases within the same county. Motions to transfer cases and cases transferred that were entered in 2017 were included in the file. Cases may have been filed previously and transferred in 2017. There can be multiple motions to transfer a case and all are reported; transfers occur once per case.

	Motions to Transfer Courts and Transfers District											
		Juvenile Court to Adult Court				Adu	ılt Court	to Juvenile Cour	t			
Demographic	Cohort	Motion to Transfer Juv to Adult	% of Total	Transfers Juv to Adult	% of Total	Motion to Transfer Adult to Juv	% of Total	Transfers Adult to Juv	% of Total			
Ago Croup	16	1	10%	1	14%	1	50%	1	33%			
Age Group	17	9	90%	6	86%	1	50%	2	67%			
Condor	Female	1	10%	1	14%	0		0				
Gender	Male	9	90%	6	86%	2	100%	3	100%			
	Hispanic	5	50%	4	57%	0		0				
Race/Ethnicity	White	5	50%	3	43%	2	100%	2	100%			
	Unknown	0		0		0		1				
District Total		10		7		2		3				

Motions to Transfer Courts and Transfers, County and Age Group									
			le Court to It Court	Adult Court to Juvenile Court					
County	Age Group	Motion to Transfer	Cases Transfered	Motion to Transfer	Cases Transfered				
Butler	17	1	1	0	0				
Colfax	17	4	3	0	0				
Merrick	17	0	0	0	1				
Platte	17	1	1	0	0				
Coward	16	1	1	1	1				
Seward	17	2	1	0	0				
York	17	1	0	1	1				
District Total		10	7	2	3				

Motions to Transfer Courts and Transfers, County and Gender									
			le Court to t Court	Adult Court to Juvenile Court					
County	Gender	Motion to Transfer Cases Transfered		Motion to Transfer	Cases Transfered				
Butler	Male	1	1	0	0				
Colfax	Male	4	3	0	0				
Merrick	Male	0	0	0	1				
Platte	Male	1	1	0	0				
Coward	Female	1	1	0	0				
Seward	Male	2	1	1	1				
York	Male	1 0		1	1				
District Tota	District Total		7	2	3				

Motions to Transfer Courts and Transfers, County and Race/Ethnicity									
			le Court to It Court	Adult Court to Juvenile Court					
County	Race/ Ethnicity	Motion to Transfer	Cases Transfered	Motion to Transfer	Cases Transfered				
Butler	Hispanic	1	1	0	0				
Colfax	Hispanic	4	3	0	0				
Merrick	Unknown	0	0	0	1				
Platte	White	1	1	0	0				
Seward	White	3	2	1	1				
York	White	1 0		1	1				
District Total	District Total		7	2	3				

District 5

Part (d) Juvenile Probation Activity

Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (d) Juveniles placed on juvenile probation, the number of juveniles on probation in out-of-home care, the number of juveniles completing probation, the number of motions to revoke probation and probation revocations, and the average length of time on probation.

The Juvenile Probation Activity data is provided from the Administrative Office of Probation's case management system, Nebraska Probation Application for Community Safety (NPACS). The table reports the number and percent of youth with various activity in 2017. Youth are counted once in each category, regardless of the number of out-of-home placements, motions to revoke, or cases they may have in the court system. Age groups are calculated specific to the activity as footnoted.

	Juvenile Probation Activity District										
Domographic		Place Prob			Out-of-home Placement		ns to oke	Prob Revo		Satisfactory Release	
Demographic	Cohort	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total
	11-13	26	6%	16	9%	2	2%	0	0%	36	8%
	14-15	136	31%	54	31%	22	17%	0	0%	156	36%
Age Group	16	130	30%	40	23%	34	26%	0	0%	100	23%
	17	102	23%	42	24%	44	34%	2	100%	106	24%
	18	44	10%	20	12%	28	22%	0	0%	36	8%
Condon	Female	142	32%	62	36%	38	29%	0	0%	150	35%
Gender	Male	296	68%	110	64%	92	71%	2	100%	284	65%
	Am. Indian	4	1%	6	3%	2	2%	0	0%	0	0%
	Asian/P.I.	2	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	2	0%
Race	Black	10	2%	6	3%	2	2%	0	0%	8	2%
	White	322	74%	120	70%	100	77%	2	100%	318	73%
	Other	100	23%	40	23%	26	20%	0	0%	106	24%
Cth a i a itu	Hispanic	160	37%	54	31%	34	26%	0	0%	124	29%
Ethnicity	Not Hispanic	278	63%	118	69%	96	74%	2	100%	310	71%
District Total		438	100%	172	100%	130	100%	2	100%	434	100%

^{1.} Age at which the probation term began. 2. Age at the first admission to out-of-home placement. 3. Age as of the file date for motion to revoke. 4. Age as of the disposition dtate for motion to revoke. 5. Age as of the disposition date for discharge from probation.

	Juvenile Probation Activity by County and Age Group										
County	Age Group	Placed on Probation	Out-of- home Placement	Motions to Revoke	Probation Revoked	Satisfactory Release					
	Croup	# of Youth	# of Youth	# of Youth	# of Youth	# of Youth					
	14-15	2	4	2	0	2					
Boone	16	2	0	4	0	0					
Boone	17	0	2	2	0	4					
	18	0	2	2	0	0					
	14-15	12	2	0	0	20					
Butler	16	12	6	4	0	6					
buller	17	0	0	0	0	2					
	18	2	2	2	0	0					
	11-13	12	2	0	0	4					
	14-15	28	2	0	0	32					
Colfax	16	20	4	0	0	22					
	17	18	6	6	0	12					
	18	10	2	2	0	4					
	11-13	4	0	0	0	4					
	14-15	34	4	0	0	22					
Hamilton	16	18	0	4	0	14					
	17	18	6	2	0	24					
	18	4	2	6	0	12					
	11-13	4	0	0	0	2					
	14-15	10	6	2	0	2					
Merrick	16	12	6	4	0	10					
	17	6	2	6	2	6					
	18	8	2	2	0	12					
	11-13	0	0	0	0	2					
	14-15	0	0	0	0	2					
Nance	16	0	0	0	0	2					
	17	2	0	0	0	2					
	18	2	2	4	0	2					
	11-13	4	10	2	0	14					
	14-15	36	32	12	0	38					
Platte	16	36	14	12	0	14					
	17	26	12	14	0	20					
	18	10	0	0	0	2					

	Juvenile Probation Activity by County and Age Group										
County	Age Group	Placed on Probation	Out-of- home Placement	Motions to Revoke	Probation Revoked	Satisfactory Release					
	Group	# of Youth	# of Youth	# of Youth	# of Youth	# of Youth					
	14-15	4	2	0	0	4					
Polk	16	4	2	2	0	2					
	17	2	0	0	0	2					
	11-13	0	0	0	0	2					
	14-15	0	0	0	0	10					
Saunders	16	4	0	0	0	0					
	17	8	2	0	0	2					
	18	0	4	0	0	2					
	14-15	4	2	6	0	10					
Seward	16	10	6	4	0	18					
Sewaru	17	12	8	8	0	18					
	18	6	4	4	0	2					
	11-13	2	4	0	0	8					
	14-15	6	0	0	0	14					
York	16	12	2	0	0	12					
	17	10	4	6	0	14					
	18	2	0	6	0	0					
District To	otal	438	172	130	2	434					

			e Probatio ounty and (у	
County	Gender	Placed on Probation	Out-of- home Placement	Motions to Revoke	Probation Revoked	Satisfactory Release
		# of Youth	# of Youth	# of Youth	# of Youth	# of Youth
Boone	Female		2			2
Boone	Male	4	6	10		4
Butler	Female	10	6	4		4
Dullei	Male	16	4	2		24
Colfax	Female	36	6	2		34
Collax	Male	52	10	6		40
Hamilton	Female	26	6			20
папшиоп	Male	52	6	12		56
Merrick	Female	18	4	4		10
WEITICK	Male	22	12	10	2	22
Nance	Female		2	2		4
Nance	Male	4		2		6
Platte	Female	30	18	16		42
Fialle	Male	82	50	24		46
Polk	Female		2	2		2
POIK	Male	10	2			6
Saunders	Female	4	2			6
Sauriuers	Male	8	4			10
Seward	Female	14	8	6		18
Sewaru	Male	18	12	16		30
York	Female	4	6	2		8
TOIK	Male	28	4	10		40
District To	otal	438	172	130	2	434

	Juvenile Probation Activity - Cases by County and Race									
County	Race	Placed on Probation	Out-of- home Placement	Motions to Revoke	Probation Revoked	Satisfactory Release				
		# of Youth	# of Youth	# of Youth	# of Youth	# of Youth				
Boone	White	4	8	10		6				
Butler	White	24	10	6		28				
Dutiei	Other	2								
	Am. Indian	2	2							
Colfax	Black	2								
Collax	White		6	2		4				
	Other	64	8	6		70				
	Am. Indian	2								
1.1	Black	2	2			4				
Hamilton	White	70	6	12		70				
	Other	4	4			2				
	Black					2				
Merrick	White	38	12	14	2	30				
	Other	2	4							
Nance	White	4	2	4		10				
	Am. Indian		4	2						
	Black	2	4							
Platte	White	82	36	22		62				
	Other	28	24	16		26				
Polk	White	10	4	2		8				
	Black					2				
Saunders	White	12	6			14				
	Asian/P.I.	2				2				
	Black	2								
Seward	White	28	20	22		44				
	Other					2				
	Black	2		2						
York	White	30	10	6		42				
	Other			4		6				
District To	otal	438	172	130	2	434				

Juvenile Probation Activity - Cases by County and Ethnicity									
County	Ethnicity	Placed on Probation	Out-of- home Placement	Motions to Revoke	Probation Revoked	Satisfactory Release			
		# of Youth	# of Youth	# of Youth	# of Youth	# of Youth			
Boone	Not Hispanic	4	8	10		6			
Butler	Hispanic	2							
Dullei	Not Hispanic	24	10	6		28			
	Hispanic	64	8	6		70			
0-16	Hispanic	20	6	2		4			
Colfax	Not Hispanic	2	2						
Not Hispan		2							
	Hispanic	4	4			2			
	Hispanic	70	6	12		70			
Hamilton	Not Hispanic	2							
	Not Hispanic	2	2			4			
	Hispanic	2	4						
Merrick	Hispanic	38	12	14	2	30			
	Not Hispanic					2			
Nance	Not Hispanic	4	2	4		10			
	Hispanic	28	24	16		26			
	Hispanic	82	36	22		62			
Platte	Not Hispanic		4	2					
	Not Hispanic	2	4						
Polk	Not Hispanic	10	4	2		8			
	Hispanic	12	6			14			
Saunders	Not Hispanic					2			
	Hispanic					2			
	Hispanic	28	20	22		44			
Seward	Not Hispanic	2				2			
	Not Hispanic	2							
	Hispanic			4		6			
York	Hispanic	30	10	6		42			
	Not Hispanic	2		2					
District To	District Total		172	130	2	434			

District 5

Part (d) Juvenile Probation - Youth Risk Level and Length of Probation

Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (d) Juveniles placed on juvenile probation, the number of juveniles on probation in out-of-home care, the number of juveniles completing probation, the number of motions to revoke probation and probation revocations, and the average length of time on probation.

Youth Level of Service/Case Management Inventory (YLS/CMI) is an assessment tool used to determine the level of risk and appropriate placement for youth on probation. The following table shows the classification results of youth upon entry and exit from probation. Youth that are determined to be low or moderate low risk go through Juvenile Community-Based Resource (JCBR/Low/Moderate Low), those determined to be high or moderate high risk go through Juvenile Community-Based Intervention (JCBI/High/Moderate HighRisk)

	Youth Risk Levels and Length of Probation District										
		ln	itial Risk	(Level		Final Risk	Level		ge Length robation		
Demo- graphic	Cohort	JCBR/ Low/ Moderate Low	JCBI/ High	No Assessment**	JCBR/ Low	JCBI/ High/ Moderate High	No Assessment**	Total Youth	Avg Length of Probation		
	11-13	16	10		14	2		16	9.2		
	14-15	82	44	10	46	8	14	68	5.6		
Ago Croup	16	76	44	10	40	18	10	68	9.1		
Age Group	17	62	34	6	82	46	4	132	9.7		
	18	24	16	4	50	64	4	118	10.4		
	19 & over				8	6		14	18.0		
Candar	Female	86	50	6	80	46	10	136	9.7		
Gender	Male	174	98	24	160	98	22	280	9.2		
	Am. Indian	2	2					0			
	Asian/P.I.	2			2			2	6.0		
Race	Race Black 4		4	2	8	4		12	11.1		
	White	208	104	10	190	98	16	304	9.6		
	Other	44	38	18	40	42	16	98	8.4		
Ethoriait.	Hispanic	86	56	18	52	48	16	116	8.2		
Ethnicity	Not Hispanic	174	92	12	188	96	16	300	9.8		
District Tot	al	260	148	30	240	144	32	416	9.4		

		Yo	uth Ri l	sk Levels and by County and	Length l Age G	of Probat roup	tion		
		In	itial Risl	k Level		Final Risk	Level	Average Length of Probation	
County	Age Group	JCBR/ Low/ Moderate Low	JCBI/ High	No Assessment**	JCBR/ Low	JCBI/ High/ Moderate High	No Assessment**	Total Youth	Avg Length of Probation
	14-15		2		2			2	8.0
Doons	16		2					0	
Boone	18					4		4	15.8
	19 & over					2		2	28.0
	14-15	8	2	2	4		2	6	5.0
Dutton	16	8	2	2	2		2	4	4.5
Butler	17				6	2		8	8.8
	18		2			2		2	30.0
	11-13	6	6					0	
	14-15	12	8	8	4	4	10	18	4.1
Colfax	16	10	4	6	6	2	4	12	6.4
	17	8	4	6	16	14	4	34	9.6
	18	4	2	4	6	4	2	12	5.2
	11-13	4						0	
	14-15	28	6		16	2	2	20	4.2
Hamilton	16	16	2		10			10	4.3
	17	16	2		16	6		22	8.5
	18	2	2		16	6	2	24	6.4
	11-13	4						0	
	14-15	6	4		2			2	11.0
Mannials	16	4	8		2		2	4	8.0
Merrick	17	6			4	4		8	9.8
	18	6	2		10	4		14	15.6
	19 & over					2		2	28.0
	11-13				2			2	18.0
Namaa	17	2			4			4	17.0
Nance	18	2			2			2	9.0
	19 & over				2	2		4	13.0
	11-13		4		4	2		6	9.3
Diatta	14-15	16	20		4	2		6	12.3
Platte	16	14	22		8	16		24	13.2
	17	8	18		10	14		24	10.5

		Yo	uth Ri	sk Levels and by County and	Length l Age G	of Probat roup	tion		
		Initial Risk Level				Final Risk	Level		ge Length robation
County	Age Group	JCBR/ Low/ Moderate Low	JCBI/ High	No Assessment**	JCBR/ Low	JCBI/ High/ Moderate High	No Assessment**	Total Youth	Avg Length of Probation
Platte	18	6	4		2	28		30	11.6
Platte	19 & over				4			4	16.0
	14-15	4			2			2	2.0
Polk	16	4						0	
POIK	17	2				2		2	17.5
	18				2			2	11.0
	11-13				2			2	5.0
	14-15				2			2	6.0
Saunders	16	2		2	2		2	4	11.5
	17	6	2		2	2		4	12.0
	18				2	4		6	14.7
	14-15	4			6			6	5.3
	16	10			6			6	7.3
Seward	17	8	4		12			12	7.6
	18	2	4		6	6		12	8.7
	19 & over				2			2	12.0
	11-13	2			6			6	7.5
	14-15	4	2		4			4	8.0
York	16	8	4		4			4	9.8
	17	6	4		12	2		14	8.5
	18	2			4	6		10	8.6
District To	otal	260	148	30	240	144	32	416	9.4

		Y	outh R	isk Levels and by County a			ition		
		In	itial Risl	k Level		Final Risk	Average Length of Probation		
County	Gender	JCBR/ Low/ Moderate Low	JCBI/ High	No Assessment**	JCBR/ Low	JCBI/ High/ Moderate High	No Assessment**	Total Youth	Avg Length of Probation
Poono	Female				2	2		4	18.0
Boone	Male		4			4		4	15.8
Dutlor	Female	4	4	2	2		2	4	4.5
Butler	Male	12	2	2	10	4	2	16	10.0
Colfax	Female	20	12	4	14	8	6	28	9.3
Collax	Male	20	12	20	18	16	14	48	5.8
Llaweiltan	Female	22	4		14	8	2	24	6.0
Hamilton	Male	44	8		44	6	2	52	6.2
Marrial	Female	14	4		8	2		10	14.8
Merrick	Male	12	10		10	8	2	20	12.9
Name	Female				4			4	9.5
Nance	Male	4			6	2		8	17.0
Diette	Female	8	22		12	24		36	12.4
Platte	Male	36	46		20	38		58	11.5
Dalle	Female				2			2	11.0
Polk	Male	10			2	2		4	9.8
Caundana	Female	4			4			4	12.0
Saunders	Male	4	2	2	6	6	2	14	11.1
Causand	Female	10	4		12	2		14	5.7
Seward	Male	14	4		20	4		24	9.0
Vaule	Female	4			6			6	6.0
York	Male	18	10		24	8		32	8.9
District To	tal	260	148	30	240	144	32	416	9.4

	Youth Risk Levels and Length of Probation by County and Race									
		In	itial Risl	(Level		Final Risk	Level	Average Length of Probation		
County	Race	JCBR/ Low/ Moderate Low	JCBI/ High	No Assessment**	JCBR/ Low	JCBI/ High/ Moderate High	No Assessment**	Total Youth	Avg Length of Probation	
Boone	White		4		2	6		8	16.9	
Dutlan	White	14	6	4	12	4	4	20	8.9	
Butler	Other	2						0		
	Am. Indian		2					0		
0.16	Black			2				0		
Colfax	White	8	8	4	4		6	10	2.8	
	Other	32	14	18	28	24	14	66	7.8	
	Am. Indian	2						0		
	Black		2		4			4	4.2	
Hamilton	White	62	8		54	14	2	70	6.3	
	Other	2	2				2	2	4.0	
	Black				2			2	20.0	
Merrick	White	26	12		16	10	2	28	13.1	
	Other		2					0		
Nance	White	4			10	2		12	14.5	
	Black		2					0		
Platte	White	36	46		24	46		70	12.3	
	Other	8	20		8	16		24	10.4	
Polk	White	10			4	2		6	10.2	
0	Black				2	2		4	13.0	
Saunders	White	8	2	2	8	4	2	14	10.9	
	Asian/P.I.	2			2			2	6.0	
0	Black	2						0		
Seward	White	20	8		28	6		34	8.1	
	Other				2			2	4.0	
	Black	2				2		2	12.0	
York	White	20	10		28	4		32	8.0	
	Other				2	2		4	10.2	
District To	otal	260	148	30	240	144	32	416	9.4	

		You		k Levels and I by County and			on			
		In	itial Risl	k Level		Final Risk	Level	Average Length of Probation		
County	Ethnicity	JCBR/ Low/ Moderate Low	JCBI/ High	No Assessment**	JCBR/ Low	JCBI/ High/ Moderate High	No Assessment**	Total Youth	Avg Length of Probation	
Boone	Not Hispanic		4		2	6		8	16.9	
Dutlor	Hispanic	2						0		
Butler	Not Hispanic	14	6	4	12	4	4	20	8.9	
Colfax	Hispanic	34	18	18	30	24	14	68	7.6	
Collax	Not Hispanic	6	6	6	2		6	8	2.8	
Hamilton	Hispanic	18			6		2	8	3.8	
папппоп	Not Hispanic	48	12		52	14	2	68	6.4	
Merrick	Hispanic	2	2			2		2	14.0	
MEHICK	Not Hispanic	24	12		18	8	2	28	13.5	
Nance	Not Hispanic	4			10	2		12	14.5	
Platte	Hispanic	24	34		8	18		26	9.8	
Platte	Not Hispanic	20	34		24	44		68	12.6	
Polk	Not Hispanic	10			4	2		6	10.2	
Coundara	Hispanic				2			2	21.0	
Saunders	Not Hispanic	8	2	2	8	6	2	16	10.1	
Seward	Hispanic	2			2			2	4.0	
Sewaru	Not Hispanic	22	8		30	6		36	8.0	
York	Hispanic	4	2		4	4		8	9.2	
TOIK	Not Hispanic	18	8		26	4		30	8.2	
District To	otal	260	148	30	240	144	32	416	9.4	

District 5

Part (e) Juveniles Access to Counsel

Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (e) juveniles with and without access to counsel in juvenile and adult court, both appointed and retained.

The Juveniles Access to Counsel table displays the percent of juveniles represented by an attorney in criminal cases from county court and district court and juvenile cases from county court and separate juvenile court. Data includes new cases filed and cases transferred from one county to another during calendar year 2017. Cases filed in 2017 may not have reached the sentencing/adjudication stage of proceedings.

Juvenile Access to Counsel District									
			Criminal C	ourt Cases	;		Juvenile C	ourt Cases	;
Demographic	Cohort	Total Criminal Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel	Total Juvenile Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel
	10 & under					3	3	0	0%
	11-13					59	22	37	63%
Age Group	14-15	2	2	0	0%	185	88	97	52%
	16	8	4	4	50%	160	98	62	39%
	17	30	11	19	63%	164	84	80	49%
	Female	3	1	2	67%	194	98	96	49%
Gender	Male	34	15	19	56%	353	186	167	47%
	Unknown	3	1	2	67%	24	11	13	54%
	Am. Indian					3	2	1	33%
	Asian/P.I.					3	3	0	0%
Dogo/Ethericity	Black					12	3	9	75%
Race/Ethnicity	Hispanic	8	2	6	75%	171	85	86	50%
	White	24	12	12	50%	328	180	148	45%
	Unknown	8	3	5	62%	54	22	32	59%
District Total		40	17	23	58%	571	295	276	48%

Count Counse Coun					e Access					
County Age Group Total Criminal Court Cases # With Without Counsel # With Counsel With Counsel Counsel Total Counsel Counsel # Without Counsel Without Counsel # Without Counsel # Without Counsel % With Counsel Without Counsel % Without Cases % Without Cases<							_	Juvenile C	ourt Cases	
Boone 14-15 16 10 2 8 16 17 10 & under 10 & under 11 11 0 4 0 4 1 10 & under 14-15 2 2 0 0% 8 5 3 1 16 17 4 3 1 25% 8 5 3 1 10 & under 11-13 1 25% 8 5 3 1 1 1 0 1 1 1 0 1 1 1 0 1 1 1 0 1 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 1 0 1 1 1 0 1 1 1 0 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	County	Age Group	Total Criminal Court	# Without	# With	% With	Total Juvenile Court	# Without	# With	% With Counsel
Boone 16		11-13					2	0	2	100%
16	D	14-15					10	2	8	80%
Butler 10 & under 14-15 2 2 2 0 0% 8 5 3 3 3 4 16 17 4 3 1 25% 8 5 3 3 3 4 17 17 4 3 1 25% 8 5 3 3 3 1 25% 8 5 3 3 3 1 25% 8 5 3 3 3 1 25% 8 5 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3	Boone	16					4	0	4	100%
Butler 14-15		17					4	0	4	100%
Butler 16		10 & under					1	1	0	0%
16	-	14-15	2	2	0	0%	8	5	3	38%
Colfax 10 & under	Butler	16					10	6	4	40%
Colfax 11-13		17	4	3	1	25%	8	5	3	38%
Colfax 14-15 16 2 2 0 0% 19 9 10 17 7 2 5 71% 25 8 17 11-13 14-15 16 1 1 0 0% 13 9 4 2 11-13 16 17 10 0% 13 9 4 11 10 11 10 11 10 11 10 11 11 11 0 11 11 0 11 11 0 11 11 0 11 11 0 11 11 0 11 11 0 11 11 0 11 11 0 11 11 0 11 11 0 11		10 & under					1	1	0	0%
Hamilton 16		11-13					12	0	12	100%
Hamilton Hamilton Hamilton Hamilton 117 7 2 5 71% 25 8 17 4 2 2 11-13 4 2 2 117 7 16 11 1 0 0% 13 9 4 17 17 15 13 2 10 & under 11-13 14-15 16 16 17 2 0 2 100% 12 7 5 16 17 17 2 0 2 100% 12 7 5 17 17 10 11 11 10 11 11 10 11 11 10 11 11 10 11 11	Colfax	14-15					26	9	17	65%
Hamilton 11-13		16	2	2	0	0%	19	9	10	53%
Hamilton 14-15 16 1 1 1 0 0% 13 9 4 17 10 4 11 1 0 11-13 14-15 16 17 2 0 2 100% 12 7 5 Nance 14-15 17 11-13 11-14 11-15 11-15 11-15 11-16 11-16 11-17 11-18 11-18 11-18 11-19 1		17	7	2	5	71%	25	8	17	68%
Hamilton 16 1 1 0 0% 13 9 4 17 17 18 18 13 2 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18		11-13					4	2	2	50%
16		14-15					24	17	7	29%
Merrick 10 & under 11-13 Merrick 14-15 16 17 2 0 2 100% 12 7 5 Nance 14-15 17 2 0 2 100% 12 7 5 11 10 11 10 11 10 11 11 10 11	Hamilton	16	1	1	0	0%	13	9	4	31%
Merrick 11-13 14-15 16 17 2 0 2 100% 12 7 5 Nance 14-15 17 11-13 11-13 11-13 11-13 11-15 Platte 11-15 11 11 10 11 10 11 10 11 10 11 10 11 10 11 10 11 10		17					15	13	2	13%
Merrick 14-15 16 17 2 0 2 100% 12 7 5 Nance 14-15 17 2 11-13 11-13 14-15 Platte 16 1 0 1 10 3 7 5 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10		10 & under					1	1	0	0%
16 10 3 7 17 2 0 2 100% 12 7 5 Nance 14-15 1 1 0 17 2 1 1 11-13 26 16 10 14-15 82 45 37 16 1 0 1 100% 76 56 20		11-13					4	1	3	75%
Nance 17 2 0 2 100% 12 7 5 1 1 1 0 1 1 1 0 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Merrick	14-15					8	3	5	62%
Nance 14-15 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 1 0 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		16					10	3	7	70%
Nance 14-15 17 17 2 1 1 1 1 0 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		17	2	0	2	100%	12	7	5	42%
Platte 17		14-15						1		0%
Platte 11-13 26 16 10 27 27 28 28 28 29 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20	Nance	17								50%
Platte										38%
Platte 16 1 0 1 100% 76 56 20										45%
	Platte		1	0	1	100%				26%
										43%
14-15 3 3 0										0%
	Polk									67%
17 1 1 0										0%
11-13										33%
Saunders	Saunders									100%

Juvenile Access to Counsel by County and Age Group											
			Criminal C	ourt Cases	3		Juvenile C	ourt Cases	;		
County	Age Group	Total Criminal Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel	Total Juvenile Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel		
Saunders	16	1	1	0	0%	5	2	3	60%		
Sauriuers	17	5	4	1	20%	9	3	6	67%		
	11-13					4	0	4	100%		
Causand	14-15					13	3	10	77%		
Seward	16	3	0	3	100%	13	5	8	62%		
	17	6	1	5	83%	16	5	11	69%		
	11-13					4	1	3	75%		
Vork	14-15					5	0	5	100%		
York	16					7	7	0	0%		
	17	2	0	2	100%	11	6	5	45%		
District Tota	District Total 40 17 23 58% 571 295 276 48%								48%		

Juvenile Access to Counsel by County and Gender										
			Criminal C	ourt Cases	3		Juvenile C	ourt Cases	,	
County	Gender	Total Criminal Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel	Total Juvenile Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel	
Boone	Female					9	0	9	100%	
Doone	Male					11	2	9	82%	
	Female					7	2	5	71%	
Butler	Male	5	4	1	20%	19	14	5	26%	
	Unknown	1	1	0	0%	1	1	0	0%	
	Female					23	9	14	61%	
Colfax	Male	9	4	5	56%	59	18	41	69%	
	Unknown					1	0	1	100%	
Hamilton	Female					21	16	5	24%	
паннион	Male	1	1	0	0%	35	25	10	29%	
	Female					10	6	4	40%	
Merrick	Male	2	0	2	100%	10	3	7	70%	
	Unknown					15	6	9	60%	
Nance	Male					2	2	0	0%	
Nance	Unknown					1	0	1	100%	
	Female	1	0	1	100%	90	60	30	33%	
Platte	Male	3	1	2	67%	149	88	61	41%	
	Unknown	1	0	1	100%	6	4	2	33%	
Polk	Male					7	5	2	29%	
Saunders	Female					6	0	6	100%	
Sauriuers	Male	6	5	1	17%	16	7	9	56%	
Seward	Female	2	1	1	50%	21	3	18	86%	
Sewalu	Male	7	0	7	100%	25	10	15	60%	
	Female					7	2	5	71%	
York	Male	1	0	1	100%	20	12	8	40%	
	Unknown	1	0	1	100%					
District Tota	nl	40	17	23	58%	571	295	276	48%	

Juvenile Access to Counsel by County and Race/Ethnicity										
			Criminal C				Juvenile C	ourt Cases	.	
County	Race/ Ethnicity	Total Criminal Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel	Total Juvenile Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counse	
Boone	White					18	1	17	94%	
boone	Unknown					2	1	1	50%	
	Hispanic	1	0	1	100%	5	4	1	20%	
Butler	White	2	2	0	0%	16	10	6	38%	
	Unknown	3	3	0	0%	6	3	3	50%	
	Black					1	1	0	0%	
Colfax	Hispanic	7	2	5	71%	60	20	40	67%	
	White	2	2	0	0%	22	6	16	73%	
	Hispanic					8	6	2	25%	
Hamilton	White	1	1	0	0%	41	32	9	22%	
	Unknown					7	3	4	57%	
Merrick Wi	Hispanic					5	0	5	100%	
	White					24	13	11	46%	
	Unknown	2	0	2	100%	6	2	4	67%	
	White					1	1	0	0%	
Nance	Unknown					2	1	1	50%	
	Am. Indian					2	1	1	50%	
	Asian/P.I.					2	2	0	0%	
	Black					2	2	0	0%	
Platte	Hispanic					86	51	35	41%	
	White	4	1	3	75%	135	88	47	35%	
	Unknown	1	0	1	100%	18	8	10	56%	
	White					5	4	1	20%	
Polk	Unknown					2	1	1	50%	
	Black					1	0	1	100%	
Saunders	White	5	5	0	0%	17	5	12	71%	
	Unknown	1	0	1	100%	4	2	2	50%	
	Am. Indian	-	_	-	22,0	1	1	0	0%	
	Asian/P.I.					1	1	0	0%	
Seward	Black					6	0	6	100%	
20.10.10	Hispanic					3	2	1	33%	
	White	9	1	8	89%	35	9	26	74%	
York	Black				23,0	2	0	2	100%	

Juvenile Access to Counsel by County and Race/Ethnicity										
Criminal Court Cases Juvenile Court Cases									i	
County	Race/ Ethnicity	Total Criminal Court Cases	Criminal Without Court Counsel # With % With Juvenile Without Counsel Counsel Court Counsel						% With Counsel	
	Hispanic					4	2	2	50%	
York	White	1	0	1	100%	14	11	3	21%	
	Unknown 1 0 1 100% 7 1 6 86%									
District Tota	District Total 40 17 23 58% 571 295 276 48%									

District 6

Part (a) Juvenile Petitions and Adjudications

Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (a) Juveniles prosecuted in juvenile court under subdivision (1), (2), (3)(b), or (4) of section 43-247, including the total number of filings and adjudications.

The Juvenile Petitions and Adjudications tables report the number of new juvenile cases and corresponding percent of adjudications by case type and demographic group for cases filed in 2017. The data consists of both county court and separate juvenile court cases. Only the most serious offense is counted for cases with multiple charges, pleas or findings. Cases are counted in the Admit column if at least one charge was admitted to in court. Supplemental petitions are not included in this data set. Cases filed in November or December of 2017 may not have reached the adjudication stage of proceedings. Juvenile cases where the age is unknown are included in the report.

	Juvenile Petitions and Adjudication by Case Type District												
Demo-		Traffic Offense		Sta	tus Offe	nse	Mis	demear	nor		Felony		
graphic	Cohort	Cases	Admit	% Admit	Cases	Admit	% Admit	Cases	Admit	% Admit	Cases	Admit	% Admit
	10 & under	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%
	11-13	0	0	0%	3	3	100%	16	14	88%	4	4	100%
Age Group	14-15	0	0	0%	16	10	62%	69	48	70%	9	7	78%
0.000	16	2	1	50%	12	9	75%	54	32	59%	11	9	82%
	17	0	0	0%	6	3	50%	59	38	64%	14	9	64%
	Female	0	0	0%	21	17	81%	65	46	71%	7	5	71%
Gender	Male	2	1	50%	16	8	50%	133	87	65%	31	24	77%
	Unknown	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	0	0%	0	0	0%
	Am. Indian	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	14	10	71%	5	3	60%
	Asian/P.I.	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	0	0%	0	0	0%
	Black	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	8	7	88%	0	0	0%
Race/ Ethnicity	Hispanic	0	0	0%	3	3	100%	22	13	59%	5	4	80%
oity	White	2	1	50%	16	12	75%	102	70	69%	18	15	83%
	Other	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	0	0%	0	0	0%
	Unknown	0	0	0%	17	9	53%	51	33	65%	10	7	70%
District T	otal	2	1	50%	37	25	68%	199	133	67%	38	29	76%

Juvenile Cases and Adjudication by Case Type, County and Age Group													
		Tra	ffic Offe	nse	Sta	tus Offe	nse	Mis	demear	nor		Felony	
County	Age Group	Cases	Admit	% Admit	Cases	Admit	% Admit	Cases	Admit	% Admit	Cases	Admit	% Admit
	11-13	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	0	0%	0	0	0%
Burt	14-15	0	0	0%	2	2	100%	3	0	0%	1	0	0%
Duit	16	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%	0	0	0%
	17	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	2	1	50%	0	0	0%
	14-15	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	5	3	60%	0	0	0%
Cedar	16	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	4	0	0%	1	1	100%
	17	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	7	2	29%	0	0	0%
	11-13	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	4	4	100%	1	1	100%
D.L.C.	14-15	0	0	0%	2	2	100%	23	19	83%	5	4	80%
Dakota	16	0	0	0%	2	2	100%	7	4	57%	2	2	100%
	17	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	10	6	60%	2	0	0%
	14-15	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	1	0	0%	0	0	0%
Dixon	16	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	4	2	50%	0	0	0%
	17	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%
	10 & under	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%
	11-13	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	7	7	100%	3	3	100%
Dodge	14-15	0	0	0%	11	5	45%	27	19	70%	3	3	100%
	16	2	1	50%	8	5	62%	26	19	73%	3	3	100%
	17	0	0	0%	6	3	50%	26	20	77%	6	4	67%
	11-13	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	0	0%	0	0	0%
	14-15	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	2	1	50%	0	0	0%
Thurston	16	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	3	0	0%	0	0	0%
	17	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	5	4	80%	2	1	50%
	11-13	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	3	3	100%	0	0	0%
147 13 6	14-15	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	8	6	75%	0	0	0%
Washington	16	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	10	7	70%	5	3	60%
	17	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	8	4	50%	4	4	100%
District Total		2	1	50%	37	25	68%	199	133	67%	38	29	76%

Juvenile Cases and Adjudication by Case Type, County and Gender													
		Tra	ffic Offe	nse	Sta	tus Offe	nse	Mis	sdemear	nor		Felony	
County	Gender	Cases	Admit	% Admit	Cases	Admit	% Admit	Cases	Admit	% Admit	Cases	Admit	% Admit
Burt	Female	0	0	0%	2	2	100%	1	0	0%	0	0	0%
Duit	Male	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	5	1	20%	1	0	0%
Codor	Female	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	4	1	25%	0	0	0%
Cedar	Male	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	12	4	33%	1	1	100%
Delete	Female	0	0	0%	3	3	100%	19	14	74%	4	3	75%
Dakota	Male	0	0	0%	2	2	100%	25	19	76%	6	4	67%
Divers	Female	0	0	0%	2	2	100%	2	1	50%	0	0	0%
Dixon	Male	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	4	2	50%	0	0	0%
Dadaa	Female	0	0	0%	13	9	69%	26	23	88%	3	2	67%
Dodge	Male	2	1	50%	13	5	38%	61	43	70%	12	11	92%
Thurston	Female	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	3	0	0%	0	0	0%
Thurston	Male	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	8	5	62%	2	1	50%
	Female	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	10	7	70%	0	0	0%
Washington	Male	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	18	13	72%	9	7	78%
	Unknown	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	0	0%	0	0	0%
District Total	istrict Total 2 1 50% 37 25 68% 199 133 67% 38 29 76%												

		J	uvenile		and Ac			7 Case T ty	Type,				
		Tra	ffic Offer	nse	Sta	tus Offe	nse	Mis	sdemear	nor		Felony	
County	Race	Cases	Admit	% Admit	Cases	Admit	% Admit	Cases	Admit	% Admit	Cases	Admit	% Admit
	Hispanic	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	0	0%	1	0	0%
Burt	White	0	0	0%	3	3	100%	4	1	25%	0	0	0%
	Unknown	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	0	0%	0	0	0%
0	Asian/P.I.	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	0	0%	0	0	0%
Cedar	White	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	15	5	33%	1	1	100%
	Am. Indian	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	12	9	75%	4	3	75%
	Black	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	5	4	80%	0	0	0%
Dakota	Hispanic	0	0	0%	2	2	100%	12	7	58%	3	3	100%
	White	0	0	0%	2	2	100%	15	13	87%	2	1	50%
	Unknown	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	0	0%
-	Hispanic	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%
	White	0	0	0%	2	2	100%	3	1	33%	0	0	0%
	Unknown	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	2	1	50%	0	0	0%
	Am. Indian	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	1	0	0%
	Black	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%
Dodge	Hispanic	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	7	5	71%	1	1	100%
	White	2	1	50%	8	4	50%	35	27	77%	7	6	86%
	Unknown	0	0	0%	17	9	53%	43	32	74%	6	6	100%
	Am. Indian	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	0	0%	0	0	0%
	Hispanic	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	0	0%	0	0	0%
Thurston	White	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	8	5	62%	2	1	50%
	Unknown	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	0	0%	0	0	0%
	Black	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	2	2	100%	0	0	0%
	White	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	22	18	82%	6	6	100%
Washington	Other	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	0	0%	0	0	0%
	Unknown	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	4	0	0%	3	1	33%
District Total	l	2	1	50%	37	25	68%	199	133	67%	38	29	76%

District 6

Part (b) Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court - Case Type

Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (b) Juveniles prosecuted in adult criminal court for felonies, misdemeanors, and traffic offenses. The information shall include juveniles sentenced to terms in adult jails and prisons and juveniles placed on adult probation.

Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court tables consist of criminal and traffic cases in county court and criminal cases in district court that reached the point of sentencing in 2017. Cases with multiple charges are counted once per case and reported as the most severe offense. Cases for juveniles ages 13 and below must be filed and stay in juvenile court; however, judges have the authority to allow these cases in criminal court. Therefore, only juveniles ages 14 through 17 at the time of the offense appear in adult criminal court data, with rare exceptions.

Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court by Case Type District										
		All C	ases	Misdemeanor						
Demographic	Cohort	Total Cases	% of Total	Total Cases	% of Total for Case Type Group					
Age Group	16	4	33%	4	33%					
	17	8	67%	8	67%					
Gender	Female	3	27%	3	27%					
	Male	8	73%	8	73%					
	Unknown	1		1						
Race/Ethnicity	Am. Indian	4	50%	4	50%					
	White	4	50%	4	50%					
	Unknown	4		4						
District Total 12 100% 12 100%										

Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court by Case Type, County and Age Group										
County Age Group All Cases Traffic Misdemeanor Felony										
	Cioup	#	#	#	#					
Burt	17	2	0	2	0					
Dakota	16	4	0	4	0					
Бакога	17	5	0	5	0					
Dodge 17 1 0 1 0										
District Total 12 0 12 0										

Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court by Case Type, County and Gender										
County Gender All Cases Traffic Misdemeanor Felony										
		#	#	#	#					
Burt	Male	2	0	2	0					
	Female	3	0	3	0					
Dakota	Male	5	0	5	0					
	Unknown	1	0	1	0					
Dodge Male 1 0 1 0										
District Tot	District Total 12 0 12 0									

Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court by Case Type, County and Race/Ethnicity

County	Race	All Cases	Misdemeanor
		#	#
Burt	White	1	1
Duit	Unknown	1	1
	Am. Indian	4	4
Dakota	White	2	2
	Unknown	3	3
Dodge	White	1	1
District Tot	al	12	12

Part (b) Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court - Sentence

Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (b) Juveniles prosecuted in adult criminal court for felonies, misdemeanors, and traffic offenses. The information shall include juveniles sentenced to terms in adult jails and prisons and juveniles placed on adult probation.

Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court tables include criminal and traffic cases in county court and criminal cases in district court that reached the point of sentencing in 2017. All sentencing types are included where multiple sentencing types occur within a case (ex. probation/jail). Age is calculated from the age at offense, not the age at sentencing.

Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court by Sentencing District										
		Total C	ases	Prob	Probation		Jail		on	
Demographic	Cohort	Number of Cases	% of Total	Number of Cases	% of Cohort	Number of Cases	% of Cohort	Number of Cases	% of Cohort	
Ago Croup	16	4	33%	0	0%	4	100%	0	0%	
Age Group	17	8	67%	2	25%	6	75%	0	0%	
	Female	3	27%	0	0%	3	100%	0	0%	
Gender	Male	8	73%	2	0%	6	100%	0	0%	
	Unknown	1	0%	0	0%	1	100%	0	0%	
	Am. Indian	4	50%	0	0%	4	100%	0	0%	
Race/Ethnicity	Unknown	4	0%	1	0%	3	100%	0	0%	
	White	4	50%	1	25%	3	75%	0	0%	
District Total		12	100%	2	0%	10	100%	0	0%	

Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court by Sentence, County and Age Group								
	Age	Total Cases	Probation	Jail	Prison			
County	Group	Number of Cases	Number of Cases	Number of Cases	Number of Cases			
Burt	17	2	2	0	0			
Dakota	16	4	0	4	0			
Dakola	17	5	0	5	0			
Dodge 17 1 0 1 0								
District Tot	al	12	2	10	0			

Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court by Sentence, County and Gender									
		Total Cases	Probation	Jail	Prison				
County	Gender	Number of Cases	Number of Cases	Number of Cases	Number of Cases				
Burt	Male	2	2	0	0				
	Female	3	0	3	0				
Dakota	Male	5	0	5	0				
	Unknown	1	0	1	0				
Dodge	Dodge Male 1 0 1 0								
District Tot	al	12	2	10	0				

Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court by Sentence, County and Race/Ethnicity								
		Total Cases	Probation	Jail	Prison			
County	Race	Number of Cases	Number of Cases	Number of Cases	Number of Cases			
Burt	White	1	1	0	0			
Duit	Unknown	1	1	0	0			
	Am. Indian	4	0	4	0			
Dakota	White	2	0	2	0			
	Unknown	3	0	3	0			
Dodge White 1 0 1 0								
District Tot	al	12	2	10	0			

Part (c) Motions to Transfer Cases and Cases Transferred

Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (c) The number of motions to transfer and the number of cases transferred to adult court from juvenile court and from adult criminal court to juvenile court.

The data for Motions to Transfer Cases and Cases Transferred consists of county court and district court adult criminal cases and county court and separate juvenile court juvenile cases within the same county. Motions to transfer cases and cases transferred that were entered in 2017 were included in the file. Cases may have been filed previously and transferred in 2017. There can be multiple motions to transfer a case and all are reported; transfers occur once per case.

Motions to Transfer Courts and Transfers District											
		Juven	ile Cour	t to Adult Co	ourt	Adu	It Court	to Juvenile Cour	t		
Demographic	Cohort	Motion to Transfer Juv to Adult	% of Total	Transfers Juv to Adult	% of Total	Motion to Transfer Adult to Juv	% of Total	Transfers Adult to Juv	% of Total		
	14-15	1	25%	0		1	100%	0			
Age Group	16	1	25%	0		0		0			
	17	2	50%	0		0		0			
Gender	Male	4	100%	0		1	100%	0			
Doog/Ethnicity	Am. Indian	2	50%	0		0		0			
Race/Ethnicity	White	2	50%	0		1	100%	0			
District Total		4		0		1		0			

Motions to Transfer Courts and Transfers, County and Age Group									
			le Court to It Court	Adult Court to Juvenile Court					
County	Age Group	Motion to Transfer	Cases Transfered	Cases Motion Transfered to Transfer					
Burt	14-15	0	0	1	0				
	14-15	1	0	0	0				
Dakota	16	1	0	0	0				
	17	1	0	0	0				
Thurston	17	1	0	0	0				
District Total	al	4	0	1	0				

Motions to Transfer Courts and Transfers, County and Gender									
			le Court to It Court	Adult Court to Juvenile Court					
County	Gender	Motion to Transfer	Cases Transfered	Motion to Transfer	Cases Transfered				
Burt	Male	0	0	1	0				
Dakota	Male	3	0	0	0				
Thurston	Male	1	0	0	0				
District Total	al	4	0	1	0				

	Motions to Transfer Courts and Transfers, County and Race/Ethnicity								
			le Court to It Court	Adult Court to Juvenile Court					
County	Race/ Ethnicity	Motion to Transfer	Cases Transfered	Motion to Transfer	Cases Transfered				
Burt	White	0	0	1	0				
Dakota	Am. Indian	2	0	0	0				
Danola	White	1	0	0	0				
Thurston	White	1	0	0	0				
District Total	al	4	0	1	0				

Part (d) Juvenile Probation Activity

Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (d) Juveniles placed on juvenile probation, the number of juveniles on probation in out-of-home care, the number of juveniles completing probation, the number of motions to revoke probation and probation revocations, and the average length of time on probation.

The Juvenile Probation Activity data is provided from the Administrative Office of Probation's case management system, Nebraska Probation Application for Community Safety (NPACS). The table reports the number and percent of youth with various activity in 2017. Youth are counted once in each category, regardless of the number of out-of-home placements, motions to revoke, or cases they may have in the court system. Age groups are calculated specific to the activity as footnoted.

	Juvenile Probation Activity District											
Demographic	Cohort		Placed on Probation		Out-of-home Placement		Motions to Revoke		Probation Revoked		Satisfactory Release	
Demographic	Conort	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	
	11-13	30	9%	18	9%	8	6%	0	0%	42	12%	
	14-15	86	27%	42	21%	18	13%	2	8%	90	25%	
Age Group	16	94	30%	56	28%	42	30%	4	17%	98	27%	
1	17	88	28%	64	32%	40	29%	10	42%	102	28%	
	18	18	6%	18	9%	30	22%	8	33%	26	7%	
0	Female	130	41%	64	32%	48	35%	4	17%	154	43%	
Gender	Male	186	59%	134	68%	90	65%	20	83%	204	57%	
	Am. Indian	36	11%	22	11%	18	13%	4	17%	22	6%	
	Asian/P.I.	0	0%	2	1%	2	1%	0	0%	2	1%	
Race	Black	18	6%	8	4%	6	4%	0	0%	24	7%	
	White	256	81%	150	76%	104	75%	12	50%	260	73%	
	Other	6	2%	16	8%	8	6%	8	33%	50	14%	
E41:::	Hispanic	64	20%	36	18%	26	19%	8	33%	82	23%	
Ethnicity	Not Hispanic	252	80%	162	82%	112	81%	16	67%	276	77%	
District Total		316	100%	198	100%	138	100%	24	100%	358	100%	

^{1.} Age at which the probation term began. 2. Age at the first admission to out-of-home placement. 3. Age as of the file date for motion to revoke. 4. Age as of the disposition date for motion to revoke. 5. Age as of the disposition date for discharge from probation.

		Juvenil by Cou	e Probation nty and Ag	n Activity te Group	y	
County	Age	Placed on Probation	Out-of- home Placement	Motions to Revoke	Probation Revoked	Satisfactory Release
	Group	# of Youth	# of Youth	# of Youth	# of Youth	# of Youth
	14-15	0	0	0	0	2
Burt	16	6	0	0	0	4
Duit	17	0	2	0	0	2
	18	0	0	2	0	0
	14-15	2	4	2	0	2
Cedar	16	4	2	2	0	0
Cedal	17	4	0	2	0	6
	18	2	0	0	0	2
	11-13	14	4	2	0	12
	14-15	34	8	6	0	26
Dakota	16	20	8	10	4	28
	17	24	26	12	8	18
	18	2	4	6	0	2
	11-13	0	2	0	0	2
Divon	14-15	2	0	0	0	6
Dixon	16	2	0	2	0	4
	17	2	2	0	0	2
	11-13	12	8	4	0	26
	14-15	34	26	8	2	40
Dodge	16	52	34	22	0	46
	17	40	26	16	0	44
	18	8	8	16	8	16
	14-15	2	0	0	0	0
Thurston	16	0	2	0	0	0
Thurston	17	2	2	0	0	0
	18	4	0	0	0	6
	11-13	4	4	2	0	2
	14-15	12	4	2	0	14
Washington	16	10	10	6	0	16
	17	16	6	10	2	30
	18	2	6	6	0	0
District Tota	ı	316	198	138	24	358

	Juvenile Probation Activity by County and Gender									
County	Gender	Placed on Probation	Out-of- home Placement	Motions to Revoke	Probation Revoked	Satisfactory Release				
·		# of Youth	# of Youth	# of Youth	# of Youth	# of Youth				
Burt	Female	6				6				
	Male		2	2		2				
Cedar	Female	2	2	4		4				
Cedai	Male	10	4	2		6				
Dakota	Female	44	14	14	2	46				
Dakola	Male	50	36	22	10	40				
Dixon	Female	4				4				
DIXON	Male	2	4	2		10				
Dodgo	Female	60	42	28	2	76				
Dodge	Male	86	60	38	8	96				
Thurston	Female	2								
THUISION	Male	6	4			6				
Washington	Female	12	6	2		18				
vvasiiiigi0ii	Male	32	24	24	2	44				
District Tota	I	316	198	138	24	358				

Juvenile Probation Activity - Cases by County and Race									
County	Race	Placed on Probation	Out-of- home Placement	Motions to Revoke	Probation Revoked	Satisfactory Release			
		# of Youth	# of Youth	# of Youth	# of Youth	# of Youth			
Burt	Black		2	2					
Duit	White	6				8			
Cedar	White	12	6	6		10			
	Am. Indian	30	18	12	2	22			
	Asian/P.I.		2	2		2			
Dakota	Black	10				14			
	White	52	24	16	2	30			
	Other	2	6	6	8	18			
Dixon	White	6	4	2		12			
DIXOII	Other					2			
	Am. Indian	6	4	6	2				
Dodge	Black	4	4	2		8			
Douge	White	134	86	56	8	134			
	Other	2	8	2		30			
Thurston	White	8	4			6			
	Black	4	2	2		2			
Washington	White	38	26	24	2	60			
	Other	2	2						
District Tota	ıl	316	198	138	24	358			

	Juvenile Probation Activity - Cases by County and Ethnicity											
County	Ethnicity	Placed on Probation	Out-of- home Placement	Motions to Revoke	Probation Revoked	Satisfactory Release						
	·	# of Youth	# of Youth	# of Youth	# of Youth	# of Youth						
Dont	Not Hispanic		2	2								
Burt	Not Hispanic	6				8						
Cedar	Not Hispanic	12	6	6		10						
	Hispanic	30	18	12	2	22						
	Hispanic	2	6	6	8	18						
Dakota	Hispanic	52	24	16	2	30						
	Not Hispanic		2	2		2						
	Not Hispanic	10				14						
Dixon	Hispanic					2						
DIXON	Hispanic	6	4	2		12						
	Hispanic	2	8	2		30						
Dodge	Hispanic	134	86	56	8	134						
Douge	Not Hispanic	6	4	6	2							
	Not Hispanic	4	4	2		8						
Thurston	Hispanic	8	4			6						
	Not Hispanic	4	2	2		2						
Washington	Not Hispanic	2	2									
	Not Hispanic	38	26	24	2	60						
District Tota	1	316	198	138	24	358						

Part (d) Juvenile Probation - Youth Risk Level and Length of Probation

Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (d) Juveniles placed on juvenile probation, the number of juveniles on probation in out-of-home care, the number of juveniles completing probation, the number of motions to revoke probation and probation revocations, and the average length of time on probation.

Youth Level of Service/Case Management Inventory (YLS/CMI) is an assessment tool used to determine the level of risk and appropriate placement for youth on probation. The following table shows the classification results of youth upon entry and exit from probation. Youth that are determined to be low or moderate low risk go through Juvenile Community-Based Resource (JCBR/Low/Moderate Low), those determined to be high or moderate high risk go through Juvenile Community-Based Intervention (JCBI/High/Moderate HighRisk)

		Yout	h Risk	Levels and L Distric		of Probatic	on			
		ln	itial Risk	(Level		Final Risk	Level	Average Length of Probation		
Demo- graphic	Cohort	JCBR/ Low/ Moderate Low	JCBI/ High	No Assessment**	JCBR/ Low	JCBI/ High/ Moderate High	No Assessment**	Total Youth	Avg Length of Probation	
	11-13	22	4	4	4		2	6	7.3	
	14-15	40	38	8	26	10	16	52	11.0	
Ago Croup	16	58	32	4	42	4	2	48	11.7	
Age Group	17	56	28	4	56	28	6	90	12.7	
	18	12	6		78	40		118	14.3	
	19 & over				10	8	2	20	33.5	
Candar	Female	80	34	16	92	28	24	144	13.5	
Gender	Male	108	74	4	124	62	4	190	14.3	
	Am. Indian	22	10	4	22	4	10	36	18.3	
	Asian/P.I.				2			2	7.0	
Race	Black	6	8	4	16	10	4	30	10.1	
	White	156	90	10	158	58	14	230	12.9	
	Other	4		2	18	18		36	20.5	
Ethoriait.	Hispanic	32	22	10	34	22	10	66	13.5	
Ethnicity	Not Hispanic	156	86	10	182	68	18	268	14.1	
District Tot	al	188	108	20	216	90	28	334	14.0	

		You	th Ris	k Levels and l y County and	Length (of Probati oup	on		
		In	itial Risl	k Level		Final Risk	Level	Avera of P	ge Length robation
County	Age Group	JCBR/ Low/ Moderate Low	JCBI/ High	No Assessment**	JCBR/ Low	JCBI/ High/ Moderate High	No Assessment**	Total Youth	Avg Length of Probation
	14-15				2			2	17.0
Burt	16	6			4			4	5.5
	19 & over				2			2	121.0
	14-15		2					0	
	16	2	2		2			2	11.0
Cedar	17	4			4			4	15.5
	18	2			4			4	4.0
	19 & over					2		2	15.0
	11-13	10		4			2	2	2.0
	14-15	12	14	8	4	2	16	22	4.1
	16	4	12	4	6		2	8	7.2
Dakota	17	14	8	2	18	16	6	40	11.0
	18	2			12	8		20	17.4
	19 & over				4	2	2	8	28.4
	14-15	2			2			2	30.0
	16	2			2	2		4	14.0
Dixon	17	2			2	4		6	22.7
	18				2			2	27.0
	19 & over				2			2	23.0
	11-13	8	4		4			4	10.0
	14-15	20	14		16	6		22	14.5
	16	36	16		16	2		18	16.0
Dodge	17	24	16		22	8		30	13.6
	18	6	2		42	20		62	12.9
	19 & over					4		4	23.5
	14-15		2					0	
Thurston	17		2					0	
	18		4			2		2	2.0
	11-13	4						0	
14/	14-15	6	6		2	2		4	16.5
Washington	16	8	2		12			12	9.8
	17	12	2	2	10			10	9.2

	Youth Risk Levels and Length of Probation by County and Age Group												
		In	itial Risl	k Level		Final Risk	Level	Average Length of Probation					
County	Age Group	JCBR/ Low/ Moderate Low	JCBI/ High	No Assessment**	JCBR/ Low	JCBI/ High/ Moderate High	No Assessment**	Total Youth	Avg Length of Probation				
Machinaton	18	2			18	10		28	16.6				
Washington	19 & over				2			2	15.0				
District Tota	ıl	188	108	20	216	90	28	334	14.0				

		Yo	uth Ri	sk Levels and by County a			ion			
		In	itial Risl	k Level		Final Risk	Level	Average Length of Probation		
County	Gender	JCBR/ Low/ Moderate Low	JCBI/ High	No Assessment**	JCBR/ Low	JCBI/ High/ Moderate High	No Assessment**	Total Youth	Avg Length of Probation	
Burt	Female	6			6			6	44.0	
Buit	Male				2			2	17.0	
Cedar	Female	2			4	2		6	17.0	
Cedai	Male	6	4		6			6	4.7	
Dakota	Female	18	10	16	22	6	24	52	9.8	
Darota	Male	24	24	2	22	22	4	48	13.7	
Dixon	Female	4			2	2		4	18.0	
DIXOIT	Male	2			8	4		12	23.3	
Dodge	Female	40	20		42	16		58	13.9	
Douge	Male	54	32		58	24		82	13.9	
Thurston	Female		2					0		
THUISION	Male		6			2		2	2.0	
Washington	Female	10	2		16	2		18	10.9	
vvasiiiigi0ii	Male	22	8	2	28	10		38	15.1	
District Tota	nl .	188	108	20	216	90	28	334	14.0	

		You	th Risl	k Levels and I by County a			on		
		In	itial Risl	k Level		Final Risk	Level	Average Length of Probation	
County	Race	JCBR/ Low/ Moderate Low	JCBI/ High	No Assessment**	JCBR/ Low	JCBI/ High/ Moderate High	No Assessment**	Total Youth	Avg Length of Probation
Burt	Am. Indian				2			2	121.0
Duit	White	6			6			6	9.3
Cedar	White	8	4			12	10.8		
	Am. Indian	18	8	4	18	4	10	32	12.9
	Asian/P.I.				2			2	7.0
Dakota	Black	2	4	4	8	6	4	18	8.3
	White	22	22	8	6	10	14	30	6.8
	Other			2	10	8		18	21.6
Dixon	White	6			10	4		14	23.1
DIXON	Other					2		2	14.0
	Am. Indian	4	2		2			2	2.0
Dodge	Black	2	2		6	2		8	11.2
Douge	White	86	48		84	30		114	13.4
	Other	2			8	8		16	20.2
Thurston	White		8			2		2	2.0
	Black	2	2		2	2		4	15.5
Washington	White	28	8	2	42	10		52	13.6
	Other	2						0	
District Tota	ıl	188	108	20	216	90	28	334	14.0

Youth Risk Levels and Length of Probation by County and Ethnicity												
		In	itial Risl	k Level		Final Risk	Level	Average Length of Probation				
County	Ethnicity	JCBR/ Low/ Moderate Low	JCBI/ High	No Assessment**	JCBR/ Low	JCBI/ High/ Moderate High	No Assessment**	Total Youth	Avg Length of Probation			
Burt	Not Hispanic											
Cedar	Not Hispanic	8	4		10	2		12	10.8			
Dakota	Hispanic	12	14	10	12	12	10	34	13.5			
Dakola	Not Hispanic	30	20	8	32	16	18	66	10.7			
Dixon	Hispanic	2				4		4	12.0			
DIXOII	Not Hispanic	4			10	2		12	25.3			
Dodgo	Hispanic	18	8		22	6		28	13.8			
Dodge	Not Hispanic	76	44		78	34		112	13.9			
Thurston	Not Hispanic		8			2		2	2.0			
Washington	Not Hispanic	32	10	2	44	12		56	13.8			
District Total 188 108 20 216 90 28 334 14.									14.0			

Part (e) Juveniles Access to Counsel

Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (e) juveniles with and without access to counsel in juvenile and adult court, both appointed and retained.

The Juveniles Access to Counsel table displays the percent of juveniles represented by an attorney in criminal cases from county court and district court and juvenile cases from county court and separate juvenile court. Data includes new cases filed and cases transfered from one county to another during calendar year 2017. Cases filed in 2017 may not have reached the sentencing/adjudication stage of proceedings.

	Juvenile Access to Counsel District												
			Criminal C	ourt Cases	;	Juvenile Court Cases							
Demographic	Cohort	Total Criminal Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel	Total Juvenile Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel				
	10 & under	1	0	1	100%	1	1	0	0%				
	11-13					27	5	22	81%				
Age Group	14-15	7	3	4	57%	106	37	69	65%				
	16	15	12	3	20%	91	32	59	65%				
	17	39	21	18	46%	91	40	51	56%				
	Female	13	10	3	23%	104	41	63	61%				
Gender	Male	45	25	20	44%	208	73	135	65%				
	Unknown	4	1	3	75%	4	1	3	75%				
	Am. Indian					21	8	13	62%				
	Asian/P.I.					1	1	0	0%				
	Black	1	0	1	100%	10	4	6	60%				
Race/Ethnicity	Hispanic	14	9	5	36%	36	13	23	64%				
	White	33	22	11	33%	152	57	95	62%				
	Other					1	0	1	100%				
	Unknown	14	5	9	64%	95	32	63	66%				
District Total		62	36	26	42%	316	115	201	64%				

					to Couns Age Grou				
			Criminal C	ourt Cases	3		Juvenile C	ourt Cases	,
County	Age Group	Total Criminal Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel	Total Juvenile Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel
	11-13					2	1	1	50%
Design	14-15	2	0	2	100%	6	4	2	33%
Burt	16	2	2	0	0%	2	2	0	0%
	17	4	1	3	75%	3	2	1	33%
	14-15	2	1	1	50%	6	6	0	0%
Cedar	16	1	1	0	0%	7	6	1	14%
	17					8	7	1	12%
	11-13					6	1	5	83%
Delegte	14-15	2	1	1	50%	33	9	24	73%
Dakota	16	4	1	3	75%	16	2	14	88%
	17	11	4	7	64%	16	5	11	69%
	11-13					1	0	1	100%
Dixon	14-15					2	0	2	100%
DIXON	16	1	1	0	0%	5	1	4	80%
	17	1	0	1	100%	1	0	1	100%
	10 & under					1	1	0	0%
	11-13					12	2	10	83%
Dodge	14-15	1	1	0	0%	46	17	29	63%
	16	5	5	0	0%	40	18	22	55%
	17	17	13	4	24%	38	22	16	42%
	10 & under	1	0	1	100%				
	11-13					2	1	1	50%
Thurston	14-15					2	1	1	50%
	16					3	1	2	67%
	17					8	1	7	88%
	11-13					4	0	4	100%
Machinetar	14-15					11	0	11	100%
Washington	16	2	2	0	0%	18	2	16	89%
	17	6	3	3	50%	17	3	14	82%
District Total		62	36	26	42%	316	115	201	64%

	Juvenile Access to Counsel by County and Gender											
			Criminal C	ourt Cases	;		Juvenile C	ourt Cases	;			
County	Gender	Total Criminal Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel	Total Juvenile Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel			
Burt	Female	1	1	0	0%	4	3	1	25%			
Duit	Male	7	2	5	71%	9	6	3	33%			
Cedar	Female					6	5	1	17%			
Cedal	Male	3	2	1	33%	15	14	1	7%			
	Female	3	1	2	67%	31	10	21	68%			
Dakota	Male	12	5	7	58%	39	7	32	82%			
	Unknown	2	0	2	100%	1	0	1	100%			
Dixon	Female	2	1	1	50%	4	1	3	75%			
DIXON	Male					5	0	5	100%			
	Female	7	7	0	0%	45	19	26	58%			
Dodge	Male	15	12	3	20%	92	41	51	55%			
	Unknown	1	0	1	100%							
Thurston	Female					3	2	1	33%			
Hurston	Male	1	0	1	100%	12	2	10	83%			
	Female					11	1	10	91%			
Washington	Male	7	4	3	43%	36	3	33	92%			
	Unknown	1	1	0	0%	3	1	2	67%			
District Total	l	62	36	26	42%	316	115	201	64%			

		ŀ			to Couns ce/Ethni				
			Criminal C	ourt Cases	3		Juvenile C	ourt Cases	;
County	Race/ Ethnicity	Total Criminal Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel	Total Juvenile Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel
	Hispanic	3	3	0	0%	2	2	0	0%
Burt	White	4	0	4	100%	10	7	3	30%
	Unknown	1	0	1	100%	1	0	1	100%
	Asian/P.I.					1	1	0	0%
0-4	Black					2	2	0	0%
Cedar	White	1	1	0	0%	18	16	2	11%
	Unknown	2	1	1	50%				
	Am. Indian					17	6	11	65%
	Black	1	0	1	100%	5	1	4	80%
Dakota	Hispanic	7	3	4	57%	23	5	18	78%
	White	4	2	2	50%	23	5	18	78%
	Unknown	5	1	4	80%	3	0	3	100%
	Hispanic	1	0	1	100%	1	0	1	100%
Dixon	White	1	1	0	0%	5	0	5	100%
	Unknown					3	1	2	67%
	Am. Indian					2	0	2	100%
	Black					1	1	0	0%
Dodge	Hispanic	3	3	0	0%	9	5	4	44%
	White	17	14	3	18%	52	28	24	46%
	Unknown	3	2	1	33%	73	26	47	64%
	Am. Indian					2	2	0	0%
Thurston	Hispanic					1	1	0	0%
Thurston	White					10	1	9	90%
	Unknown	1	0	1	100%	2	0	2	100%
	Black					2	0	2	100%
Machineter	White	6	4	2	33%	34	0	34	100%
Washington	Other					1	0	1	100%
	Unknown	2	1	1	50%	13	5	8	62%
District Total		62	36	26	42%	316	115	201	64%

Part (a) Juvenile Petitions and Adjudications

Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (a) Juveniles prosecuted in juvenile court under subdivision (1), (2), (3)(b), or (4) of section 43-247, including the total number of filings and adjudications.

The Juvenile Petitions and Adjudications tables report the number of new juvenile cases and corresponding percent of adjudications by case type and demographic group for cases filed in 2017. The data consists of both county court and separate juvenile court cases. Only the most serious offense is counted for cases with multiple charges, pleas or findings. Cases are counted in the Admit column if at least one charge was admitted to in court. Supplemental petitions are not included in this data set. Cases filed in November or December of 2017 may not have reached the adjudication stage of proceedings. Juvenile cases where the age is unknown are included in the report.

		J	uvenil	e Petiti		d Adju Distric		n by Ca	ise Typ	oe .			
Demo-		Tra	ffic Offe	nse	e Status Offense			Mis	sdemear	nor		Felony	
graphic	Cohort	Cases	Admit	% Admit	Cases	Admit	% Admit	Cases	Admit	% Admit	Cases	Admit	% Admit
	11-13	0	0	0%	8	7	88%	31	26	84%	4	3	75%
Age	14-15	1	0	0%	14	11	79%	102	94	92%	5	4	80%
Group	16	0	0	0%	7	3	43%	51	44	86%	8	8	100%
	17	0	0	0%	10	10	100%	63	58	92%	6	2	33%
	Female	1	0	0%	22	16	73%	84	78	93%	4	4	100%
Gender	Male	0	0	0%	17	15	88%	155	137	88%	16	10	62%
	Unknown	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	8	7	88%	3	3	100%
	Am. Indian	0	0	0%	4	4	100%	8	8	100%	2	2	100%
	Asian/P.I.	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	3	2	67%	0	0	0%
	Black	0	0	0%	3	3	100%	18	16	89%	1	1	100%
Race/ Ethnicity	Hispanic	0	0	0%	7	6	86%	50	44	88%	1	1	100%
	White	1	0	0%	17	15	88%	128	121	95%	18	13	72%
	Other	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	6	6	100%	0	0	0%
	Unknown	0	0	0%	7	2	29%	34	25	74%	1	0	0%
District T	otal	1	0	0%	39	31	79%	247	222	90%	23	17	74%

	Juvenile Cases and Adjudication by Case Type, County and Age Group												
	A	Tra	ffic Offer	nse	Sta	tus Offe	nse	Mis	demear	nor	Felony		
County	Age Group	Cases	Admit	% Admit	Cases	Admit	% Admit	Cases	Admit	% Admit	Cases	Admit	% Admit
	11-13	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	4	4	100%	0	0	0%
Antolono	14-15	0	0	0%	1	0	0%	4	3	75%	0	0	0%
Antelope	16	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	5	2	40%	1	1	100%
	17	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	4	4	100%	1	0	0%
	11-13	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	2	2	100%	0	0	0%
Cumina	14-15	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	11	11	100%	0	0	0%
Cuming 16	16	0	0	0%	1	0	0%	5	5	100%	0	0	0%
	17	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	7	5	71%	1	0	0%
	11-13	0	0	0%	2	1	50%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%
Knox 16	14-15	1	0	0%	1	1	100%	7	7	100%	1	1	100%
	16	0	0	0%	1	0	0%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%
	17	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	2	2	100%	0	0	0%
	11-13	0	0	0%	5	5	100%	17	13	76%	4	3	75%
B.A I'	14-15	0	0	0%	7	6	86%	58	52	90%	4	3	75%
Madison	16	0	0	0%	5	3	60%	23	21	91%	5	5	100%
	17	0	0	0%	8	8	100%	39	36	92%	3	2	67%
Б.	11-13	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	4	3	75%	0	0	0%
Pierce	14-15	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	2	2	100%	0	0	0%
	11-13	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	2	2	100%	0	0	0%
011	14-15	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	17	17	100%	0	0	0%
Stanton	16	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	15	14	93%	2	2	100%
	17	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	10	10	100%	0	0	0%
	11-13	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%
VA	14-15	0	0	0%	2	1	50%	3	2	67%	0	0	0%
Wayne	16	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	2	1	50%	0	0	0%
	17	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	1	1	100%	1	0	0%
District Tot	al	1	0	0%	39	31	79%	247	222	90%	23	17	74%

			Juveni		s and A County			y Case	Type,				
		Tra	ffic Offe	nse	Sta	Status Offense		Misdemeanor			Felony		
County	Gender	Cases	Admit	% Admit	Cases	Admit	% Admit	Cases	Admit	% Admit	Cases	Admit	% Admit
	Female	0	0	0%	1	0	0%	5	5	100%	0	0	0%
Antelope	Male	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	11	8	73%	2	1	50%
Unknowr	Unknown	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	0	0%	0	0	0%
0	Female	0	0	0%	2	1	50%	11	9	82%	0	0	0%
Cuming	Male	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	14	14	100%	1	0	0%
Knox	Female	1	0	0%	4	2	50%	3	3	100%	0	0	0%
	Male	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	8	8	100%	1	1	100%
	Female	0	0	0%	14	12	86%	50	47	94%	4	4	100%
Madison	Male	0	0	0%	11	10	91%	87	75	86%	10	7	70%
	Unknown	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	2	2	100%
D'	Female	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	2	2	100%	0	0	0%
Pierce	Male	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	4	3	75%	0	0	0%
	Female	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	11	11	100%	0	0	0%
Stanton	Male	0	0	0%	2	2	100%	26	25	96%	1	1	100%
	Unknown	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	7	7	100%	1	1	100%
10/01/00	Female	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	2	1	50%	0	0	0%
Wayne	Male	0	0	0%	2	1	50%	5	4	80%	1	0	0%
District To	tal	1	0	0%	39	31	79%	247	222	90%	23	17	74%

		j	Juvenil		s and A nty and			y Case ' ity	Туре,				
		Tra	ffic Offer	nse	Sta	tus Offe	nse	Mis	sdemear	nor		Felony	
County	Race	Cases	Admit	% Admit	Cases	Admit	% Admit	Cases	Admit	% Admit	Cases	Admit	% Admit
	Black	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	3	3	100%	0	0	0%
Antelope	Hispanic	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	0	0%	0	0	0%
	White	0	0	0%	1	0	0%	13	10	77%	2	1	50%
	Hispanic	0	0	0%	2	1	50%	6	5	83%	0	0	0%
Cuming White Unknown	White	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	18	17	94%	1	0	0%
	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%	
17	White	1	0	0%	2	2	100%	8	8	100%	1	1	100%
Knox	Unknown	0	0	0%	3	1	33%	3	3	100%	0	0	0%
	Am. Indian	0	0	0%	4	4	100%	8	8	100%	2	2	100%
_	Asian/P.I.	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	2	2	100%	0	0	0%
	Black	0	0	0%	3	3	100%	14	12	86%	1	1	100%
Madison	Hispanic	0	0	0%	5	5	100%	34	32	94%	1	1	100%
	White	0	0	0%	9	9	100%	50	47	94%	11	9	82%
	Other	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%
	Unknown	0	0	0%	4	1	25%	28	20	71%	1	0	0%
D:	Asian/P.I.	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	0	0%	0	0	0%
Pierce	White	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	5	5	100%	0	0	0%
	Black	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%
	Hispanic	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	6	6	100%	0	0	0%
Stanton	White	0	0	0%	2	2	100%	31	31	100%	2	2	100%
	Other	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	4	4	100%	0	0	0%
	Unknown	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	2	1	50%	0	0	0%
	Hispanic	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	3	1	33%	0	0	0%
Wayne	White	0	0	0%	2	1	50%	3	3	100%	1	0	0%
	Other	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%
District To	tal	1	0	0%	39	31	79%	247	222	90%	23	17	74%

Part (b) Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court - Case Type

Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (b) Juveniles prosecuted in adult criminal court for felonies, misdemeanors, and traffic offenses. The information shall include juveniles sentenced to terms in adult jails and prisons and juveniles placed on adult probation.

Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court tables consist of criminal and traffic cases in county court and criminal cases in district court that reached the point of sentencing in 2017. Cases with multiple charges are counted once per case and reported as the most severe offense. Cases for juveniles ages 13 and below must be filed and stay in juvenile court; however, judges have the authority to allow these cases in criminal court. Therefore, only juveniles ages 14 through 17 at the time of the offense appear in adult criminal court data, with rare exceptions.

Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court by Case Type District									
		All Cases		Misder	neanor	Felony			
Demographic	Cohort	Total Cases	% of Total	Total Cases	% of Total for Case Type Group	Total Cases	% of Total for Case Type Group		
Age Group	14-15	1	6%	1	14%	0			
	16	1	6%	1	14%	0			
	17	14	88%	5	71%	9	100%		
Gender	Female	2	12%	1	14%	1	11%		
	Male	14	88%	6	86%	8	89%		
Race/Ethnicity	Black	2	22%	2	40%	0			
	White	7	78%	3	60%	4	100%		
	Unknown	7		2		5			
District Total		16	100%	7	44%	9	56%		

Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court by Case Type, County and Age Group										
County	Age	All Cases	Traffic	Misdemeanor	Felony					
	Group	#	#	#	#					
Antelope	16	1	0	1	0					
Cuming	17	2	0	0	2					
Knox	17	1	0	1	0					
Madison	17	10	0	4	6					
Pierce	Pierce 14-15 1 0 1 0									
Stanton 17 1 0 0 1										
District Total 16 0 7 9										

Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court by Case Type, County and Gender								
County	Gender	All Cases	Traffic	Misdemeanor	Felony			
		#	#	#	#			
Antelope	Male	1	0	1	0			
Cuming	Male	2	0	0	2			
Knox	Male	1	0	1	0			
Madison	Female	1	0	0	1			
Madison	Male	9	0	4	5			
Pierce	Female	1	0	1	0			
Stanton Male 1 0 0 1								
District Tota	al	16	0	7	9			

Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court by Case Type, County and Race/Ethnicity								
County	Race	All Cases	Misdemeanor	Felony				
·		#	#	#				
Antelope	White	1	1	0				
Cumina	White	1	0	1				
Cuming	Unknown	1	0	1				
Knox	White	1	1	0				
	Black	2	2	0				
Madison	White	4	1	3				
	Unknown	4	1	3				
Pierce	Unknown	1	1	0				
Stanton	Unknown	1	0	1				
District Tota	al	16	7	9				

Part (b) Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court - Sentence

Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (b) Juveniles prosecuted in adult criminal court for felonies, misdemeanors, and traffic offenses. The information shall include juveniles sentenced to terms in adult jails and prisons and juveniles placed on adult probation.

Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court tables include criminal and traffic cases in county court and criminal cases in district court that reached the point of sentencing in 2017. All sentencing types are included where multiple sentencing types occur within a case (ex. probation/jail). Age is calculated from the age at offense, not the age at sentencing.

Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court by Sentencing District										
		Total C		Cases Probation		Jail		Prison		
Demographic	Cohort	Number of Cases	% of Total	Number of Cases	% of Cohort	Number of Cases	% of Cohort	Number of Cases	% of Cohort	
	14-15	1	6%	1	100%	0	0%	0	0%	
Age Group	16	1	6%	1	100%	0	0%	0	0%	
	17	14	88%	5	36%	7	50%	2	14%	
Condon	Female	2	12%	1	100%	1	0%	0	0%	
Gender	Male	14	88%	6	100%	6	0%	2	0%	
	Unknown	7	0%	3	100%	3	0%	1	0%	
Race/Ethnicity	White	7	78%	2	100%	4	0%	1	0%	
	Black	2	22%	2	36%	0	50%	0	14%	
District Total		16	100%	7	100%	7	0%	2	0%	

Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court by Sentence, County and Age Group										
	Λαο	Total Cases	Probation	Jail	Prison					
County	Age Group	Number of Cases	Number of Cases	Number of Cases	Number of Cases					
Antelope	16	1	1	0	0					
Cuming	17	2	0	0	2					
Knox	17	1	1	0	0					
Madison	17	10	4	6	0					
Pierce	14-15	1	1	0	0					
Stanton 17 1 0 1 0										
District Total 16 7 7 2										

Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court by Sentence, County and Gender								
		Total Cases	Probation	Jail	Prison			
County	Gender	Number of Cases	Number of Cases	Number of Cases	Number of Cases			
Antelope	Male	1	1	0	0			
Cuming	Male	2	0	0	2			
Knox	Male	1	1	0	0			
Madison	Female	1	0	1	0			
Madison	Male	9	4	5	0			
Pierce	Female	1	1	0	0			
Stanton Male 1 0 1								
District Tota	al	16	7	7	2			

Juvenil	Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court by Sentence, County and Race/Ethnicity								
		Total Cases	Probation	Jail	Prison				
County	Race	Number of Cases	Number of Cases	Number of Cases	Number of Cases				
Antelope	White	1	1	0	0				
Oursin a	White	1	0	0	1				
Cuming	Unknown	1	0	0	1				
Knox	White	1	1	0	0				
	Black	2	2	0	0				
Madison	White	4	0	4	0				
	Unknown	4	2	2	0				
Pierce	Unknown	1	1	0	0				
Stanton	Stanton Unknown		0	1	0				
District Tota	al	16	7	7	2				

Part (c) Motions to Transfer Cases and Cases Transferred

Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (c) The number of motions to transfer and the number of cases transferred to adult court from juvenile court and from adult criminal court to juvenile court.

The data for Motions to Transfer Cases and Cases Transferred consists of county court and district court adult criminal cases and county court and separate juvenile court juvenile cases within the same county. Motions to transfer cases and cases transferred that were entered in 2017 were included in the file. Cases may have been filed previously and transferred in 2017. There can be multiple motions to transfer a case and all are reported; transfers occur once per case.

	Motions to Transfer Courts and Transfers District										
		Juvenile Court to Adult Court				Adult Court to Juvenile Court					
Demographic	Cohort	Motion to Transfer Juv to Adult	% of Total	Transfers Juv to Adult	% of Total	Motion to Transfer Adult to Juv	% of Total	Transfers Adult to Juv	% of Total		
Ago Croup	11-13	1	25%	0		0		0			
Age Group	17	3	75%	1	100%	1	100%	1	100%		
Condor	Female	1	25%	0		0		0			
Gender	Male	3	75%	1	100%	1	100%	1	100%		
Race/Ethnicity	White	4	100%	1	100%	1	100%	1	100%		
District Total		4		1		1		1			

Motions to Transfer Courts and Transfers, County and Age Group											
			le Court to It Court	Adult Court to Juvenile Court							
County	Age Group	Motion to Transfer	Cases Transfered	Motion to Transfer	Cases Transfered						
Antelope	17	1	0	0	0						
Cuming	17	1	0	1	1						
Madison	17	1	1	0	0						
Stanton	11-13	1	1 0 0		0						
District Tota	al	4	1	1	1						

Motions to Transfer Courts and Transfers, County and Gender											
			le Court to It Court	Adult Court to Juvenile Court							
County	Gender	Motion to Transfer	Cases Transfered	Motion to Transfer	Cases Transfered						
Antelope	Male	1	0	0	0						
Cuming	Male	1	0	1	1						
Madison	Male	1	1	0	0						
Stanton	Female	1	0	0	0						
District Tota	al	4	1	1	1						

Motions to Transfer Courts and Transfers, County and Race/Ethnicity											
			le Court to It Court	Adult Court to Juvenile Court							
County	Race/ Ethnicity	Motion to Transfer	Cases Transfered	Motion to Transfer	Cases Transfered						
Antelope	White	1	0	0	0						
Cuming	White	1	0	1	1						
Madison	Madison White		1	0	0						
Stanton	White	1	0	0	0						
District Tota	al	4	1	1	1						

Part (d) Juvenile Probation Activity

Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (d) Juveniles placed on juvenile probation, the number of juveniles on probation in out-of-home care, the number of juveniles completing probation, the number of motions to revoke probation and probation revocations, and the average length of time on probation.

The Juvenile Probation Activity data is provided from the Administrative Office of Probation's case management system, Nebraska Probation Application for Community Safety (NPACS). The table reports the number and percent of youth with various activity in 2017. Youth are counted once in each category, regardless of the number of out-of-home placements, motions to revoke, or cases they may have in the court system. Age groups are calculated specific to the activity as footnoted.

	Juvenile Probation Activity District											
Demographic	Cohort	Place Prob		Out-of Place		Motio Rev		Prob Revo		Satisfactory Release		
Demographic	Conort	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	
	11-13	54	15%	10	6%	10	5%	0	0%	14	5%	
	14-15	124	34%	60	35%	60	32%	0	0%	98	33%	
Age Group	16	66	18%	44	26%	44	24%	2	50%	100	34%	
	17	94	26%	46	27%	38	20%	0	0%	78	26%	
	18	24	7%	12	7%	34	18%	2	50%	8	3%	
0	Female	116	32%	56	33%	62	33%	0	0%	82	28%	
Gender	Male	246	68%	116	67%	124	67%	4	100%	216	72%	
	Am. Indian	26	7%	24	14%	24	13%	0	0%	20	7%	
	Asian/P.I.	8	2%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Race	Black	26	7%	10	6%	14	8%	0	0%	12	4%	
	White	258	71%	118	69%	122	66%	2	50%	238	80%	
	Other	44	12%	20	12%	26	14%	2	50%	28	9%	
Ethoricity	Hispanic	86	24%	40	23%	50	27%	2	50%	52	17%	
Ethnicity	Not Hispanic	276	76%	132	77%	136	73%	2	50%	246	83%	
District Total		362	100%	172	100%	186	100%	4	100%	298	100%	

^{1.} Age at which the probation term began. 2. Age at the first admission to out-of-home placement. 3. Age as of the file date for motion to revoke. 4. Age as of the disposition date for motion to revoke. 5. Age as of the disposition date for discharge from probation.

			ile Probatio unty and A			
County	Age Group	Placed on Probation	Out-of- home Placement	Motions to Revoke	Probation Revoked	Satisfactory Release
	Croup	# of Youth	# of Youth	# of Youth	# of Youth	# of Youth
	11-13	6	0	2	0	4
	14-15	8	4	8	0	12
Antelope	16	6	2	2	0	8
	17	10	0	0	0	24
	18	0	2	4	0	0
	11-13	2	0	2	0	0
	14-15	10	6	2	0	16
Cuming	16	8	0	0	0	8
	17	2	2	0	0	4
	18	2	0	0	0	0
	11-13	2	0	0	0	0
	14-15	12	4	2	0	4
Knox	16	0	2	0	0	4
	17	4	2	0	0	4
	11-13	34	6	6	0	6
	14-15	78	34	38	0	38
Madison	16	40	30	32	2	50
	17	60	38	32	0	36
	18	18	8	26	2	8
	11-13	8	2	0	0	0
D:	14-15	4	2	0	0	0
Pierce	16	0	0	0	0	2
	17	0	0	0	0	2
	11-13	0	2	0	0	2
	14-15	12	8	10	0	26
Stanton	16	8	6	8	0	22
	17	14	2	2	0	6
	18	4	0	2	0	0
	11-13	2	0	0	0	2
	14-15	0	2	0	0	2
Wayne	16	4	4	2	0	6
	17	4	2	4	0	2
	18	0	2	2	0	0

Juvenile Probation Activity by County and Age Group									
County	Age	Placed on Probation	on home to		Probation Revoked	Satisfactory Release			
j	Group	# of Youth	# of Youth	# of Youth # of Youth		# of Youth			
District T	otal	362	172	186	4	298			

			le Probatio ounty and		y.	
County	Gender	Placed on Probation	Out-of- home Placement	Motions to Revoke	Probation Revoked	Satisfactory Release
·		# of Youth	# of Youth	# of Youth	# of Youth	# of Youth
Antelope	Female	6	2	2		2
Antelope	Male	24	6	14		46
Cuming	Female	10	4			12
Curring	Male	14	4	4		16
Knox	Female	6	2	2		4
KIIOX	Male	12	6			8
Madison	Female	80	36	48		46
IVIAUISOII	Male	150	80	86	4	92
Pierce	Female	4	4			2
Pierce	Male	8				2
Stanton	Female	6	4	8		16
Stanton	Male	32	14	14		40
Wayne	Female	4	4	2		
vvayrie	Male	6	6	6		12
District T	otal	362	172	186	4	298

	Juvenile Probation Activity - Cases by County and Race											
County	Race	Placed on Probation	Out-of- home Placement	Motions to Revoke	Probation Revoked	Satisfactory Release						
		# of Youth	# of Youth	# of Youth	# of Youth	# of Youth						
	Am. Indian			2		2						
Antelope	White	28	8	14		44						
	Other	2				2						
	Am. Indian					2						
Cuming	White	22	8	4		22						
	Other	2				4						
	Am. Indian	4	2	2								
Knox	Asian/P.I.	2										
	White	12	6			12						
	Am. Indian	22	22	20		16						
	Asian/P.I.	4										
Madison	Black	24	10	14		12						
	White	144	68	76	2	90						
	Other	36	16	24	2	20						
Pierce	Asian/P.I.	2										
rierce	White	10	4			4						
	Black	2										
Stanton	White	32	14	20		54						
	Other	4	4	2		2						
Wayne	White	10	10	8		12						
District T	otal	362	172	186	4	298						

	Juv		bation Acti nty and Eth		ses	
County	Ethnicity	Placed on Probation	Out-of- home Placement	Motions to Revoke	Probation Revoked	Satisfactory Release
		# of Youth	# of Youth	# of Youth	# of Youth	# of Youth
	Hispanic	2				2
Antelope	Not Hispanic			2		2
	Not Hispanic	28	8	14		44
	Hispanic	2				4
Cuming	Hispanic	22	8	4		22
	Not Hispanic					2
	Not Hispanic	4	2	2		
Knox	Not Hispanic	2				
	Not Hispanic	12	6			12
	Hispanic	22	22	20		16
	Hispanic	24	10	14		12
Madison	Hispanic	36	16	24	2	20
	Hispanic	144	68	76	2	90
	Not Hispanic	4				
Pierce	Not Hispanic	2				
i leice	Not Hispanic	10	4			4
	Hispanic	4	4	2		2
Stanton	Hispanic	32	14	20		54
	Not Hispanic	2				
Wayne	Hispanic	10	10	8		12
District T	otal	362	172	186	4	298

Part (d) Juvenile Probation - Youth Risk Level and Length of Probation

Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (d) Juveniles placed on juvenile probation, the number of juveniles on probation in out-of-home care, the number of juveniles completing probation, the number of motions to revoke probation and probation revocations, and the average length of time on probation.

Youth Level of Service/Case Management Inventory (YLS/CMI) is an assessment tool used to determine the level of risk and appropriate placement for youth on probation. The following table shows the classification results of youth upon entry and exit from probation. Youth that are determined to be low or moderate low risk go through Juvenile Community-Based Resource (JCBR/Low/Moderate Low), those determined to be high or moderate high risk go through Juvenile Community-Based Intervention (JCBI/High/Moderate HighRisk)

	Y	outh Risk		s and Le District		robation		
		Initial Risk	Level		Final Risk	Average Length of Probation		
Demo- graphic	Cohort	JCBR/ Low/ Moderate Low	JCBI/ High	JCBR/ Low	JCBI/ High/ Moderate High	No Assessment**	Total Youth	Avg Length of Probation
	11-13	38	16	10	2		12	7.0
	14-15	82	42	42	14		56	7.8
Ago Croup	16	38	28	50	16	2	68	7.5
Age Group	17	52	42	56	14		70	8.0
	18	18	6	40	34		74	12.0
	19 & over			8	4		12	25.1
Gender	Female	74	42	60	36	2	98	9.0
Gender	Male	154	92	146	48		194	9.8
	Am. Indian	12	14	8	12		20	13.3
	Asian/P.I.	8					0	
Race	Black	12	14	4	10		14	11.4
	White	168	90	172	54	2	228	9.0
	Other	28	16	22	8		30	10.2
Ethnicity	Hispanic	52	34	44	14		58	9.4
Ethnicity	Not Hispanic	176	100	162	70	2	234	9.5
District Tot	al	228	134	206	84	2	292	9.5

					Length of l Age Grou	Probation		
		Initial Risk	Level		Final Risk	Level		ge Length robation
County	Age Group	JCBR/ Low/ Moderate Low	JCBI/ High	JCBR/ Low	JCBI/ High/ Moderate High	No Assessment**	Total Youth	Avg Length of Probation
	11-13	6		2			2	6.0
	14-15	4	4	8			8	8.2
Antolono	16	6		4			4	6.0
Antelope	17	8	2	4			4	6.0
	18			8			8	10.0
	19 & over			2	2		4	18.5
	11-13	2					0	
	14-15	8	2	12			12	7.0
Compiner	16	6	2	4			4	6.0
Cuming	17	2		4			4	6.0
	18	2		2	2		4	20.8
	19 & over			2			2	35.0
	11-13	2					0	
	14-15	12		2			2	9.0
Knox	16			2	4		6	6.0
	17	2	2		2		2	11.0
	18			2			2	7.0
	11-13	20	14	4			4	9.0
	14-15	46	32	8	10		18	7.8
Madiaaa	16	18	22	28	12		40	7.9
Madison	17	26	34	26	8		34	7.4
	18	12	6	22	32		54	11.9
	19 & over			4			4	18.5
	11-13	6	2	2			2	6.0
Diamas	14-15	4					0	
Pierce	16			2			2	9.0
	17			2	2		4	6.5
	11-13			2			2	6.0
	14-15	8	4	12	4		16	8.0
Stanton	16	4	4	8		2	10	7.2
	17	12	2	14	2		16	9.2
	18	4		4			4	13.0

	Youth Risk Levels and Length of Probation by County and Age Group											
		Initial Risk	Level		Final Risk	Average Length of Probation						
County	Age Group	JCBR/ Low/ Moderate Low	JCBI/ High	JCBR/ Low	JCBI/ High/ Moderate High	No Assessment**	Total Youth	Avg Length of Probation				
	11-13	2			2		2	6.0				
	16	4		2			2	12.0				
Wayne	17	2	2	6			6	10.7				
18	18			2			2	8.0				
	19 & over				2		2	41.3				
District T	otal	228	134	206	84	2	292	9.5				

		Youth			Length of P nd Gender	robation			
		Initial Risk	Level		Final Risk I	_evel	Average Length of Probation		
County	Gender	JCBR/Low/ Moderate Low	JCBI/ High	JCBR/ Low	JCBI/High/ Moderate High	No Assessment**	Total Youth	Avg Length of Probation	
Antolono	Female	4	2	2			2	6.0	
Antelope	Male	20	4	26	2		28	9.6	
Cumina	Female	6	4	8	2		10	7.9	
Cuming	Male	14		16			16	12.9	
Knox	Female	6		2	2		4	10.0	
KIIOX	Male	10	2	4	4		8	6.2	
Madison	Female	50	30	32	28		60	10.0	
Madison	Male	72	78	60	34		94	9.1	
Pierce	Female	2	2	2			2	9.0	
Pierce	Male	8		4	2		6	6.3	
Stanton	Female	2	4	14	4	2	20	6.8	
Staritori	Male	26	6	26	2		28	9.8	
Mayna	Female	4					0		
Wayne	Male	4	2	10	4		14	14.2	
District To	tal	228	134	206	84	2	292	9.5	

		Youth Ris			Length of and Race	Probation		
		Initial Risk	Level		Final Risk	Level		ge Length robation
County	Race	JCBR/ Low/ Moderate Low	JCBI/ High	JCBR/ Low	JCBI/ High/ Moderate High	No Assessment**	Total Youth	Avg Length of Probation
	Am. Indian			2			2	15.0
Antelope	White	22	6	24	2		26	9.2
	Other	2		2			2	6.0
	Am. Indian			2			2	6.0
Cuming	White	18	4	18	2		20	9.8
	Other	2		4			4	19.0
	Am. Indian	4					0	
Knox	Asian/P.I.	2					0	
	White	10	2	6	6		12	7.5
	Am. Indian	8	14	4	10		14	10.1
	Asian/P.I.	4					0	
Madison	Black	10	14	4	10		14	11.4
	White	76	68	70	36		106	9.4
	Other	24	12	14	6		20	8.0
Pierce	Asian/P.I.	2					0	
Pierce	White	8	2	6	2		8	7.0
	Black	2					0	
Stanton	White	26	6	38	4	2	44	8.0
	Other		4	2	2		4	15.0
10/01/20	Am. Indian				2		2	41.3
Wayne	White	8	2	10	2		12	9.7
District T	otal	228	134	206	84	2	292	9.5

	Youth Risk Levels and Length of Probation by County and Ethnicity											
		Initial Risk	(Level		Final Risk	Level	Average Length of Probation					
County	Ethnicity	JCBR/ Low/ Moderate Low	JCBI/ High	JCBR/ Low	JCBI/High/ Moderate High	No Assessment**	Total Youth	Avg Length of Probation				
Antolono	Hispanic	2		2			2	6.0				
Antelope	Not Hispanic	22	6	26	2		28	9.6				
Cumina	Hispanic	4		4			4	19.0				
Cuming	Not Hispanic	16	4	20	2		22	9.5				
Knox	Not Hispanic	16	2	6	6		12	7.5				
Madison	Hispanic	40	30	30	14		44	8.4				
IVIAUISOII	Not Hispanic	82	78	62	48		110	9.9				
Pierce	Not Hispanic	10	2	6	2		8	7.0				
Stanton	Hispanic	2	2	4			4	13.0				
Stanton	Not Hispanic	26	8	36	6	2	44	8.2				
Mayraa	Hispanic	4	2	4			4	10.0				
Wayne	Not Hispanic	4		6	4		10	15.9				
District To	otal	228	134	206	84	2	292	9.5				

Part (e) Juveniles Access to Counsel

Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (e) juveniles with and without access to counsel in juvenile and adult court, both appointed and retained.

The Juveniles Access to Counsel table displays the percent of juveniles represented by an attorney in criminal cases from county court and district court and juvenile cases from county court and separate juvenile court. Data includes new cases filed and cases transfered from one county to another during calendar year 2017. Cases filed in 2017 may not have reached the sentencing/adjudication stage of proceedings.

	Juvenile Access to Counsel District												
			Criminal C	ourt Cases	3		Juvenile C	ourt Cases	•				
Demographic	Cohort	Total Criminal Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel	Total Juvenile Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel				
	11-13					51	28	23	45%				
Ago Croup	14-15	5	3	2	40%	139	94	45	32%				
Age Group	16	14	7	7	50%	80	53	27	34%				
	17	38	8	30	79%	91	59	32	35%				
	Female	16	6	10	62%	130	90	40	31%				
Gender	Male	39	10	29	74%	220	139	81	37%				
	Unknown	2	2	0	0%	11	5	6	55%				
	Am. Indian					16	8	8	50%				
	Asian/P.I.					3	1	2	67%				
	Black	1	0	1	100%	25	14	11	44%				
Race/Ethnicity	Hispanic	3	1	2	67%	69	51	18	26%				
	White	38	12	26	68%	187	117	70	37%				
	Other					8	6	2	25%				
	Unknown	15	5	10	67%	53	37	16	30%				
District Total		57	18	39	68%	361	234	127	35%				

				nile Acce ounty an								
				ourt Cases		_	Juvenile C	ourt Cases				
County	Age Group	Total Criminal Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel	Total Juvenile Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel			
	11-13					4	4	0	0%			
Antolono	14-15					8	5	3	38%			
Antelope	16	3	0	3	100%	7	4	3	43%			
	17	6	0	6	100%	6	3	3	50%			
	11-13					2	1	1	50%			
0	14-15					13	9 4 31					
Cuming	16					7	6	1	14%			
	17	3	0	3	100%	10	6	4	40%			
	11-13					4	3	1	25%			
17.	14-15	1	0	1	100%	10	6	4	40%			
Knox	16					4	2	2	50%			
	17	1	0	1	100%	3	3	0	0%			
	11-13					31	15	16	52%			
	14-15					80	56	24	30%			
Madison	16	5	4	1	20%	41	24	17	41%			
	17	16	5	11	69%	57	39	18	32%			
	11-13					4	3	1	25%			
D'	14-15	1	1	0	0%	3	2	1	33%			
Pierce	16	4	1	3	75%							
	17	6	0	6	100%							
	11-13					5	1	4	80%			
011	14-15	3	2	1	33%	20	12	8	40%			
Stanton	16	1	1	0	0%	19	15	4	21%			
	17	3	3	0	0%	12	7	5	42%			
	11-13					1	1	0	0%			
10/2000	14-15					5	4	1	20%			
Wayne	16	1	1	0	0%	2	2	0	0%			
	17	3	0	3	100%	3	1	2	67%			
District Tot	al	57	18	39	68%	361	234	127	35%			

				ile Access ounty an						
			Criminal C	ourt Cases	;		Juvenile C	ourt Cases	;	
County	Gender	Total Criminal Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel	Total Juvenile Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel	
	Female	1	0	1	100%	7	6	1	14%	
Antelope	Male	8	0	8	100%	17	9	8	47%	
	Unknown					1	1	0	0%	
Cumina	Female					13	10	3	23%	
Cuming	Male	3	0	3	100%	19	12	7	37%	
Knox	Female					9	8	1	11%	
KNOX	Male	2	0	2	100%	12	6	6	50%	
	Female	3	3	0	0%	83	55	28	34%	
Madison	Male	16	4	12	75%	124	79	45	36%	
	Unknown	2	2	0	0%	2	0	2	100%	
Pierce	Female	8	1	7	88%	2	0	2	100%	
Pierce	Male	3	1	2	67%	5	5	0	0%	
	Female	2	2	0	0%	13	8	5	38%	
Stanton	Male	5	4	1	20%	35	23	12	34%	
	Unknown					8	4	4	50%	
Mayna	Female	2	0	2	100%	3	3	0	0%	
Wayne	Male	2	1	1	50%	8 5 3 38				
District Tot	al	57	18	39	68%	361	234	127	35%	

				le Access ty and Ra							
			Criminal C	ourt Cases	3		Juvenile C	ourt Cases			
County	Race/ Ethnicity	Total Criminal Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel	Total Juvenile Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel		
	Black					5	3	2	40%		
A . (.)	Hispanic					1	1	0	0%		
Antelope	White	4	0	4	100%	18	12	6	33%		
	Unknown	5	0	5	100%	1	0	1	100%		
	Am. Indian					1	0	1	100%		
0	Hispanic					8	4	4	50%		
Cuming	White	2	0	2	100%	21	17	4	19%		
	Unknown	1	0	1	100%	2	2 1 1 50				
	Am. Indian					1 0 1 100					
Knox	White	2	0	2	100%	14	8	6	43%		
	Unknown					6	6	0	0%		
	Am. Indian					14	8	6	43%		
	Asian/P.I.					2	0	2	100%		
	Black	1	0	1	100%	19	11	8	42%		
Madison	Hispanic	2	0	2	100%	50	37	13	26%		
	White	12	5	7	58%	82	49	33	40%		
	Other					2	1	1	50%		
	Unknown	6	4	2	33%	40	28	12	30%		
	Asian/P.I.					1	1	0	0%		
Pierce	White	9	1	8	89%	6	4	2	33%		
	Unknown	2	1	1	50%						
	Black					1	0	1	100%		
	Hispanic					7	6	1	14%		
Stanton	White	7	6	1	14%	40	23	17	42%		
	Other					4	4	0	0%		
	Unknown					4	2	2	50%		
	Hispanic	1	1	0	0%	3	3	0	0%		
147	White	2	0	2	100%	6	4	2	33%		
Wayne	Other					2	1	1	50%		
	Unknown	1	0	1	100%						
District To	tal	57	18	39	68%	361	234	127	35%		

Part (a) Juvenile Petitions and Adjudications

Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (a) Juveniles prosecuted in juvenile court under subdivision (1), (2), (3)(b), or (4) of section 43-247, including the total number of filings and adjudications.

The Juvenile Petitions and Adjudications tables report the number of new juvenile cases and corresponding percent of adjudications by case type and demographic group for cases filed in 2017. The data consists of both county court and separate juvenile court cases. Only the most serious offense is counted for cases with multiple charges, pleas or findings. Cases are counted in the Admit column if at least one charge was admitted to in court. Supplemental petitions are not included in this data set. Cases filed in November or December of 2017 may not have reached the adjudication stage of proceedings. Juvenile cases where the age is unknown are included in the report.

	Juvenile Petitions and Adjudication by Case Type District												
Domo		Stat	tus Offe	nse	Mis	sdemear	nor		Felony				
Demo- graphic	Cohort	Cases	Admit	% Admit	Cases	Admit	% Admit	Cases	Admit	% Admit			
	10 & under	0	0	0%	1	0	0%	1	0	0%			
	11-13	2	1	50%	8	3	38%	3	2	67%			
Age Group	14-15	4	2	50%	17	14	82%	4	2	50%			
	16	1	1	100%	28	21	75%	7	4	57%			
	17	1	0	0%	16	13	81%	7	1	14%			
	Female	4	3	75%	16	15	94%	6	4	67%			
Gender	Male	2	1	50%	51	34	67%	16	5	31%			
	Unknown	2	0	0%	3	2	67%	0	0	0%			
	Am. Indian	1	1	100%	3	2	67%	1	0	0%			
Race/	Hispanic	1	0	0%	2	1	50%	0	0	0%			
Ethnicity	White	4	2	50%	51	38	75%	15	8	53%			
	Unknown	2	1	50%	14	10	71%	6	1	17%			
District T	otal	8	4	50%	70	51	73%	22	9	41%			

	Juvenile Cases and Adjudication by Case Type, County and Age Group											
		Sta	tus Offei	nse	Mis	sdemear	nor		Felony			
County	Age Group	Cases	Admit	% Admit	Cases	Admit	% Admit	Cases	Admit	% Admit		
Blaine	16	0	0	0%	1	0	0%	0	0	0%		
Povid	16	0	0	0%	5	5	100%	0	0	0%		
Boyd	17	0	0	0%	2	2	100%	0	0	0%		
	11-13	1	1	100%	0	0	0%	0	0	0%		
Droug	14-15	1	1	100%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%		
Brown	16	1	1	100%	4	3	75%	1	1	100%		
	17	1	0	0%	2	2	100%	2	1	50%		
	10 & under	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	0	0%		
Oh	11-13	0	0	0%	2	1	50%	2	1	50%		
Cherry	14-15	0	0	0%	3	3	100%	0	0	0%		
	16	0	0	0%	3	0	0%	1	0	0%		
	11-13	0	0	0%	1	0	0%	0	0	0%		
	14-15	2	1	50%	3	1	33%	3	2	67%		
Custer	16	0	0	0%	3	2	67%	0	0	0%		
	17	0	0	0%	2	1	50%	1	0	0%		
	14-15	0	0	0%	2	2	100%	0	0	0%		
Garfield	16	0	0	0%	2	2	100%	1	1	100%		
	10 & under	0	0	0%	1	0	0%	0	0	0%		
	11-13	1	0	0%	1	1	100%	1	1	100%		
Holt	14-15	1	0	0%	4	4	100%	0	0	0%		
	16	0	0	0%	4	4	100%	1	1	100%		
	17	0	0	0%	4	4	100%	1	0	0%		
	11-13	0	0	0%	4	1	25%	0	0	0%		
	14-15	0	0	0%	4	3	75%	0	0	0%		
Howard	16	0	0	0%	3	2	67%	1	0	0%		
	17	0	0	0%	1	0	0%	1	0	0%		
Rock	17	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%		
Sherman	16	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	1	100%		
	14-15	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	0	0%		
Valley	16	0	0	0%	3	3	100%	1	0	0%		
	17	0	0	0%	4	3	75%	2	0	0%		
District Tota	ıl	8	4	50%	70	51	73%			41%		

	Juv	enile C			ıdicatio d Gend		ase Ty _l	pe,		
		Sta	tus Offe	nse	Mis	sdemear	nor		Felony	
County	Gender	Cases	Admit	% Admit	Cases	Admit	% Admit	Cases	Admit	% Admit
Blaine	Male	0	0	0%	1	0	0%	0	0	0%
David	Male	0	0	0%	6	6	100%	0	0	0%
Boyd	Unknown	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%
D	Female	3	2	67%	4	3	75%	0	0	0%
Brown	Male	1	1	100%	3	3	100%	3	2	67%
Charm	Female	0	0	0%	3	3	100%	1	1	100%
Cherry	Male	0	0	0%	5	1	20%	3	0	0%
	Female	1	1	100%	2	2	100%	3	2	67%
Custer	Male	0	0	0%	7	2	29%	1	0	0%
	Unknown	1	0	0%	0	0	0%	0	0	0%
Garfield	Male	0	0	0%	4	4	100%	1	1	100%
	Female	0	0	0%	5	5	100%	1	1	100%
Holt	Male	1	0	0%	9	8	89%	2	1	50%
	Unknown	1	0	0%	0	0	0%	0	0	0%
	Female	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	0	0%
Howard	Male	0	0	0%	11	6	55%	1	0	0%
	Unknown	0	0	0%	1	0	0%	0	0	0%
Rock	Male	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%
Sherman	Male	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	1	100%
	Female	0	0	0%	2	2	100%	0	0	0%
Valley	Male	0	0	0%	4	3	75%	4	0	0%
	Unknown	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%
District Tot	al	8	4	50%	70	51	73%	22	9	41%

	Juve	enile Ca C			dication .ce/Eth		ise Typ	e,		
		Sta	tus Offei	nse	Mis	sdemear	nor		Felony	
County	Race	Cases	Admit	% Admit	Cases	Admit	% Admit	Cases	Admit	% Admit
Blaine	White	0	0	0%	1	0	0%	0	0	0%
David	White	0	0	0%	5	5	100%	0	0	0%
Boyd	Unknown	0	0	0%	2	2	100%	0	0	0%
Brown	Am. Indian	1	1	100%	0	0	0%	1	0	0%
DIOWII	White	3	2	67%	7	6	86%	2	2	100%
	Am. Indian	0	0	0%	3	2	67%	0	0	0%
Cherry	White	0	0	0%	4	1	25%	1	0	0%
	Unknown	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	3	1	33%
Custer	White	0	0	0%	7	3	43%	3	2	67%
Custer	Unknown	2	1	50%	2	1	50%	1	0	0%
Garfield	White	0	0	0%	3	3	100%	1	1	100%
Gameio	Unknown	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%
l lalt	Hispanic	1	0	0%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%
Holt	White	1	0	0%	13	12	92%	3	2	67%
	Hispanic	0	0	0%	1	0	0%	0	0	0%
Howard	White	0	0	0%	5	3	60%	2	0	0%
	Unknown	0	0	0%	6	3	50%	0	0	0%
Rock	Unknown	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%
Sherman	White	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	1	100%
Valley	White	0	0	0%	6	5	83%	2	0	0%
Valley	Unknown	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	2	0	0%
District Tota	al	8	4	50%	70	51	73%	22	9	41%

Part (b) Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court - Case Type

Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (b) Juveniles prosecuted in adult criminal court for felonies, misdemeanors, and traffic offenses. The information shall include juveniles sentenced to terms in adult jails and prisons and juveniles placed on adult probation.

Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court tables consist of criminal and traffic cases in county court and criminal cases in district court that reached the point of sentencing in 2017. Cases with multiple charges are counted once per case and reported as the most severe offense. Cases for juveniles ages 13 and below must be filed and stay in juvenile court; however, judges have the authority to allow these cases in criminal court. Therefore, only juveniles ages 14 through 17 at the time of the offense appear in adult criminal court data, with rare exceptions.

Ju	Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court by Case Type District													
		All C	ases	Misder	neanor	Fel	ony							
Demographic	Cohort	Total % of Cases Total			% of Total for Case Type Group	Total Cases	% of Total for Case Type Group							
Age Group	17	2	100%	1	100%	1	100%							
Gender	Male	2	100%	1	100%	1	100%							
Race/Ethnicity White 1 100% 1 100% 0														
	Unknown	1		0		1								
District Total 2 100% 1 50% 1 50%														

Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court by Case Type, County and Age Group								
County	Age Group	All Cases	Traffic	Misdemeanor	Felony			
		#	#	#	#			
Custer	17	1	0	1	0			
Garfield	17	1	0	0	1			
District Total		2	0	1	1			

Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court by Case Type, County and Gender							
County	Gender	All Cases #	Traffic #	Misdemeanor #	Felony		
		#	#	#	#		
Custer	Male	1	0	1	0		
Garfield	Male	1	0	0	1		
District Total		2	0	1	1		

Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court by Case Type, County and Race/Ethnicity							
County	Race	All Cases	Misdemeanor	Felony			
		#	#	#			
Custer	White	1	1	0			
Garfield	Unknown	1 0		1			
District Total		2	1	1			

Part (b) Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court - Sentence

Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (b) Juveniles prosecuted in adult criminal court for felonies, misdemeanors, and traffic offenses. The information shall include juveniles sentenced to terms in adult jails and prisons and juveniles placed on adult probation.

Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court tables include criminal and traffic cases in county court and criminal cases in district court that reached the point of sentencing in 2017. All sentencing types are included where multiple sentencing types occur within a case (ex. probation/jail). Age is calculated from the age at offense, not the age at sentencing.

Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court by Sentencing District									
Demographic		Total C	ases	Probation		Jail		Prison	
	Cohort	Number of Cases	% of Total	Number of Cases	% of Cohort	Number of Cases	% of Cohort	Number of Cases	% of Cohort
Age Group	17	2	100%	1	50%	1	50%	0	0%
Gender	Male	2	100%	1	50%	1	50%	0	0%
Dogg/Ethnicity	Unknown	1	0%	0	50%	1	50%	0	0%
Race/Ethnicity	White	1	100%	1	50%	0	50%	0	0%
District Total		2	100%	1	50%	1	50%	0	0%

Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court by Sentence, County and Age Group								
	Age Group	Total Cases	Probation	Jail	Prison			
County		Number of Cases	Number of Cases	Number of Cases	Number of Cases			
Custer	17	1	1	0	0			
Garfield	17	1	0	1	0			
District To	tal	2	1	1	0			

Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court by Sentence, County and Gender								
	y Gender	Total Cases	Probation	Jail	Prison			
County		Number of Cases	Number of Cases	Number of Cases	Number of Cases			
Custer	Male	1	1	0	0			
Garfield	Male	1	0	1	0			
District To	tal	2	1	1	0			

Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court by Sentence, County and Race/Ethnicity								
		Total Cases	Probation	Jail	Prison			
County	Race	Number of Cases	Number of Cases	Number of Cases	Number of Cases			
Custer	White	1	1	0	0			
Garfield	Unknown	1	0	1	0			
District To	tal	2	1	1	0			

Part (c) Motions to Transfer Cases and Cases Transferred

Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (c) The number of motions to transfer and the number of cases transferred to adult court from juvenile court and from adult criminal court to juvenile court.

The data for Motions to Transfer Cases and Cases Transferred consists of county court and district court adult criminal cases and county court and separate juvenile court juvenile cases within the same county. Motions to transfer cases and cases transferred that were entered in 2017 were included in the file. Cases may have been filed previously and transferred in 2017. There can be multiple motions to transfer a case and all are reported; transfers occur once per case.

Motions to Transfer Courts and Transfers District									
		Juver	nile Cour	t to Adult C	ourt	Adult Court to Juvenile Court			
Demographic	Cohort	Motion to Transfer Juv to Adult	% of Total	Transfers Juv to Adult	% of Total	Motion to Transfer Adult to Juv	% of Total	Transfers Adult to Juv	% of Total
	14-15	0		0		1	25%	2	10%
Age Group	16	1	12%	0		0		7	35%
	17	7	88%	1	100%	3	75%	11	55%
	Female	2	29%	0		0		6	30%
Gender	Male	5	71%	1	100%	4	100%	14	70%
	Unknown	1		0		0		0	
	Am. Indian	0		0		1	33%	2	18%
Doog/Ethnisity	Hispanic	0		0		0		1	9%
Race/Ethnicity	White	5	100%	0		2	67%	8	73%
	Unknown	3		1		1		9	
District Total		8		1		4		20	

Motions to Transfer Courts and Transfers, County and Age Group									
			le Court to It Court	Adult Court to Juvenile Court					
County	Age Group	Motion to Transfer	Cases Transfered	Motion to Transfer	Cases Transfered				
Blaine	16	0	0	0	1				
Boyd	16	0	0	0	1				
Боуи	17	0	0	0	3				
Brown	17	1	0	1	1				
	14-15	0	0	0	1				
Cherry	16	0	0	0	1				
	17	0	0	1	2				
	14-15	0	0	1	1				
Custer	16	0	0	0	2				
	17	0	0	1	1				
Holt	17	0	0	0	3				
Howard	16	0	0	0	1				
Rock	16	0	0	0	1				
ROCK	17	0	0	0	1				
Valley	16	1	0	0	0				
Valley	17	6	1	0	0				
District Tota	al	8	1	4	20				

N	Motions to Transfer Courts and Transfers, County and Gender								
			le Court to It Court	Adult Court to Juvenile Court					
County	Gender	Motion to Transfer	Cases Transfered	Motion to Transfer	Cases Transfered				
Blaine	Male	0	0	0	1				
Boyd	Male	0	0	0	4				
Brown	Male	1	0	1	1				
Cherry	Female	0	0	0	2				
Cherry	Male	0	0	1	2				
Custer	Female	0	0	0	2				
Custer	Male	0	0	2	2				
Holt	Female	0	0	0	1				
TIOIL	Male	0	0	0	2				
Howard	Male	0	0	0	1				
Rock	Female	0	0	0	1				
NOCK	Male	0	0	0	1				
	Female	2	0	0	0				
Valley	Male	4	1	0	0				
	Unknown	1	0	0	0				
District Tota	al	8	1	4	20				

	Motions to Transfer Courts and Transfers, County and Race/Ethnicity								
			le Court to It Court		Adult Court to Juvenile Court				
County	Race/ Ethnicity	Motion to Transfer Transfered		Motion to Transfer	Cases Transfered				
Blaine	White	0	0	0	1				
Boyd	Unknown	0	0	0	4				
Brown	White	1	0	1	1				
Cherry	Am. Indian	0	0	0	1				
Cherry	Unknown	0	0	1	3				
Custer	Am. Indian	0	0	1	1				
Custer	White	0	0	1	3				
Holt	Hispanic	0	0	0	1				
ПОЦ	White	0	0	0	2				
Howard	White	0	0	0	1				
Rock	Unknown	0	0	0	2				
Valloy	White	4	0	0	0				
Valley	Unknown	3	1	0	0				
District Tota	al	8	1	4	20				

Part (d) Juvenile Probation Activity

Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (d) Juveniles placed on juvenile probation, the number of juveniles on probation in out-of-home care, the number of juveniles completing probation, the number of motions to revoke probation and probation revocations, and the average length of time on probation.

The Juvenile Probation Activity data is provided from the Administrative Office of Probation's case management system, Nebraska Probation Application for Community Safety (NPACS). The table reports the number and percent of youth with various activity in 2017. Youth are counted once in each category, regardless of the number of out-of-home placements, motions to revoke, or cases they may have in the court system. Age groups are calculated specific to the activity as footnoted.

	Juvenile Probation Activity District											
Demographic	Cohort	Placed on Probation			Out-of-home Placement		Motions to Revoke		Probation Revoked		Satisfactory Release	
Demographic	Conort	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	
	10 & under	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	2	4%	
	11-13	10	12%	2	6%	2	14%	0	0%	6	12%	
A O	14-15	32	38%	6	19%	4	29%	2	50%	12	23%	
Age Group	16	8	10%	8	25%	2	14%	0	0%	4	8%	
	17	24	29%	16	50%	4	29%	0	0%	24	46%	
	18	10	12%	0	0%	2	14%	2	50%	4	8%	
0	Female	24	29%	6	19%	4	29%	4	100%	4	8%	
Gender	Male	60	71%	26	81%	10	71%	0	0%	48	92%	
	Am. Indian	10	12%	2	6%	0	0%	0	0%	4	8%	
D	Black	0	0%	2	6%	2	14%	0	0%	0	0%	
Race	White	64	76%	28	88%	10	71%	4	100%	48	92%	
	Other	10	12%	0	0%	2	14%	0	0%	0	0%	
E41::	Hispanic	12	14%	0	0%	2	14%	0	0%	0	0%	
Ethnicity	Not Hispanic	72	86%	32	100%	12	86%	4	100%	52	100%	
District Total		84	100%	32	100%	14	100%	4	100%	52	100%	

^{1.} Age at which the probation term began. 2. Age at the first admission to out-of-home placement. 3. Age as of the file date for motion to revoke. 4. Age as of the disposition date for motion to revoke. 5. Age as of the disposition date for discharge from probation.

Juvenile Probation Activity by County and Age Group											
County	Age Group	Placed on Probation	Out-of- home Placement	Motions to Revoke	Probation Revoked	Satisfactory Release					
		# of Youth	# of Youth	# of Youth	# of Youth	# of Youth					
	14-15	2	2	2	0	2					
Boyd	16	0	2	2	0	0					
	18	0	0	0	2	0					
	11-13	2	0	0	0	2					
Drown	14-15	2	0	0	0	4					
Brown	16	0	0	0	0	4					
	17	6	2	2	0	4					
	11-13	2	0	2	0	0					
Char	14-15	8	2	2	2	0					
Cherry	17	4	2	0	0	4					
	18	2	0	0	0	2					
	10 & under	0	0	0	0	2					
	14-15	8	0	0	0	0					
	16	4	0	0	0	0					
	17	0	2	2	0	4					
	18	2	0	0	0	0					
Garfield	16	2	2	0	0	0					
	11-13	2	0	0	0	4					
	14-15	4	2	0	0	4					
Holt	16	2	2	0	0	0					
	17	6	8	0	0	12					
	18	2	0	0	0	2					
	11-13	2	2	0	0	0					
	14-15	6	0	0	0	0					
Howard	17	4	0	0	0	0					
	18	2	0	2	0	0					
Rock	18	2	0	0	0	0					
01	14-15	0	0	0	0	2					
Sherman	17	2	0	0	0	0					
	11-13	2	0	0	0	0					
	14-15	2	0	0	0	0					
Valley	16	0	2	0	0	0					
	17	2	2	0	0	0					

Juvenile Probation Activity by County and Age Group									
County	County Age Group	Placed on Probation	Out-of- home Placement	Motions to Revoke	Probation Revoked	Satisfactory Release			
j	County Age Group		# of Youth	# of Youth	# of Youth	# of Youth			
District T	District Total		32	14	4	52			

			le Probatio ounty and		у	
County	Gender	Placed on Probation	Out-of- home Placement	Motions to Revoke	Probation Revoked	Satisfactory Release
		# of Youth	# of Youth	# of Youth	# of Youth	# of Youth
Boyd	Female			2	2	
Боуи	Male	2	4	2		2
Brown	Female	4				
DIOWII	Male	6	2	2		14
Chorny	Female	10	2	2	2	2
Cherry	Male	6	2	2		4
Custer	Female	6	2			
Custer	Male	8		2		6
Garfield	Male	2	2			
Holt	Female	2	2			2
ПОП	Male	14	10			20
Howard	Female	2				
Tiowaid	Male	12	2	2		
Rock	Male	2				
Sherman	Male	2				2
Valley	Male	6	4			
District To	otal	84	32	14	4	52

	Ju		obation Act		ases	
County	Race	Placed on Probation	Out-of- home Placement	Motions to Revoke	Probation Revoked	Satisfactory Release
		# of Youth	# of Youth	# of Youth	# of Youth	# of Youth
Dovid	Black		2	2		
Boyd	White	2	2	2	2	2
Brown	Am. Indian	2				
DIOWII	White	8	2	2		14
	Am. Indian	8	2			4
Cherry	White	6	2	4	2	2
	Other	2				
Custer	White	14	2	2		6
Garfield	White	2	2			
Holt	White	12	12			22
ПОП	Other	4				
Howard	White	12	2			
помаги	Other	2		2		
Rock	White	2				
Sherman	White					2
Snerman	Other	2				
Valley	White	6	4			
District To	otal	84	32	14	4	52

	Juv		bation Acti nty and Eth		ses	
County	Ethnicity	Placed on Probation	Out-of- home Placement	Motions to Revoke	Probation Revoked	Satisfactory Release
		# of Youth	# of Youth	# of Youth	# of Youth	# of Youth
Dovid	Not Hispanic		2	2		
Boyd	Not Hispanic	2	2	2	2	2
Brown	Not Hispanic	2				
DIOWII	Not Hispanic	8	2	2		14
	Hispanic	2				
Cherry	Not Hispanic	8	2			4
	Not Hispanic	6	2	4	2	2
Custer	Hispanic	14	2	2		6
Garfield	Not Hispanic	2	2			
Holt	Hispanic	4				
ПОП	Not Hispanic	12	12			22
Howard	Hispanic	2		2		
поwaru	Not Hispanic	12	2			
Rock	Not Hispanic	2				
Sherman	Hispanic	2				
Sileillidii	Not Hispanic					2
Valley	Not Hispanic	6	4			
District To	otal	84	32	14	4	52

District 8

Part (d) Juvenile Probation - Youth Risk Level and Length of Probation

Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (d) Juveniles placed on juvenile probation, the number of juveniles on probation in out-of-home care, the number of juveniles completing probation, the number of motions to revoke probation and probation revocations, and the average length of time on probation.

Youth Level of Service/Case Management Inventory (YLS/CMI) is an assessment tool used to determine the level of risk and appropriate placement for youth on probation. The following table shows the classification results of youth upon entry and exit from probation. Youth that are determined to be low or moderate low risk go through Juvenile Community-Based Resource (JCBR/Low/Moderate Low), those determined to be high or moderate high risk go through Juvenile Community-Based Intervention (JCBI/High/Moderate HighRisk)

		Yout	h Risk	Levels and L Distric		of Probatio	on		
		ln	itial Risl	(Level		Final Risk	Level	Average Length of Probation	
Demo- graphic	Cohort	JCBR/ Low/ Moderate Low	JCBI/ High	No Assessment**	JCBR/ Low	JCBI/ High/ Moderate High	No Assessment**	Total Youth	Avg Length of Probation
	11-13	8	2		2			2	28.0
	14-15	20	12		10	4		14	12.9
Ago Croup	16	4	4		4			4	24.7
Age Group	17	16	8		4	4		8	15.4
	18	4	4	2	18	8		26	12.5
	19 & over				4		2	6	18.0
Gender	Female	16	8		6	6		12	13.2
Gender	Male	36	22	2	36	10	2	48	15.3
	Am. Indian	8	2		2	2	2	6	11.7
Race	Black					2		2	20.0
Race	White	34	28	2	38	12		50	14.5
	Other	10			2			2	28.0
Ethnicity	Hispanic	10	2		2			2	28.0
Ethnicity	Not Hispanic	42	28	2	40	16	2	58	14.4
District Tot	al	52	30	2	42	16	2	60	14.9

		Yo	uth Ri	sk Levels and by County and	Length d Age G	ı of Proba Troup	tion		
		In	itial Risl	k Level		Final Risk	Level	Average Length of Probation	
Boyd 14-15 2 Boyd 17 18 11-13 2 14-15 17 18 11-13 2 14-15 6 17-13 2 14-15 6 17-13 1 14-15 6 16 2 18 Garfield 16 Holt 17-13 2 14-15 2 16-16 2 17-16 1 18 19 & over 11-13 2 14-15 6 16 2 17-16 1 18 19 & over 11-13 2 14-15 2 16-17 6 18 19 & over 11-13 2 14-15 2 16-17 6 18 19 & over 11-13 2 14-15 2 16 2	JCBR/ Low/ Moderate Low	JCBI/ High	No Assessment**	JCBR/ Low	JCBI/ High/ Moderate High	No Assessment**	Total Youth	Avg Length of Probation	
	14-15	2				2		2	20.0
Boyd	17				2			2	35.0
	18					2		2	12.0
	11-13	2						0	
Drown	14-15		2		6			6	12.0
DIOWII	17	4	2		2	2		4	10.5
	18				2			2	12.0
	11-13	2						0	
	14-15	6	2			2		2	15.5
Cherry	17	2	2					0	
	18	2			4	2		6	6.7
	19 & over						2	2	21.0
	11-13				2			2	28.0
Custor	14-15	6	2					0	
Custer	16	2	2					0	
	18		2		4	4		8	13.4
Garfield	16		2					0	
	11-13	2						0	
	14-15	2	2		4			4	9.5
Halt	16	2			2			2	34.3
ПОП	17	6						0	
	18		2		8			8	16.2
	19 & over				4			4	16.5
	11-13		2					0	
Howard	14-15	2	4					0	
помаги	17	2	2					0	
	18	2						0	
Rock	18			2				0	
Charmer	16				2			2	15.0
Sherman	17	2				2		2	5.5
\/alle::	11-13	2						0	
Valley	14-15	2						0	

	Youth Risk Levels and Length of Probation by County and Age Group										
		In	itial Risł	k Level		Final Risk	Average Length of Probation				
County	Age Group	JCBR/ Low/ Moderate Low	JCBI/ High	No Assessment**	JCBR/ Low	JCBI/ High/ Moderate High	No Assessment**	Total Youth	Avg Length of Probation		
Valley	17		2					0			
District T	otal	52	30	2	42	16	2	60	14.9		

	Youth Risk Levels and Length of Probation by County and Gender											
		In	itial Risl	k Level		Final Risk	Level	Average Length of Probation				
County	Gender	JCBR/ Low/ Moderate Low	JCBI/ High	No Assessment**	JCBR/ Low	JCBI/ High/ Moderate High	No Assessment**	Total Youth	Avg Length of Probation			
Boyd	Female					4		4	16.0			
Боуц	Male	2			2			2	35.0			
Brown	Female	4						0				
DIOWII	Male	2	4		10	2		12	11.5			
Chorn	Female	8	2		2	2		4	10.8			
Cherry	Male	4	2		2	2	2	6	11.7			
Custer	Female	4	2					0				
Custer	Male	4	4		6	4		10	16.4			
Garfield	Male		2					0				
Holt	Female		2		4			4	13.0			
пон	Male	12	2		14			14	17.9			
Howard	Female		2					0				
Tiowaid	Male	6	6					0				
Rock	Male			2				0				
Sherman	Male	2			2	2		4	10.2			
Valley	Male	4	2					0				
District Total 52 30 2 42 16		2	60	14.9								

		Yo	uth Ris	sk Levels and by County a			ion		
		Initial Risk Level Final Risk L		Level		ge Length robation			
County	Race	JCBR/ Low/ Moderate Low	JCBI/ High	No Assessment**	JCBR/ Low	JCBI/ High/ Moderate High	No Assessment**	Total Youth	Avg Length of Probation
Dovid	Black					2		2	20.0
Boyd	White	2			2	2		4	23.5
Brown	Am. Indian	2						0	
BIOMII	White	4	4		10	2		12	11.5
	Am. Indian	6	2		2	2	2	6	11.7
Cherry	White	4	2		2	2		4	10.8
	Other	2						0	
Custer	White	8	6		6	4		10	16.4
Garfield	White		2					0	
1.1-14	White	8	4		16			16	15.4
Holt	Other	4			2			2	28.0
	White	4	8					0	
Howard	Other	2						0	
Rock	White			2				0	
Ob	White				2	2		4	10.2
Sherman	Other	2						0	
Valley	White	4	2					0	
District To	otal	52	30	2	42	16	2	60	14.9

		You		k Levels and I by County and			on			
		In	itial Risl	(Level		Final Risk Level			Average Length of Probation	
County	Ethnicity	JCBR/ Low/ Moderate Low	JCBI/ High	No Assessment**	JCBR/ Low	JCBI/ High/ Moderate High	No Assessment**	Total Youth	Avg Length of Probation	
Boyd	Not Hispanic	2			2	4		6	22.3	
Brown	Not Hispanic	6	4		10	2		12	11.5	
Cherry	Hispanic	2						0		
Cherry	Not Hispanic	10	4		4	4	2	10	11.3	
Custer	Hispanic		2					0		
Custer	Not Hispanic	8	4		6	4		10	16.4	
Garfield	Not Hispanic		2					0		
Holt	Hispanic	4			2			2	28.0	
ПОП	Not Hispanic	8	4		16			16	15.4	
Howard	Hispanic	2						0		
помаги	Not Hispanic	4	8					0		
Rock	Not Hispanic			2				0		
Charmes	Hispanic	2						0		
Sherman	Not Hispanic				2	2		4	10.2	
Valley	Not Hispanic	4	2					0		
District To	otal	52	30	2	42	16	2	60	14.9	

District 8

Part (e) Juveniles Access to Counsel

Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (e) juveniles with and without access to counsel in juvenile and adult court, both appointed and retained.

The Juveniles Access to Counsel table displays the percent of juveniles represented by an attorney in criminal cases from county court and district court and juvenile cases from county court and separate juvenile court. Data includes new cases filed and cases transfered from one county to another during calendar year 2017. Cases filed in 2017 may not have reached the sentencing/adjudication stage of proceedings.

	Juvenile Access to Counsel District											
			Criminal C	ourt Cases	;		Juvenile C	ourt Cases	;			
Demographic	Cohort	Total Criminal Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel	Total Juvenile Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel			
	10 & under					2	1	1	50%			
	11-13	6	6	0	0%	20	4	16	80%			
Age Group	14-15	8	5	3	38%	31	13	18	58%			
	16	21	19	2	10%	40	23	17	42%			
	17	48	20	28	58%	31	7	24	77%			
	Female	22	15	7	32%	32	14	18	56%			
Gender	Male	57	33	24	42%	85	32	53	62%			
	Unknown	4	2	2	50%	7	2	5	71%			
	Am. Indian	7	4	3	43%	8	5	3	38%			
	Hispanic	3	1	2	67%	3	1	2	67%			
Race/Ethnicity	White	47	32	15	32%	80	32	48	60%			
	Other					1	0	1	100%			
	Unknown	26	13	13	50%	32	10	22	69%			
District Total		83	50	33	40%	124	48	76	61%			

	Juvenile Access to Counsel by County and Age Group											
Criminal Court Cases Juvenile Court Cases												
County	Age Group	Total Criminal Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel	Total Juvenile Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel			
Blaine	16	1	1	0	0%	1	1	0	0%			
	16	3	2	1	33%	5	2	3	60%			
Boyd	17	3	0	3	100%	3	0	3	100%			
	11-13					1	1	0	0%			
	14-15					2	1	1	50%			
Brown	16	2	2	0	0%	6	4	2	33%			
	17	2	1	1	50%	7	3	4	57%			
	10 & under					1	1	0	0%			
	11-13	5	5	0	0%	4	1	3	75%			
Cherry	14-15	4	2	2	50%	3	0	3	100%			
	16	2	2	0	0%	5	1	4	80%			
	17	9	5	4	44%	2	0	2	100%			
	11-13	1	1	0	0%	1	0	1	100%			
	14-15	4	3	1	25%	10	4	6	60%			
Custer	16	6	6	0	0%	4	3	1	25%			
	17	16	5	11	69%	3	0	3	100%			
	14-15					3	2	1	33%			
Garfield	16					3	2	1	33%			
	17	4	1	3	75%							
	10 & under					1	0	1	100%			
	11-13					5	1	4	80%			
Holt	14-15					7	3	4	57%			
	16					5	3	2	40%			
	17	8	6	2	25%	6	2	4	67%			
	11-13					7	1	6	86%			
	14-15					4	3	1	25%			
Howard	16	5	5	0	0%	5	3	2	40%			
	17	3	2	1	33%	2	0	2	100%			
Dools	16	1	0	1	100%	1	1	0	0%			
Rock	17	1	0	1	100%	1	0	1	100%			
Sherman	16					1	0	1	100%			
Velley	11-13					2	0	2	100%			
Valley	14-15					2	0	2	100%			

Juvenile Access to Counsel by County and Age Group										
			Criminal C	ourt Cases	3	Juvenile Court Cases				
County	Age Group	Total Criminal Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel	Total Juvenile Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel	
Valley	16	1	1	0	0%	4	3	1	25%	
valley	17	2	0	2	100%	7	2	5	71%	
District Tota	I	83	50	33	40%	124	48	76	61%	

Juvenile Access to Counsel by County and Gender										
			Criminal C	ourt Cases	3	Juvenile Court Cases				
County	Gender	Total Criminal Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel	Total Juvenile Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel	
Blaine	Male	1	1	0	0%	1	1	0	0%	
	Female	1	1	0	0%					
Boyd	Male	5	1	4	80%	7	2	5	71%	
	Unknown					1	0	1	100%	
D	Female	2	1	1	50%	7	5	2	29%	
Brown	Male	2	2	0	0%	9	4	5	56%	
Ob	Female	8	5	3	38%	5	0	5	100%	
Cherry	Male	12	9	3	25%	10	3	7	70%	
	Female	5	5	0	0%	6	3	3	50%	
Custer	Male	21	9	12	57%	10	4	6	60%	
	Unknown	1	1	0	0%	2	0	2	100%	
	Female	1	0	1	100%					
Garfield	Male	1	1	0	0%	6	4	2	33%	
	Unknown	2	0	2	100%					
	Female	2	1	1	50%	8	2	6	75%	
Holt	Male	6	5	1	17%	15	6	9	60%	
	Unknown					1	1	0	0%	
	Female	2	2	0	0%	1	1	0	0%	
Howard	Male	5	4	1	20%	16	6	10	62%	
	Unknown	1	1	0	0%	1	0	1	100%	
Dook	Female	1	0	1	100%	1	1	0	0%	
Rock	Male	1	0	1	100%	1	0	1	100%	
Sherman	Male					1	0	1	100%	
	Female					4	2	2	50%	
Valley	Male	3	1	2	67%	9	2	7	78%	
	Unknown					2	1	1	50%	
District Tot	al	83	50	33	40%	124	48	76	61%	

Juvenile Access to Counsel by County and Race/Ethnicity										
			Criminal C	ourt Cases	3		Juvenile C	ourt Cases	3	
County	Race/ Ethnicity	Total Criminal Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel	Total Juvenile Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel	
Blaine	White	1	1	0	0%	1	1	0	0%	
D I	White	1	1	0	0%	5	2	3	60%	
Boyd	Unknown	5	1	4	80%	3	0	3	100%	
D	Am. Indian					3	3	0	0%	
Brown	White	4	3	1	25%	13	6	7	54%	
	Am. Indian	5	2	3	60%	4	1	3	75%	
0.	White	2	1	1	50%	6	0	6	100%	
Cherry	Other					1	0	1	100%	
	Unknown	13	11	2	15%	4	2	2	50%	
0.11	Am. Indian	2	2	0	0%	1	1	0	0%	
	Hispanic	1	0	1	100%					
Custer	White	24	13	11	46%	11	4	7	64%	
	Unknown					6	2	4	67%	
	White	2	1	1	50%	5	3	2	40%	
Garfield	Unknown	2	0	2	100%	1	1	0	0%	
	Hispanic	2	1	1	50%	2	1	1	50%	
Holt	White	6	5	1	17%	20	8	12	60%	
	Unknown					2	0	2	100%	
	Hispanic					1	0	1	100%	
Howard	White	6	6	0	0%	9	4	5	56%	
	Unknown	2	1	1	50%	8	3	5	62%	
Rock	Unknown	2	0	2	100%	2	1	1	50%	
Sherman	White					1	0	1	100%	
N / II	White	1	1	0	0%	9	4	5	56%	
Valley	Unknown	2	0	2	100%	6	1	5	83%	
District Tot	al	83	50	33	40%	124	48	76	61%	

District 9

Part (a) Juvenile Petitions and Adjudications

Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (a) Juveniles prosecuted in juvenile court under subdivision (1), (2), (3)(b), or (4) of section 43-247, including the total number of filings and adjudications.

The Juvenile Petitions and Adjudications tables report the number of new juvenile cases and corresponding percent of adjudications by case type and demographic group for cases filed in 2017. The data consists of both county court and separate juvenile court cases. Only the most serious offense is counted for cases with multiple charges, pleas or findings. Cases are counted in the Admit column if at least one charge was admitted to in court. Supplemental petitions are not included in this data set. Cases filed in November or December of 2017 may not have reached the adjudication stage of proceedings. Juvenile cases where the age is unknown are included in the report.

Juvenile Petitions and Adjudication by Case Type District										
Domo		Sta	tus Offe	nse	Misdemeanor			Felony		
Demo- graphic	Cohort	Cases	Admit	% Admit	Cases	Admit	% Admit	Cases	Admit	% Admit
	10 & under	2	2	100%	1	0	0%	0	0	0%
	11-13	11	8	73%	35	24	69%	11	8	73%
Age Group	14-15	30	22	73%	110	84	76%	30	24	80%
	16	5	5	100%	64	48	75%	12	9	75%
	17	2	2	100%	100	65	65%	12	10	83%
	Female	14	11	79%	85	57	67%	20	14	70%
Gender	Male	34	26	76%	223	162	73%	45	37	82%
	Unknown	2	2	100%	2	2	100%	0	0	0%
	Am. Indian	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	2	2	100%
	Black	0	0	0%	2	2	100%	0	0	0%
Race/ Ethnicity	Hispanic	0	0	0%	12	10	83%	4	4	100%
	White	7	6	86%	48	42	88%	17	15	88%
	Unknown	43	33	77%	248	167	67%	42	30	71%
District T	otal	50	39	78%	310	221	71%	65	51	78%

Juvenile Cases and Adjudication by Case Type, County and Age Group										
		Status Offense			Misdemeanor				Felony	
County	Age Group	Cases	Admit	% Admit	Cases	Admit	% Admit	Cases	Admit	% Admit
	11-13	2	1	50%	2	2	100%	5	4	80%
Buffalo	14-15	2	2	100%	19	16	84%	11	11	100%
Bullalo	16	3	3	100%	27	25	93%	5	5	100%
	17	1	1	100%	13	8	62%	5	4	80%
	10 & under	2	2	100%	1	0	0%	0	0	0%
	11-13	9	7	78%	33	22	67%	6	4	67%
Hall	14-15	28	20	71%	91	68	75%	19	13	68%
	16	2	2	100%	37	23	62%	7	4	57%
	17	1	1	100%	87	57	66%	7	6	86%
District Total		50	39	78%	310	221	71%	65	51	78%

Juvenile Cases and Adjudication by Case Type, County and Gender										
		Sta	tus Offer	nse	Mis	sdemear	nor		Felony	
County	Gender	Cases	Admit	% Admit	Cases	Admit	% Admit	Cases	Admit	% Admit
Buffalo	Female	4	4	100%	20	17	85%	6	5	83%
Bullalo	Male	4	3	75%	41	34	83%	20	19	95%
	Female	10	7	70%	65	40	62%	14	9	64%
Hall	Male	30	23	77%	182	128	70%	25	18	72%
	Unknown	2	2	100%	2	2	100%	0	0	0%
District To	tal	50	39	78%	310	221	71%	65	51	78%

Juvenile Cases and Adjudication by Case Type, County and Race/Ethnicity										
		Status Offense			Mis	sdemear	nor		Felony	
County	Race	Cases	Admit	% Admit	Cases	Admit	% Admit	Cases	Admit	% Admit
	Am. Indian	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	2	2	100%
5 " !	Hispanic	0	0	0%	10	8	80%	4	4	100%
Buffalo	White	7	6	86%	47	41	87%	17	15	88%
	Unknown	1	1	100%	4	2	50%	3	3	100%
	Black	0	0	0%	2	2	100%	0	0	0%
Hall	Hispanic	0	0	0%	2	2	100%	0	0	0%
Паш	White	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%
	Unknown	42	32	76%	244	165	68%	39	27	69%
District Total		50	39	78%	310	221	71%	65	51	78%

District 9

Part (b) Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court - Case Type

Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (b) Juveniles prosecuted in adult criminal court for felonies, misdemeanors, and traffic offenses. The information shall include juveniles sentenced to terms in adult jails and prisons and juveniles placed on adult probation.

Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court tables consist of criminal and traffic cases in county court and criminal cases in district court that reached the point of sentencing in 2017. Cases with multiple charges are counted once per case and reported as the most severe offense. Cases for juveniles ages 13 and below must be filed and stay in juvenile court; however, judges have the authority to allow these cases in criminal court. Therefore, only juveniles ages 14 through 17 at the time of the offense appear in adult criminal court data, with rare exceptions.

Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court by Case Type District										
		All Cases		Traffic		Misdemeanor		Felony		
Demographic	Cohort	Total Cases	% of Total	Total Cases	% of Total for Case Type Group	Total Cases	% of Total for Case Type Group	Total Cases	% of Total for Case Type Group	
Age Group	17	17	100%	2	100%	10	100%	5	100%	
Gender	Female	1	7%	0		1	11%	0		
	Male	14	93%	2	100%	8	89%	4	100%	
	Unknown	2		0		1		1		
Race/Ethnicity	Black	1	9%	0		1	12%	0		
	Hispanic	3	27%	1	50%	2	25%	0		
	White	7	64%	1	50%	5	62%	1	100%	
	Unknown	6		0		2		4		
District Total		17	100%	2	12%	10	59%	5	29%	

Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court by Case Type, County and Age Group									
County Age Group All Cases Traffic Misdemeanor Felony									
D ((.)	47			#					
Buffalo	17	13	2	/	4				
Hall 17 4 0 3 1									
District Total 17 2 10 5									

Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court by Case Type, County and Gender									
County Gender All Cases Traffic Misdemeanor Felony									
		#	#	#	#				
	Female	1	0	1	0				
Buffalo	Male	10	2	5	3				
	Unknown	2	0	1	1				
Hall Male 4 0 3 1									
District To	tal	17	2	10	5				

Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court by Case Type, County and Race/Ethnicity

County	Race	All Cases	Traffic	Misdemeanor	Felony
		#	#	#	#
	Black	1	0	1	0
D ((.)	Hispanic	2	1	1	0
Buffalo	White	5	1	4	0
	Unknown	5	0	1	4
	Hispanic	1	0	1	0
Hall	White	2	0	1	1
	Unknown	1	0	1	0
District Total		17	2	10	5

District 9

Part (b) Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court - Sentence

Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (b) Juveniles prosecuted in adult criminal court for felonies, misdemeanors, and traffic offenses. The information shall include juveniles sentenced to terms in adult jails and prisons and juveniles placed on adult probation.

Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court tables include criminal and traffic cases in county court and criminal cases in district court that reached the point of sentencing in 2017. All sentencing types are included where multiple sentencing types occur within a case (ex. probation/jail). Age is calculated from the age at offense, not the age at sentencing.

Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court by Sentencing District										
Demographic		Total C	Cases	Prob	Probation		Jail		Prison	
	Cohort	Number of Cases	% of Total	Number of Cases	% of Cohort	Number of Cases	% of Cohort	Number of Cases	% of Cohort	
Age Group	17	17	100%	13	76%	4	24%	0	0%	
	Female	1	7%	1	76%	0	24%	0	0%	
Gender	Male	14	93%	11	76%	3	24%	0	0%	
	Unknown	2	0%	1	76%	1	24%	0	0%	
	White	7	64%	6	76%	1	24%	0	0%	
Doog/Ethnicity	Black	1	9%	0	76%	1	24%	0	0%	
Race/Ethnicity	Hispanic	3	27%	2	76%	1	24%	0	0%	
	Unknown	6	0%	5	76%	1	24%	0	0%	
District Total		17	100%	13	76%	4	24%	0	0%	

Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court by Sentence, County and Age Group								
County	Λαο	Total Cases	Probation	Jail	Prison			
	Age Group	Number of Cases	Number of Cases	Number of Cases	Number of Cases			
Buffalo	17	13	10	3	0			
Hall	17	4	3	1	0			
District To	tal	17	13	4	0			

Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court by Sentence, County and Gender								
County		Total Cases	Probation	Jail	Prison			
	Gender	Number of Cases	Number of Cases	Number of Cases	Number of Cases			
	Female	1	1	0	0			
Buffalo	Male	10	8	2	0			
	Unknown	2	1	1	0			
Hall	Male	4	3	1	0			
District To	tal	17	13	4	0			

Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court by Sentence, County and Race/Ethnicity								
		Total Cases	Probation	Jail	Prison			
County	Race	Number of Cases	Number of Cases	Number of Cases	Number of Cases			
	Black	1	0	1	0			
Buffalo	Hispanic	2	2	0	0			
Dullalo	White	5	4	1	0			
	Unknown	5	4	1	0			
	Hispanic	1	0	1	0			
Hall	White	2	2	0	0			
	Unknown	1	1	0	0			
District To	tal	17	13	4	0			

District 9

Part (c) Motions to Transfer Cases and Cases Transferred

Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (c) The number of motions to transfer and the number of cases transferred to adult court from juvenile court and from adult criminal court to juvenile court.

The data for Motions to Transfer Cases and Cases Transferred consists of county court and district court adult criminal cases and county court and separate juvenile court juvenile cases within the same county. Motions to transfer cases and cases transferred that were entered in 2017 were included in the file. Cases may have been filed previously and transferred in 2017. There can be multiple motions to transfer a case and all are reported; transfers occur once per case.

	Motions to Transfer Courts and Transfers District											
		Juvenile Court to Adult Court				Adul	t Court	to Juvenile Cou	t			
Demographic	Cohort	Motion to Transfer Juv to Adult	% of Total	Transfers Juv to Adult	% of Total	Motion to Transfer Adult to Juv	% of Total	Transfers Adult to Juv	% of Total			
Ago Croup	16	1	25%	0		6	46%	6	38%			
Age Group	17	3	75%	1	100%	7	54%	10	62%			
Condor	Female	1	25%	0		4	31%	5	31%			
Gender	Male	3	75%	1	100%	9	69%	11	69%			
	Black	0		0		2	18%	3	19%			
Doog/Ethnicity	Hispanic	0		0		3	27%	7	44%			
Race/Ethnicity	White	1	100%	0		6	55%	6	38%			
	Unknown	3		1		2		0				
District Total		4		1		13		16				

Motions to Transfer Courts and Transfers, County and Age Group								
			e Court to t Court	Adult Court to Juvenile Court				
County	Age Group	Motion to Transfer Transfered		Motion Transfered to Transfer				
Buffalo	16	1	0	5	3			
DuiidlO	17	0	0	3	1			
Hall	16	0	0	1	3			
Паш	17	3	1	4	9			
District To	tal	4	1	13	16			

Motions to Transfer Courts and Transfers, County and Gender								
			le Court to It Court	Adult Court to Juvenile Court				
County	Gender	Motion to Transfer	Cases Transfered	Motion to Transfer	Cases Transfered			
Buffalo	Female	0	0	3	1			
Dullalo	Male	1	0	5	3			
Hall	Female	1	0	1	4			
Паш	Male	2	1	4	8			
District To	tal	4	1	13	16			

Motions to Transfer Courts and Transfers, County and Race/Ethnicity									
			le Court to It Court	Adult Court to Juvenile Court					
County	Race/ Ethnicity	Motion to Transfer	Cases Transfered	Motion to Transfer	Cases Transfered				
Buffalo	White	1	0	6	4				
Dullalo	Unknown	0	0	2	0				
	Black	0	0	2	3				
Hall	Hispanic	0	0	3	7				
Hall	White	0	0	0	2				
	Unknown	3	1	0	0				
District To	tal	4	1	13	16				

District 9

Part (d) Juvenile Probation Activity

Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (d) Juveniles placed on juvenile probation, the number of juveniles on probation in out-of-home care, the number of juveniles completing probation, the number of motions to revoke probation and probation revocations, and the average length of time on probation.

The Juvenile Probation Activity data is provided from the Administrative Office of Probation's case management system, Nebraska Probation Application for Community Safety (NPACS). The table reports the number and percent of youth with various activity in 2017. Youth are counted once in each category, regardless of the number of out-of-home placements, motions to revoke, or cases they may have in the court system. Age groups are calculated specific to the activity as footnoted.

	Juvenile Probation Activity District											
Damaanahia		Placed on Probation			Out-of-home Placement		Motions to Revoke		Probation Revoked		Satisfactory Release	
Demographic	Cohort	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	
	10 & under	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	8	2%	
	11-13	42	11%	22	9%	8	5%	0	0%	58	12%	
A O	14-15	112	28%	62	25%	34	22%	0	0%	180	36%	
Age Group	16	120	30%	68	27%	34	22%	0	0%	144	29%	
	17	94	24%	72	29%	52	34%	2	100%	86	17%	
	18	30	8%	28	11%	24	16%	0	0%	18	4%	
0	Female	106	27%	80	32%	22	14%	0	0%	120	24%	
Gender	Male	292	73%	172	68%	130	86%	2	100%	374	76%	
	Am. Indian	12	3%	12	5%	4	3%	0	0%	2	0%	
Davis	Black	26	7%	30	12%	14	9%	2	100%	44	9%	
Race	White	242	61%	152	60%	86	57%	0	0%	306	62%	
	Other	118	30%	58	23%	48	32%	0	0%	142	29%	
E41:-:	Hispanic	146	37%	70	28%	60	39%	0	0%	166	34%	
Ethnicity	Not Hispanic	252	63%	182	72%	92	61%	2	100%	328	66%	
District Total		398	100%	252	100%	152	100%	2	100%	494	100%	

^{1.} Age at which the probation term began. 2. Age at the first admission to out-of-home placement. 3. Age as of the file date for motion to revoke. 4. Age as of the disposition date for motion to revoke. 5. Age as of the disposition date for discharge from probation.

	Juvenile Probation Activity by County and Age Group									
County	Age Group	Placed on Probation	Out-of- home Placement	Motions to Revoke	Probation Revoked	Satisfactory Release				
,	3 1	# of Youth	# of Youth	# of Youth	# of Youth	# of Youth				
-	11-13	10	6	0	0	4				
	14-15	26	20	10	0	34				
Buffalo	16	42	30	12	0	34				
	17	36	24	6	0	22				
	18	6	26	12	0	2				
	10 & under	0	0	0	0	8				
	11-13	32	16	8	0	54				
Hall	14-15	86	42	24	0	146				
Паш	16	78	38	22	0	110				
	17	58	48	46	2	64				
	18	24	2	12	0	16				
District	Total	398	252	152	2	494				

	Juvenile Probation Activity by County and Gender								
County Gend	Gender	Placed on Probation	Out-of- home Placement	Motions to Revoke	Probation Revoked	Satisfactory Release			
		# of Youth	# of Youth	# of Youth	# of Youth	# of Youth			
Buffalo	Female	34	32	6		18			
Dullalo	Male	86	74	34		78			
Hall	Female	72	48	16		102			
Пан	Male	206	98	96	2	296			
District	District Total		252	152	2	494			

	Juvenile Probation Activity - Cases by County and Race									
County	Race	Placed on Probation	Out-of- home Placement	Motions to Revoke	Probation Revoked	Satisfactory Release				
		# of Youth	# of Youth	# of Youth	# of Youth	# of Youth				
	Am. Indian	10	10	2		2				
Buffalo	Black	4	14			8				
bullalo	White	106	78	38		82				
	Other		4			4				
	Am. Indian	2	2	2						
Hell	Black	22	16	14	2	36				
Hall	White	136	74	48		224				
	Other	118	54	48		138				
District	Total	398	252	152	2	494				

	Ju		bation Act		ases	
County	Ethnicity	Placed on Probation	Out-of- home Placement	Motions to Revoke	Probation Revoked	Satisfactory Release
		# of Youth	# of Youth	# of Youth	# of Youth	# of Youth
	Hispanic	10	10	2		2
Duffala	Hispanic		4			4
Buffalo	Hispanic	106	78	38		82
	Not Hispanic	4	14			8
	Hispanic	2	2	2		
Hall	Hispanic	118	54	48		138
Hall	Hispanic	136	74	48		224
	Not Hispanic	22	16	14	2	36
District	Total	398	252	152	2	494

Part (d) Juvenile Probation - Youth Risk Level and Length of Probation

Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (d) Juveniles placed on juvenile probation, the number of juveniles on probation in out-of-home care, the number of juveniles completing probation, the number of motions to revoke probation and probation revocations, and the average length of time on probation.

Youth Level of Service/Case Management Inventory (YLS/CMI) is an assessment tool used to determine the level of risk and appropriate placement for youth on probation. The following table shows the classification results of youth upon entry and exit from probation. Youth that are determined to be low or moderate low risk go through Juvenile Community-Based Resource (JCBR/Low/Moderate Low), those determined to be high or moderate high risk go through Juvenile Community-Based Intervention (JCBI/High/Moderate HighRisk)

		Yout	h Risk	Levels and L Distric		of Probatio	n			
		In	itial Risl	(Level		Final Risk	Level	Average Length of Probation		
Demo- graphic	Cohort	JCBR/ Low/ Moderate Low	JCBI/ High	No Assessment**	JCBR/ Low	JCBI/ High/ Moderate High	No Assessment**	Total Youth	Avg Length of Probation	
	11-13	24	18		10	6		16	10.4	
	14-15	50	62		32	18		50	11.9	
Ago Croup	16	60	2	44	22		66	10.3		
Age Group	17	36	58		50	24		74	12.7	
	18	14	16		44	54	2	100	14.3	
	19 & over					8		8	19.9	
Gender	Female	34	70	2	44	22		66	12.5	
Gender	Male	148	144		136	110	2	248	12.7	
	Am. Indian		12		2	2		4	9.0	
Race	Black	16	10		16	10		26	10.5	
Race	White	108	134		118	86		204	12.5	
	Other	58	58	2	44	34	2	80	13.8	
Ethnicity	Hispanic	68	76	2	52	52	2	106	13.9	
Ethnicity	Not Hispanic	114	138		128	80		208	12.0	
District Tot	al	182	214	2	180	132	2	314	12.6	

		Y	outh R	isk Levels and by County an			ntion			
		In	itial Risl	< Level		Final Risk	Level	Average Length of Probation		
County	Age Group	JCBR/ Low/ Moderate Low	JCBI/ High	No Assessment**	JCBR/ Low	JCBI/ High/ Moderate High	No Assessment**	Total Youth	Avg Length of Probation	
	11-13	4	6		4			4	13.5	
	14-15	14	12		6	4		10	10.1	
Buffalo	16	10	32		6	10		16	11.2	
Dullalo	17	8	28		12	8		20	16.2	
	18		6		14	26		40	17.4	
	19 & over					4		4	26.2	
	11-13	20	12		6	6		12	9.3	
	14-15	36	50		26	14		40	12.4	
Hall	16	48	28	2	38	12		50	10.0	
Пан	17	28	30		38	16		54	11.4	
	18 14 10			30	28	2	60	12.3		
	19 & over				4		4	13.5		
District	Total	182	214	2	180	132	2	314	12.6	

		,	Youth 1	Risk Levels ar by County			ation		
		In	itial Risk	(Level		Final Risk	Level		ge Length robation
County	Gender	JCBR/ Low/ Moderate Low	JCBI/ High	No Assessment**	JCBR/ Low	JCBI/ High/ Moderate High	No Assessment**	Total Youth	Avg Length of Probation
Duffala	Female	10	24		8	10		18	18.5
Buffalo	Male	26	60		34	42		76	14.8
Hall	Female	24	46	2	36	12		48	10.2
Hall	Male	122	84		102	68	2	172	11.8
District	Total	182	214	2	180	132	2	314	12.6

		Yo	outh R	isk Levels and by County			tion			
		In	itial Risl	k Level		Final Risk	Level	Average Length of Probation		
County	Race	JCBR/ Low/ Moderate Low	JCBI/ High	No Assessment**	JCBR/ Low	JCBI/ High/ Moderate High	No Assessment**	Total Youth	Avg Length of Probation	
	Am. Indian		10		2			2	9.0	
Buffalo	Black	2	2		2	4		6	17.2	
Dullalo	White	34	72		36	46		82	15.4	
	Other				2	2		4	18.5	
	Am. Indian		2			2		2	9.0	
Hall	Black	14	8		14	6		20	8.4	
Hall	White 74 62			82	40		122	10.6		
	Other 58 58 2				42	32	2	76	13.5	
District	Total	182	214	2	180	132	2	314	12.6	

		Yo		sk Levels and by County an			ion		
		In	itial Risl	k Level		Final Risk	Level		ge Length robation
County	Ethnicity	JCBR/ Low/ Moderate Low	JCBI/ High	No Assessment**	JCBR/ Low	JCBI/ High/ Moderate High	No Assessment**	Total Youth	Avg Length of Probation
Duffala	Hispanic	2	10		8	10		18	16.7
Buffalo	Not Hispanic	34	74		34	42		76	15.2
Hall	Hispanic	66	66	2	44	42	2	88	13.3
Hall	Not Hispanic	80	64	94	38		132	10.2	
District	Total	182	214	2	180	132	2	314	12.6

Part (e) Juveniles Access to Counsel

Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (e) juveniles with and without access to counsel in juvenile and adult court, both appointed and retained.

The Juveniles Access to Counsel table displays the percent of juveniles represented by an attorney in criminal cases from county court and district court and juvenile cases from county court and separate juvenile court. Data includes new cases filed and cases transfered from one county to another during calendar year 2017. Cases filed in 2017 may not have reached the sentencing/adjudication stage of proceedings.

			Juvenile	Access t District		el					
			Criminal C	ourt Cases	;	Juvenile Court Cases					
Demographic	Cohort	Total Criminal Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel	Total Juvenile Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel		
	10 & under					5	1	4	80%		
	11-13					83	19	64	77%		
Age Group	14-15	4	4	0	0%	259	77	182	70%		
Age Group	16	33	17	16	48%	127	34	93	73%		
	17	113	69	44	39%	172	74	98	57%		
	Female	36	24	12	33%	181	50	131	72%		
Gender	Male	109	62	47	43%	461	154	307	67%		
	Unknown	5	4	1	20%	4	1	3	75%		
	Am. Indian					2	0	2	100%		
	Black	9	5	4	44%	2	1	1	50%		
Race/Ethnicity	Hispanic	60	37	23	38%	20	9	11	55%		
	White	68	42	26	38%	101	20	81	80%		
	Unknown	13	6	7	54%	521	175	346	66%		
District Total		150	90	60	40%	646	205	441	68%		

	Juvenile Access to Counsel by County and Age Group												
			Criminal C	ourt Cases	;	Juvenile Court Cases							
County	Age Group	Total Criminal Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel	Total Juvenile Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel				
	11-13					14	2	12	86%				
Buffalo	14-15	3	3	0	0%	57	10	47	82%				
Dullalo	16	13	4	9	69%	51	13	38	75%				
	17	51	34	17	33%	32	7	25	78%				
	10 & under					5	1	4	80%				
	11-13					69	17	52	75%				
Hall	14-15	1	1	0	0%	202	67	135	67%				
	16	20	13	7	35%	76	21	55	72%				
	17	62	35	27	44%	140	67	73	52%				
District To	tal	150	90	60	40%	646	205	441	68%				

					s to Cour nd Gende					
			Criminal C	ourt Cases	;		Juvenile C	ourt Cases	•	
County	Gender	Total Criminal Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel	Total Juvenile Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel	
	Female	18	11	7	39%	47	8	39	83%	
Buffalo	Male	47	29	18	38%	107	24	83	78%	
	Unknown	2	1	1	50%					
	Female	18	13	5	28%	134	42	92	69%	
Hall	Male	62	33	29	47%	354	130	224	63%	
	Unknown	3	3	0	0%	4	1	3	75%	
District To	District Total 150 90 60 40% 646 205 441 68%									

				ile Access ty and R							
			Criminal C	ourt Cases	•	Juvenile Court Cases					
County	Race/ Ethnicity	Total Criminal Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel	Total Juvenile Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel		
	Am. Indian					2	0	2	100%		
	Black	1	0	1	100%						
Buffalo	Hispanic	15	13	2	13%	16	7	9	56%		
	White	42	25	17	40%	100	20	80	80%		
	Unknown	9	3	6	67%	36	5	31	86%		
	Black	8	5	3	38%	2	1	1	50%		
Hall	Hispanic	45	24	21	47%	4	2	2	50%		
Паш	White	26	17	9	35%	1	0	1	100%		
	Unknown	4	3	1	25%	485	170	315	65%		
District To	tal	150	90	60	40%	646	205	441	68%		

Part (a) Juvenile Petitions and Adjudications

Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (a) Juveniles prosecuted in juvenile court under subdivision (1), (2), (3)(b), or (4) of section 43-247, including the total number of filings and adjudications.

The Juvenile Petitions and Adjudications tables report the number of new juvenile cases and corresponding percent of adjudications by case type and demographic group for cases filed in 2017. The data consists of both county court and separate juvenile court cases. Only the most serious offense is counted for cases with multiple charges, pleas or findings. Cases are counted in the Admit column if at least one charge was admitted to in court. Supplemental petitions are not included in this data set. Cases filed in November or December of 2017 may not have reached the adjudication stage of proceedings. Juvenile cases where the age is unknown are included in the report.

		J	uvenil	e Petiti		d Adjud Distric		n by Ca	ise Typ	e			
Dame		Trat	ffic Offer	nse	Status Offense			Misdemeanor				Felony	
Demo- graphic	Cohort	Cases	Admit	% Admit	Cases	Admit	% Admit	Cases	Admit	% Admit	Cases	Admit	% Admit
	10 & under	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%
	11-13	0	0	0%	6	3	50%	22	16	73%	3	2	67%
Age Group	14-15	10	6	60%	15	8	53%	54	35	65%	4	3	75%
	16	24	15	62%	9	5	56%	35	22	63%	4	3	75%
	17	29	21	72%	6	4	67%	55	27	49%	3	1	33%
	Female	21	14	67%	17	9	53%	51	27	53%	4	4	100%
Gender	Male	40	26	65%	13	6	46%	113	72	64%	9	5	56%
	Unknown	2	2	100%	6	5	83%	3	2	67%	1	0	0%
	Asian/P.I.	2	1	50%	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	0	0	0%
	Black	2	1	50%	1	0	0%	1	0	0%	1	1	100%
Race/ Ethnicity	Hispanic	8	7	88%	5	3	60%	15	7	47%	2	0	0%
	White	43	28	65%	23	13	57%	117	77	66%	9	6	67%
	Unknown	8	5	62%	7	4	57%	34	17	50%	2	2	100%
District T	strict Total 63 42 67% 36 20 56% 167 101 60% 14 9												64%

		J	Juvenil		s and A ounty a			y Case '	Туре,				
		Tra	ffic Offer	nse	Sta	tus Offe	nse	Mis	sdemear	nor		Felony	
County	Age Group	Cases	Admit	% Admit	Cases	Admit	% Admit	Cases	Admit	% Admit	Cases	Admit	% Admit
	10 & under	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%
	11-13	0	0	0%	4	2	50%	12	7	58%	3	2	67%
Adams	14-15	4	3	75%	11	7	64%	37	24	65%	1	1	100%
	16	18	12	67%	5	4	80%	14	8	57%	2	2	100%
	17	23	16	70%	3	3	100%	32	15	47%	1	1	100%
	11-13	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	2	2	100%	0	0	0%
OI.	14-15	3	1	33%	1	0	0%	2	2	100%	1	1	100%
Clay	16	3	2	67%	1	1	100%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%
	17	3	2	67%	0	0	0%	3	3	100%	1	0	0%
	11-13	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%
=	14-15	1	1	100%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%	1	1	100%
Fillmore	16	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	4	4	100%	0	0	0%
	17	1	1	100%	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%
	14-15	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	2	1	50%	0	0	0%
Franklin	16	0	0	0%	1	0	0%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%
	17	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	0	0%	0	0	0%
	14-15	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	4	2	50%	0	0	0%
Harlan	16	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	3	2	67%	0	0	0%
	17	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	2	0	0%	0	0	0%
	11-13	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%
	14-15	0	0	0%	1	0	0%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%
Kearney	16	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	2	2	100%	1	1	100%
	17	1	1	100%	1	1	100%	5	4	80%	0	0	0%
	11-13	0	0	0%	1	0	0%	2	1	50%	0	0	0%
	14-15	0	0	0%	1	0	0%	3	2	67%	0	0	0%
Nuckolls	16	0	0	0%	1	0	0%	0	0	0%	0	0	0%
	17	0	0	0%	1	0	0%	2	2	100%	0	0	0%
	11-13	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	4	4	100%	0	0	0%
	14-15	2	1	50%	0	0	0%	4	3	75%	1	0	0%
Phelps	16	3	1	33%	1	0	0%	8	3	38%	0	0	0%
	17	1	1	100%	1	0	0%	7	2	29%	0	0	0%
	14-15	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	0	0%	0	0	0%
Webster	16	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	2	1	50%	1	0	0%
	17	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	2	0	0%	1	0	0%

	Juvenile Cases and Adjudication by Case Type, County and Age Group												
County	Age Group	Tra	ffic Offer	%	Sta	tus Offei Admit	nse %	Mis	sdemear Admit	%	Cases	Felony Admit	%
Admit								Admit 64%					

			Juveni		es and A County			y Case	Type,				
		Tra	ffic Offe	nse	Status Offense			Mis	sdemear	nor		Felony	
County	Gender	Cases	Admit	% Admit	Cases	Admit	% Admit	Cases	Admit	% Admit	Cases	Admit	% Admit
	Female	16	11	69%	14	8	57%	31	18	58%	2	2	100%
Adams	Male	29	20	69%	4	3	75%	62	35	56%	5	4	80%
	Unknown	0	0	0%	5	5	100%	3	2	67%	0	0	0%
Clay	Female	1	0	0%	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	1	100%
Clay	Male	8	5	62%	2	1	50%	8	8	100%	1	0	0%
Fillmore	Female	1	1	100%	1	1	100%	2	2	100%	1	1	100%
rillinore	Male	1	1	100%	0	0	0%	4	4	100%	0	0	0%
Franklin	Male	0	0	0%	1	0	0%	4	2	50%	0	0	0%
Harlan	Female	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	5	2	40%	0	0	0%
Папап	Male	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	4	2	50%	0	0	0%
	Female	1	1	100%	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	0	0	0%
Kearney	Male	0	0	0%	2	2	100%	9	8	89%	1	1	100%
	Unknown	0	0	0%	1	0	0%	0	0	0%	0	0	0%
Nivelselle	Female	0	0	0%	1	0	0%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%
Nuckolls	Male	0	0	0%	3	0	0%	6	4	67%	0	0	0%
	Female	2	1	50%	1	0	0%	9	3	33%	0	0	0%
Phelps	Male	2	0	0%	1	0	0%	14	9	64%	1	0	0%
	Unknown	2	2	100%	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	0	0	0%
	Female	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	3	1	33%	0	0	0%
Webster	Male	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	2	0	0%	1	0	0%
	Unknown	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	0	0%
District Tot	tal	63	42	67%	36	20	56%	167	101	60%	14	9	64%

		,	Juveni		es and A inty and			y Case city	Type,				
		Traffic Offense			Status Offense			Mis	sdemear	nor	Felony		
County	Race	Cases	Admit	% Admit	Cases	Admit	% Admit	Cases	Admit	% Admit	Cases	Admit	% Admit
	Asian/P.I.	2	1	50%	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	0	0	0%
	Black	1	0	0%	1	0	0%	1	0	0%	1	1	100%
Adams	Hispanic	8	7	88%	5	3	60%	13	7	54%	1	0	0%
	White	31	21	68%	14	10	71%	61	36	59%	4	4	100%
	Unknown	3	2	67%	3	3	100%	21	12	57%	1	1	100%
	Black	1	1	100%	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	0	0	0%
Clay	White	8	4	50%	2	1	50%	7	7	100%	2	1	50%
	Unknown	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%
Fillmore	White	1	1	100%	0	0	0%	5	5	100%	0	0	0%
rillinore	Unknown	1	1	100%	1	1	100%	1	1	100%	1	1	100%
Franklin	White	0	0	0%	1	0	0%	4	2	50%	0	0	0%
	Hispanic	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	0	0%	0	0	0%
Harlan	White	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	7	3	43%	0	0	0%
	Unknown	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%
Kearney	White	1	1	100%	3	2	67%	9	8	89%	1	1	100%
Nuckolls	White	0	0	0%	2	0	0%	6	5	83%	0	0	0%
INUCKOIIS	Unknown	0	0	0%	2	0	0%	1	0	0%	0	0	0%
Dholna	White	2	1	50%	1	0	0%	14	10	71%	1	0	0%
Phelps	Unknown	4	2	50%	1	0	0%	9	2	22%	0	0	0%
Mobator	Hispanic	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	0	0%	1	0	0%
Webster	White	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	4	1	25%	1	0	0%
District Tot	al	63	42	67%	36	20	56%	167	101	60%	14	9	64%

Part (b) Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court - Case Type

Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (b) Juveniles prosecuted in adult criminal court for felonies, misdemeanors, and traffic offenses. The information shall include juveniles sentenced to terms in adult jails and prisons and juveniles placed on adult probation.

Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court tables consist of criminal and traffic cases in county court and criminal cases in district court that reached the point of sentencing in 2017. Cases with multiple charges are counted once per case and reported as the most severe offense. Cases for juveniles ages 13 and below must be filed and stay in juvenile court; however, judges have the authority to allow these cases in criminal court. Therefore, only juveniles ages 14 through 17 at the time of the offense appear in adult criminal court data, with rare exceptions.

Juveniles F	Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court by Case Type District										
		All C	ases	Fel	ony						
Demographic	Cohort	Total Cases	% of Total	Total Cases	% of Total for Case Type Group						
Age Group	17	1	100%	1	100%						
Gender	Male	1	100%	1	100%						
Race/Ethnicity	White	1	100%	1	100%						
District Total		1	100%	1	100%						

Juvenile			Type,	Criminal Cou Froup	ırt by					
County	Age Group	All Cases	Traffic	Misdemeanor	Felony					
	·	#	#	#	#					
Webster	Webster 17 1 0 0 1									
District Tota	District Total 1 0 0 1									

Juvenile	Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court by Case Type, County and Gender									
County	Gender	All Cases	Traffic	Misdemeanor	Felony					
		#	#	#	#					
Webster	Webster Male 1 0 0 1									
District Tota	al	1	0	0	1					

Juveniles Criminal County a	Court l	y Case	Type,
County	Race	All Cases	Felony
		#	#
Webster	White	1	1
District Tota	al	1	1

Part (b) Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court - Sentence

Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (b) Juveniles prosecuted in adult criminal court for felonies, misdemeanors, and traffic offenses. The information shall include juveniles sentenced to terms in adult jails and prisons and juveniles placed on adult probation.

Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court tables include criminal and traffic cases in county court and criminal cases in district court that reached the point of sentencing in 2017. All sentencing types are included where multiple sentencing types occur within a case (ex. probation/jail). Age is calculated from the age at offense, not the age at sentencing.

Jı	Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court by Sentencing District											
		Total C	Total Cases		Probation		Jail		Prison			
Demographic	Cohort	Number of Cases	% of Total	Number of Cases	% of Cohort	Number of Cases	% of Cohort	Number of Cases	% of Cohort			
Age Group	17	1	100%	1	100%	0	0%	0	0%			
Gender	Male	1	100%	1	100%	0	0%	0	0%			
Race/Ethnicity	White	1	100%	1	100%	0	0%	0	0%			
District Total		1	100%	1	100%	0	0%	0	0%			

Juvenile	Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court by Sentence, County and Age Group											
	Total Jail Prison Cases Probation											
County	Age Group	Number of Cases	Number of Cases	Number of Cases	Number of Cases							
Webster	Webster 17 1 1 0 0											
District Tota	al	1	1	0	0							

Juvenile	Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court by Sentence, County and Gender										
	Total Jail Prison Cases Probation										
County	Gender	Number of Cases	Number of Cases	Number of Cases	Number of Cases						
Webster Male 1 1 0 0											
District Tota	al	1	1	0	0						

Juvenile	Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court by Sentence, County and Race/Ethnicity											
	Total Jail Prison Cases Probation											
County	Race	Number of Cases	Number of Cases	Number of Cases	Number of Cases							
Webster	Webster White 1 1 0 0											
District Tota	al	1	1	0	0							

Part (c) Motions to Transfer Cases and Cases Transferred

Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (c) The number of motions to transfer and the number of cases transferred to adult court from juvenile court and from adult criminal court to juvenile court.

The data for Motions to Transfer Cases and Cases Transferred consists of county court and district court adult criminal cases and county court and separate juvenile court juvenile cases within the same county. Motions to transfer cases and cases transferred that were entered in 2017 were included in the file. Cases may have been filed previously and transferred in 2017. There can be multiple motions to transfer a case and all are reported; transfers occur once per case.

	Motions to Transfer Courts and Transfers District												
		Juven	ile Cou	rt to Adult Co	ourt	Adult Court to Juvenile Court							
Demographic	Cohort	Motion to Transfer Juv to Adult	% of Total	Transfers Juv to Adult	% of Total	Motion to Transfer Adult to Juv	% of Total	Transfers Adult to Juv	% of Total				
	11-13	0		0		0		1	2%				
Ago Croup	14-15	2	50%	0		0		6	12%				
Age Group	16	0		0		0		19	38%				
	17	2	50%	0		0		24	48%				
	Female	2	50%	0		0		16	33%				
Gender	Male	2	50%	0		0		33	67%				
	Unknown	0		0		0		1					
	Asian/P.I.	0		0		0		1	2%				
	Black	1	25%	0		0		0					
Race/Ethnicity	Hispanic	0		0		0		7	15%				
	White	3	75%	0		0		39	83%				
	Unknown	0		0		0		3					
District Total		4		0		0		50					

М	otions t		er Courts a and Age Gr		efers,		
			le Court to It Court	Adult Court to Juvenile Court			
County	Age Group	Motion to Transfer	Cases Transfered	Motion to Transfer	Cases Transfered		
	14-15	1	0	0	3		
Adams	16	0	0	0	15		
	17	2	0	0	19		
	14-15	1	0	0	1		
Clay	16	0	0	0	1		
	17	0	0	0	2		
Franklin	14-15	0	0	0	1		
	11-13	0	0	0	1		
Kearney	14-15	0	0	0	1		
Realliey	16	0	0	0	2		
	17	0	0	0	2		
Pholps	16	0	0	0	1		
Phelps	17	0	0	0	1		
District Tota	al	4	0	0	50		

N	Motions to Transfer Courts and Transfers, County and Gender										
			le Court to It Court	Adult Court to Juvenile Court							
County	Gender	Motion to Transfer Cases Transfered		Motion to Transfer	Cases Transfered						
Adams	Female	2	0	0	14						
Auaiiis	Male	1	0	0	23						
Clay	Male	1	0	0	4						
Franklin	Male	0	0	0	1						
Voornou	Female	0	0	0	2						
Kearney	Male	0	0	0	4						
Dholno	Male	0	0	0	1						
Phelps	Unknown	0	0	0	1						
District Tota	al	4	0	0	50						

Motions to Transfer Courts and Transfers, County and Race/Ethnicity										
			le Court to It Court	Adult Court to Juvenile Court						
County	Race/ Ethnicity	Motion to Transfer	Cases Transfered	Motion to Transfer	Cases Transfered					
	Asian/P.I.	0	0	0	1					
	Black	1	0	0	0					
Adams	Hispanic	0	0	0	6					
	White	2	0	0	29					
	Unknown	0	0	0	1					
Clay	White	1	0	0	4					
Franklin	Unknown	0	0	0	1					
Koornov	Hispanic	0	0	0	1					
Kearney	White	0	0	0	5					
Phelps	White	0	0	0	1					
riieips	Unknown	0	0	0	1					
District Tota	al	4	0	0	50					

Part (d) Juvenile Probation Activity

Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (d) Juveniles placed on juvenile probation, the number of juveniles on probation in out-of-home care, the number of juveniles completing probation, the number of motions to revoke probation and probation revocations, and the average length of time on probation.

The Juvenile Probation Activity data is provided from the Administrative Office of Probation's case management system, Nebraska Probation Application for Community Safety (NPACS). The table reports the number and percent of youth with various activity in 2017. Youth are counted once in each category, regardless of the number of out-of-home placements, motions to revoke, or cases they may have in the court system. Age groups are calculated specific to the activity as footnoted.

	Juvenile Probation Activity District											
D	Cohort	Place Prob			Out-of-home Placement		Motions to Revoke		ation oked	Satisfa Rele		
Demographic	Conort	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	
	10 & under	2	1%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
	11-13	21	10%	10	8%	2	2%	0	0%	14	8%	
A O	14-15	58	29%	39	29%	29	24%	0	0%	59	33%	
Age Group	16	46	23%	14	11%	14	11%	4	67%	36	20%	
	17	58	29%	42	32%	34	28%	0	0%	60	34%	
	18	16	8%	28	21%	43	35%	2	33%	9	5%	
0	Female	65	32%	44	33%	36	30%	2	33%	52	29%	
Gender	Male	136	68%	89	67%	86	70%	4	67%	126	71%	
	Am. Indian	0	0%	10	8%	6	5%	0	0%	4	2%	
D	Black	6	3%	7	5%	12	10%	0	0%	12	7%	
Race	White	149	74%	100	75%	92	75%	6	100%	133	75%	
	Other	46	23%	16	12%	12	10%	0	0%	29	16%	
E41::	Hispanic	55	27%	20	15%	20	16%	0	0%	35	20%	
Ethnicity	Not Hispanic	146	73%	113	85%	102	84%	6	100%	143	80%	
District Total		201	100%	133	100%	122	100%	6	100%	178	100%	

^{1.} Age at which the probation term began. 2. Age at the first admission to out-of-home placement. 3. Age as of the file date for motion to revoke. 4. Age as of the disposition date for motion to revoke. 5. Age as of the disposition date for discharge from probation.

			Probation			
County	Age Group	Placed on Probation	Out-of- home Placement	Motions to Revoke	Probation Revoked	Satisfactory Release
		# of Youth	# of Youth	# of Youth	# of Youth	# of Youth
	10 & under	2	0	0	0	0
	11-13	10	10	2	0	8
Adams	14-15	40	28	20	0	48
Audillo	16	30	10	12	0	26
	17	44	26	26	0	50
	18	10	22	38	2	6
	14-15	2	2	0	0	1
Clay	16	2	0	0	0	3
Clay	17	2	2	0	0	0
	18	0	0	0	0	1
	14-15	1	0	0	0	0
F:!!	16	2	0	0	0	1
Fillmore	17	1	2	0	0	0
	18	0	1	0	0	0
Franklin	16	2	2	2	0	0
Franklin	17	0	0	0	0	2
	14-15	4	4	0	0	6
Harlan	16	0	0	0	0	2
	17	2	0	0	0	2
	11-13	4	0	0	0	2
	14-15	2	0	2	0	2
Kearney	16	4	2	0	2	0
	17	2	2	0	0	0
	18	4	2	2	0	2
	11-13	1	0	0	0	0
Nuolcollo	14-15	1	1	1	0	0
Nuckolls	17	1	0	0	0	0
	18	0	1	1	0	0
	11-13	6	0	0	0	4
	14-15	8	4	6	0	2
Phelps	16	4	0	0	2	0
	17	4	8	8	0	6
	18	0	2	2	0	0

	Juvenile Probation Activity by County and Age Group									
County Age Group	Age Group	Placed on Probation	on home to		Probation Revoked	Satisfactory Release				
		# of Youth	# of Youth		# of Youth	# of Youth				
	16	2	0	0	0	4				
Webster	17	2	2	0	0	0				
18		2	0	0	0	0				
District T	otal	201	133	122	6	178				

			le Probatic ounty and		ty	
County	Gender	Placed on Probation	Out-of- home Placement	Motions to Revoke	Probation Revoked	Satisfactory Release
		# of Youth	# of Youth	# of Youth	# of Youth	# of Youth
Adams	Female	50	34	30	2	44
Audilis	Male	86	62	68		94
Clay	Female	1	1			
Clay	Male	5	3			5
Fillmore	Female		1			
rillinore	Male	4	2			1
Franklin	Female					2
Franklin	Male	2	2	2		
Harlan	Female	2	2			
напап	Male	4	2			10
V a a v a a v	Female	6	2			4
Kearney	Male	10	4	4	2	2
Nuckolls	Male	3	2	2		
Dholns	Female	4	4	6		2
Phelps	Male	18	10	10	2	10
Mohatar	Female	2				
Webster	Male	4	2			4
District T	otal	201	133	122	6	178

	Juvenile Probation Activity - Cases by County and Race										
County	Race	Placed on Probation	Out-of- home Placement	Motions to Revoke	Probation Revoked	Satisfactory Release					
		# of Youth	# of Youth	# of Youth	# of Youth	# of Youth					
	Am. Indian		8	6		4					
Adams	Black	6	6	12		12					
Audilis	White	92	70	70	2	96					
	Other	38	12	10		26					
Clay	White	6	4			4					
Clay	Other					1					
Fillmore	Black		1								
Fillinore	White	4	2			1					
Franklin	White	2	2	2		2					
	Am. Indian		2								
Harlan	White	4	2			8					
	Other	2				2					
Kearney	White	16	6	4	2	6					
Nuckolls	White	3	2	2							
Dholno	White	18	12	14	2	12					
Phelps	Other	4	2	2							
Webster	White	4				4					
vvenster	Other	2	2								
District T	otal	201	133	122	6	178					

	Juvenile Probation Activity - Cases by County and Ethnicity										
County	Ethnicity	Placed on Probation	on home to		Probation Revoked	Satisfactory Release					
		# of Youth	# of Youth	# of Youth	# of Youth	# of Youth					
	Hispanic	6	6	12		12					
Adams	Hispanic	38	12	10		26					
Audilis	Hispanic	92	70	70	2	96					
	Not Hispanic		8	6		4					
Clay	Hispanic					1					
Clay	Not Hispanic	6	4			4					
Fillmore	Hispanic	4	2			1					
rillillore	Not Hispanic		1								
Franklin	Not Hispanic	2	2	2		2					
	Hispanic	2				2					
Harlan	Not Hispanic		2								
	Not Hispanic	4	2			8					
Kearney	Not Hispanic	16	6	4	2	6					
Nuckolls	Not Hispanic	3	2	2							
Phelps	Hispanic	4	2	2							
Filelps	Hispanic	18	12	14	2	12					
Webster	Hispanic	2	2								
vvenstel	Not Hispanic	4				4					
District T	otal	201	133	122	6	178					

Part (d) Juvenile Probation - Youth Risk Level and Length of Probation

Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (d) Juveniles placed on juvenile probation, the number of juveniles on probation in out-of-home care, the number of juveniles completing probation, the number of motions to revoke probation and probation revocations, and the average length of time on probation.

Youth Level of Service/Case Management Inventory (YLS/CMI) is an assessment tool used to determine the level of risk and appropriate placement for youth on probation. The following table shows the classification results of youth upon entry and exit from probation. Youth that are determined to be low or moderate low risk go through Juvenile Community-Based Resource (JCBR/Low/Moderate Low), those determined to be high or moderate high risk go through Juvenile Community-Based Intervention (JCBI/High/Moderate HighRisk)

	Youth Risk Levels and Length of Probation District									
		Initial Risk	Level	Final F	Risk Level	Average Length of Probation				
Demo- graphic	Cohort	JCBR/ Low/ Moderate Low	JCBI/ High	JCBR/ Low	JCBI/ High/ Moderate High	Total Youth	Avg Length of Probation			
	10 & under	2				0				
	11-13	7	14			0				
	14-15	18	40	7	12	19	10.7			
Age Group	16	22	24	23	9	32	9.9			
	17	24	34	6	12	18	14.1			
	18	4	12	30	71	101	18.2			
	19 & over				3	3	24.0			
Gender	Female	18	47	18	23	41	13.2			
Gender	Male	59	77	48	84	132	16.2			
	Am. Indian				6	6	24.7			
Dana	Black		6		8	8	23.4			
Race	White	51	98	48	84	132	15.4			
	Other	26	20	18	9	27	11.7			
Ethnicity	Hispanic	27	28	18	11	29	11.5			
Ethnicity	Not Hispanic	50	96	48	96	144	16.3			
District Tot	al	77	124	66	107	173	15.5			

	Youth	Risk Leve	ls and	Length	of Probat	ion	
		by Cour Initial Risk			Risk Level	Avera	ge Length robation
County	Age Group	JCBR/ Low/ Moderate Low	JCBI/ High	JCBR/ Low	JCBI/ High/ Moderate High	Total Youth	Avg Length of Probation
	10 & under	2				0	
	11-13		10			0	
	14-15	10	30	4	10	14	11.9
Adams	16	10	20	14	8	22	10.4
	17	20	24	4	10	14	14.4
	18	2	8	22	58	80	17.1
	19 & over				2	2	16.0
	14-15		2			0	
	16	2		2	1	3	9.0
Clay	17	1	1	2		2	11.5
	18				2	2	15.2
	19 & over				1	1	40.0
	14-15	1				0	
Fillmore	16	2		1		1	6.0
	17		1			0	
Franklin	16	2				0	
riankiin	18				2	2	12.0
	14-15	2	2			0	
Harlan	16			2		2	10.0
Harlan	17	2				0	
	18			4		4	27.2
	11-13	2	2			0	
	14-15		2	2		2	3.0
Kearney	16	2	2	2		2	7.0
	17		2			0	
	18	2	2	2	2	4	28.0
	11-13	1				0	
Nuglialla	14-15	1		1		1	3.0
Nuckolls	17	1				0	
	18				1	1	13.0
Dholne	11-13	4	2			0	
Phelps	14-15	4	4		2	2	14.0

Youth Risk Levels and Length of Probation by County and Age Group										
County		Initial Risk Level		Final F	Risk Level	Average Length of Probation				
	Age Group	JCBR/ Low/ Moderate Low	JCBI/ High	JCBR/ Low	JCBI/ High/ Moderate High	Total Youth	Avg Length of Probation			
	16	4		2		2	11.0			
Phelps	17		4		2	2	15.0			
	18				4	4	21.7			
	16		2			0				
Webster	17		2			0				
	18		2	2	2	4	22.3			
District T	otal	77	124	66	107	173	15.5			

		Youth Risk b		d Length o and Gende			
		Initial Risk	Level	Final F	Risk Level		e Length of obation
County	Gender	JCBR/Low/ Moderate Low	JCBI/ High	JCBR/ Low	JCBI/High/ Moderate High	Total Youth	Avg Length of Probation
Adams	Female	12	12 38 14 18		32	14.6	
Adams	Male	32 54 30 70		70	100	15.3	
Clay	Female		1		1	1	11.0
Clay	Male	3	2	4	3	7	15.6
Fillmore	Male	3	1	1		1	6.0
Franklin	Female				2	2	12.0
FIANKIIII	Male	2				0	
Harlan	Female		2			0	
Панан	Male	4		6		6	21.5
Voornov	Female	4	2	2	2	4	4.5
Kearney	Male	2	8	4		4	28.5
Nuckolls	Male	3		1	1	2	8.0
Phelps	Female	2	2	2		2	11.0
гнеірь	Male	10	8		8	8	18.1
Webster	Female		2			0	
vvenster	Male		4	2	2	4	22.3
District Tota	al	77	124	66	107	173	15.5

Youth Risk Levels and Length of Probation by County and Race Initial Risk Level Final Risk Level Average Length												
		Initial Risk	Level	Final F	Risk Level		ge Length robation					
County	Race	JCBR/ Low/ Moderate Low	JCBI/ High	JCBR/ Low	JCBI/ High/ Moderate High	Total Youth	Avg Length of Probation					
	Am. Indian				6	6	24.7					
Adams	Black		6		8	8	23.4					
Auaiiis	White	24	68	28	68	96	14.9					
	Other	20	18	16	6	22	10.6					
Clay	White	3	3	4	1	5	11.8					
Clay	Other				3	3	20.5					
Fillmore	White	3	1	1		1	6.0					
Franklin	White	2			2	2	12.0					
Harlan	White	2	2	4		4	27.2					
папап	Other	2		2		2	10.0					
Kearney	White	6	10	6	2	8	16.5					
Nuckolls	White	3		1	1	2	8.0					
Pholos	White	8	10	2	8	10	16.7					
Phelps	Other	4				0						
Webster	White		4	2	2	4	22.3					
vvenster	Other		2			0						
District T	otal	77	124	66	107	173	15.5					

Youth Risk Levels and Length of Probation by County and Ethnicity												
		Initial Risl	k Level	Final I	Risk Level		e Length of obation					
County	Ethnicity	JCBR/Low/ Moderate Low	JCBI/ High	JCBR/ Low	JCBI/High/ Moderate High	Total Youth	Avg Length of Probation					
Adams	Hispanic	18	26	16	8	24	10.5					
Auams	Not Hispanic	26	66	28	80	108	16.1					
Clay	Hispanic				3	3	20.5					
Clay	Not Hispanic	3	3	4	1	5	11.8					
Fillmore	Hispanic	1				0						
Fillmore	Not Hispanic	2	1	1		1	6.0					
Franklin	Not Hispanic	2			2	2	12.0					
Harlan	Hispanic	2		2		2	10.0					
папап	Not Hispanic	2	2	4		4	27.2					
Kearney	Not Hispanic	6	10	6	2	8	16.5					
Nuckolls	Not Hispanic	3		1	1	2	8.0					
Dholno	Hispanic	6				0						
Phelps	Not Hispanic	6	10	2	8	10	16.7					
Webster	Hispanic		2			0						
vvebster	Not Hispanic		4	2	2	4	22.3					
District Tot	al	77	124	66	107	173	15.5					

District 10

Part (e) Juveniles Access to Counsel

Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (e) juveniles with and without access to counsel in juvenile and adult court, both appointed and retained.

The Juveniles Access to Counsel table displays the percent of juveniles represented by an attorney in criminal cases from county court and district court and juvenile cases from county court and separate juvenile court. Data includes new cases filed and cases transfered from one county to another during calendar year 2017. Cases filed in 2017 may not have reached the sentencing/adjudication stage of proceedings.

Juvenile Access to Counsel District													
			Criminal C	ourt Cases	;		Juvenile C	ourt Cases	i				
Demographic	Cohort	Total Criminal Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel	Total Juvenile Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel				
	10 & under					1	1	0	0%				
	11-13	1	1	0	0%	42	18	24	57%				
Age Group	14-15	6	6	0	0%	114	46	68	60%				
Age Group	16	9	6	3	33%	82	39	43	52%				
	17	25	19	6	24%	104	57	47	45%				
	Female	11	10	1	9%	116	44	72	62%				
Gender	Male	29	22	7	24%	213	110	103	48%				
	Unknown	1	0	1	100%	14	7	7	50%				
	Asian/P.I.					2	2	0	0%				
	Black	1	1	0	0%	5	2	3	60%				
Race/Ethnicity	Hispanic	4	4	0	0%	37	18	19	51%				
	White	32	24	8	25%	223	106	117	52%				
	Unknown	4	3	1	25%	76	33	43	57%				
District Total		41	32	9	22%	343	161	182	53%				

				le Access					
				unty and ourt Cases		_	luvonilo C	ourt Cases	
County	Age Group	Total Criminal Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel	Total Juvenile Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel
	10 & under					1	1	0	0%
	11-13					27	10	17	63%
Adams	14-15	3	3	0	0%	72	30	42	58%
	16	4	3	1	25%	44	25	19	43%
	17	18	13	5	28%	64	38	26	41%
	11-13					2	2	0	0%
	14-15					9	4	5	56%
Clay	16					5	3	2	40%
	17					8	2	6	75%
	11-13					1	0	1	100%
	14-15					4	2	2	50%
Fillmore	16					4	3	1	25%
	17					3	1	2	67%
	14-15					2	2	0	0%
Franklin	16					3	0	3	100%
	17					1	1	0	0%
	11-13					1	0	1	100%
	14-15	1	1	0	0%	5	1	4	80%
Harlan	16					3	2	1	33%
	17	1	1	0	0%	2	2	0	0%
	11-13	1	1	0	0%	3	1	2	67%
	14-15	1	1	0	0%	4	1	3	75%
Kearney	16	3	2	1	33%	6	4	2	33%
	17	4	3	1	25%	7	3	4	57%
	11-13					3	2	1	33%
	14-15					5	3	2	40%
Nuckolls	16					1	1	0	0%
	17	1	1	0	0%	5	2	3	60%
	11-13					4	3	1	25%
	14-15	1	1	0	0%	12	3	9	75%
Phelps	16	2	1	1	50%	12	1	11	92%
	17	1	1	0	0%	10	5	5	50%
Webster	11-13					1	0	1	100%

				le Access inty and					
			Criminal C	ourt Cases	3		Juvenile C	ourt Cases	
County	Age Group	Total Criminal Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel	Total Juvenile Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel
	14-15					1	0	1	100%
Webster	16					4	0	4	100%
	17	3	1	25%					
District Total 41 32 9 22% 343 161 182									53%

Juvenile Access to Counsel by County and Gender Criminal Court Cases Juvenile Court Cases											
			Criminal C	ourt Cases	;		Juvenile C	ourt Cases	3		
County	Gender	Total Criminal Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel	Total Juvenile Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel		
	Female	7	6	1	14%	82	32	50	61%		
Adams	Male	18	13	5	28%	117	67	50	43%		
	Unknown					9	5	4	44%		
01	Female					2	1	1	50%		
Clay	Male					22	10	12	55%		
F:!!	Female					5	2	3	60%		
Fillmore	Male					7	4	3	43%		
Franklin	Male					6	3	3	50%		
Llawlaw	Female	1	1	0	0%	5	2	3	60%		
Harlan	Male	1	1	0	0%	6	3	3	50%		
	Female	2	2	0	0%	2	2	0	0%		
Kearney	Male	7	5	2	29%	17	7	10	59%		
	Unknown					1	0	1	100%		
Nivelselle	Female					3	2	1	33%		
Nuckolls	Male	1	1	0	0%	11	6	5	45%		
	Female	1	1	0	0%	13	3	10	77%		
Phelps	Male	2	2	0	0%	23	8	15	65%		
	Unknown	1	0	1	100%	2	1	1	50%		
	Female					4	0	4	100%		
Webster	Male					4	2	2	50%		
	Unknown					2	1	1	50%		
District Total	al	41	32	9	22%	343	161	182	53%		

Juvenile Access to Counsel by County and Race/Ethnicity Criminal Court Cases Juvenile Court Cases											
			Criminal C	ourt Cases	•		Juvenile C	ourt Cases	,		
County	Race/ Ethnicity	Total Criminal Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel	Total Juvenile Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel		
	Asian/P.I.					2	2	0	0%		
	Black	1	1	0	0%	4	1	3	75%		
Adams	Hispanic	3	3	0	0%	32	14	18	56%		
	White	19	13	6	32%	127	66	61	48%		
	Unknown	2	2	0	0%	43	21	22	51%		
	Black					1	1	0	0%		
Clay	White					22	9	13	59%		
	Unknown					1	1	0	0%		
F:11	White					7	3	4	57%		
Fillmore	Unknown					5	3	2	40%		
Franklin	White					6	3	3	50%		
	Hispanic	1	1	0	0%	1	1	0	0%		
Harlan	White	1	1	0	0%	8	3	5	62%		
	Unknown					2	1	1	50%		
	Hispanic					1	1	0	0%		
Kearney	White	8	6	2	25%	18	8	10	56%		
	Unknown	1	1	0	0%	1	0	1	100%		
Niveleelle	White	1	1	0	0%	9	5	4	44%		
Nuckolls	Unknown					5	3	2	40%		
	Hispanic					1	0	1	100%		
Phelps	White	3	3	0	0%	19	9	10	53%		
	Unknown	1	0	1	100%	18	3	15	83%		
	Hispanic					2	2	0	0%		
Webster	White					7	0	7	100%		
	Unknown					1	1	0	0%		
District To	tal	41	32	9	22%	343	161	182	53%		

District 11

Part (a) Juvenile Petitions and Adjudications

Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (a) Juveniles prosecuted in juvenile court under subdivision (1), (2), (3)(b), or (4) of section 43-247, including the total number of filings and adjudications.

The Juvenile Petitions and Adjudications tables report the number of new juvenile cases and corresponding percent of adjudications by case type and demographic group for cases filed in 2017. The data consists of both county court and separate juvenile court cases. Only the most serious offense is counted for cases with multiple charges, pleas or findings. Cases are counted in the Admit column if at least one charge was admitted to in court. Supplemental petitions are not included in this data set. Cases filed in November or December of 2017 may not have reached the adjudication stage of proceedings. Juvenile cases where the age is unknown are included in the report.

	Juvenile Petitions and Adjudication by Case Type District													
Dama		Tra	ffic Offer	nse	Sta	tus Offei	nse	Mis	demear	nor		Felony		
Demo- graphic	Cohort	Cases	Admit	% Admit	Cases	Admit	% Admit	Cases	Admit	% Admit	Cases	Admit	% Admit	
	10 & under	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	2	1	50%	0	0	0%	
	11-13	1	1	100%	18	14	78%	39	28	72%	10	9	90%	
Age Group	14-15	6	4	67%	26	20	77%	87	60	69%	13	11	85%	
J. J	16	4	2	50%	11	8	73%	57	34	60%	10	9	90%	
	17	2	1	50%	8	7	88%	81	51	63%	18	12	67%	
	Female	6	5	83%	29	23	79%	75	50	67%	11	9	82%	
Gender	Male	7	3	43%	33	25	76%	188	122	65%	36	29	81%	
	Unknown	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	3	2	67%	4	3	75%	
	Black	1	1	100%	2	2	100%	16	4	25%	3	3	100%	
Race/	Hispanic	0	0	0%	13	10	77%	69	57	83%	12	9	75%	
Ethnicity	White	11	6	55%	45	34	76%	155	95	61%	33	26	79%	
	Unknown	1	1	100%	3	3	100%	26	18	69%	3	3	100%	
District T	otal	13	8	62%	63	49	78%	266	174	65%	51	41	80%	

		J	uvenil		and Acounty ar				Гуре,				
		Tra	ffic Offe	nse	Sta	tus Offei	nse	Mis	sdemear	nor		Felony	
County	Age Group	Cases	Admit	% Admit	Cases	Admit	% Admit	Cases	Admit	% Admit	Cases	Admit	% Admit
Chase	14-15	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	1	1	100%
Chase	17	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	1	1	100%
	11-13	0	0	0%	2	2	100%	9	9	100%	4	4	100%
Dawasa	14-15	0	0	0%	5	5	100%	39	32	82%	1	1	100%
Dawson	16	0	0	0%	3	2	67%	15	10	67%	4	4	100%
	17	0	0	0%	2	2	100%	37	32	86%	11	8	73%
Dundy	14-15	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	0	0%
Crontic:	16	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	0	0%	0	0	0%
Frontier	17	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	0	0%	0	0	0%
	11-13	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%
F	14-15	1	1	100%	0	0	0%	4	3	75%	0	0	0%
Furnas	16	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	4	3	75%	1	1	100%
	17	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	2	2	100%	0	0	0%
Gosper	14-15	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%
	11-13	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	0	0%	0	0	0%
Hitchcock	14-15	0	0	0%	2	2	100%	0	0	0%	0	0	0%
	16	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%	0	0	0%
	11-13	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	2	2	100%
17. 10	14-15	0	0	0%	3	3	100%	2	2	100%	0	0	0%
Keith	16	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	3	2	67%	0	0	0%
	17	0	0	0%	2	2	100%	10	5	50%	2	2	100%
	11-13	1	1	100%	10	7	70%	23	15	65%	4	3	75%
	14-15	5	3	60%	13	7	54%	32	15	47%	7	6	86%
Lincoln	16	4	2	50%	6	4	67%	24	14	58%	5	4	80%
	17	2	1	50%	4	3	75%	23	8	35%	3	0	0%
	10 & under	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	2	1	50%	0	0	0%
	11-13	0	0	0%	5	4	80%	5	3	60%	0	0	0%
Red Willow	14-15	0	0	0%	3	3	100%	8	6	75%	3	3	100%
	16	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	10	5	50%	0	0	0%
	17	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	7	3	43%	1	1	100%
District Tota	ul	13	8	62%	63	49	78%	266	174	65%	51	41	80%

			Juvenil		s and A County			y Case	Туре,				
		Tra	ffic Offei	nse	Sta	tus Offei	nse	Mis	sdemear	nor		Felony	
County	Gender	Cases	Admit	% Admit	Cases	Admit	% Admit	Cases	Admit	% Admit	Cases	Admit	% Admit
Chass	Female	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	2	2	100%	0	0	0%
Chase	Male	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	2	2	100%
	Female	0	0	0%	5	4	80%	20	18	90%	1	1	100%
Dawson	Male	0	0	0%	7	7	100%	77	63	82%	16	13	81%
	Unknown	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	3	2	67%	3	3	100%
Dundy	Unknown	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	0	0%
Frontier	Male	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	2	0	0%	0	0	0%
F	Female	1	1	100%	1	1	100%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%
Furnas	Male	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	10	8	80%	1	1	100%
Gosper	Male	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%
LPC-1I	Female	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	1	0	0%	0	0	0%
Hitchcock	Male	0	0	0%	2	2	100%	0	0	0%	0	0	0%
	Female	0	0	0%	3	3	100%	7	5	71%	1	1	100%
Keith	Male	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	8	4	50%	3	3	100%
	Unknown	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%	0	0	0%
F I.	Female	5	4	80%	15	11	73%	30	16	53%	8	6	75%
Lincoln	Male	7	3	43%	18	10	56%	72	36	50%	11	7	64%
DIME	Female	0	0	0%	4	3	75%	14	8	57%	1	1	100%
Red Willow	Male	0	0	0%	5	5	100%	18	10	56%	3	3	100%
District Tota	ı	13	8	62%	63	49	78%	266	174	65%	51	41	80%

			Juvenil		s and A				Туре,				
		Tra	ffic Offe	nse	Sta	tus Offe	nse	Mis	sdemear	nor		Felony	
County	Race	Cases	Admit	% Admit	Cases	Admit	% Admit	Cases	Admit	% Admit	Cases	Admit	% Admit
Chase	Hispanic	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%
Chase	White	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	2	2	100%
	Black	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	12	3	25%	2	2	100%
D	Hispanic	0	0	0%	5	4	80%	53	48	91%	10	8	80%
Dawson	White	0	0	0%	6	6	100%	27	24	89%	6	5	83%
	Unknown	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	8	8	100%	2	2	100%
Dundy	Hispanic	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	0	0%
E. C.	White	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	0	0%	0	0	0%
Frontier	Unknown	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	0	0%	0	0	0%
F	White	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	4	3	75%	1	1	100%
Furnas	Unknown	1	1	100%	1	1	100%	7	6	86%	0	0	0%
Gosper	White	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%
LPG-LL	White	0	0	0%	3	3	100%	0	0	0%	0	0	0%
Hitchcock	Unknown	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	0	0%	0	0	0%
12.30	White	0	0	0%	5	5	100%	10	6	60%	3	3	100%
Keith	Unknown	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	5	3	60%	1	1	100%
	Black	1	1	100%	1	1	100%	3	1	33%	1	1	100%
Linaala	Hispanic	0	0	0%	7	5	71%	11	6	55%	1	1	100%
Lincoln	White	11	6	55%	25	15	60%	86	44	51%	17	11	65%
	Unknown	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	2	1	50%	0	0	0%
	Black	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	0	0%	0	0	0%
Ded Willer	Hispanic	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	4	2	50%	0	0	0%
Red Willow	White	0	0	0%	6	5	83%	25	16	64%	4	4	100%
	Unknown	0	0	0%	2	2	100%	2	0	0%	0	0	0%
District Tota	al	13	8	62%	63	49	78%	266	174	65%	51	41	80%

District 11

Part (b) Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court - Case Type

Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (b) Juveniles prosecuted in adult criminal court for felonies, misdemeanors, and traffic offenses. The information shall include juveniles sentenced to terms in adult jails and prisons and juveniles placed on adult probation.

Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court tables consist of criminal and traffic cases in county court and criminal cases in district court that reached the point of sentencing in 2017. Cases with multiple charges are counted once per case and reported as the most severe offense. Cases for juveniles ages 13 and below must be filed and stay in juvenile court; however, judges have the authority to allow these cases in criminal court. Therefore, only juveniles ages 14 through 17 at the time of the offense appear in adult criminal court data, with rare exceptions.

Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court by Case Type District									
		All C	ases	Traffic		Misdemeanor		Felony	
Demographic	Cohort	Total Cases	% of Total	Total Cases	% of Total for Case Type Group	Total Cases	% of Total for Case Type Group	Total Cases	% of Total for Case Type Group
Age Group	14-15	17	35%	17	45%	0		0	
	16	13	27%	13	34%	0		0	
	17	19	39%	8	21%	4	100%	7	100%
Gender	Female	16	33%	14	37%	1	25%	1	17%
	Male	32	67%	24	63%	3	75%	5	83%
	Unknown	1		0		0		1	
Race/Ethnicity	Black	2	5%	2	6%	0		0	
	Hispanic	27	63%	26	72%	0		1	17%
	White	14	33%	8	22%	1	100%	5	83%
	Unknown	6		2		3		1	
District Total		49	100%	38	78%	4	8%	7	14%

Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court by Case Type, County and Age Group							
County	Age Group	All Cases	Traffic	Misdemeanor	Felony		
	Oloup	#	#	#	#		
Chase	17	1	0	0	1		
	14-15	17	17	0	0		
Dawson	16	13	13	0	0		
	17	9	8	0	1		
Furnas	17	1	0	1	0		
Lincoln	Lincoln 17 8 0 3 5						
District Tota	ıl	49	38	4	7		

Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court by Case Type, County and Gender							
County	Gender	All Cases			Felony		
		#	#	#	#		
Chase	Male	1	0	0	1		
	Female	14	14	0	0		
Dawson	Male	24	24	0	0		
	Unknown	1	0	0	1		
Furnas	Male	1	0	1	0		
Lincoln	Female	2	0	1	1		
LINCOIN	Male	6	0	2	4		
District Tota	ı	49	38	4	7		

Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court by Case Type,									
	County and Race/Ethnicity								
County	Race	All Cases	Traffic	Misdemeanor	Felony				
		#	#	#	#				
Chase	White	1	0	0	1				
	Black	2	2	0	0				
	Hispanic	26	26	0	0 0				
Dawson	White	8	8	0	0				
	Unknown	3	2	0	1				
Furnas	Unknown	1	0	1	0				
	Hispanic	1	0	0	1				
Lincoln	White	5	0	1	4				
	Unknown	2	0	2	0				
District Tota	ıl	49	38	4	7				

District 11

Part (b) Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court - Sentence

Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (b) Juveniles prosecuted in adult criminal court for felonies, misdemeanors, and traffic offenses. The information shall include juveniles sentenced to terms in adult jails and prisons and juveniles placed on adult probation.

Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court tables include criminal and traffic cases in county court and criminal cases in district court that reached the point of sentencing in 2017. All sentencing types are included where multiple sentencing types occur within a case (ex. probation/jail). Age is calculated from the age at offense, not the age at sentencing.

é	Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court by Sentencing District								
		Total C	Cases	Prob	ation	Jail		Pris	on
Demographic	Cohort	Number of Cases	% of Total	Number of Cases	% of Cohort	Number of Cases	% of Cohort	Number of Cases	% of Cohort
	14-15	17	35%	17	100%	0	0%	0	0%
Age Group	16	13	27%	13	100%	0	0%	0	0%
	17	19	39%	14	74%	4	21%	1	5%
	Female	16	33%	15	100%	1	0%	0	0%
Gender	Male	32	67%	29	100%	3	0%	0	0%
	Unknown	1	0%	0	74%	0	21%	1	5%
	Hispanic	27	63%	27	100%	0	0%	0	0%
Dage/Ethnicity	White	14	33%	11	100%	3	0%	0	0%
Race/Ethnicity	Black	2	5%	2	100%	0	0%	0	0%
	Unknown	6	0%	4	100%	1	0%	1	0%
District Total		49	100%	44	100%	4	0%	1	0%

Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court by Sentence, County and Age Group							
	Λαο	Total Cases Probation		Jail	Prison		
County	Age Group	Number of Cases	Number of Cases	Number of Cases	Number of Cases		
Chase	17	1	1	0	0		
	14-15	17	17	0	0		
Dawson	16	13	13	0	0		
	17	9	8	0	1		
Furnas	17	1	0	1	0		
Lincoln	Lincoln 17 8 5 3 0						
District Tota	ıl	49	44	4	1		

Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court by Sentence, County and Gender							
		Total Cases	Probation	Jail	Prison		
County	Gender	Number of Cases	Number of Cases	Number of Cases	Number of Cases		
Chase	Male	1	1	0	0		
	Female	14	14	0	0		
Dawson	Male	24	24	0	0		
	Unknown	1	0	0	1		
Furnas	Male	1	0	1	0		
Lincoln	Female	2	1	1	0		
Lincoln	Male	6	4	2	0		
District Tota	ıl	49	44	4	1		

Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court by Sentence, County and Race/Ethnicity							
		Total Cases	Probation	Jail	Prison		
County	Race	Number of Cases	of Number		Number of Cases		
Chase	White	1	1	0	0		
	Black	2	2	0	0		
Dawson	Hispanic	26	26	0	0		
Dawson	White	8	8	0	0		
	Unknown	3	2	0	1		
Furnas	Unknown	1	0	1	0		
	Hispanic	1	1	0	0		
Lincoln	White	5	2	3	0		
	Unknown	2	2	0	0		
District Tota	ıl	49	44	4	1		

District 11

Part (c) Motions to Transfer Cases and Cases Transferred

Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (c) The number of motions to transfer and the number of cases transferred to adult court from juvenile court and from adult criminal court to juvenile court.

The data for Motions to Transfer Cases and Cases Transferred consists of county court and district court adult criminal cases and county court and separate juvenile court juvenile cases within the same county. Motions to transfer cases and cases transferred that were entered in 2017 were included in the file. Cases may have been filed previously and transferred in 2017. There can be multiple motions to transfer a case and all are reported; transfers occur once per case.

Motions to Transfer Courts and Transfers District									
		Juven	t to Adult Co	ourt	Adul	t Court	to Juvenile Cou	rt	
Demographic	Cohort	Motion to Transfer Juv to Adult	% of Total	Transfers Juv to Adult	% of Total	Motion to Transfer Adult to Juv	% of Total	Transfers Adult to Juv	% of Total
	14-15	0		0		1	8%	3	19%
Age Group	16	2	22%	0		3	23%	1	6%
	17	7	78%	0		9	69%	12	75%
	Female	1	11%	0		2	17%	2	14%
Gender	Male	8	89%	0		10	83%	12	86%
	Unknown	0		0		1		2	
	Hispanic	0		0		3	25%	6	60%
Race/Ethnicity	White	8	100%	0		9	75%	4	40%
	Unknown	1		0		1		6	
District Total		9		0		13		16	

Motions to Transfer Courts and Transfers, County and Age Group								
			le Court to It Court	Adult Court to Juvenile Court				
County	Age Group	Motion to Transfer	Cases Transfered	Motion to Transfer	Cases Transfered			
	14-15	0	0	0	3			
Dawson	16	1	0	0	0			
	17	0	0	1	8			
Dundy	17	0	0	1	1			
Furnas	16	1	0	1	1			
rumas	17	0	0	2	1			
Keith	14-15	0	0	1	0			
Keitti	17	1	0	0	0			
Lincoln	16	0	0	2	0			
LITICOITI	17	6	0	4	0			
Mcpherson	17	0	0	0	1			
Perkins	17	0	0	1	1			
District Total		9	0	13	16			

Motions to Transfer Courts and Transfers, County and Gender								
			le Court to It Court	Adult Court to Juvenile Court				
County	Gender	Motion to Transfer	Cases Transfered	Motion to Transfer	Cases Transfered			
	Female	0	0	0	2			
Dawson	Male	1	0	1	8			
	Unknown	0	0	0	1			
Dundy	Unknown	0	0	1	1			
Furnas	Female	0	0	1	0			
rumas	Male	1	0	2	2			
Keith	Male	1	0	1	0			
Lincoln	Female	1	0	1	0			
LITICOITI	Male	5	0	5	0			
Mcpherson	Male	0	0	0	1			
Perkins	Male	0	0	1	1			
District Total		9	0	13	16			

Motions to Transfer Courts and Transfers, County and Race/Ethnicity								
			le Court to It Court	Adult Court to Juvenile Court				
County	Race/ Ethnicity	Motion to Transfer	Cases Transfered	Motion to Transfer	Cases Transfered			
	Hispanic	0	0	1	6			
Dawson	White	0	0	0	1			
	Unknown	1	0	0	4			
Dundy	Unknown	0	0	1	1			
Furnas	White	1	0	3	2			
Keith	Hispanic	0	0	1	0			
Keilli	White	1	0	0	0			
Lincoln	Hispanic	0	0	1	0			
LITICOITI	White	6	0	5	0			
Mcpherson	Unknown	0	0	0	1			
Perkins	White	0	0	1	1			
District Total		9	0	13	16			

District 11

Part (d) Juvenile Probation Activity

Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (d) Juveniles placed on juvenile probation, the number of juveniles on probation in out-of-home care, the number of juveniles completing probation, the number of motions to revoke probation and probation revocations, and the average length of time on probation.

The Juvenile Probation Activity data is provided from the Administrative Office of Probation's case management system, Nebraska Probation Application for Community Safety (NPACS). The table reports the number and percent of youth with various activity in 2017. Youth are counted once in each category, regardless of the number of out-of-home placements, motions to revoke, or cases they may have in the court system. Age groups are calculated specific to the activity as footnoted.

	Juvenile Probation Activity District										
Demographic	Cohort	Place Prob		Out-of-home Placement		Motions to Revoke		Satisfactory Release			
Demographic	Conort	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total		
	10 & under	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	2	0%		
	11-13	74	15%	32	15%	18	12%	64	11%		
A O	14-15	186	37%	66	30%	40	27%	206	35%		
Age Group	16	104	21%	44	20%	22	15%	162	27%		
	17	118	24%	50	23%	46	31%	140	24%		
	18	18	4%	28	13%	22	15%	18	3%		
Candar	Female	184	37%	62	28%	38	26%	166	28%		
Gender	Male	316	63%	158	72%	110	74%	426	72%		
	Am. Indian	2	0%	4	2%	0	0%	4	1%		
Dana	Black	16	3%	4	2%	12	8%	22	4%		
Race	White	376	75%	170	77%	82	55%	386	65%		
	Other	106	21%	42	19%	54	36%	180	30%		
Ethnicity	Hispanic	144	29%	50	23%	58	39%	216	36%		
Ethnicity	Not Hispanic	356	71%	170	77%	90	61%	376	64%		
District Total		500	100%	220	100%	148	100%	592	100%		

^{1.} Age at which the probation term began. 2. Age at the first admission to out-of-home placement. 3. Age as of the file date for motion to revoke. 4. Age as of the disposition date for motion to revoke. 5. Age as of the disposition date for discharge from probation.

Juvenile Probation Activity by County and Age Group										
County	Age Group	Placed on Probation	Out-of- home Placement	Motions to Revoke	Satisfactory Release					
		# of Youth	# of Youth	# of Youth	# of Youth					
	14-15	0	0	0	6					
Chase	16	0	0	0	8					
Cilase	17	2	0	0	2					
	18	0	0	0	2					
	11-13	16	8	4	12					
	14-15	62	12	16	96					
Dawson	16	28	8	8	38					
	17	46	14	20	58					
	18	12	20	16	10					
Dundy	16	0	0	0	2					
Fti	14-15	0	0	0	2					
Frontier	16	0	0	0	2					
	11-13	2	0	0	0					
.	14-15	2	2	2	2					
Furnas	16	6	6	4	2					
	17	2	2	4	8					
	11-13	0	0	0	2					
Gosper	14-15	2	0	0	2					
Hayes	11-13	0	0	0	2					
	14-15	2	0	0	2					
Hitchcock	16	2	0	0	0					
Hooker	14-15	0	0	0	2					
	11-13	4	2	0	2					
	14-15	10	2	0	6					
Keith	16	2	0	0	10					
	17	12	6	0	8					
	18	2	0	0	2					
	10 & under	0	0	0	2					
	11-13	44	16	10	42					
1.	14-15	82	36	18	72					
Lincoln	16	56	26	10	72					
	17	48	24	18	46					
	18	0	4	4	4					

	Juvenile Probation Activity by County and Age Group										
County	Age Group	Placed on Probation	Out-of- home Placement	Motions to Revoke	Satisfactory Release						
·		# of Youth	# of Youth	# of Youth	# of Youth						
Perkins	17	0	0	0	4						
	11-13	8	6	4	4						
	14-15	26	14	4	16						
Red Willow	16	10	4	0	28						
	17	8	4	4	14						
	18	4	4	2	0						
District Total	al	500	220	148	592						

	Juvenile Probation Activity by County and Gender										
County	Gender	Placed on Probation	Out-of- home Placement	Motions to Revoke	Satisfactory Release						
		# of Youth	# of Youth	# of Youth	# of Youth						
Chase	Female	2									
Cliase	Male				18						
Dawson	Female	46	16	14	72						
Dawson	Male	118	46	50	142						
Dundy	Male				2						
Frontier	Male				4						
Furnas	Female	2			2						
rumas	Male	10	10	10	10						
Gosper	Male	2			4						
Hayes	Male				2						
Hitchcock	Female	2									
HILCHCOCK	Male	2			2						
Hooker	Male				2						
Keith	Female	20	2		6						
Keitti	Male	10	8		22						
Lincoln	Female	86	34	20	70						
LITICOTT	Male	144	72	40	168						
Perkins	Male				4						
Red Willow	Female	26	10	4	16						
Neu willow	Male	30	22	10	46						
District Total	al	500	220	148	592						

		e Probation	n Activity - and Race	Cases	
County	Race	Placed on Probation	Out-of- home Placement	Motions to Revoke	Satisfactory Release
		# of Youth	# of Youth	# of Youth	# of Youth
Chase	White	2			4
Cliase	Other				14
	Black	12		6	18
Dawson	White	70	30	20	70
	Other	82	32	38	126
Dundy	White				2
Frontier	White				4
Furnas	White	12	8	8	12
	Other		2	2	
Gosper	White	2			
Gospei	Other				4
Hayes	White				2
Hitchcock	White	4			2
Hooker	White				2
Keith	White	30	10		24
Keitii	Other				4
	Am. Indian	2	4		4
Lincoln	Black	4	4	6	4
LITICOITI	White	200	92	42	210
	Other	24	6	12	20
Perkins	White				4
Red Willow		56	30	12	50
Red Willow	Other		2	2	12
District Total	al	500	220	148	592

			Activity - d d Ethnicity		
County	Ethnicity	Placed on Probation	Out-of- home Placement	Motions to Revoke	Satisfactory Release
		# of Youth	# of Youth	# of Youth	# of Youth
Chase	Hispanic				14
Chase	Not Hispanic	2			4
	Hispanic	82	32	38	126
Dawson	Hispanic	70	30	20	70
	Not Hispanic	12		6	18
Dundy	Not Hispanic				2
Frontier	Not Hispanic				4
F	Hispanic	12	8	8	12
Furnas	Not Hispanic		2	2	
Cooper	Hispanic				4
Gosper	Not Hispanic	2			
Hayes	Not Hispanic				2
Hitchcock	Not Hispanic	4			2
Hooker	Not Hispanic				2
Keith	Hispanic				4
Keitii	Hispanic	30	10		24
	Hispanic	2	4		4
Lincoln	Hispanic	4	4	6	4
LITICOITI	Hispanic	24	6	12	20
	Hispanic	200	92	42	210
Perkins	Not Hispanic				4
Red Willow			2	2	12
IXEU WIIIUW	Hispanic	56	30	12	50
District Tota	al	500	220	148	592

District 11

Part (d) Juvenile Probation - Youth Risk Level and Length of Probation

Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (d) Juveniles placed on juvenile probation, the number of juveniles on probation in out-of-home care, the number of juveniles completing probation, the number of motions to revoke probation and probation revocations, and the average length of time on probation.

Youth Level of Service/Case Management Inventory (YLS/CMI) is an assessment tool used to determine the level of risk and appropriate placement for youth on probation. The following table shows the classification results of youth upon entry and exit from probation. Youth that are determined to be low or moderate low risk go through Juvenile Community-Based Resource (JCBR/Low/Moderate Low), those determined to be high or moderate high risk go through Juvenile Community-Based Intervention (JCBI/High/Moderate HighRisk)

		Yout	h Risk	Levels and L Distric		of Probatic	n		
		ln	itial Risl	(Level		Final Risk	Level	Average Length of Probation	
Demo- graphic	Cohort	JCBR/ Low/ Moderate Low	JCBI/ High	No Assessment**	JCBR/ Low	JCBI/ High/ Moderate High	No Assessment**	Total Youth	Avg Length of Probation
	11-13	42	32		24	6	2	32	10.2
	14-15	90	80	16	56	26	8	90	9.5
Ago Croup	16	48	52	4	42	32	10	84	10.9
Age Group	17	66	46	6	84	46	8	138	10.9
	18	12	4	2	60	62	2	124	14.2
	19 & over				10	4	2	16	19.4
Gender	Female	88	84	12	86	36	16	138	10.7
Gender	Male	170	130	16	190	140	16	346	12.1
	Am. Indian	2				4		4	15.0
Race	Black	4	10	2	10	6	2	18	13.2
Race	White	204	154	18	206	126	20	352	11.4
	Other	48	50	8	60	40	10	110	12.4
Ethnicity	Hispanic	72	62	10	74	60	10	144	11.9
Ethnicity	Ethnicity Not Hispanic		152	18	202	116	22	340	11.7
District Tot	al	258	214	28	276	176	32	484	11.7

		You		k Levels and by County and			ion		
		In	itial Risl	< Level		Final Risk	Level	Avera of P	ge Length robation
County	Age Group	JCBR/ Low/ Moderate Low	JCBI/ High	No Assessment**	JCBR/ Low	JCBI/ High/ Moderate High	No Assessment**	Total Youth	Avg Length of Probation
	16					2		2	7.7
Chase	17	2			4	2		6	6.9
	19 & over					2		2	9.0
	11-13	10	6		6	2		8	14.8
	14-15	32	16	14	18	4	4	26	8.5
_	16	10	14	4	10	10	6	26	9.9
Dawson	17	22	18	6	8	12	6	26	9.4
	18	6	4	2	16	22	2	40	16.9
	19 & over				4		2	6	21.1
Dundy	16						2	2	4.0
	18					2		2	17.0
Frontier	19 & over				2			2	39.0
	11-13		2					0	
	14-15	2			2			2	3.0
Furnas	16	2	4			2		2	33.0
	17		2		4			4	8.5
	18					2		2	9.0
Gosper	14-15		2		2			2	7.0
Hayes	14-15				2			2	11.0
	14-15		2					0	
Hitchcock	16		2					0	
Hooker	16				2			2	8.0
	11-13	2	2					0	
	14-15	4	6		2	2		4	8.5
I/ a i th	16	2						0	
Keith	17	6	6		6	2		8	10.2
	18	2			6	4		10	11.0
	19 & over				2			2	13.0
	11-13	28	16		18	4		22	9.3
	14-15	42	40		28	20	2	50	10.7
Lincoln	16	24	32		26	16		42	11.1
	17	28	20		46	26		72	11.6

	Youth Risk Levels and Length of Probation by County and Age Group											
		In	itial Risl	k Level		Final Risk	Level	Average Length of Probation				
County	Age Group	JCBR/ Low/ Moderate Low	JCBI/ High	No Assessment**	JCBR/ Low	JCBI/ High/ Moderate High	No Assessment**	Total Youth	Avg Length of Probation			
Lincoln	18				34	24		58	12.8			
Dorking	17				2			2	6.0			
Perkins	19 & over				2	2		4	15.5			
	11-13	2	6				2	2	3.0			
	14-15	10	14	2	2		2	4	6.0			
Red Willow	16	10			4	2	2	8	10.9			
	17	8			14	4	2	20	12.7			
	18	4			4	8		12	15.4			
District Tota	District Total		214	28	276	176	32	484	11.7			

		Yo	uth Ri	sk Levels and by County a			tion		
		In	itial Risl	< Level		Final Risk	Level	Average Length of Probation	
County	Gender	JCBR/ Low/ Moderate Low	JCBI/ High	No Assessment**	JCBR/ Low	JCBI/ High/ Moderate High	No Assessment**	Total Youth	Avg Length of Probation
Chase	Female	2						0	
Chase	Male				4	6		10	7.5
Downer	Female	20	16	10	24	12	10	46	11.5
Dawson	Male	60	42	16	38	38	10	86	12.9
Dundy	Male						2	2	4.0
Frontier	Male				2	2		4	28.0
Furnas	Female		2		2			2	11.0
rumas	Male	4	6		4	4		8	12.8
Gosper	Male		2		2			2	7.0
Hayes	Male				2			2	11.0
Llitabasak	Female		2					0	
Hitchcock	Male		2					0	
Hooker	Male				2			2	8.0
IZ - ;# -	Female	14	6		6	2		8	6.8
Keith	Male	2	8		10	6		16	12.4
Lincoln	Female	36	50		46	18	2	66	11.0
Lincoln	Male	86	58		106	72		178	11.5
Dorkins	Female				2			2	17.0
Perkins	Male				2	2		4	10.0
Red Willow	Female	16	8	2	6	4	4	14	7.9
Red Willow	Male	18	12		18	10	4	32	13.9
District Tot	al	258	214	28	276	176	32	484	11.7

		You	th Ris	k Levels and I by County a			on		
		In	itial Risl	k Level		Final Risk	Average Length of Probation		
County	Race	JCBR/ Low/ Moderate Low	JCBI/ High	No Assessment**	JCBR/ Low	JCBI/ High/ Moderate High	No Assessment**	Total Youth	Avg Length of Probation
Chase	White	2				4		4	8.5
Chase	Other				4	2		6	6.8
	Black	2	8	2	4	6	2	12	13.8
Dawson	White	38	16	16	20	18	10	48	12.0
	Other	40	34	8	38	26	8	72	12.5
Dundy	White						2	2	4.0
Frontier	White				2	2		4	28.0
Furnas	White	4	8		6	4		10	12.4
Cooper	White		2					0	
Gosper	Other				2			2	7.0
Hayes	White				2			2	11.0
Hitchcock	White		4					0	
Hooker	White				2			2	8.0
17 - :41-	White	16	14		14	8		22	10.2
Keith	Other				2			2	14.0
	Am. Indian	2				4		4	15.0
Linaala	Black	2	2		6			6	12.0
Lincoln	White	110	90		136	78		214	11.4
	Other	8	16		10	8	2	20	10.2
Perkins	White				4	2		6	12.3
D = 4 MGH.	White	34	20	2	20	10	8	38	10.0
Red Willow	Other				4	4		8	21.9
District Tot	al	258	214	28	276	176	32	484	11.7

		Yout		Levels and L y County and			n		
		In	itial Risl	k Level		Final Risk	Average Length of Probation		
County	Ethnicity	JCBR/ Low/ Moderate Low	JCBI/ High	No Assessment**	JCBR/ Low	JCBI/ High/ Moderate High	No Assessment**	Total Youth	Avg Length of Probation
01	Hispanic				4	2		6	6.8
Chase	Not Hispanic	2				4		4	8.5
Dawson	Hispanic	54	38	10	44	32	8	84	12.1
Dawson	Not Hispanic	26	20	16	18	18	12	48	13.0
Dundy	Not Hispanic						2	2	4.0
Frontier	Not Hispanic				2	2		4	28.0
Furnas	Hispanic		2					0	
rumas	Not Hispanic	4	6		6	4		10	12.4
Cooper	Hispanic				2			2	7.0
Gosper	Not Hispanic		2					0	
Hayes	Not Hispanic				2			2	11.0
Hitchcock	Not Hispanic		4					0	
Hooker	Not Hispanic				2			2	8.0
Keith	Hispanic	2	4		2	4		6	7.3
Keilii	Not Hispanic	14	10		14	4		18	11.6
Lincoln	Hispanic	14	16		18	18	2	38	11.0
LITICOITI	Not Hispanic	108	92		134	72		206	11.4
Perkins	Not Hispanic				4	2		6	12.3
Red Willow	Hispanic	2	2		4	4		8	21.9
IVER ANIIOM	Not Hispanic	32	18	2	20	10	8	38	10.0
District Tot	al	258	214	28	276	176	32	484	11.7

District 11

Part (e) Juveniles Access to Counsel

Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (e) juveniles with and without access to counsel in juvenile and adult court, both appointed and retained.

The Juveniles Access to Counsel table displays the percent of juveniles represented by an attorney in criminal cases from county court and district court and juvenile cases from county court and separate juvenile court. Data includes new cases filed and cases transferred from one county to another during calendar year 2017. Cases filed in 2017 may not have reached the sentencing/adjudication stage of proceedings.

Juvenile Access to Counsel District											
			Criminal C	ourt Cases	;	Juvenile Court Cases					
Demographic	Cohort	Total Criminal Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel	Total Juvenile Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel		
	10 & under	2	2	0	0%	3	3	0	0%		
	11-13	4	3	1	25%	80	24	56	70%		
Age Group	14-15	39	28	11	28%	164	60	104	63%		
	16	35	25	10	29%	97	39	58	60%		
	17	72	39	33	46%	136	46	90	66%		
	Female	46	29	17	37%	142	64	78	55%		
Gender	Male	99	65	34	34%	325	103	222	68%		
	Unknown	7	3	4	57%	13	5	8	62%		
	Black	2	2	0	0%	24	4	20	83%		
Doog/Ethnisits	Hispanic	22	13	9	41%	116	35	81	70%		
Race/Ethnicity	White	100	68	32	32%	288	114	174	60%		
	Unknown	28	14	14	50%	52	19	33	63%		
District Total		152	97	55	36%	480	172	308	64%		

Juvenile Access to Counsel by County and Age Group										
			Criminal C	ourt Cases	5		Juvenile C	ourt Cases	,	
County	Age Group	Total Criminal Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel	Total Juvenile Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel	
	11-13					3	3	0	0%	
Chase	14-15					7	2	5	71%	
Chase	16					1	1	0	0%	
	17	4	0	4	100%	2	1	1	50%	
	11-13					19	3	16	84%	
D	14-15	2	2	0	0%	53	14	39	74%	
Dawson	16	4	3	1	25%	31	7	24	77%	
	17	14	8	6	43%	60	17	43	72%	
	14-15					4	2	2	50%	
Dundy	16					1	1	0	0%	
	17	1	0	1	100%	1	0	1	100%	
	14-15	1	1	0	0%					
Frontier	16					1	1	0	0%	
	17	1	1	0	0%	1	0	1	100%	
	10 & under					1	1	0	0%	
	11-13					2	0	2	100%	
Furnas	14-15	1	1	0	0%	7	3	4	57%	
	16	3	1	2	67%	5	1	4	80%	
	17	6	3	3	50%	4	2	2	50%	
Gosper	14-15	1	1	0	0%	1	0	1	100%	
	11-13					1	1	0	0%	
	14-15					4	2	2	50%	
Hitchcock	16	1	1	0	0%	1	1	0	0%	
	17	2	1	1	50%					
	11-13					5	0	5	100%	
	14-15	3	1	2	67%	10	6	4	40%	
Keith	16	1	1	0	0%	7	4	3	43%	
	17	1	1	0	0%	22	10	12	55%	
	10 & under	2	2	0	0%					
	11-13	2	2	0	0%	39	10	29	74%	
Lincoln	14-15	25	19	6	24%	62	23	39	63%	
	16	25	19	6	24%	39	20	19	49%	
	17	38	22	16	42%	36	11	25	69%	

Juvenile Access to Counsel by County and Age Group											
			Criminal C	ourt Cases	3	Juvenile Court Cases					
County	Age Group	Total Criminal Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel	Total Juvenile Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel		
Logan	17	1	1	0	0%						
Mcpherson	17	1	0	1	100%	1	0	1	100%		
Perkins	14-15					1	1	0	0%		
Perkilis	17	2	2	0	0%	1	1	0	0%		
	10 & under					2	2	0	0%		
	11-13	2	1	1	50%	11	7	4	36%		
Red Willow	14-15	6	3	3	50%	15	7	8	53%		
	16	1	0	1	100%	11	3	8	73%		
	17 1 0 1 100% 8 4 4 50							50%			
District Total	District Total 152 97 55 36% 480 172 308 64%								64%		

Juvenile Access to Counsel by County and Gender											
			Criminal C	ourt Cases	5		Juvenile C	ourt Cases	;		
County	Gender	Total Criminal Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel	Total Juvenile Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel		
Ohaaa	Female					3	3	0	0%		
Chase	Male	4	0	4	100%	10	4	6	60%		
	Female	3	3	0	0%	31	15	16	52%		
Dawson	Male	15	10	5	33%	126	25	101	80%		
	Unknown	2	0	2	100%	6	1	5	83%		
D !	Male					1	1	0	0%		
Dundy	Unknown	1	0	1	100%	5	2	3	60%		
Frontier	Male	2	2	0	0%	2	1	1	50%		
F	Female	2	1	1	50%	7	1	6	86%		
Furnas	Male	8	4	4	50%	12	6	6	50%		
Gosper	Male	1	1	0	0%	1	0	1	100%		
I litala a a al-	Female					2	2	0	0%		
Hitchcock	Male	3	2	1	33%	4	2	2	50%		
	Female					15	8	7	47%		
Keith	Male	4	2	2	50%	27	10	17	63%		
	Unknown	1	1	0	0%	2	2	0	0%		
	Female	37	24	13	35%	64	24	40	62%		
Lincoln	Male	52	38	14	27%	112	40	72	64%		
	Unknown	3	2	1	33%						
Logan	Male	1	1	0	0%						
Mcpherson	Male	1	0	1	100%	1	0	1	100%		
Dawlein -	Female					1	1	0	0%		
Perkins	Male	2	2	0	0%	1	1	0	0%		
Ded Willer	Female	4	1	3	75%	19	10	9	47%		
Red Willow	Male	6	3	3	50%	28	13	15	54%		
District Total 152 97 55 36% 480						480	172	308	64%		

				le Access ty and Ra					
			Criminal C	ourt Cases	3		Juvenile C	ourt Cases	;
County	Race/ Ethnicity	Total Criminal Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel	Total Juvenile Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counse
Chase	Hispanic	1	0	1	100%	5	4	1	20%
Chase	White	3	0	3	100%	8	3	5	62%
	Black	1	1	0	0%	17	1	16	94%
D	Hispanic	9	7	2	22%	84	23	61	73%
Dawson	White	2	2	0	0%	44	16	28	64%
	Unknown	8	3	5	62%	18	1	17	94%
	Hispanic					1	1	0	0%
Dundy	White					1	1	0	0%
	Unknown	1	0	1	100%	4	1	3	75%
	White	1	1	0	0%	1	0	1	100%
Frontier	Unknown	1	1	0	0%	1	1	0	0%
	White	8	4	4	50%	7	0	7	100%
Furnas	Unknown	2	1	1	50%	12	7	5	42%
Gosper	White	1	1	0	0%	1	0	1	100%
	White	2	1	1	50%	4	3	1	25%
Hitchcock	Unknown	1	1	0	0%	2	1	1	50%
	Hispanic	3	1	2	67%	1	0	1	100%
Keith	White					35	17	18	51%
	Unknown	2	2	0	0%	8	3	5	62%
	Black	1	1	0	0%	6	2	4	67%
	Hispanic	8	4	4	50%	20	4	16	80%
Lincoln	White	72	53	19	26%	148	58	90	61%
	Unknown	11	6	5	45%	2	0	2	100%
Logan	White	1	1	0	0%				
	White					1	0	1	100%
Mcpherson	Unknown	1	0	1	100%				
Perkins	White	2	2	0	0%	2	2	0	0%
	Black					1	1	0	0%
	Hispanic	1	1	0	0%	5	3	2	40%
Red Willow	White	8	3	5	62%	36	14	22	61%
	Unknown	1	0	1	100%	5	5	0	0%
District Tota		152	97	55	36%	480	172	308	64%

District 12

Part (a) Juvenile Petitions and Adjudications

Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (a) Juveniles prosecuted in juvenile court under subdivision (1), (2), (3)(b), or (4) of section 43-247, including the total number of filings and adjudications.

The Juvenile Petitions and Adjudications tables report the number of new juvenile cases and corresponding percent of adjudications by case type and demographic group for cases filed in 2017. The data consists of both county court and separate juvenile court cases. Only the most serious offense is counted for cases with multiple charges, pleas or findings. Cases are counted in the Admit column if at least one charge was admitted to in court. Supplemental petitions are not included in this data set. Cases filed in November or December of 2017 may not have reached the adjudication stage of proceedings. Juvenile cases where the age is unknown are included in the report.

	Juvenile Petitions and Adjudication by Case Type District												
Dome		Tra	ffic Offer	nse	Status Offense			Mis	demear	nor		Felony	
Demo- graphic	Cohort	Cases	Admit	% Admit	Cases	Admit	% Admit	Cases	Admit	% Admit	Cases	Admit	% Admit
	10 & under	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	2	0	0%	0	0	0%
	11-13	0	0	0%	13	8	62%	37	30	81%	5	5	100%
Age Group	14-15	1	1	100%	19	12	63%	114	82	72%	9	6	67%
Т	16	0	0	0%	15	11	73%	73	53	73%	6	4	67%
	17	0	0	0%	7	6	86%	72	43	60%	10	7	70%
	Female	1	1	100%	27	18	67%	104	72	69%	6	6	100%
Gender	Male	0	0	0%	27	19	70%	189	131	69%	24	16	67%
	Unknown	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	5	5	100%	0	0	0%
	Am. Indian	0	0	0%	9	8	89%	35	23	66%	4	4	100%
	Black	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	2	2	100%	0	0	0%
Race/ Ethnicity	Hispanic	0	0	0%	6	1	17%	41	34	83%	5	3	60%
	White	1	1	100%	15	8	53%	106	78	74%	9	8	89%
	Unknown	0	0	0%	24	20	83%	114	71	62%	12	7	58%
District T	otal	1	1	100%	54	37	69%	298	208	70%	30	22	73%

		J	uvenile		and Acunty ar			y Case 1	Type,				
		Tra	ffic Offe	nse	Sta	tus Offe	nse	Mis	sdemear	nor		Felony	
County	Age Group	Cases	Admit	% Admit	Cases	Admit	% Admit	Cases	Admit	% Admit	Cases	Admit	% Admit
	11-13	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	2	2	100%
Day Dutta	14-15	0	0	0%	1	0	0%	9	6	67%	0	0	0%
Box Butte	16	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	3	3	100%	0	0	0%
	17	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	4	2	50%	0	0	0%
	11-13	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	3	1	33%	0	0	0%
	14-15	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	3	2	67%	0	0	0%
Cheyenne	16	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	9	4	44%	0	0	0%
	17	0	0	0%	1	0	0%	11	6	55%	1	1	100%
	10 & under	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	0	0%	0	0	0%
	11-13	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	7	6	86%	0	0	0%
Dawes	14-15	1	1	100%	0	0	0%	4	2	50%	0	0	0%
	16	0	0	0%	1	0	0%	4	4	100%	0	0	0%
	17	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	2	1	50%	1	1	100%
Deuel	14-15	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%
	11-13	0	0	0%	3	0	0%	0	0	0%	0	0	0%
Garden	16	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	2	2	100%	0	0	0%
	17	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	2	0	0%	0	0	0%
	11-13	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%	0	0	0%
Kimball	14-15	0	0	0%	2	0	0%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%
	16	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%
	11-13	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	2	1	50%	0	0	0%
	14-15	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	6	5	83%	2	1	50%
Morrill	16	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	5	4	80%	0	0	0%
	17	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%
	10 & under	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	0	0%	0	0	0%
	11-13	0	0	0%	7	5	71%	18	15	83%	3	3	100%
Scotts Bluff	14-15	0	0	0%	15	11	73%	78	58	74%	6	5	83%
	16	0	0	0%	12	9	75%	42	29	69%	4	3	75%
	17	0	0	0%	4	4	100%	41	28	68%	7	5	71%
	11-13	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	6	6	100%	0	0	0%
•	14-15	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	12	7	58%	1	0	0%
Sheridan	16	0	0	0%	2	2	100%	7	6	86%	2	1	50%
	17	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	11	5	45%	1	0	0%
District Tota	l	1	1	100%	54	37	69%	298	208	70%	30	22	73%

		·	Juvenil		s and A County			y Case '	Type,				
		Traffic Offense			Status Offense			Mis	sdemear	nor		Felony	
County	Gender	Cases	Admit	% Admit	Cases	Admit	% Admit	Cases	Admit	% Admit	Cases	Admit	% Admit
	Female	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	10	8	80%	2	2	100%
Box Butte	Male	0	0	0%	1	0	0%	6	3	50%	0	0	0%
	Unknown	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%
Chayanna	Female	0	0	0%	1	0	0%	7	3	43%	0	0	0%
Cheyenne	Male	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	19	10	53%	1	1	100%
	Female	1	1	100%	2	1	50%	5	4	80%	0	0	0%
Dawes	Male	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	12	8	67%	1	1	100%
	Unknown	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%
Deuel	Female	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%
	Female	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	2	0	0%	0	0	0%
Garden	Male	0	0	0%	3	0	0%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%
	Unknown	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%
IZ l II	Female	0	0	0%	1	0	0%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%
Kimball	Male	0	0	0%	2	1	50%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%
NA 201	Female	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	3	2	67%	1	1	100%
Morrill	Male	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	11	9	82%	1	0	0%
	Female	0	0	0%	19	13	68%	59	42	71%	3	3	100%
Scotts Bluff	Male	0	0	0%	19	16	84%	119	86	72%	17	13	76%
	Unknown	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	2	2	100%	0	0	0%
01	Female	0	0	0%	4	4	100%	16	11	69%	0	0	0%
Sheridan	Male	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	20	13	65%	4	1	25%
District Tota	l	1	1	100%	54	37	69%	298	208	70%	30	22	73%

		J	uvenile		and Ao				Гуре,				
		Tra	ffic Offe	nse	Sta	tus Offe	nse	Mis	demear	nor		Felony	
County	Race	Cases	Admit	% Admit	Cases	Admit	% Admit	Cases	Admit	% Admit	Cases	Admit	% Admit
	Am. Indian	0	0	0%	1	0	0%	3	2	67%	2	2	100%
	Black	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%
Box Butte	Hispanic	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	0	0%	0	0	0%
	White	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	10	8	80%	0	0	0%
	Unknown	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	2	1	50%	0	0	0%
	Hispanic	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%
Cheyenne	White	0	0	0%	1	0	0%	14	8	57%	1	1	100%
	Unknown	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	11	4	36%	0	0	0%
	Am. Indian	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	4	3	75%	1	1	100%
D	Hispanic	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%
Dawes	White	1	1	100%	2	2	100%	7	6	86%	0	0	0%
	Unknown	0	0	0%	1	0	0%	6	3	50%	0	0	0%
Deuel	White	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%
Garden	White	0	0	0%	3	0	0%	4	2	50%	0	0	0%
Kinah all	Hispanic	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%
Kimball	White	0	0	0%	3	1	33%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%
	Hispanic	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	3	3	100%	1	0	0%
Morrill	White	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	10	7	70%	1	1	100%
	Unknown	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%
	Am. Indian	0	0	0%	5	5	100%	12	9	75%	0	0	0%
	Black	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	0	0	0%
Scotts Bluff	Hispanic	0	0	0%	6	1	17%	30	24	80%	4	3	75%
	White	0	0	0%	4	3	75%	53	39	74%	7	6	86%
	Unknown	0	0	0%	23	20	87%	84	57	68%	9	7	78%
	Am. Indian	0	0	0%	3	3	100%	16	9	56%	1	1	100%
Chariden	Hispanic	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	4	4	100%	0	0	0%
Sheridan	White	0	0	0%	2	2	100%	6	6	100%	0	0	0%
	Unknown	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	10	5	50%	3	0	0%
District Tota	ı	1	1	100%	54	37	69%	298	208	70%	30	22	73%

District 12

Part (b) Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court - Case Type

Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (b) Juveniles prosecuted in adult criminal court for felonies, misdemeanors, and traffic offenses. The information shall include juveniles sentenced to terms in adult jails and prisons and juveniles placed on adult probation.

Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court tables consist of criminal and traffic cases in county court and criminal cases in district court that reached the point of sentencing in 2017. Cases with multiple charges are counted once per case and reported as the most severe offense. Cases for juveniles ages 13 and below must be filed and stay in juvenile court; however, judges have the authority to allow these cases in criminal court. Therefore, only juveniles ages 14 through 17 at the time of the offense appear in adult criminal court data, with rare exceptions.

Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court by Case Type District											
		All Cases Misdemeanor			neanor	Fel	ony				
Demographic	Cohort	Total Cases	% of Total	Total Cases	% of Total for Case Type Group	Total Cases	% of Total for Case Type Group				
Age Group	17	5	100%	3	100%	2	100%				
Gender	Female	2	40%	2	67%	0					
	Male	3	60%	1	33%	2	100%				
Race/Ethnicity	Race/Ethnicity White 2 100% 1 100% 1 100%										
	Unknown	3		2		1					
District Total	District Total 5 100% 3 60% 2 40%										

406

Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court by Case Type, County and Age Group												
County	All Age Cases Traffic Misdomosper Falany											
	· ·	#	#	#	#							
Scotts Bluff	17	3	0	1	2							
Sheridan	Sheridan 17 2 0 2 0											
District Tota	al	5	0	3	2							

Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court by Case Type, County and Gender									
County	Gender	All Cases	Traffic	Misdemeanor	Felony				
		#	#	#	#				
Scotts Bluff	Female	1	0	1	0				
SCOUS DIVII	Male	2	0	0	2				
Charidan	Female	1	0	1	0				
Sheridan Male 1 0 1 0									
District Tota	al	5	0	3	2				

Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court by Case Type, County and Race/Ethnicity							
County	Race	All Cases	Misdemeanor	Felony			
		#	#	#			
Scotts Bluff	White	2	1	1			
Scotts Bluff	Unknown	1	0	1			
Sheridan	Unknown	2	2	0			
District Tota	al	5	3	2			

District 12

Part (b) Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court - Sentence

Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (b) Juveniles prosecuted in adult criminal court for felonies, misdemeanors, and traffic offenses. The information shall include juveniles sentenced to terms in adult jails and prisons and juveniles placed on adult probation.

Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court tables include criminal and traffic cases in county court and criminal cases in district court that reached the point of sentencing in 2017. All sentencing types are included where multiple sentencing types occur within a case (ex. probation/jail). Age is calculated from the age at offense, not the age at sentencing.

Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court by Sentencing District										
		Total C	Cases	Prob	ation	Jail		Prison		
Demographic	Cohort	Number of Cases	% of Total	Number of Cases	% of Cohort	Number of Cases	% of Cohort	Number of Cases	% of Cohort	
Age Group	17	5	100%	3	60%	2	40%	0	0%	
Condor	Female	2	40%	1	60%	1	40%	0	0%	
Gender	Male	3	60%	2	60%	1	40%	0	0%	
Desc/Ethnicity	Unknown	3	0%	1	60%	2	40%	0	0%	
Race/Ethnicity	White	2	100%	2	60%	0	40%	0	0%	
District Total		5	100%	3	60%	2	40%	0	0%	

Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court by Sentence, County and Age Group							
	Λαο	Total Cases	Probation	Jail	Prison		
County	Age Group	Number of Cases	Number of Cases	Number of Cases	Number of Cases		
Scotts Bluff	17	3	3	0	0		
Sheridan	17	7 2 0 2 0					
District Tota	al	5	3	2	0		

Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court by Sentence, County and Gender							
		Total Cases	Probation	Jail	Prison		
County	Gender	Number of Cases	Number of Cases	Number of Cases	Number of Cases		
Scotts Bluff	Female	1	1	0	0		
SCOUS DIVII	Male	2	2	0	0		
Sheridan	Female	1	0	1	0		
SHEHUAH	Male	1	0	1	0		
District Tota	al	5	3	2	0		

Juveniles Prosecuted in Adult Criminal Court by Sentence, County and Race/Ethnicity								
		Total Cases	Probation	Jail	Prison			
County	Race	Number of Cases	Number of Cases	Number of Cases	Number of Cases			
Scotts Bluff	White	2	2	0	0			
SCOUS DIVII	Unknown	1	1	0	0			
Sheridan	Unknown	2	0	2	0			
District Tota	al	5	3	2	0			

District 12

Part (c) Motions to Transfer Cases and Cases Transferred

Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (c) The number of motions to transfer and the number of cases transferred to adult court from juvenile court and from adult criminal court to juvenile court.

The data for Motions to Transfer Cases and Cases Transferred consists of county court and district court adult criminal cases and county court and separate juvenile court juvenile cases within the same county. Motions to transfer cases and cases transferred that were entered in 2017 were included in the file. Cases may have been filed previously and transferred in 2017. There can be multiple motions to transfer a case and all are reported; transfers occur once per case.

Motions to Transfer Courts and Transfers District										
		Juven	ile Cou	rt to Adult C	ourt	Adu	It Court	to Juvenile Cour	t	
Demographic	Cohort	Motion to Transfer Juv to Adult	% of Total	Transfers Juv to Adult	% of Total	Motion to Transfer Adult to Juv	% of Total	Transfers Adult to Juv	% of Total	
	14-15	0		0		1	33%	1	20%	
Age Group	16	1	11%	0		1	33%	3	60%	
	17	8	89%	3	100%	1	33%	1	20%	
Condor	Female	3	33%	1	33%	0		1	20%	
Gender	Male	6	67%	2	67%	3	100%	4	80%	
	Am. Indian	2	67%	0		0		0		
Dece/Ethnicity	Hispanic	1	33%	1	50%	2	100%	2	40%	
Race/Ethnicity	White	0		1	50%	0		3	60%	
	Unknown	6		1		1		0		
District Total		9		3		3		5		

Motions to Transfer Courts and Transfers, County and Age Group								
			le Court to It Court		Adult Court to Juvenile Court			
County	Age Group	Motion to Transfer	Cases Transfered	Motion to Transfer	Cases Transfered			
Banner	16	0	0	0	1			
Cheyenne	17	2	0	0	0			
Kimball	14-15	0	0	1	1			
Scotts Bluff	16	0	0	1	2			
Scolls bluii	17	2	3	1	1			
Sheridan	16	1	0	0	0			
SHEHUAH	17	4	0	0	0			
District Total		9	3	3	5			

Motions to Transfer Courts and Transfers, County and Gender								
			le Court to It Court		ourt to Juvenile Court			
County	Gender	Motion to Transfer	Cases Transfered	Motion to Transfer	Cases Transfered			
Banner	Male	0	0	0	1			
Chavanna	Female	1	0	0	0			
Cheyenne	Male	1	0	0	0			
Kimball	Male	0	0	1	1			
Cootto Dluff	Female	0	1	0	1			
Scotts Bluff	Male	2	2	2	2			
Charidan	Female	2	0	0	0			
Sheridan	Male	3	0	0	0			
District Total		9	3	3	5			

Motions to Transfer Courts and Transfers, County and Race/Ethnicity								
			le Court to It Court		ourt to Juvenile Court			
County	Race/ Ethnicity	Motion to Transfer	Cases Transfered	Motion to Transfer	Cases Transfered			
Banner	White	0	0	0	1			
Cheyenne	Unknown	2	0	0	0			
Kimball	Hispanic	0	0	1	1			
	Hispanic	1	1	1	1			
Scotts Bluff	White	0	1	0	2			
	Unknown	1	1	1	0			
Sheridan	Am. Indian	2	0	0	0			
Silelluali	Unknown	3	0	0	0			
District Total		9	3	3	5			

District 12

Part (d) Juvenile Probation Activity

Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (d) Juveniles placed on juvenile probation, the number of juveniles on probation in out-of-home care, the number of juveniles completing probation, the number of motions to revoke probation and probation revocations, and the average length of time on probation.

The Juvenile Probation Activity data is provided from the Administrative Office of Probation's case management system, Nebraska Probation Application for Community Safety (NPACS). The table reports the number and percent of youth with various activity in 2017. Youth are counted once in each category, regardless of the number of out-of-home placements, motions to revoke, or cases they may have in the court system. Age groups are calculated specific to the activity as footnoted.

	Juvenile Probation Activity District								
Demographic	Cohort	Place Prob		Out-of-home Placement		Motions to Revoke		Satisfactory Release	
Demographic	Conort	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total	# of Youth	% of Total
	10 & under	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	2	1%
	11-13	28	10%	10	7%	6	7%	38	12%
A O	14-15	112	40%	52	36%	26	31%	98	31%
Age Group	16	62	22%	46	32%	26	31%	82	26%
	17	70	25%	28	19%	18	21%	88	28%
	18	10	4%	8	6%	8	10%	4	1%
Candar	Female	112	40%	48	33%	30	36%	112	36%
Gender	Male	170	60%	96	67%	54	64%	200	64%
	Am. Indian	50	18%	34	24%	16	19%	18	6%
	Asian/P.I.	2	1%	0	0%	2	2%	4	1%
Race	Black	2	1%	2	1%	0	0%	14	4%
	White	138	49%	70	49%	38	45%	186	60%
	Other	90	32%	38	26%	28	33%	90	29%
Ethnicity	Hispanic	106	38%	46	32%	38	45%	100	32%
Ethnicity	Not Hispanic	176	62%	98	68%	46	55%	212	68%
District Total		282	100%	144	100%	84	100%	312	100%

^{1.} Age at which the probation term began. 2. Age at the first admission to out-of-home placement. 3. Age as of the file date for motion to revoke. 4. Age as of the disposition date for motion to revoke. 5. Age as of the disposition date for discharge from probation.

Juvenile Probation Activity by County and Age Group								
County	Age Group	Placed on Probation	Out-of- home Placement	Motions to Revoke	Satisfactory Release			
		# of Youth	# of Youth	# of Youth	# of Youth			
Banner	11-13	0	0	0	2			
Dalillei	14-15	0	2	0	2			
	11-13	4	2	2	2			
Box Butte	14-15	2	0	0	4			
box bulle	16	2	2	2	2			
	17	2	4	2	2			
	11-13	0	0	0	2			
	14-15	0	0	0	2			
Cheyenne	16	8	2	0	6			
	17	10	6	2	10			
	18	2	2	2	0			
	10 & under	0	0	0	2			
	11-13	2	0	0	0			
_	14-15	8	0	0	6			
Dawes	16	4	4	2	0			
	17	2	0	0	14			
	18	2	0	0	0			
	14-15	2	0	0	2			
Deuel	16	0	0	0	2			
	17	0	0	2	0			
	16	2	0	2	6			
Garden	17	4	0	0	4			
	11-13	2	0	0	2			
Kimball	16	2	0	0	4			
	17	0	0	0	4			
	11-13	2	0	0	0			
N.4	14-15	4	0	0	2			
Morrill	16	2	2	2	4			
	17	2	0	0	4			
	11-13	16	8	4	30			
0	14-15	92	48	26	74			
Scotts Bluff	16	36	34	18	56			
	17	44	16	12	50			

Juvenile Probation Activity by County and Age Group								
County	Age Group	on home		Motions to Revoke	Satisfactory Release			
		# of Youth	# Of Youth		# of Youth			
Scotts Bluff	18	6	4	6	4			
	11-13	2	0	0	0			
	14-15	4	2	0	6			
Sheridan	16	6	2	0	2			
	17	6	2	0	0			
	18	0	2	0	0			
District Tota	al	282	144	84	312			

			bation Acti and Gende		
County	Gender	Placed on Probation	Out-of- home Placement	Motions to Revoke	Satisfactory Release
		# of Youth	# of Youth	# of Youth	# of Youth
Banner	Female		2		2
Dalillei	Male				2
Box Butte	Female	6	4	4	4
box butte	Male	4	4	2	6
Chavanna	Female	2	4		4
Cheyenne	Male	18	6	4	16
Dawes	Female	12	4	2	10
Dawes	Male	6			12
Deuel	Female	2			2
Deuei	Male			2	2
Garden	Female	2			2
Garden	Male	4		2	8
Kimball	Female	2			2
Kiiiibaii	Male	2			8
Morrill	Female	4			2
IVIOITIII	Male	6	2	2	8
Scotts Bluff	Female	76	30	24	78
Scotts Biuli	Male	118	80	42	136
Sheridan	Female	6	4		6
Glieridaii	Male	12	4		2
District Tota	al	282	144	84	312

		e Probation	n Activity - and Race	Cases	
County	Race	Placed on Probation	Out-of- home Placement	Motions to Revoke	Satisfactory Release
		# of Youth	# of Youth	# of Youth	# of Youth
Banner	White		2		2
Darmer	Other				2
	Am. Indian	2	6	6	6
Box Butte	Black				2
DOX DUILE	White	8	2		
	Other				2
	Am. Indian		2		2
Chavanna	Asian/P.I.	2			2
Cheyenne	White	14	6	4	12
	Other	4	2		4
	Am. Indian	8	2	2	
Dawas	Black				6
Dawes	White	10	2		14
	Other				2
David	Asian/P.I.			2	2
Deuel	White	2			2
Garden	White	6		2	10
Kimball	White	4			10
Mannill	White	8	2	2	8
Morrill	Other	2			2
	Am. Indian	30	20	8	8
Cootto Diviff	Black	2			
Scotts Bluff	White	80	54	30	128
	Other	82	36	28	78
	Am. Indian	10	4		2
Oh i	Black		2		6
Sheridan	White	6	2		
	Other	2			
District Tota	al	282	144	84	312

			Activity - d d Ethnicity		
County	Ethnicity	Placed on Probation	Out-of- home Placement	Motions to Revoke	Satisfactory Release
,		# of Youth	# of Youth	# of Youth	# of Youth
Banner	Hispanic				2
Danner	Not Hispanic		2		2
	Not Hispanic	2	6	6	6
Box Butte	Not Hispanic				2
DOX DUILE	Not Hispanic				2
	Not Hispanic	8	2		
	Hispanic	4	2		4
Chavanna	Hispanic	14	6	4	12
Cheyenne	Not Hispanic		2		2
	Not Hispanic	2			2
	Hispanic				2
Davis	Not Hispanic	8	2	2	
Dawes	Not Hispanic				6
	Not Hispanic	10	2		14
D	Not Hispanic			2	2
Deuel	Not Hispanic	2			2
Garden	Not Hispanic	6		2	10
Kimball	Not Hispanic	4			10
NA 211	Hispanic	2			2
Morrill	Not Hispanic	8	2	2	8
	Hispanic	30	20	8	8
0	Hispanic	82	36	28	78
Scotts Bluff	Hispanic	80	54	30	128
	Not Hispanic	2			
	Hispanic	10	4		2
Ob a 11	Not Hispanic		2		6
Sheridan	Not Hispanic	2			
	Not Hispanic	6	2		
District Tota	al	282	144	84	312

District 12

Part (d) Juvenile Probation - Youth Risk Level and Length of Probation

Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (d) Juveniles placed on juvenile probation, the number of juveniles on probation in out-of-home care, the number of juveniles completing probation, the number of motions to revoke probation and probation revocations, and the average length of time on probation.

Youth Level of Service/Case Management Inventory (YLS/CMI) is an assessment tool used to determine the level of risk and appropriate placement for youth on probation. The following table shows the classification results of youth upon entry and exit from probation. Youth that are determined to be low or moderate low risk go through Juvenile Community-Based Resource (JCBR/Low/Moderate Low), those determined to be high or moderate high risk go through Juvenile Community-Based Intervention (JCBI/High/Moderate HighRisk)

	Youth Risk Levels and Length of Probation District										
		In	itial Risl	(Level		Final Risk	Level	Average Length of Probation			
Demo- graphic	Cohort	JCBR/ Low/ Moderate Low	JCBI/ High	No Assessment**	JCBR/ Low	JCBI/ High/ Moderate High	No Assessment**	Total Youth	Avg Length of Probation		
	11-13	12	16		4			4	11.5		
	14-15	52	58	2	40	14	2	56	10.5		
Ago Croup	16	34	26	2	32	20		52	10.9		
Age Group	17	42	26	2	66	30		96	11.0		
	18	4	6		38	40		78	11.9		
	19 & over				4	2		6	17.3		
Gender	Female	60	48	4	62	36	2	100	11.6		
Gender	Male	84	84	2	122	70		192	11.0		
	Am. Indian	18	32		8	24		32	15.2		
	Asian/P.I.			2	2			2	8.5		
Race	Black	2				6		6	18.8		
	White	72	62	4	118	48	2	168	10.0		
	Other	52	38		56	28		84	11.8		
Ethnicity	Hispanic	62	44		62	34		96	11.3		
Ethnicity	Not Hispanic	82	88	6	122	72	2	196	11.2		
District Tot	al	144	132	6	184	106	2	292	11.2		

		You	ıth Ris b	k Levels and I y County and	Length Age Gr	of Probati oup	ion		
		In	itial Risl	< Level		Final Risk	Level	Average Length of Probation	
County	Age Group	JCBR/ Low/ Moderate Low	JCBI/ High	No Assessment**	JCBR/ Low	JCBI/ High/ Moderate High	No Assessment**	Total Youth	Avg Length of Probation
Banner	14-15					2		2	11.0
	11-13		4					0	
	14-15		2		2			2	17.0
Box Butte	16	2						0	
	17		2			6		6	14.8
	18					4		4	17.2
	14-15				2			2	23.0
	16	2	6			4		4	8.5
Cheyenne	17	2	6	2	8	2		10	9.1
	18	2			10	6		16	11.0
	19 & over					2		2	15.0
	11-13		2		2			2	20.0
	14-15	4	2	2	2	4	2	8	10.5
Dawes	16	4				2		2	25.7
	17	2						0	
	18		2		4	10		14	8.6
Deuel	14-15	2			2			2	6.0
	16		2		2			2	8.0
Garden	17	2	2		4			4	7.0
	11-13		2					0	
	14-15				2			2	5.0
Kimball	16	2			2			2	2.0
	17				4			4	3.5
	18				2			2	11.0
	11-13		2					0	
	14-15	2	2		2			2	4.0
Morrill	16		2					0	
	17	2			4			4	7.8
	18				2			2	13.0
	11-13	10	6		2			2	3.0
Scotts Bluff	14-15	44	48		26	8		34	10.2
	16	22	12	2	28	14		42	11.0

Youth Risk Levels and Length of Probation by County and Age Group										
		Initial Risk Level				Final Risk	Level	Average Length of Probation		
County	Age Group	JCBR/ Low/ Moderate Low	JCBI/ High	No Assessment**	JCBR/ Low	JCBI/ High/ Moderate High	No Assessment**	Total Youth	Avg Length of Probation	
	17	34	10		46	20		66	12.1	
Scotts Bluff	18	2	4		20	18		38	11.6	
	19 & over				4			4	18.5	
	11-13	2						0		
	14-15		4		2			2	11.0	
Sheridan	16	2	4					0		
	17		6			2		2	2.0	
	18					2		2	36.3	
District Tota	District Total		132	6	184	106	2	292	11.2	

		Yo	uth Ri	sk Levels and by County a			tion		
		In	itial Risl	(Level		Final Risk	Level	Average Length of Probation	
County	Gender	JCBR/ Low/ Moderate Low	JCBI/ High	No Assessment**	JCBR/ Low	JCBI/ High/ Moderate High	No Assessment**	Total Youth	Avg Length of Probation
Banner	Female					2		2	11.0
Box Butte	Female	2	4			4		4	9.0
DOX DUILE	Male		4		2	6		8	19.5
Cheyenne	Female		2		4			4	18.0
Cheyenne	Male	6	10	2	16	14		30	10.2
Dawes	Female	8	2	2	4	2	2	8	6.0
Dawes	Male	2	4		4	14		18	13.7
Deuel	Female	2			2			2	6.0
Garden	Female		2		2			2	9.0
Garden	Male	2	2		4			4	6.5
Kimball	Female	2			2			2	2.0
Kiiiibaii	Male		2		8			8	5.8
Morrill	Female	2	2		2			2	4.0
IVIOITIII	Male	2	4		6			6	9.6
Scotts Bluff	Female	42	32	2	46	24		70	12.4
Scotts Diuli	Male	70	48		80	36		116	10.9
Sheridan	Female	2	4			4		4	19.2
Silenuan	Male	2	10		2			2	11.0
District Tota	al	144	132	6	184	106	2	292	11.2

		You	th Ris	k Levels and I by County a			on		
		In	itial Risl	k Level		Final Risk	Level	Average Length of Probation	
County	Race	JCBR/ Low/ Moderate Low	JCBI/ High	No Assessment**	JCBR/ Low	JCBI/ High/ Moderate High	No Assessment**	Total Youth	Avg Length of Probation
Banner	White					2		2	11.0
	Am. Indian		2			6		6	21.7
Day Dutte	Black					2		2	8.0
Box Butte	White	2	6			2		2	6.0
	Other				2			2	17.0
	Am. Indian					4		4	10.0
Chavanna	Asian/P.I.			2	2			2	8.5
Cheyenne	White	6	8		16	8		24	10.6
	Other		4		2	2		4	16.5
	Am. Indian	4	4			8		8	17.2
Dawas	Black					2		2	12.0
Dawes	White	6	2	2	8	4	2	14	8.7
	Other					2		2	6.0
Deuel	White	2			2			2	6.0
Garden	White	2	4		6			6	7.3
Kimball	White	2	2		10			10	5.0
Morrill	White	2	6		6			6	8.9
IVIOTTIII	Other	2			2			2	6.0
	Am. Indian	12	18		6	6		12	13.2
Cootto Divit	Black	2						0	
Scotts Bluff	White	48	30	2	70	30		100	11.0
	Other	50	32		50	24		74	11.7
	Am. Indian	2	8		2			2	11.0
Chariel	Black					2		2	36.3
Sheridan	White	2	4			2		2	2.0
	Other		2					0	
District Tota	al	144	132	6	184	106	2	292	11.2

		Yout		Levels and L y County and			n		
		In	itial Risl	k Level		Final Risk	Level	Average Length of Probation	
County	Ethnicity	JCBR/ Low/ Moderate Low	JCBI/ High	No Assessment**	JCBR/ Low	JCBI/ High/ Moderate High	No Assessment**	Total Youth	Avg Length of Probation
Banner	Not Hispanic					2		2	11.0
Box Butte	Not Hispanic	2	8		2	10		12	16.0
Chavanna	Hispanic	2	6		2	6		8	12.8
Cheyenne	Not Hispanic	4	6	2	18	8		26	10.6
Dawes	Hispanic					2		2	6.0
Dawes	Not Hispanic	10	6	2	8	14	2	24	11.8
Deuel	Not Hispanic	2			2			2	6.0
Garden	Not Hispanic	2	4		6			6	7.3
Kimball	Not Hispanic	2	2		10			10	5.0
Morrill	Hispanic	2			2			2	6.0
IVIOTTIII	Not Hispanic	2	6		6			6	8.9
Scotts Bluff	Hispanic	56	38		58	26		84	11.4
SCOUS DIUIT	Not Hispanic	56	42	2	68	34		102	11.5
Charidan	Hispanic	2						0	
Sheridan	Not Hispanic	2	14		2	4		6	16.4
District Tota	al	144	132	6	184	106	2	292	11.2

District 12

Part (e) Juveniles Access to Counsel

Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007 (e) juveniles with and without access to counsel in juvenile and adult court, both appointed and retained.

The Juveniles Access to Counsel table displays the percent of juveniles represented by an attorney in criminal cases from county court and district court and juvenile cases from county court and separate juvenile court. Data includes new cases filed and cases transferred from one county to another during calendar year 2017. Cases filed in 2017 may not have reached the sentencing/adjudication stage of proceedings.

	Juvenile Access to Counsel District										
			Criminal C	ourt Cases	•	Juvenile Court Cases					
Demographic	Cohort	Total Criminal Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel	Total Juvenile Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel		
	10 & under					2	1	1	50%		
	11-13	1	1	0	0%	76	28	48	63%		
Age Group	14-15	9	9	0	0%	182	75	107	59%		
	16	16	11	5	31%	124	55	69	56%		
	17	54	29	25	46%	126	53	73	58%		
	Female	23	16	7	30%	191	86	105	55%		
Gender	Male	50	33	17	34%	313	125	188	60%		
	Unknown	7	1	6	86%	6	1	5	83%		
	Am. Indian	2	2	0	0%	59	27	32	54%		
	Black					2	2	0	0%		
Dece/Ethnicity	Hispanic	13	6	7	54%	76	30	46	61%		
Race/Ethnicity	White	43	34	9	21%	163	71	92	56%		
	Other					5	0	5	100%		
	Unknown	22	8	14	64%	205	82	123	60%		
District Total		80	50	30	38%	510	212	298	58%		

					to Couns Age Grou					
			Criminal C	ourt Cases	3	Juvenile Court Cases				
County	Age Group	Total Criminal Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel	Total Juvenile Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel	
	14-15	1	1	0	0%					
Banner	16	2	1	1	50%	1	1	0	0%	
	17	2	1	1	50%					
	11-13	1	1	0	0%	5	1	4	80%	
D. D. ()	14-15	4	4	0	0%	10	7	3	30%	
Box Butte	16	6	6	0	0%	3	1	2	67%	
	17	9	9	0	0%	7	4	3	43%	
	11-13					5	0	5	100%	
	14-15	1	1	0	0%	6	1	5	83%	
Cheyenne	16					9	1	8	89%	
	17	7	2	5	71%	20	2	18	90%	
	10 & under					1	0	1	100%	
	11-13					10	6	4	40%	
Dawes	14-15					5	3	2	40%	
	16	1	1	0	0%	6	2	4	67%	
	17	2	2	0	0%	5	4	1	20%	
	14-15					2	0	2	100%	
Deuel	16					1	0	1	100%	
	17	4	1	3	75%	2	0	2	100%	
	11-13					3	0	3	100%	
Garden	16					2	0	2	100%	
	17					3	0	3	100%	
	11-13					1	0	1	100%	
	14-15					4	0	4	100%	
Kimball	16					1	0	1	100%	
	17					1	1	0	0%	
	11-13					2	2	0	0%	
	14-15					10	4	6	60%	
Morrill	16					5	2	3	60%	
	17					2	0	2	100%	
	10 & under					1	1	0	0%	
Scotts Bluff	11-13					43	12	31	72%	
	14-15	2	2	0	0%	131	46	85	65%	

Juvenile Access to Counsel by County and Age Group										
			Criminal C	ourt Cases	•		Juvenile C	ourt Cases	•	
County	Age Group	Total Criminal Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel	Total Juvenile Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel	
Cootto Dluff	16	7	3	4	57%	85	38	47	55%	
Scotts Bluff	17	25	13	12	48%	69	32	37	54%	
	11-13					7	7	0	0%	
Ohi-l	14-15	1	1	0	0%	14	14	0	0%	
Sheridan	16					11	10	1	9%	
	17	5	1	4	80%	17	10	7	41%	
District Total		80	50	30	38%	510	212	298	58%	

Juvenile Access to Counsel by County and Gender										
	Gender	Criminal Court Cases				Juvenile Court Cases				
County		Total Criminal Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel	Total Juvenile Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel	
Banner	Male	5	3	2	40%	1	1	0	0%	
	Female	6	6	0	0%	15	7	8	53%	
Box Butte	Male	14	14	0	0%	9	5	4	44%	
	Unknown					1	1	0	0%	
Cheyenne	Female	1	1	0	0%	15	3	12	80%	
	Male	2	2	0	0%	24	1	23	96%	
	Unknown	5	0	5	100%	1	0	1	100%	
Dawes	Female	2	2	0	0%	8	6	2	25%	
	Male	1	1	0	0%	18	9	9	50%	
	Unknown					1	0	1	100%	
Deuel	Female					2	0	2	100%	
	Male	3	1	2	67%	3	0	3	100%	
	Unknown	1	0	1	100%					
	Female					2	0	2	100%	
Garden	Male					5	0	5	100%	
	Unknown					1	0	1	100%	
Kimball	Female					2	0	2	100%	
	Male					5	1	4	80%	
Morrill	Female					4	2	2	50%	
	Male					15	6	9	60%	
Scotts Bluff	Female	13	7	6	46%	120	49	71	59%	
	Male	20	10	10	50%	207	80	127	61%	
	Unknown	1	1	0	0%	2	0	2	100%	
Ob a side	Female	1	0	1	100%	23	19	4	17%	
Sheridan	Male	5	2	3	60%	26	22	4	15%	
District Total		80	50	30	38%	510	212	298	58%	

Juvenile Access to Counsel by County and Race/Ethnicity										
County	Race/ Ethnicity	Criminal Court Cases				Juvenile Court Cases				
		Total Criminal Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel	Total Juvenile Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel	
Banner	Hispanic	1	0	1	100%					
	White	2	1	1	50%	1	1	0	0%	
	Unknown	2	2	0	0%					
	Am. Indian	2	2	0	0%	8	0	8	100%	
	Black					1	1	0	0%	
Box Butte	Hispanic	1	1	0	0%	3	2	1	33%	
	White	16	16	0	0%	11	8	3	27%	
	Unknown	1	1	0	0%	2	2	0	0%	
Cheyenne	Hispanic					2	0	2	100%	
	White	3	3	0	0%	20	2	18	90%	
	Unknown	5	0	5	100%	18	2	16	89%	
Dawes	Am. Indian					8	2	6	75%	
	Hispanic					1	1	0	0%	
	White	2	2	0	0%	11	9	2	18%	
	Unknown	1	1	0	0%	7	3	4	57%	
Deuel	White	1	1	0	0%	3	0	3	100%	
	Unknown	3	0	3	100%	2	0	2	100%	
Garden	White					8	0	8	100%	
Kimball	Hispanic					1	0	1	100%	
	White					5	1	4	80%	
	Unknown					1	0	1	100%	
Morrill	Hispanic					4	2	2	50%	
	White					14	6	8	57%	
	Unknown					1	0	1	100%	
Scotts Bluff	Am. Indian					22	7	15	68%	
	Black					1	1	0	0%	
	Hispanic	11	5	6	55%	60	22	38	63%	
	White	18	10	8	44%	82	36	46	56%	
	Other					5	0	5	100%	
	Unknown	5	3	2	40%	159	63	96	60%	
Sheridan	Am. Indian					21	18	3	14%	
	Hispanic					5	3	2	40%	
	White	1	1	0	0%	8	8	0	0%	

Juvenile Access to Counsel by County and Race/Ethnicity									
County	Race/ Ethnicity	Criminal Court Cases				Juvenile Court Cases			
		Total Criminal Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel	Total Juvenile Court Cases	# Without Counsel	# With Counsel	% With Counsel
Sheridan	Unknown	5	1	4	80%	15	12	3	20%
District Total		80	50	30	38%	510	212	298	58%

Nebraska Revised Statute 24-1007

Revised Statutes » Chapter 24 » 24-1007

24-1007. State Court Administrator; compile judicial workload statistics; how; juveniles in Nebraska's justice system; annual report; contents.

- (1) The State Court Administrator shall compile uniform and accurate statistics which will assist in the evaluation of judicial workloads. The judicial workload statistics shall be based on caseload numbers weighted by category of case. The judicial workload statistics shall be compiled annually for each district, county, and separate juvenile court judicial district in the state.
- (2) The State Court Administrator shall develop and provide an annual report to the Legislature and the Governor on juveniles in Nebraska's justice system. The report to the Legislature shall be provided electronically. For purposes of this section, juvenile has the same meaning as in section 43-245. The report shall include, but not be limited to, geographic and demographic information on the following:
- (a) Juveniles prosecuted in juvenile court under subdivision (1), (2), (3)(b), or (4) of section 43-247, including the total number of filings and adjudications;
- (b) Juveniles prosecuted in adult criminal court for felonies, misdemeanors, and traffic offenses. The information shall include juveniles sentenced to terms in adult jails and prisons and juveniles placed on adult probation;
- (c) The number of motions to transfer and the number of cases transferred to adult court from juvenile court and from adult criminal court to juvenile court;
- (d) Juveniles placed on juvenile probation, the number of juveniles on probation in out-of-home care, the number of juveniles completing probation, the number of motions to revoke probation and probation revocations, and the average length of time on probation;
- (e) Juveniles with and without access to counsel in juvenile and adult court, both appointed and retained; and
- (f) Rates of recidivism.

Source:

Laws 1995, LB 189, § 5;

Laws 2014, LB464, § 3.

Administrative Office of Probation

The Probation information was collected from the Administrative Office of Probation's case management system, Nebraska Probation Application for community Safety (NPACS). Traditional probationers and Problem Solving Court probationers are included in this analysis. The following are explanations of the data.

- 1. Youth Level of Service/ Case Management Inventory (YLS/CMI) score: Youth Placed on Probation Initial Classification based on YLS/CMI Level provides an analysis of the number of youth whose first YLS/CMI score would place them in the Juvenile Community-Based Resource (JCBR) classification, or the Juvenile Community-Based Intervention (JCBI) classification. JCBR are commonly referred to as the low risk youth and their cases are managed accordingly, while the JCBI youth have scores of moderate high, high or very high. JCBI youth have more supervision requirements to address their high risk. Some youth do not have an YLS/CMI assessment completed before their probation is terminated. This can be due to a brief probation term, or successful completion of pre-adjudicated services.
- 2. CY16 Placed on Probation: the count of all youth that were formally placed on Probation between January 1, 2016 and December 31, 2016.
- 3. CY 16 Placed Out of Home: this information is a count of all youth who were placed in an out of home placement (OHP) at some point during the 2016 year. This information represents the number of youth that were out of home, not the number of placements. For example, one youth may be out of home in detention, move to a shelter and eventually transition home. The youth would be counted once even though they had two different OHP. One youth was placed in OHP on their 19th birthday prior to being released from Probation. That youth was included in the placed at 18 years old age bracket and then the appropriate gender, race and ethnicity group.
- 4. CY 16 Motion to Revoke: the count of all youth that received a motion to revoke on any of their active cases in 2016. A youth may have multiple court cases being processed concurrently, but the youth would only be counted once in this report.
- 5. CY 16 Revocations Only: the number of youth that had probation revoked on one or more cases. Individual youth are counted and not the number of cases that were revoked. When a motion to revoke is filed, the court has several options that they can pursue including: revoking the probation case and terminating the probation term, extending the probation term, sending the youth to YRTC and continuing probation after that placement, or dismissing the motion to revoke. For this category, only those youth whose cases were revoked and terminated are included. Further details regarding revocations can be found in the Juvenile Probation's Detailed Analysis.
- 6. CY 16 Successful Discharge: the number of youth who were successfully discharged from Probation, during 2016, on one or more court cases. Successful completion includes completion of pre-adjudication, pre-disposition, traditional probation, early release and YRTC probation. Length of Probation is provided in months. Some youth may be listed as discharged from probation without an official start date. These are youth that may have completed pre-adjudication or pre-dispositional services. These youth are not officially disposed to probation but there is a court case completion/discharge date entered because the court case is closed. Some youth will also appear to be discharged at 19 or later due to the time lapse between court proceeding and case closure. Youth can also be discharged past 19 years old if Nebraska Probation is providing interstate probation supervision.
- 7. CY 16 All Discharges YLS: this information contains a listing of youth that were discharged during the 2016 year. Age is presented at time of probation start (which may be prior to CY16), and age at time of discharge. Length of probation is displayed in months. Some youth may be listed as discharged from probation without an official start date. These are youth that may have completed pre-adjudication or pre-dispositional services. These youth are not officially disposed to probation but there is a court case completion/discharge date entered because the court case is closed. A final YLS/CMI score and classification is also provided for any analysis on the number of youth that were discharged at each level. It is not uncommon for there to be more youth at a JCBR level discharged as opposed to JCBI. This is due to the process of stepping high risk youth down to a lower supervision level before discharging them from probation.

Age calculations

- 1. Youth placed on probation: age at which their probation term began during that calendar year.
- 2. Out of home placement: age at the first admission to out of home placement during that calendar year.
- 3. Motions to Revoke: age at which the motion to revoke was filed/created during that calendar year.
- 4. Probation Revoked: age on the date which the disposition for the motion to revoke was applied during that calendar year.
- 5. Satisfactory Release: age on the date which the disposition for discharge from probation occurred during that calendar year.
- 6. Initial Risk Level: age at which their probation term began during that calendar year. Youth are assessed just prior to or after they begin their probation term. For consistency, the age when they began their probation term was calculated.
- 7. Final Risk Level: age on the date which the disposition for discharge from probation occurred during that calendar year. Youth may be assessed within six months of their release. For consistency, the age when they were discharged from probation was calculated and reported in regards to their final risk assessment level.
- 8. Length of Probation: age on the date which the disposition for discharge from probation occurred during that calendar year.